Supplemental methods and materials

Lentivirus production

Hek293T cells were transfected with CAR lentiviral vector and the packaging plasmids pRSV.REV, pMDLg/p.RRE, and pVSV-G in OptiMem and Lipofectamine 2000. Supernatant was harvested at 24h and 48h, filtered to remove cell debris, and virus was concentrated by ultracentrifugation for 2h at 25,000rpm and 4C. Virus was resuspended in RPMI, aliquoted to 100μ L/vial, and stored at -80C. Virus titer was determined using viral transduction of Hek293T cells and subsequent measurement of GFP or CAR expression.

ELISpot experiment

Healthy donor T cells were stained for TCRvβ5.1, TCRvβ9, or TCRvβ12 and the negative fraction was sorted by FACS. An unsorted population was also maintained. 0.2x10⁶ T cells were cultured with HLA-matched antigen presenting cells (APCs) and peptides for cytomegalovirus (CMV), Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), or influenza (flu) in precoated IFN_γ ELISpot plates (R&D Systems). K562 cells engineered to express HLA-A2 were used as the APCs.² These cells and the pooled peptides¹ were obtained from the Carreno lab (University of Pennsylvania). No peptide or CD3/CD28 beads (Invitrogen) were respectively used as negative and positive controls. Each condition was run in triplicate. After 24 hours, the plate was stained, and the number of spots corresponding to cytokine release was analyzed using the Immunospot plate reader (Cellular Technology Ltd.).

In vitro killing of SupT1 cell lines

Lytic function of CARTs was tested against SupT1 cell lines engineered to express either TCRvβ12 or TCRvβ9. CAR+ T cells were incubated with 50,000 target cells at 3:1, 1:1, and 0.1: E:T ratios in opaque white, flatbottom 96-well plates. Total cell number was equilibrated across CARTs to account for differences in transduction efficiencies. Each condition was run in duplicate or triplicate. Cells were cultured in phenol-free RPMI and incubated overnight at 37C and 5% CO₂. After 16-20 hours, plates were centrifuged at 1300rpm for 5 minutes. 100µL of media was removed from each well and luciferase buffer from the Luc-Screen Extended-Glow Luciferase Reporter Gene Assay system was added according to the manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen). Bioluminescence readings were obtained using a microplate reader. Cytotoxicity was calculated using the following equation: 100*(1-experimental condition/average control condition (target cells alone)).

In vitro cytotoxicity of patient cells

Prior to co-culture, patient PBMCs with a TCRv β 12 dominant clone were stained with CellTrace Violet according to the manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen). 2.0x10⁶ patient cells were incubated with CAR+ or NTD T cells at a 1:1 E:T ratio in a 12-well plate. Total cell number was equilibrated across CARTs to account for differences in transduction efficiencies. Cells were cultured in RPMI and incubated at 37C and 5% CO₂. After 48 hours, cells were prepared for flow cytometry as described in the main text, using the Beckman Coulter IOTest Beta Mark kit, TCR $\alpha\beta$ -APC, and CD3-BV605. LIVE/DEAD Fixable Aqua was used to determine live cell populations. Using the HTS plate reader, 75µL of the 200µL total was recorded and run to completion for each well. Gating on single live target cells was performed according to forward and side scatter characteristics, positivity for CellTrace Violet, and exclusion of the viability dye. Gates were then set for CD3/TCR $\alpha\beta$ expression, and then in PE/GFP for each of the stains in the IOTest Beta Mark Kit (Supplemental Figure 5B).

