SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Medial Frontal Cortex GABA Concentrations in Psychosis Spectrum and Mood Disorders: A Meta-Analysis of Proton Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy Studies

Simmonite et al.

Voxel Classification

To classify the voxels from each publication, we first created a blank brain template onto which all voxels were to be placed. This template consisted of the outline of a mid-sagittal view of the brain and included the corpus collosum and anterior commissure as reference points. For each study, all information about voxel placement was extracted from the article. For publications that included a figure demonstrating placement, we digitally traced the voxel, corpus callosum, anterior commissure and outline of the brain, and used these landmarks to resize and place the voxel onto our template. If articles did not include a reference image, we reviewed the literature for other publications of the same dataset for such an image. If this was not available, we reviewed in-text information regarding voxel placement, and placed a voxel onto our template as best we could. We were able to find sufficient information to place voxels on the template image for all studies which met our inclusion criteria. Following placement of all voxels onto the template, we examined each to ensure that it was the correct size relative to others and adjusted where necessary. Since the figures featured voxels that were rendered on to brains of slightly different shapes/sizes, we wanted to ensure that on our template brain, a voxel that measured $3 \times 3 \times 3 \text{ cm}^3$ for example, was proportional to one measuring $4 \times 4 \times 4 \text{ cm}^3$.

We made preliminary assignments based on how voxels cluster, guided by the k = 3 cluster solution presented in de la Vega et al., (2016). Our rostral MFC subregion included the ventromedial prefrontal cortex and pregenual anterior cingulate cortex. The mid MFC subregion included the anterior ventral midcingulate cortex and dorsomedial prefrontal cortex. Finally, our posterior MFC subregion was comprised of the posterior ventral midcingulate cortex, posterior dorsal midcingulate cortex, and anterior dorsal midcingulate cortex.

Following initial classification, assignments were reviewed to ensure that at least two-thirds of the voxel was contained within the sub-region. Since a cluster of voxels straddled the rostral- and mid-regions of the MFC – i.e., encompassing the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex and pregenual anterior cingulate cortex - and were not easily classified into either sub-region, we creased a separate rostral-mid subregion and analysed them separately. We placed voxels from all eligible studies on to the template and classified them together, ensuring there were no differences in classifications between diagnoses. Co-registration of the voxels on to the template was performed by one author (C.J.S.) and reviewed by the others (M.S. and S.F.T.) Decisions regarding the classification of the voxels were performed by all authors in consensus.

2

Supplementary Results

To compare with our subregional meta-analyses, we also performed meta-analyses in which we included all voxels from across our four MFC subregions. Forest plots describing these meta-analyses are provided in Supplemental Figures 1- 4.

Schizophrenia

Meta-analysis including voxels from all subregions of the MFC revealed no significant GABA concentration differences in the full schizophrenia sample (SMD = -0.14, 95% CI = -0.32 to 0.05, p = 0.14) or acute subsample (SMD = -0.01, 95% CO = -0.33 to 0.32, p = .97), relative to healthy controls. GABA concentrations were significantly reduced in chronic patients (SMD = -0.26, 95% CI = -0.44 to -0.07, p = 0.007).

Depression

When combining across all subregions of the MFC, GABA concentrations were reduced in the full depression sample (SMD = -0.26, 95% CI = -0.48 to -0.04, p = 0.02), and the currently depressed subgroup (SMD = -0.30, 95% CI = -0.55 to -0.05, p = 0.02). There were no significant differences between the remitted subgroup and healthy controls (SMD = -0.01, 95% CI = -0.40 to -0.04, p = 0.95).

Bipolar Disorder

Meta-analysis revealed elevated GABA concentrations in patients with bipolar disorder relative to controls (SMD = 0.38, 95% CI = 0.11 to 0.65, p = .0064).

UHR

Combining across all regions of the MFC revealed no significant GABA differences between UHR individuals and healthy controls (SMD = -0.52, 95% CI = -1.58 to 0.54, p = 0.33).

