

15 **Supplementary Methods**

16 **Clinical Study Design**

17 The study was conducted as a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Thirty (30)
18 healthy subjects were enrolled who were willing to adhere to the protocol criteria and who meet all the
19 inclusion criteria and none of the exclusion criteria.

20 **Inclusion criteria:** Male and female adult healthy volunteers, aged between 25-55 years and BMI
21 between 20-27 kg/m² (both inclusive), mixed diet consuming non-smokers, willing to come for regular
22 follow up visits and, avoid the prebiotic and probiotic food supplements, laxatives and foods having
23 laxative effects. Subjects able to give written informed consent.

24 **Exclusion criteria:** No gastrointestinal complaints like colonic irritation, not taking any medication with
25 gastrointestinal activity like laxatives, had not taken antibiotics for 3 months before starting the study,
26 consumption of yogurt, curd, prebiotic or probiotic supplements as part of their daily diet, not
27 participated in a clinical study during the preceding 90 days and not willing to abide by the study
28 procedures or not willing to provide stool samples for the study. Pregnancy and lactating, presently
29 suffering from any inflammatory disorders and mental illness, and history of drug or alcohol abuse in
30 the last 6 months were also considered. Randomization of subjects was done to receive either *B.*
31 *coagulans* MTCC 5856 or Placebo. Subjects were administered one capsule of *B. coagulans* MTCC
32 5856 or Placebo orally once daily after food at the night. (Fig.1)

33 **Randomization**

34 Patients (N=30) were randomized into two groups, active and placebo. The study groups, investigators,
35 and other staff were blinded to the group assignment.

36 **Laboratory assessments**

37 Subjects visited four times during the study duration, i.e., screening (-3days), baseline -visit 2 (day 0), visit

38 3 (day 14), and final visit (day 28). Vital signs, including blood pressure, respiratory rate, pulse rate,
39 physical examination, were measured at all 4 visits and any abnormal lab/diagnostic parameters were
40 considered for safety evaluations. Subject's demographics were recorded at screening and final visits.
41 Medical and medication history was documented at the screening visit. The routine laboratory parameters
42 of safety, i.e., hematology, lipid profile, serum biochemistry, human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis
43 B-virus, and hepatitis C-virus, 12-lead electrocardiogram were measured using standard laboratory
44 techniques at screening and final visit. Urine test for pregnancy was performed on female volunteers
45 of childbearing potential at screening and final visit. Adverse effects, if any, were recorded at each
46 study visit (Table 1). All the tests were carried out as per the standard protocol.

47 For the analysis of serum biomarkers, hsCRP, IL-10, and TNF- α blood samples were collected from
48 subjects at baseline and final visit. The serum biomarker test were carried out using ELISA following
49 protocols from the manufacture.

50 Subject Abdominal Health Questionnaire and the Bristol Stool Chart were assessed at baseline and final
51 visit.

52
53 For RT-PCR and 16S Illumina based sequencing Next Generation Sequencing (NGS), fecal samples
54 were collected before dosing baseline and final visit (Table 1). All the fecal samples collected from
55 subjects in fecal Collection Tube DNA/RNA Shield™ (Zymo Research) were stored at -80°C for
56 prolonged storage.

57

58 **Enumeration of *Bacillus coagulans***

59 **RNA extraction for the rRNA-targeted reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR)**

60 The RNA extraction from the fecal samples is optimized using a standard Trizol method, Qiagen
61 RNeasy kit column, and NucleoSpin RNA stool kit. The RNA extracted using the NucleoSpin RNA
62 stool kit was found to be optimal for the qPCR process.

63 RNA was isolated using a Nucleospin Fecal RNA kit, following the guidelines as per manufacturer
64 guidelines. Briefly, the thawed sample was resuspended in a solution containing 346.5 of RLT buffer
65 (Qiagen Sciences, Germantown, MD), 3.5 μ L of mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich Co.St. Louis, MO),
66 and 100 μ L of Tris-EDTA buffer. Glass beads (300 mg; diameter,0.1 mm) (BioSpec Products, Inc.,
67 Bartlesville, OK) were added to the suspension, and the mixture was vortexed vigorously for 60 s using
68 a Fast Prep FP 120 (BIO101, Vista, CA) at a power level of 5.0. Acid phenol (500 μ L, Wako Pure
69 Chemical Industries, Ltd.) was added, and the mixture was incubated for 10 min at 60°C. After
70 incubation, the mixture was cooled on ice for 5 min and added to 100 μ L of chloroform: isoamyl alcohol
71 (24:1). After centrifugation at 12,000xg for 10 min at 4°C, 450 μ L of supernatant was collected and added
72 to an equal volume of chloroform, isoamyl-alcohol. After centrifugation at 12,000xg for 5 min, 400 μ L
73 of supernatant was collected and subjected to isopropanol precipitation. Finally, the nucleic acid fraction
74 was suspended in 1 mL of nuclease-free water (Ambion, Inc., Austin, TX). The following DNase
75 treatment was skipped in this study, because we confirmed that untreated and DNase-treated samples
76 showed identical results in the preliminary experiments, indicating that contaminating DNA does not
77 affect RT-qPCR quantification. The extracted RNA was quantified, and quality was assessed by Tape
78 station and Bioanalyser.

