Standard of care with or without caplacizumab in adults with immune thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura: A systematic review and meta-analysis SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Table of Contents

S1: Search Strategy	2
Search Terms	2
Search Query	3
S2: Characteristics of Included Studies	4
eTable 2A: Inclusion and exclusion criteria of included studies	4
eTable 2B: Additional baseline characteristics not reported in Table 1	7
eTable 2C: Details of treatments received	
S3: Risk-of-bias of the included studies	9
eTable 3A: Methodological quality of the included randomized controlled trials	9
eTable 3B: Methodological quality of the included observational studies	
S4: Meta-analysis and forest plots of secondary outcomes	
S4.1: Binary Outcomes	
eFigure 4A: TTP exacerbation	
eFigure 4B: TTP relapse	
eFigure 4C: Refractory TTP	
eFigure 4D: Thrombosis	
eFigure 4E: Major bleeding	
eFigure 4F: Intracranial bleeding	
S4.2: Continuous Outcomes	19
eFigure 4G: Time to normalization of the platelet count (days)	
eFigure 4H: Duration of plasma exchange (days)	
eFigure 4I: Hospital length of stay (days)	

S1: Search Strategy

Search Terms

- Caplacizumab
- Caplivi
- Caplacizumab-yhdp
- ALX-0081
- Thrombotic Thrombocytopenic Purpura
- Thrombocytopenic Purpura, Thrombotic
- Purpura, Thrombotic Thrombopenic
- Thrombopenic Purpura, Thrombotic
- Thrombotic Thrombopenic Purpura
- Moschcowitz Disease
- Moschkowitz Disease
- Schulman-Upshaw Syndrome
- Schulman Upshaw Syndrome
- Upshaw-Schulman Syndrome
- Upshaw Schulman Syndrome
- Upshaw Factor, Deficiency of
- Idiopathic thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura
- Acquired Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura
- Microangiopathic hemolytic anemia
- Thrombotic microangiopathy

Searc KEY:	h Query Pubmed	Embase	Cochrane	Scopus
((Capla	acizumab) OR	(Cablivi) OR ("ca	placizumab-yhdp'	 ') OR ("ALX-0081")) AND (("Thrombotic thrombocytopenia purpura") OR >ura, Thrombotic Thrombopenic") OR ("Thrombopenic Purpura, Thrombotic") OR >uitz Disease") OR ("Moschkowitz Disease") OR ("Schulman-Upshaw Syndrome") OR >nan Syndrome") OR ("Upshaw Schulman Syndrome") OR ("Upshaw Factor, Deficiency of") a") OR ("acquired Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura") OR ("Microangiopathic y")) AND (("2000/01/01"[Date - Publication] : "2021/07/19"[Date - Publication]))
("Thro	mbocytopeni	c Purpura, Thron	nbotic") OR ("Purp	
("Thro	mbotic Thron	nbopenic Purpur	a") OR ("Moschco	
("Schu	Ilman Upshaw	v Syndrome") OR	("Upshaw-Schuln	
OR ("I	diopathic thro	ombotic thrombo	ocytopenic purpur	
hemol	ytic anemia")	OR ("Thromboti	c microangiopath	
(capla throm 'moscl syndro 'acqui NOT [2	cizumab OR c botic' OR 'pur hcowitz diseas ome' OR 'upsh red thrombot 20-7-2021]/sd	ablivi OR 'caplaci pura, thromboti se' OR 'moschkov aw schulman sy ic thrombocytop	izumab-yhdp' OR ' c thrombopenic' C witz disease' OR 's ndrome' OR 'upsh enic purpura' OR '	alx-0081') AND ('thrombotic thrombocytopenia purpura' OR 'thrombocytopenic purpura,)R 'thrombopenic purpura, thrombotic' OR 'thrombotic thrombopenic purpura' OR chulman-upshaw syndrome' OR 'schulman upshaw syndrome' OR 'upshaw-schulman aw factor, deficiency of' OR 'idiopathic thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura' OR 'microangiopathic hemolytic anemia' OR 'thrombotic microangiopathy') AND [1-1-2000]/sd
((capla	acizumab OR d	cablivi OR 'caplac	cizumab-yhdp' OR	'alx-0081') AND ('thrombotic thrombocytopenia purpura' OR 'thrombocytopenic purpura,
throm	botic' OR 'pur	pura, thromboti	c thrombopenic' C	DR 'thrombopenic purpura, thrombotic' OR 'thrombotic thrombopenic purpura' OR
'moscl	hcowitz diseas	se' OR 'moschkov	witz disease' OR 's	ichulman-upshaw syndrome' OR 'schulman upshaw syndrome' OR 'upshaw-schulman
syndro	ome' OR 'upsh	aw schulman sy	ndrome' OR 'upsh	aw factor, deficiency of' OR 'idiopathic thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura' OR
'acqui	red thrombot	ic thrombocytop	enic purpura' OR	'microangiopathic hemolytic anemia' OR 'thrombotic microangiopathy')
ALL (((caplacizuma	ab) OR (cablivi) OR ("caplacizu	mab-yhdp") OR ("ALX-0081")) AND (("Thrombotic thrombocytopenia purpura"
) OR	("Thrombocy	topenic Purpura,	, Thrombotic") O	R ("Purpura, Thrombotic Thrombopenic") OR ("Thrombopenic Purpura, Thrombotic"
) OR	("Thrombotic	Thrombopenic I	Purpura") OR ("	Moschcowitz Disease") OR ("Moschkowitz Disease") OR ("Schulman-Upshaw
Syndro	ome") OR ("	Schulman Upsha	aw Syndrome") O)R ("Upshaw-Schulman Syndrome") OR ("Upshaw Schulman Syndrome") OR (
"Upsh	aw Factor, De	ficiency of") OR	R ("Idiopathic thro	ombotic thrombocytopenic purpura") OR ("acquired Thrombotic thrombocytopenic
purpu	ra") OR ("M	licroangiopathic	hemolytic anemia	") OR ("Thrombotic microangiopathy")))