Supplementary Table 1: Characteristics of included Schizophrenia studies												
Study		Case		Control	Gender	Currently	Diagnosis	Illnoss		Field	Reference	Analysis
	n	Mean age	n	Mean age	(%male)	Medicate		duration	MRS technique	Strength	Metabolite	software
		± SD		± SD	(/maio)	d		duration		orengar	Metabolite	Solimare
Cen et al., 2020 (1)	23	27.0 ± 6.5	26	25.9 ± 4.6	39.1	Unmed	10 FES, 10 SPF	Acute	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	LCModel
Chen et al., 2017 (2)	24	28.8 ± 8.3	24	26.6 ± 4.7	41.7	Unmed	24 SZ	Acute	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	LCModel
Chiu et al., 2018 (3)	19	29.1 ± 6.7	14	27.7 ± 5.9	57.4	Med	19 FES	Acute	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	Gannet 2.0
De la Fuente-Sandoval et al., 2018 (4)	28	23.0 ± 6.1	18	23.0 ± 3.8	71.4	Unmed	28 FEP	Acute	J-editing	3	Water	Inhouse software
Kegeles et al., 2012 (medicated) (5)	16	32.0 ± 10.0	11	33.0 ± 8.0	68.8	Med	12 SZ, 4 SAD	Chronic	J-editing	3	Water	Inhouse software
Kegeles et al., 2012 (unmedicated) (5)	16	32.0 ± 11.0	11	33.0 ± 8.0	68.8	Unmed	10 SZ, 6 SAD	Chronic	J-editing	3	Water	Inhouse software
Ragland et al., 2020 (medicated) (6)	29	23.5 ± 4.6	25	24.2 ± 4.7	70.0	Med	29 SZ	Acute	MEGA-PRESS	3	Cr	jMRUI 4.0
Ragland et al., 2020 (unmedicated) (6)	9	23.5 ± 4.6	24	24.2 ± 4.7	70.0	Unmed	9 SZ	Acute	MEGA-PRESS	3	Cr	jMRUI 4.0
Simmonite et al., 2022 (7)	14	21.6 ± 2.6	15	21.6 ± 3.6	64.3	Mixed	14 FEP	Acute	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	Gannet 3.0
Wang et al., 2016 (8)	16	22.1 ± 5.5	23	22.5 ± 5.5	50.0	Unmed	SZ, SPF	Acute	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	LCModel
Xia et al., 2018 (drug group baseline) (9)	17	31.0 ± 7.7	10	30.9 ± 5.3	47.0	Med	17 SZ	Chronic	MEGA-PRESS	3	Cr	Gannet 2.0
Xia et al., 2018 (ECT group baseline) (9)	14	27.6 ± 7.3	9	30.9 ± 5.3	50.0	Med	14 SZ	Chronic	MEGA-PRESS	3	Cr	Gannet 2.0
Yang et al., 2015 (10)	22	26.1 ± 5.8	23	25.5 ± 4.4	40.9	Unmed	10 SZ, 12 SPF	Acute	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	LCModel
Rostral-Mid MFC												
Marsman et al., 2014 (11)	13	27.6 ± 6.1	19	27.7 ± 5.3	76.5	Med	13 SZ	Chronic	MEGA-sLASER	7	Cr	Inhouse software
Rowland et al., 2013 (older) (12)	10	51.1 ± 4.0	10	49.4 ± 3.9	70.0	Med	10 SZ	Chronic	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	csx3
Rowland et al., 2013 (young) (12)	11	30.2 ± 6.6	19	33.4 ± 6.5	81.8	Med	11 SZ	Chronic	MEGA-PRESS	3	water	csx3
Mid MFC												
Bojesen et al., 2021 (13)	37	22.7 ± 5.0	47	22.2 ± 4.1	42.9	Unmed	SZ, SAD, NOP, PP	Acute	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	Gannet
Brandt et al., 2016 (14)	24	37.5 ± 26.7	24	36.6 ± 14.5	79.2	Med	SZ, SAD	Chronic	STEAM	7	Water	LCModel
Goto et al., 2009 (15)	18	29.0 ± 11.0	18	30.0 ± 11.0	50.0	Med	18 SZ	Acute	MEGA-PRESS	3	Cr	LCModel
Ongur et al., 2010 (16)	21	39.0 ± 10.8	19	36.3 ± 9.8	66.7	Med	21 SZ	Chronic	MEGA-PRESS	4	Cr	LCModel
Reid et al., 2019 (17)	21	23.3 ± 4.4	21	23.5 ± 4.4	76.2	Med	SZ, SAD	Acute	STEAM	7	Water	LCModel
Rowland et al., 2016 (older) (18)	31	48.3 ± 5.8	37	52.0 ± 6.0	61.3	Mixed		Chronic	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	Gannet 2.0
Rowland et al., 2016 (young) (18)	29	25.7 ± 4.3	40	25.3 ± 4.6	69.0	Mixed	50 SZ, 10 SAD	Chronic	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	Gannet 2.0
Wang et al., 2019 (19)	81	22.3 ± 4.4	91	25.3 ± 3.9	70.4	Med	81 FEP	Acute	STEAM	7	Water	LCModel
Wijtenburg et al., 2021 (acute) (20)	18	24.3 ± 3.9	19	NR	68.4	Med	18 SZ	Acute	STEAM	7	Water	LCModel
Wijtenburg et al., 2021 (chronic) (20)	21	43.1 ± 11.0	17	NR	47.6	Med	18 SZ	Chronic	STEAM	7	Water	LCModel
Posterior MFC												
Hjelmervik et al., 2020 (21)	37	29.8 ± 11.5	38	30.2 ± 10.2	NR	Mixed	37 SSD	Chronic	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	LCModel
Marenco et al., 2016 (medicated) (22)	70	31.2 ± 9.2	92	30.6 ± 9.2	71.4	Med	56 SZ, 8 SAD, 6 OP	Chronic	J-editing	3	Cr	Inhouse software
Marenco et al., 2016 (unmedicated) (22)	25	28.4 ± 8.7	92	30.6 ± 9.2	72.0	Unmed	16 SZ, 3 SAD, 6 OP	Chronic	J-editing	3	Cr	Inhouse software
Tayoshi et al., 2010 (23)	38	34.0 ± 10.0	29	34.0 ± 10.2	52.6	Med	38 SZ	Chronic	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	LCModel
Abbreviations: Cr, creatine; ECT, electroco	onvulsi	ve therapy; FE	P, firs	t episode psy	chosis; Me	d, medicate	ed; MEGA-PRESS, Me	escher-Ga	rwood point resolv	ed spectros	scopy; MEGA	A-sLASER,
Mescher-Garwood-semi-localized by adiat	oatic se	elective refocu	sing; N	MFC, medial fr	ontal corte	x; NOP, no	n-organic psychosis; (OP, psych	osis not otherwise	specified; I	PP, paranoid	psychosis;
PRESS, point resolved spectroscopy; SAD, schizoaffective disorder; SD, standard deviation; SSD, schizophrenia spectrum disorder; SFP, schizophreniform disorder; STEAM, stimulated echo												
acquisition mode; SZ, schizophrenia; NR,	not rep	orted; Unmed	, unme	edicated.								