Prior to co-culture, TCRv β 5.1+ patient PBMCs were stained with Invitrogen eBioscience Cell Proliferation Dye eFluor 670 according to the manufacturer's protocol. 0.5x10⁶ patient cells were incubated with CAR+ or NTD T cells at 3:1, 1:1, and 0.1:1 E:T ratios in a 96-well plate. Total cell number was equilibrated across CARTs to account for differences in transduction efficiencies. Each condition was run in triplicate. Cells were cultured in RPMI and incubated at 37C and 5% CO₂. After 16-20 hours, the cells were prepared for flow cytometry analysis using CD3-BV605 and TCRv β 5.1-PE antibodies. LIVE/DEAD Fixable Aqua was used to determine live cell populations. Using the HTS plate reader, 75µL was recorded and run to completion for each well. Gating on single live target cells was performed according to forward and side scatter characteristics, positivity for the cell proliferation dye, and exclusion of the fixable viability dye. Gates were then set on the CD3+/TCRv β 5.1+ population (Supplemental Figure 5D). Cytotoxicity was calculated using the following equation: 100*(1-Count(Live cells in experimental condition)/average count(Live cells in target + NTD condition)).

Experimental setup for activation of target patient cells

The v β 5.1+ patient sample was identified to be CD4+, so CD8+ CARTs were developed for this experiment to differentiate the targets from the effectors. A co-culture was set up as above at a 1:1 E:T ratio. After 5 days, cells were collected and prepared for flow cytometry analysis. In addition to the cell surface staining, the cells were stained for intracellular Ki67 using a Ki67-BV605 antibody and the Foxp3/Transcription factor staining buffer set

(eBiosciences) according to the manufacturer's protocol. A similar experiment was run using the v β 12+ patient sample. In this case, standard CD4/CD8 CARTs were utilized.

To examine potential reciprocal lysis of effector cells by healthy target cells at low E:T ratios, healthy donor T cells were sorted by FACS for v β 12- and v β 12+ populations. The v β 12- fraction was transduced with TCRv β 12-CARs and the v β 12+ fraction was expanded in parallel. A co-culture was set up as above at a 1:10 E:T ratio where target cells were stained with a cell tracking dye. After 48 hours, cells were collected and analyzed by flow cytometry.

Supplemental Figures



Supplemental figure 1. (A) Dot plots of healthy donor and Sézary patient T cells that were phenotyped using 8 stains (A-H) containing a total of 24 antibodies specific for a TCRv β family. A healthy donor sample was also stained with all

antibodies simultaneously to determine the percent of the T cell population not covered by the antibodies (gray box). This is in agreement with the information provided with the Beckman Coulter Beta Mark IO Test. (B) TRBV sequencing data confirming that the Sézary patient sample contains one dominant malignant clone in TCRv β 12 (TRBV10-3) amongst the healthy population in the other TCRv β families. (C) Transduction of healthy donor (n=3) T cells engineered to express various CAR constructs that target TCRv β families as determined by protein L staining and flow cytometry analysis.



Supplemental figure 2. (A) Dot plots of stains for TCRv β families in expanded T cells that were either NTD or engineered to express a CAR against TCRv β 12. The target population is eliminated (gray box) via fratricide when the CAR is expressed. Clonograms from expanded T cells that were either NTD or engineered to express a CAR against

TCRv β 9 (B) or TCRv β 5.1 (C) and their expansion kinetics. Expansion kinetics for CARTs against TCRv β 12 is also shown (red) for both expansions.



Supplemental figure 3. (A) Healthy T cells from 3 donors were collected and sorted by FACS to remove populations expressing either TCRv β 12, 9, or 5.1. Unsorted cells were used as a control. All T cells were stimulated with peptides against CMV, EBV, and influenza in the presence of antigen-presenting cells. Each graph represents an individual donor. IFN γ -producing spots identified in each condition are shown. Analysis was done to compare the number of cells responding to that of unsorted cells. P value style: 0.1234 (n.s), 0.0332 (*), 0.0021 (**) by two-way ANOVA with Sídák multiple comparison test.



Supplemental figure 4. (A) Percentages of the 24 tested TCRv β families in expanded CD3+TCR $\alpha\beta$ + T cells either NTD or engineered to express a truncated CAR against TCRv β 12 or a functional CAR against TCRv β 12. Cells in the "other" group were determined as cells that were CD3+TCR $\alpha\beta$ + but negative for all 24 TCRv β antibodies. (B) Genomic analysis of TCRv β families in the same CARTs, either represented as number of copies (left) or relative change compared to NTD cells (right).