79

80 **16S rRNA specific primer design**

81 Primer was designed by using 16S rRNA sequences obtained from the NCBI database for the Bacillaceae
82 family and constructed multiple alignments of the target groups and reference *Bacillus coagulans* 16S

83 rRNA with the ClustalW program. The ClustalW alignment is shown in Supplementary Fig.1. After
84 comparing the sequences, potential primer target sites were identified for specific detection of *Bacillus*
85 *coagulans*. The designed primer specificity was assessed by performing primer BLAST against the Non-
86 Redundant database by submitting the sequences to the NCBI Primer-BLAST program.

87 **Establishment of an analytical system for the human fecal microbiota by Quantitative Reverse** 88 **Transcription PCR**

89 RT-qPCR was conducted in a one-step reaction using Super Script IV One-Step RT-PCR method. The
90 methodology involves the use of 16S *B. coagulans* specific reverse primer for the cDNA conversion and
91 its direct detection by standard SYBR Green chemistry. Briefly, 10ng of the extracted RNA was taken
92 in a tube containing 1 μ L of 2 mM 16S rRNA reverse primer, and the RNA-primer mix was incubated
93 at 65°C for 5 minutes and chilled on ice for 1 minute. The reverse transcriptase mix containing 4 μ L of
94 5X SSIV buffer, 1 μ L of 100mM DTT, 1 μ L of Ribonuclease inhibitor and 1 μ L of SuperScript IV
95 reverse transcriptase (200U/ μ L) was added. The reaction mix was incubated at 55°C for 15 minutes.
96 Further, reverse transcriptase is inactivated by incubating the tubes at 80°C for 10 min. For qPCR
97 reaction, 2 μ L of the cDNA is used, and the Agilent Brilliant SYBR Green dye is used for the
98 amplification detection. All qPCR reactions were performed using the Agilent Stratagene 3005 system.
99 Total RNA fractions extracted from the vegetative and sporulating bacterial cells at a dose corresponding
100 to 10^5 cells were assessed by RT-qPCR. Using the standard curve for the seven serial dilutions was
101 analyzed to check the efficiency of primer, and the same is used against all test samples to estimate the
102 number of *Bacillus coagulans* cells. The amplified signal was judged as positive when it was more than
103 that of 10^1 standard cells and as negative when less than that of 10^{-1} standard cells. The cell count

104 between 10^{-1} - 10^4 against the standard curve was defined as positive for *Bacillus coagulans*. The final
105 cell count was estimated by the formula-

106 Total Cells = Number of Cells determined by Standard curve* (Total yield/Total Weight of fecal matter
107 used for extraction)

108 **Metagenome Sequencing**

109 **DNA extraction for the metagenome sequencing**

110 A fecal sample (approximately 500 μ L) was taken in a sterile 1.5mL vial, and 300 μ L (10mg/mL) of
111 lysozyme (Sigma # L6876) was added. The sample tube was inverted mixed and incubated at room
112 temperature for 30 minutes at 37°C. To this, 200 μ L of AL buffer was added and vortexed. Samples were
113 subjected to Proteinase K treatment at 56°C for 2 h, followed by RNase treatment at 65°C for 20 min.
114 The lysate was mixed well with 100% alcohol and loaded onto Qiagen DNeasy blood and tissue column
115 (#69506). DNA was purified by the following steps provided in the manufacturer's guidelines. Finally,
116 DNA was eluted in 1X TE buffer and stored at -20°C freezer. DNA was quantified by Nanodrop2000
117 and analyzed on 0.8% agarose gel.

118

119 **Library preparation and sequencing**

120 Sequencing libraries were constructed by a two-step PCR-based workflow described as follows: 1.
121 Round 1 PCR: The 16S rRNA gene V3-V4 regions were first amplified using region-specific proprietary
122 primers developed at Genotypic Technology Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore, India, Briefly, using KAPA HiFi Hot
123 Start PCR Kit (KAPA Biosystems Inc., Boston, MA USA), and 5 μ M primer concentration, 50ng of
124 genomic DNA was amplified for 26 cycles. The amplicons thus generated were analyzed on 1.2%
125 agarose gel.