Supplementary Table 2: Characteristics of included Depression studies										
Study	Case		Control		Gender	Currently	MRS technique	Field	Reference	Analysis
	n	Mean age ±	n	Mean age ±	(%male)	Medicated		Strength	Metabolite	software
		SD		SD						
Rostral MFC										
Brennan et al., 2017 (24)	17	38.5 ± 12.2	9	38.4 ± 14.1	57.9	Unmedicated	MEGA-PRESS	3	Cr	LCModel
Gabbay et al., 2012 (anhedonic) (25)	10	18 ± 1.9	10	16.2 ± 1.6	40.0	Mixed	J-editing	3	Water	Inhouse software
Gabbay et al., 2012 (non-anhedonic) (25)	10	15.3 ± 2.7	11	16.2 ± 1.6	40.0	Mixed	J-editing	3	Water	Inhouse software
Gabbay et al., 2017 (26)	24	16.1 ± 2.6	15	15.3 ± 2.7	54.2	Unmedicated	J-editing	3	Water	Inhouse software
Hasler et al., 2005 (27)	16	41 ± 11.6	15	41.7 ± 12.4	33.3	Unmedicated	J-editing	3	Water	MRUI
Hasler et al., 2007 (28)	20	34 ± 11.2	20	34.8 ± 12.4	35.0	Unmedicated	J-editing	3	Water	Inhouse software
Ironside et al., 2021 (depressed) (29)	17	21.1 ± 1.8	7	21.5 ± 2.5	0.0	Unmedicated	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	LCModel
Ironside et al., 2021 (remitted) (29)	13	21.1 ± 2.12	6	21.5 ± 2.5	0.0	Unmedicated	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	LCModel
Kantrowitz et al., 2021 (female) (30)	12	35 ± 10.4	19	36.9 ± 9.7	0.0	Unmedicated	PROBE-P/PROBE-J	3	NAA	Gannet
Kantrowitz et al., 2021 (male) (30)	22	38.4 ± 11	13	30.7 ± 5.1	100.0	Unmedicated	PROBE-P/PROBE-J	3	NAA	Gannet
Price et al., 2009 (treatment resistant) (31)	12	46.8 ± 11.8	10	37.3 ± 13.5	56.3	Unmedicated	J-editing	3	Water	Inhouse software
Price et al., 2009 (treatment responsive) (31)	16	38.3 ± 12.3	11	37.3 ± 13.5	66.7	Unmedicated	J-editing	3	Water	Inhouse software
Walter et al., 2010 (anhedonic) (32)	4	40 ± NR	6	34.6 ± NR	42.1	Unmedicated	J-PRESS	3	Cr	ProFit
Walter et al., 2010 (non-anhedonic) (32)	5	40 ± NR	7	34.6 ± NR	42.1	Unmedicated	J-PRESS	3	Cr	ProFit
Wang et al., 2019 (33)	19	47.7 ± 1.9	71	46.8 ± 2.0	0.0	NR	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	TARQUIN
Zhang et al., 2016 (34)	11	34.1 ± 8.8	11	33.6 ±7.2	0.0	Mixed	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	LCModel
Rostral-Mid MFC										
Deligiannidis et al., 2019 (35)	25	28.6 ± 4.9	28	29.0 ± 5.0	0	Unmedicated	MEGA-PRESS	3	Cr	Gannet
Draganov et al., 2020 (36)	23	37.3 ± 10.8	54	41.8 ± 10.1	41.9	Unmedicated	PRESS	3	Water	TARQUIN
Hasler et al., 2005 (27)	16	41.0 ± 11.6	15	41.7 ± 12.4	33.3	Unmedicated	J-editing	3	Water	MRUI
Hasler et al., 2007 (28)	20	34.0 ± 11.2	20	34.8 ± 12.4	35.0	Unmedicated	J-editing	3	Water	Inhouse software
Knudsen et al., 2019 (37)	10	38.4 ± 10.9	10	38.8 ± 10.8	40.0	Medicated	SPECIAL	3	Cr	LCModel
Mid MFC										
Baeken et al., 2017 (38)	18	47.2 ± 12.5	18	45.8 ± 12.3	33.3	Mixed	PRESS	3	Water	jMRUI 5.1
Benson et al., 2020 (39)	41	33.2 ± 14.4	20	33.9 ± 14.6	19.5	Unmedicated	MEGA-PRESS	4	Cr	LCModel
Bhagwagar et al., 2008 (40)	12	40.6 ± 4.2	11	34.3 ± 4.1	33.3	Unmedicated	MEGA-PRESS	3	Cr	LCModel
Persson et al., 2021 (41)	42	29.2 ± 9.4	45	29.5 ± 11.2	50.0	Mixed	MEGA-PRESS	3	Cr	Gannet 3.0
Smith et al., 2021 (42)	9	70.0 ± 7.0	9	67.0 ± 7.0	44.4	Medicated	STEAM	7	Cr	LCModel
Wang et al., 2016 (43)	19	53.9 ± 2.6	13	52.6 ± 2.18	0	Unmedicated	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	Gannet
Abbreviations: Cr, creatine; MEGA-PRESS, Mescher-Garwood point resolved spectroscopy; MFC, medial frontal cortex; PRESS, point resolved spectroscopy; SD, standard deviation; SPECIAL,										
spin echo full intensity-acquired localized spec	spin echo full intensity-acquired localized spectroscopy; STEAM, stimulated echo acquisition mode; NAA, N-acetyl aspartate; NR, not reported.									

