Supplementary material

Disparities in care and outcomes for primary liver cancer in England during 2008-2018: a cohort study of 8.52 million primary care population using the QResearch[®] database

Weiqi Liao ¹, Carol Coupland ^{1,2}, Hamish Innes ³, Peter Jepsen ⁴, Philippa C. Matthews ^{5,6,7}, Cori Campbell ⁸, The DeLIVER consortium^{*}, Eleanor Barnes ^{8,9}, Julia Hippisley-Cox ¹

1. Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

2. Centre for Academic Primary Care, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

- 3. School of Health and Life Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow, UK
- 4. Department of Hepatology and Gastroenterology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark
- 5. The Francis Crick Institute, London, UK
- 6. University College London, London, UK
- 7. University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
- 8. Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
- 9. Oxford NIHR Biomedical Research Centre and Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK

	Item No	Recommendation	Pag No
Title and abstract	1	(<i>a</i>) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what	2-4
		(b) Flovide in the abstract an informative and baranced summary of what was done and what was found	2-4
T 4 1 4*		was done and what was found	
Introduction Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being	5
Background/rationale	2	reported	5
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	5
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	6
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of	6
		recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and	6
		methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	
		Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and	
		methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale	
		for the choice of cases and controls	
		Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and	
		methods of selection of participants	
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and	N.A
		number of exposed and unexposed	
		<i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the	
		number of controls per case	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders,	6-7
(underes		and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods	7
measurement		of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment	
measurement		methods if there is more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	7-8
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	6
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If	7-8
C		applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(<i>a</i>) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for	7-8
		confounding	, 0
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	8
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	8
		(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was	7
		addressed	
			1
		Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and	
		<i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed	
		controls was addressed	

STROBE Statement-checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

Participants 13	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially	8
		eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study,	
		completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	N.A.
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Figure
			1
Descriptive	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and	8-9
data		information on exposures and potential confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Tables
			1-2
		(c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	8-9
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	8-10
		Case-control study-Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary	N.A.
		measures of exposure	
		Cross-sectional study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	N.A.
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates	10-12
		and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders	
		were adjusted for and why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	10-12
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a	N.A.
		meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and	12
		sensitivity analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	12
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or	13-14
		imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations,	12-15
		multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	13
Other informati	on		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if	3
-		applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.