STROBE Statement—checklist

"Global burden of hematologic malignancies and evolution patterns over the past 30 years" (23-BCJ-0062RR) by Nan Zhang et al

| | Item No. | Recommendation | Page No. | Relevant text from manuscript |
|----------------------|-------------|--|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Title and abstract | 1 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract | 1-2 | Title and Abstract |
| | | (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found | 2 | Abstract |
| Introduction | | | | |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported | 4 | Paragraph 1-2 of the Introduction |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses | 4-5 | Paragraph 3 of the Introduction |
| Methods | | | | |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper | 5 | Paragraph 1 of the Methods |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection | 5-8 | Paragraph 2-4 of the Methods |
| Participants | 6 | (a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of | 9 | |
| | | participants. Describe methods of follow-up | | Participants are not applicable |
| | | Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case | | and are explained in the last |
| | | ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls | | paragraph of the Method |
| | | Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants | | |
| | | (b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed | NA | NA |
| | | Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case | | |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable | 5,7 | Paragraph 1, 4 of the Methods |
| Data sources/ | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment | 5-6 | Paragraph 2 of the Methods |
| Bias Bias | 9 | (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias | 8-9 | Davagraph 5 of the Mathe 1- |
| | | | <u>8-9</u> 5 | Paragraph 1 of the Methods |
| Study size | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at | 3 | Paragraph 1 of the Methods |

| Quantitative | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which | 5-6 | Paragraph 2 of the Methods |
|------------------|-----|--|----------|------------------------------------|
| variables | | groupings were chosen and why | | |
| Statistical | 12 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding | 8-9 | Paragraph 4-5 of the Methods |
| methods | | (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions | 6 | Paragraph 2 of the Methods |
| | | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed | NA | |
| | | (d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed | NA | |
| | | Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed | | |
| | | Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling | | |
| | | strategy | | |
| | | (\underline{e}) Describe any sensitivity analyses | NA | |
| Results | | | | |
| Participants | 13* | (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined | NA | |
| | | for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed | | |
| | | (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage | NA | |
| | | (c) Consider use of a flow diagram | NA | |
| Descriptive data | 14* | (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on | 9-17 | Paragraph 1-2,5-6,8-10,12-13 of th |
| | | exposures and potential confounders | | Results |
| | | (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest | NA | |
| | | (c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) | 9 | Paragraph 1 of the Results |
| Outcome data | 15* | Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time | NA | |
| | | Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure | NA | |
| | | Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures | NA | |
| Main results | 16 | (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision | Table 1 | UI, uncertainty interval; CI, |
| | | (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were | | confidence interval. |
| | | included | | |
| | | (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized | 11-12, | Paragraph 3-4 of the Results |
| | | | Figure 2 | |
| | | (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period | NA | |

Continued on next page

| Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses | 12-13, 16- 17, Figure 3,7 | Paragraph 5-6,12-13 of the Results |
|------------------|----|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Discussion | | | 3,7 | |
| Key results | 18 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives | 20-21 | Paragraph 1 of the Discussion |
| Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss | 24-25 | Paragraph 6 of the Discussion |
| | | both direction and magnitude of any potential bias | | |
| Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of | 21-24 | Paragraph 3-5 of the Discussion |
| | | analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence | | |
| Generalisability | 21 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results | 20-21 | Paragraph 2 of the Discussion |
| Other informati | on | | | |
| Funding | 22 | Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the | 25-26 | Data availability statement and |
| | | original study on which the present article is based | | Funding |

^{*}Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.