Supplementary Table 3: Characteristics of included bipolar disorder studies										
Study		Case	Control		Gender	Currently	MRS technique	Field	Reference	Analysis
	n	Mean age ±	n	Mean age ±	(%male)	Medicated		Strength	Metabolite	software
		SD	1	SD	1			_		
Rostral MFC										
Brady et al., 2013 (medicated) (44)	10	32.6 ± 13.6	7	36.9 ± 10.4	57.1	Medicated	MEGA-PRESS	4	Cr	LCModel
Brady et al., 2013 (unmedicated) (44)	4	32.6 ± 13.6	7	36.9 ± 10.4	57.1	Unmedicated	MEGA-PRESS	4	Cr	LCModel
Godlewska et al., 2014 (45)	13	23.8 ± 3.6	11	21.9 ± 2.7	46.2	Unmedicated	SPECIAL	3	Cr	LCModel 6.2-2B
Wang et al., 2006 (46)	15	35.7 ± 11.4	6	41.7 ± 21.2	80.0	Mixed	J-editing	3	Cr	SAGE
Mid MFC										
Huber et al., 2018 (47)	19	17.5 ± 2.6	10	19.0 ± 1.6	31.6	NR	J-PRESS	3	Water	ProFit
Priscandaro et al., 2017 (48)	18	36.3 ± 11.4	15	38.0 ± 11.1	55.0	Mixed	J-RES	3	Water	ProFit
Soeiro-de-Souza et al., 2015 (49)	50	31.7 ± 9.1	38	25.7 ± 5.7	38.0	Mixed	J-PRESS	3	Cr	ProFit
Abbreviations: Cr, creatine; MEGA-PRESS, Meschler-Garwood point resolved spectroscopy; MFC, medial frontal cortex; PRESS, point resolved spectroscopy; SD, standard deviation; SPECIAL,										
spin echo full intensity-acquired localized spectroscopy: NR, not reported										

Supplementary Table 4: Characteristics of included Ultra High-Risk studies										
Study	Case		Control		Gender	Currently	MRS technique	Field	Reference	Analysis
	n	Mean age ±	n	Mean age ±	(%male)	Medicated		Strength	Metabolite	software
		SD		SD				_		
Rostral MFC										
De la Fuente-Sandoval et al., 2015 (50)	23	20.7 ± 4.1	24	21.4 ± 3.3	65.22	Unmedicated	J-editing	3	Water	Inhouse software
Menschikov et al., 2016 (51)	21	NR	26	NR	100.0	NR	MEGA-PRESS	3	Cr	jMRUI 5.1a
Simmonite et al., 2022 (7)	7	19.9 ± 3.6	15	21.6 ± 21.6	71.43	Mixed	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	Gannet 3.1
Wang et al., 2016 (8)	21	21.1 ± 5.7	23	22.5 ± 22.5	57.14	Unmedicated	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	LCModel
Mid MFC										
Wenneberg et al., 2020 (medicated) (52)	54	23.9 ± 4.2	26	25.3 ± 5.2	N.R.	Medicated	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	Gannet 3.0
Wenneberg et al., 2020 (unmedicated) (52)	47	23.9 ± 4.2	27	25.3 ± 5.2	N.R.	Unmedicated	MEGA-PRESS	3	water	Gannet 3.0
Posterior MFC										
Da Silva et al., 2019 (53)	35	20.6 ± 1.6	18	21.3 ± 2.0	54.3	Mixed	MEGA-PRESS	3	Water	Gannet
Modinos et al., 2018 (54)	21	22.2 ± 3	20	23.7 ± 2.7	100.0	Unmedicated	MEGA-PRESS	3	Cr	LCModel6.3-1L
Abbreviations: Cr, creatine; JRES, J-resolved; MEGA-PRESS, Mescher-Garwood point resolved spectroscopy; MFC, medial frontal cortex; SD, standard deviation; NR, not reported										