126 2. Round 2 PCR for indexing: 1µL of 1:2 diluted round 1 PCR amplicons were amplified for 10 cycles
127 to add Illumina sequencing barcoded adaptors (Nextera XT v2 Index Kit, Illumina, U.S.A.). Round 2
128 PCR amplicons (sequencing libraries) were analyzed on 1.2% agarose gel. The libraries were normalized
129 and pooled for multiplex sequencing. Finally, these pools were quantified using Qubit dsDNA HS assay
130 and fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) and then diluted to 2nM final concentration using
131 Resuspension Buffer (RSB-Illumina, CA, USA). The normalized sample was denatured for 5 minutes
132 using 0.2 N NaOH and neutralized by HT1 Buffer (Illumina, CA, USA). Denatured libraries were further
133 diluted 13pM concentration for loading. Samples were then loaded into an Illumina MiSeq v3 600 cycles
134 cartridge (Illumina, CA, USA). The flow cell and the PR2 buffer were placed in the designated slots in
135 the machine and the run was performed in paired-end mode with 275 bp read length for each of forward
136 (Read 1) and reverse (Read 2) reads. After the completion of the sequencing run, the data were
137 demultiplexed using bcl2fastq software v 2.20 and Fast Q files were generated based on the unique dual
138 barcode sequences. The sequencing quality was assessed using Fast QC v0.11.8 software. The adapter
139 sequences were trimmed and bases above Q30 were considered and low-quality bases were filtered off
140 during reading pre-processing and used for downstream analysis.

141

142 **Metagenome analysis**

143 From the Illumina paired-end raw reads of 52 samples, the reads having a V3-V4 primer sequence and
144 high-quality bases were filtered. Short overlapping forward and reverse reads coming from the same
145 fragment were joined using Fastq-join²⁴ to form sequences of the V3-V4 hypervariable 16S rRNA
146 region. These stitched reads were considered for microbiome search using the QIIME²⁵ pipeline. The
147 query sequences were clustered using the UCLUST²⁶ method against a curated chimera free 16s rRNA
148 database (Greengenes²⁷v 13.8). The taxonomies were assigned using the RDP²⁸ classifier to these

149 clusters at $\geq 97\%$ sequence similarity against the reference database, which resulted in the generation
150 of a biom file that was further used for advanced analysis and visualization. The biom file contains
151 information about the number of reads assigned to particular taxa. The details such as reads utilized in
152 the identification of microbiome and the number of OTUs picked for each sample were identified using
153 QIIME scripts. Relative abundance from phylum to species was calculated from read counts assigned to
154 OTU is divided by total utilized reads for microbiome search. The biom was utilized further for advanced
155 analysis and visualization. The filtered rarefied biom at depth of 22,000 sequences/sample was used for
156 the calculation of alpha diversity indices using various metrics i.e., Shannon, Simpson, chao1 and beta-
157 diversity.

158

159 **Comparative analysis across a group of samples**

160 The comparative analysis was performed by two ways to identify the abundance of specific species in
161 the samples. From the 52 samples, the samples belong to the active and placebo groups are compared.
162 This comparison was performed by considering the difference of relative abundance between baseline
163 and final visit samples to compare between active and placebo groups. Further, the baseline and final
164 visit samples of active group and placebo group were compared. This comparison provides a difference
165 in taxonomy abundance between baseline and final visit of samples. The main focus of the comparative
166 analysis was to quantify some of the bacterial species that predominate the human intestine (*Clostridium*
167 *coccoides* group, *Clostridium Leptum* subgroup, *Bacteroides fragilis* group, *Bifidobacterium* group,
168 *Atopobium* cluster, *Eubacterium recalcitrans-C-histoliticum* subgroup and *Prevotella*), eight potential
169 pathogens (*Clostridium difficile*, *Clostridium perfringens*, *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Enterococcus* spp.,
170 *Streptococcus* spp., *Staphylococcus* spp., *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas* spp.) and few Lactobacilli

171 groups (*L. gasseri* subgroup, *L. brevis*, *L. casei* subgroup, *L. fermentum*, *L. fructivorans*, *L. plantarum*
172 subgroup, *L. reuteri* subgroup, *L. ruminis* subgroup and *L. sakei* subgroup).

173

174 **Bioinformatics analysis**

175 Alpha diversity was calculated using different matrices i.e. Shannon, Simpson, Chao1 and observed
176 species. Beta diversity was determined by principal coordinate analysis using unweighted and weighted
177 UniFrac metrics. Emperor 3D viewer was used to visualize the plots. To determine the statistical
178 significance between the two groups, taxon differential abundance across the groups was performed in
179 QIIME (QIIME: group significance.py) to examine whether observation counts (i.e., OTUs and
180 Microbial taxon) are significantly different between the groups (eg., Baseline visit, and Final visit). The
181 OTU table prior to final community quality control was collapsed at each taxonomic level (i.e., Phylum–
182 nus; QIIME: collapse_taxonomy.py), with counts representing the relative abundance of each microbial
183 taxon differences in the mean abundance of taxa between sample groups were calculated using Kruskal-
184 Wallis nonparametric statistical tests. The taxa were ranked with p-values of most to least significant
185 ($p < .05$) are provided alongside false discovery rate and Bonferroni corrected p-values, and then the taxon
186 was ranked from most to least significant ($p < .05$).