Q4 99.0

-103

23

Q4 99.4

103

10

10

F

03

104

3 0.076

2 0.15

12 0.15

104

105

104

F

⁰ Q4 -10³ 99.2

-10

10⁵ 5.2 0.056

Q4 99.6

-103

10

10

-10

Щ



0

105

104

10

0

Q4 99.9

Q4 98.2

-10

13.2 0.036

8 1.46

104 10

4 0.018

7.2 0.018

н

20 1.47

22 0.36

14 0.95

10 105

G

10

⁰ Q4 10³ 0.37

-103

11 0.055

Q4

16 0.27

1 0.41

21.3 0.018

10

104

10



Supplemental figure 5. (A) Histogram demonstrating TCRv_β expression of SupT1 cells engineered to express TCRs that had TCRv β components belonging to either TCRv β 12 or TCRv β 9. (B) Representative gating strategy for the coculture experiment with the TCRvβ12+ Sézary patient sample, here shown with NTD effector cells. Target cells were

stained with CellTrace Violet prior to co-culture to distinguish them from effector cells. CD3+TCR $\alpha\beta$ + cells in each group were then analyzed for the 24 TCRv β families. This representative plot used stain "E," and shows TCRv β 5.2, 2, and 12 (clockwise from top left). (C) Dot plots of staining for 24 TCRv β families in the Sézary patient sample determined to contain a dominant clone in TCRv β 5.1, representing 98.1% of the total T cell population. (D) Gating strategy for the co-culture experiment with the TCRv β 5.1+ Sézary patient sample. Target cells were stained with a cell tracking dye prior to co-culture to distinguish them from effector cells. The live, single cells in the target population were used to determine lysis by comparing their number to those with NTD T cells. Effectors and targets were further phenotyped for their CD3 and TCRv β 5.1 expression.



Supplemental figure 6. (A) Representative plots of Ki67 expression by target (top, orange) or effector (bottom, gray) cells at the end of a 5-day co-culture. (B) Representative plots from a 5-day co-culture of TCRv β 12+ patient sample with TCRv β CARTs. Dot plots of effector and target cells at the end of the culture identified by CellTrace Violet (top). Ki67 expression of target (red) or effector (gray) cells. (C) Quantification of absolute count of effector and target cells after 48-hour co-culture of CARTs and sorted, healthy, autologous TCRv β 12+ T cells, analyzed by fow cytometry. P value style: 0.1234 (n.s), 0.0332 (*), 0.0021 (**) by two-way ANOVA with Sídák multiple comparison test.



Supplemental figure 7. (A) Representative dot plots of SupT1 (CD4+CD8+) cells identified in various organs of a mouse at the endpoint of the study. The brain and bone marrow were selected for collection for all other mice, but further data is shown only from brain tissue for simplicity. (B) Gating strategy for identifying TCRv β 12+ cells in

brains collected from mice at the endpoint of the study. Data from a representative mouse from the treatment and control group are shown. (C) Gating strategy for identifying TCRv β 12+ and TCRv β 9+ cells in brains collected from mice at the endpoint of the study. Data from a representative mouse from the treatment and control groups are shown.



Supplemental figure 8. (A) Experimental setup of in vivo experiment using TCRvβ5.1-expressing patient cells. The endpoint was decided based on preliminary studies that indicated the cells could be detected 3 days after engraftment but, due to the lack of expansion, could not be detected beyond that time. N=5 mice per group. (B) Representative dot plots from mice whose spleens and livers were collected and analyzed for target (CD4+) and CART (CD8+) cells within CD3+ cells. (C) Quantification of patient cells identified in mice at the endpoint. Analysis by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison test.

References

- 1. Wells DK, van Buuren MM, Dang KK, et al. Key Parameters of Tumor Epitope Immunogenicity Revealed Through a Consortium Approach Improve Neoantigen Prediction. *Cell*. 2020;183(3):818-834.e13.
- 2. Bear AS, Blanchard T, Cesare J, et al. Biochemical and functional characterization of mutant KRAS epitopes validates this oncoprotein for immunological targeting. *Nat. Commun.* 2021;12(1):4365.