Medial Frontal Cortex in Schizophrenia

```
SMD [95% CI]
```

Acute Bojesen et al., 2021 Cen et al., 2020 Chen et al., 2017 Chiu et al., 2017 Chiu et al., 2017 Chiu et al., 2017 Chen et al., 2017 Chiu et al., 2017 Chiu et al., 2017 Goto et al., 2018 Simmonite et al., 2020 (medicated) Raigland et al., 2020 Wang et al., 2018 Simmonite et al., 2022 Wang et al., 2019 Wijtenburg et al., 2021 (acute) Yang et al., 2015 RE Model for Subgroup: Z = -0.03, (p = 0.9741) Heterogeneity: Q = 53.91, df = 13, (p = 0.00); F = 77.49%		-0.47 [-0.90, -0.03] 0.60 [0.02, 1.17] 0.56 [-0.01, 1.14] -0.80 [-1.52, -0.08] 0.84 [0.22, 1.45] -0.17 [-0.83, 0.48] 0.00 [-0.53, 0.53] 0.13 [-0.63, 0.90] -0.11 [-0.71, 0.50] 0.68 [-0.66, 1.44] -1.18 [-1.87, -0.49] -0.43 [-0.73, -0.12] -0.47 [-1.12, 0.19] 0.78 [0.17, 1.39] -0.01 [-0.33, 0.32]
Chronic Brandt et al., 2016 Hjelmervik et al., 2020 Kogeles et al., 2012 (unedicated) Kogeles et al., 2012 (unedicated) Marenco et al., 2016 (unedicated) Marsman et al., 2016 (unedicated) Marsman et al., 2016 Guidand et al., 2013 (older) Rowland et al., 2013 (older) Rowland et al., 2016 (older) Rowland et al., 2016 (older) Rowland et al., 2016 (older) Kavel al., 2016 (group) Tayoshi et al., 2010 (chronic) Xia et al., 2018 (drug group baseline) Xia et al., 2018 (Grug group baseline) Xia et al., 2018 (Grug group baseline) RE Model for Subgroup: $Z = -2.70$, ($p < .01$) Heterogeneity: $Q = 24.92$, df = 15, ($p = 0.05$); $f^2 = 36.98\%$		$\begin{array}{c} 0.32 \ [-0.24, \ 0.89] \\ -0.18 \ [-0.63, \ 0.27] \\ 0.18 \ [-0.59, \ 0.55] \\ 0.69 \ [-0.10, \ 1.48] \\ -0.26 \ [-0.58, \ 0.05] \\ -0.41 \ [-0.86, \ 0.03] \\ -0.74 \ [-1.47, \ -0.01] \\ -0.96 \ [-1.88, \ -0.03] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.86, \ 0.68] \\ -0.87 \ [-1.36, \ -0.37] \\ 0.00 \ [-0.48, \ 0.48] \\ -0.32 \ [-0.48, \ 0.48] \\ -0.32 \ [-0.48, \ 0.48] \\ -0.32 \ [-0.48, \ 0.43] \\ -0.51 \ [-1.40, \ 0.19] \\ -0.77 \ [-1.44, \ 0.10] \\ -0.26 \ [-0.44, \ -0.07] \end{array}$
RE Model for All Studies: Z = -1.46, (p = 0.1448) Heterogeneity: Q = 81.82, df = 29, (p = 0.00); f = 67.80% Test for Studgroup Differences: Qu = 1.71, df = 1, p = 0.19	•	-0.14 [-0.32, 0.05]
	r	
	-2 -1 0 1 2	
	Standardized Mean Difference	

Supplementary Figure 1: Forest plots showing summary effect sizes between individuals with schizophrenia and healthy controls for all voxels within the MFC. Negative SMDs denote lower GABA concentrations in patients in comparison with controls and positive SMDs denote higher GABA concentrations in patients relative to controls. Abbreviations: SMD – standardized mean difference, CI – confidence interval, RE – Random effects, df – degrees of freedom.

Medial Frontal Cortex in Depression

SMD [95% CI]



Supplementary Figure 2: Forest plots showing summary effect sizes between individuals with depression and healthy controls for all voxels within the MFC. Negative SMDs denote lower GABA concentrations in patients in comparison with controls and positive SMDs denote higher GABA concentrations in patients relative to controls. Abbreviations: SMD – standardized mean difference, CI – confidence interval, RE – Random effects, df – degrees of freedom.

Medial Frontal Cortex in Bipolar Disorder

SMD [95% CI] Brady et al., 2013 (medicated) 0.58 [-0.41, 1.56] Brady et al., 2013 (unmedicated) 0.47 [-0.77, 1.71] Soeiro-de-Souza et al., 2015 0.29 [-0.13, 0.72] 0.71 [-0.12, 1.53] -0.25 [-1.01, 0.52] 1.23 [0.21, 2.24] Godlewska et al., 2014 Huber et al., 2018 Wang et al., 2006 Priscandaro et al., 2017 0.37 [-0.32, 1.06] Test for overall effect: Z = 2.72, (p = 0.0064)Heterogeneity: Q = 6.13, df = 6, (p = 0.41); $I^2 = 0.00\%$ 0.38 [0.11, 0.65] -2 -1 0 2 3 1

Standardized Mean Difference

Supplementary Figure 3: Forest plots showing summary effect sizes between individuals with bipolar disorder and healthy controls for all voxels within the MFC. Negative SMDs denote lower GABA concentrations in patients in comparison with controls and positive SMDs denote higher GABA concentrations in patients relative to controls. Abbreviations: SMD – standardized mean difference, CI – confidence interval, df – degrees of freedom.



Supplementary Figure 4: Forest plots showing summary effect sizes between UHR individuals and healthy controls for all voxels within the MFC. Negative SMDs denote lower GABA concentrations in UHR individuals in comparison with controls and positive SMDs denote higher GABA concentrations in UHR individuals relative to controls. Abbreviations: SMD – standardized mean difference, CI – confidence interval, df – degrees of freedom.



Supplementary Figure 5: Funnel plots for studies on Schizophrenia in the A) rostral MFC; B) rostral-mid MFC; C) mid MFC; and Posterior MFC showing the relationship between the standardized mean difference and standard error for each included study. Egger's test is not significant for the rostral MFC (p = .14), rostral-mid MFC (p = .99), mid MFC (p = .31) or posterior MFC (p = .85).



Supplementary Figure 6: Funnel plots for studies on depression in the A) rostral MFC; B) rostral-mid MFC; C) mid MFC, showing the relationship between the standardized mean difference and standard error for each included study. Egger's test is not significant for the rostral MFC (p = .55), rostral-mid MFC (p = .44) or mid MFC (p = .50)



Supplementary Figure 7: Funnel plots for studies on bipolar disorder in the A) rostral MFC and B) mid MFC, and C, studies of individuals meeting ultra-high risk (UHR) criteria in the mid MFC, showing the relationship between the standardized mean difference and standard error for each included study. Egger's test is not significant for the rostral MFC (p = .50) or mid MFC (p = .47) in bipolar disorder, or the rostral MFC in UHR (p = .06)

Supplementary References

- Cen H, Xu J, Yang Z, Mei L, Chen T, Zhuo K, *et al.* (2020): Neurochemical and brain functional changes in the ventromedial prefrontal cortex of first-episode psychosis patients: A combined functional magnetic resonance imaging—proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 54: 519–527.
- 2. Chen T, Wang Y, Zhang J, Wang Z, Xu J, Li Y, *et al.* (2017): Abnormal Concentration of GABA and Glutamate in The Prefrontal Cortex in Schizophrenia.-An in Vivo 1H-MRS Study. *Shanghai Arch Psychiatry* 29: 277–286.
- 3. Chiu PW, Lui SSY, Hung KSY, Chan RCK, Chan Q, Sham PC, *et al.* (2018): In vivo gammaaminobutyric acid and glutamate levels in people with first-episode schizophrenia: A proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. *Schizophr Res* 193: 295–303.
- de la Fuente-Sandoval C, Reyes-Madrigal F, Mao X, León-Ortiz P, Rodríguez-Mayoral O, Jung-Cook H, *et al.* (2018): Prefrontal and Striatal Gamma-Aminobutyric Acid Levels and the Effect of Antipsychotic Treatment in First-Episode Psychosis Patients. *Biol Psychiatry* 83: 475–483.
- 5. Kegeles LS, Mao X, Stanford AD, Girgis R, Ojeil N, Xu X, *et al.* (2012): Elevated prefrontal cortex γ-aminobutyric acid and glutamate-glutamine levels in schizophrenia measured in vivo with proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 69: 449–459.
- Ragland JD, Maddock RJ, Hurtado MY, Tanase C, Lesh TA, Niendam TA, et al. (2020): Disrupted GABAergic facilitation of working memory performance in people with schizophrenia. NeuroImage Clin 25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2019.102127
- 7. Simmonite M, Yao, B., Welsh, R, Taylor SF (2022): Increased rostral medial frontal GABA+ in early psychosis is obscured by levels of negative affect. medRxiv.
- Wang JJ, Wang JJ, Tang Y, Zhang T, Cui H, Xu L, *et al.* (2016): Reduced γ-Aminobutyric Acid and Glutamate+Glutamine Levels in Drug-Naïve Patients with First-Episode Schizophrenia but Not in Those at Ultrahigh Risk. *Neural Plast*. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/3915703
- Xia M, Wang J, Sheng J, Tang Y, Li C, Lim K, *et al.* (2018): Effect of Electroconvulsive Therapy on Medial Prefrontal γ-Aminobutyric Acid among Schizophrenia Patients: A Proton Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy Study. *J ECT* 34: 227–232.
- 10. Yang Z, Zhu Y, Song Z, Mei L, Zhang J, Chen T, *et al.* (2015): Comparison of the density of gamma-aminobutyric acid in the ventromedial prefrontal cortex of patients with first-episode psychosis and healthy controls. *Shanghai Arch Psychiatry* 27: 341–347.
- 11. Marsman A, Mandl RCW, Klomp DWJ, Bohlken MM, Boer VO, Andreychenko A, *et al.* (2014): GABA and glutamate in schizophrenia: A 7 T 1H-MRS study. *NeuroImage Clin* 6: 398–407.
- Rowland LM, Kontson K, West J, Edden RA, Zhu H, Wijtenburg SA, *et al.* (2013): In vivo measurements of glutamate, GABA, and NAAG in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 39: 1096– 1104.
- Bojesen KB, Broberg BV, Fagerlund B, Jessen K, Thomas MB, Sigvard A, et al. (2021): Associations Between Cognitive Function and Levels of Glutamatergic Metabolites and Gamma-Aminobutyric Acid in Antipsychotic-Naïve Patients With Schizophrenia or Psychosis. *Biol Psychiatry* 89: 278–287.
- 14. Brandt AS, Unschuld PG, Pradhan S, Lim IAL, Churchill G, Harris AD, *et al.* (2016): Agerelated changes in anterior cingulate cortex glutamate in schizophrenia: A 1H MRS Study at 7 Tesla. *Schizophr Res* 172: 101–105.
- Goto N, Yoshimura R, Moriya J, Kakeda S, Ueda N, Ikenouchi-Sugita A, *et al.* (2009): Reduction of brain γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) concentrations in early-stage schizophrenia patients: 3T Proton MRS study. *Schizophr Res*, vol. 112. pp 192–193.
- 16. Öngür D, Prescot AP, McCarthy J, Cohen BM, Renshaw PF (2010): Elevated gammaaminobutyric acid levels in chronic schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* 68: 667–670.

- 17. Reid MA, Salibi N, White DM, Gawne TJ, Denney TS, Lahti AC (2019): 7T Proton Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy of the Anterior Cingulate Cortex in First-Episode Schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 45: 180–189.
- Rowland LM, Krause BW, Wijtenburg SA, McMahon RP, Chiappelli J, Nugent KL, *et al.* (2016): Medial frontal GABA is lower in older schizophrenia: A MEGA-PRESS with macromolecule suppression study. *Mol Psychiatry* 21: 198–204.
- 19. Wang AM, Pradhan S, Coughlin JM, Trivedi A, Dubois SL, Crawford JL, *et al.* (2019): Assessing Brain Metabolism with 7-T Proton Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy in Patients with First-Episode Psychosis. *JAMA Psychiatry* 76: 314–323.
- 20. Wijtenburg SA, Wang M, Korenic SA, Chen S, Barker PB, Rowland LM (2021): Metabolite Alterations in Adults With Schizophrenia, First Degree Relatives, and Healthy Controls: A Multi-Region 7T MRS Study. *Front Psychiatry* 12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2021.656459
- 21. Hjelmervik H, Craven AR, Sinceviciute I, Johnsen E, Kompus K, Bless JJ, et al. (2020): Intra-Regional Glu-GABA vs Inter-Regional Glu-Glu Imbalance: A 1H-MRS Study of the Neurochemistry of Auditory Verbal Hallucinations in Schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull 46: 633–642.
- 22. Marenco S, Meyer C, Kuo S, Van Der Veen JW, Shen J, DeJong K, *et al.* (2016): Prefrontal GABA levels measured with magnetic resonance spectroscopy in patients with psychosis and unaffected siblings. *Am J Psychiatry*, vol. 173 173: 527–534.
- Tayoshi S, Nakataki M, Sumitani S, Taniguchi K, Shibuya-Tayoshi S, Numata S, *et al.* (2010): GABA concentration in schizophrenia patients and the effects of antipsychotic medication: A proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. *Schizophr Res* 117: 83–91.
- 24. Brennan BP, Admon R, Perriello C, LaFlamme EM, Athey AJ, Pizzagalli DA, *et al.* (2017): Acute change in anterior cingulate cortex GABA, but not glutamine/glutamate, mediates antidepressant response to citalopram. *Psychiatry Res - Neuroimaging* 269: 9–16.
- 25. Gabbay V, Mao X, Klein RG, Ely BA, Babb JS, Panzer AM, *et al.* (2012): Anterior cingulate cortex γ-aminobutyric acid in depressed adolescents: Relationship to anhedonia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 69: 139–149.
- 26. Gabbay V, Bradley KA, Mao X, Ostrover R, Kang G, Shungu DC (2017): Anterior cingulate cortex γ-aminobutyric acid deficits in youth with depression. *Transl Psychiatry* 7: e1216.
- 27. Hasler G, Neumeister A, Van Der Veen JW, Tumonis T, Bain EE, Shen J, *et al.* (2005): Normal prefrontal gamma-aminobutyric acid levels in remitted depressed subjects determined by proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Biol Psychiatry* 58: 969–973.
- 28. Hasler G, Van Der Veen JW, Tumonis T, Meyers N, Shen J, Drevets WC (2007): Reduced prefrontal glutamate/glutamine and γ-aminobutyric acid levels in major depression determined using proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 64: 193– 200.
- 29. Ironside M, Moser AD, Holsen LM, Zuo CS, Du F, Perlo S, *et al.* (2021): Reductions in rostral anterior cingulate GABA are associated with stress circuitry in females with major depression: a multimodal imaging investigation. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 46: 2188–2196.
- 30. Kantrowitz JT, Dong Z, Milak MS, Rashid R, Kegeles LS, Javitt DC, et al. (2021): Ventromedial prefrontal cortex/anterior cingulate cortex GIx, glutamate, and GABA levels in medication-free major depressive disorder. *Transl Psychiatry* 11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-021-01541-1
- 31. Price RB, Shungu DC, Mao X, Nestadt P, Kelly C, Collins KA, *et al.* (2009): Amino Acid Neurotransmitters Assessed by Proton Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy: Relationship to Treatment Resistance in Major Depressive Disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 65: 792–800.
- 32. Walter M, Henning A, Grimm S, Schulte RF, Beck J, Dydak U, *et al.* (2009): The relationship between aberrant neuronal activation in the pregenual anterior cingulate, altered

glutamatergic metabolism, and anhedonia in major depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 66: 478–486.

- 33. Wang D, Wang X, Luo MT, Li YH, Wang H (2019): Gamma-aminobutyric acid levels in the anterior cingulate cortex of perimenopausal women with depression: A magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. *Front Neurosci* 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2019.00785
- 34. Zhang X, Tang Y, Maletic-Savatic M, Sheng J, Zhang X, Zhu Y, *et al.* (2016): Altered neuronal spontaneous activity correlates with glutamate concentration in medial prefrontal cortex of major depressed females: An fMRI-MRS study. *J Affect Disord* 201: 153–161.
- 35. Deligiannidis KM, Fales CL, Kroll-Desrosiers AR, Shaffer SA, Villamarin V, Tan Y, *et al.* (2019): Resting-state functional connectivity, cortical GABA, and neuroactive steroids in peripartum and peripartum depressed women: a functional magnetic resonance imaging and spectroscopy study. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 44: 546–554.
- Draganov M, Vives-Gilabert Y, de Diego-Adeliño J, Vicent-Gil M, Puigdemont D, Portella MJ (2020): Glutamatergic and GABA-ergic abnormalities in First-episode depression. A 1-year follow-up 1H-MR spectroscopic study. J Affect Disord 266: 572–577.
- 37. Knudsen MK, Near J, Blicher AB, Videbech P, Blicher JU (2019): Magnetic resonance (MR) spectroscopic measurement of γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) in major depression before and after electroconvulsive therapy. *Acta Neuropsychiatr* 31: 17–26.
- Baeken C, Lefaucheur JP, Van Schuerbeek P (2017): The impact of accelerated high frequency rTMS on brain neurochemicals in treatment-resistant depression: Insights from 1H MR spectroscopy. *Clin Neurophysiol* 128: 1664–1672.
- Benson KL, Bottary R, Schoerning L, Baer L, Gonenc A, Eric Jensen J, Winkelman JW (2020): 1H MRS Measurement of Cortical GABA and Glutamate in Primary Insomnia and Major Depressive Disorder: Relationship to Sleep Quality and Depression Severity. J Affect Disord 274: 624–631.
- Bhagwagar Z, Wylezinska M, Jezzard P, Evans J, Boorman E, Matthews PM, Cowen PJ (2008): Low GABA concentrations in occipital cortex and anterior cingulate cortex in medication-free, recovered depressed patients. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 11: 255–260.
- 41. Persson J, Wall A, Weis J, Gingnell M, Antoni G, Lubberink M, Bodén R (2021): Inhibitory and excitatory neurotransmitter systems in depressed and healthy: A positron emission tomography and magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. *Psychiatry Res - Neuroimaging* 315. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pscychresns.2021.111327
- 42. Smith GS, Oeltzschner G, Gould NF, Leoutsakos JMS, Nassery N, Joo JH, *et al.* (2021): Neurotransmitters and Neurometabolites in Late-Life Depression: A Preliminary Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy Study at 7T. *J Affect Disord* 279: 417–425.
- 43. Wang Z, Zhang A, Zhao B, Gan J, Wang G, Gao F, *et al.* (2016): GABA+ levels in postmenopausal women with mild-to-moderate depression A preliminary study. *Med (United States)* 95. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000004918
- 44. Brady RO, Mccarthy JM, Prescot AP, Jensen JE, Cooper AJ, Cohen BM, *et al.* (2013): Brain gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) abnormalities in bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disord* 15: 434–439.
- 45. Godlewska BR, Yip SW, Near J, Goodwin GM, Cowen PJ (2014): Cortical glutathione levels in young people with bipolar disorder: A pilot study using magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 231: 327–332.
- 46. Wang PW, Sailasuta N, Chandler RA, Ketter TA (2006): Magnetic resonance spectroscopic measurement of cerebral gamma-aminobutyric acid concentrations in patients with bipolar disorders. *Acta Neuropsychiatr* 18: 120–126.
- Huber RS, Kondo DG, Shi XF, Prescot AP, Clark E, Renshaw PF, Yurgelun-Todd DA (2018): Relationship of executive functioning deficits to N-acetyl aspartate (NAA) and gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) in youth with bipolar disorder. *J Affect Disord* 225: 71–

78.

- Prisciandaro JJ, Tolliver BK, Prescot AP, Brenner HM, Renshaw PF, Brown TR, Anton RF (2017): Unique prefrontal GABA and glutamate disturbances in co-occurring bipolar disorder and alcohol dependence. *Transl Psychiatry* 7. https://doi.org/10.1038/tp.2017.141
- 49. Soeiro-de-Souza MG, Henning A, Machado-Vieira R, Moreno RA, Pastorello BF, da Costa Leite C, *et al.* (2015): Anterior cingulate Glutamate-Glutamine cycle metabolites are altered in euthymic bipolar I disorder. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 25: 2221–2229.
- 50. De La Fuente-Sandoval C, Reyes-Madrigal F, Mao X, León-Ortiz P, Rodríguez-Mayoral O, Solís-Vivanco R, *et al.* (2015): Cortico-striatal GABAergic and glutamatergic dysregulations in subjects at ultra-high risk for psychosis investigated with proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 19: 1–10.
- 51. Menschikov PE, Semenova NA, Ublinskiy M V., Akhadov TA, Keshishyan RA, Lebedeva IS, *et al.* (2016): 1H-MRS and MEGA-PRESS pulse sequence in the study of balance of inhibitory and excitatory neurotransmitters in the human brain of ultra-high risk of schizophrenia patients. *Dokl Biochem Biophys* 468: 168–172.
- 52. Wenneberg C, Nordentoft M, Rostrup E, Glenthøj LB, Bojesen KB, Fagerlund B, et al. (2020): Cerebral Glutamate and Gamma-Aminobutyric Acid Levels in Individuals at Ultrahigh Risk for Psychosis and the Association With Clinical Symptoms and Cognition. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging* 5: 569–579.
- 53. Da Silva T, Hafizi S, Rusjan PM, Houle S, Wilson AA, Prce I, *et al.* (2019): GABA levels and TSPO expression in people at clinical high risk for psychosis and healthy volunteers: A PET-MRS study. *J Psychiatry Neurosci* 44: 111–119.
- 54. Modinos G, Şimşek F, Azis M, Bossong M, Bonoldi I, Samson C, *et al.* (2018): Prefrontal GABA levels, hippocampal resting perfusion and the risk of psychosis. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 43: 2652–2659.