S2: Characteristics of Included Studies

eTable 2A: Inclusion and exclusion criteria of included studies

Study Name (Year)	Inclusion Criteria	How was iTTP diagnosed/defined?	Exclusion Criteria	Primary Outcome
HERCULES (2019)	Adults ≥ 18 years with a clinical diagnosis of iTTP and ≥1 TPE treatment prior to randomization. Female subjects of childbearing potential (excluding postmenopausal women, sterilized, ovariectomized and hysterectomized women) must have a negative pregnancy test and must agree to use a generally accepted adequate contraceptive method from screening until at least 2 months after last dosing. Subjects have provided informed consent prior to initiation of any study specific activity/procedure.	The presence of both thrombocytopenia and microangiopathic hemolytic anemia with schistocytes seen on blood smear.	Suspected thrombotic microangiopathies that were not associated with TTP, such as hemolytic uremic syndrome or congenital TTP. Additionally: Platelet count ≥ 100k/µL; serum creatinine > 200µmol/L in case platelet count is > 30k/µL (to exclude possible cases of atypical Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome); known other causes of thrombocytopenia; congenital TTP known at the time of study entry; pregnancy or breast0feeding; clinical significant active bleeding or high risk of bleeding (excluding thrombocytopenia); known chronic treatment with anticoagulant that cannot be stopped safely; malignant arterial hypertension; clinical condition other than that associated with TTP, with life expectancy < 6 months; known sensitivity to the active product or excipient of the study drug; participation in another interventional trial with an investigational treatment; considered, by the investigator, to be unsuitable for trial participation for any reason	Time to response: from the 1st IV admin of caplacizumab or placebo to normalization of the platelet count (>150k/μL) followed by TPE discontinuation within 5 days
TITAN (2016)	Adults \ge 18 years or adolescents 12- 18 years with a clinical diagnosis of acquired TTP and platelet count \le 100 k/µL without active bleeding and	Not defined	Platelet count > 100k/µL; active infection or sepsis (pressor requirement or positive blood cultures); clinical evidence of	Time to response: normalization of the platelet count (≥ 150k/µL, confirmed on repeating testing at 48

	requiring TDE (1 TDE prior to		infaction with F. Cali 01F7 or related	hours) and an IDU that was a twice
	requiring TPE (I TPE prior to		Infection with E. Coll 0157 of related	nours) and an LDH that was < twice
	randomization was allowed).		organism; history of APLS; diagnosis	the upper limit of normal
	Additionally: willing to accept		of DIC; pregnant or breast feeding;	
	contraception, accessible for follow-		history of stem cell or bone marrow	
	up, obtained signed and dated		transplantation-associated	
	consent form prior to randomization.		thrombotic microangiopathy;	
			congenital TTP; active bleeding or	
			high-risk of bleeding; uncontrolled	
			arterial hypertension; chronic	
			treatment with anticoagulant that	
			could not be stopped safely;	
			malignancy with life expectancy < 3	
			months: bone marrow carcinosis:	
			severe renal or liver impairment:	
			severe or life threatening clinical	
			condition other than TTP that would	
			impair participation in the trial	
			subjects who cannot comply with	
			study protocol requirements and	
			procedures	
F			procedures.	Describer of a second site of death
France	All patients with a clinical diagnosis	The clinical diagnosis of TTTP was	Patients with a French score of U	Prevalence of a composite of death
(2021)	of ITTP treated with the combination	considered in patients with features	(platelet count \ge 30k/µL and serum	and/or refractoriness within 30 days
	of daily IPE + steroids +	of thrombotic microangiopathy and a	creatinine \geq 200 µmol/L [2.27	since diagnosis.
	caplacizumab compared to a	French score of 1 or 2. The French	mg/dL]) were considered having an	
	historical cohort of iTTP patients	score was calculated in patients with	alternative diagnosis (e.g. HUS) and	
	managed with TPE + steroids +/-	features of thrombotic	were not considered eligible for this	
	salvage rituximab.	microangiopathy and no associated	study. Patients were also excluded if	
		condition (cancer, chemotherapy,	they had iTTP but died before	
		pregnancy, transplantation, severe	receiving any treatment, did not	
		disseminated intravascular	receive caplacizumab during the	
		coagulopathy). A French score of 2	prospective part of the study	
		(platelet count < 30 k/μL and serum	(intervention arm), received	
		creatinine < 200 μmol/L [2.27	caplacizumab as salvage therapy, or	
		mg/dL]) was highly suggestive of	whose caplacizumab was stopped by	
		iTTP. Patients with only 1 of these 2	day 3 due to consideration of an	
		measures were considered having	alternate diagnosis.	
		probable iTTP, and daily TPE with		
		corticosteroids and caplacizumab		
		was immediately started: rituximab		
		was started only after iTTP diagnosis		
		was confirmed (ie if ADAMTS13		
		activity was 10%) The final diagnosis		
		activity was ,10%). The final diagnosis		

UK (2021)	Patients of any age, who had received ≥1 dose of caplacizumab through the patient free drug access	of iTTP was confirmed in patients with a severe acquired ADAMTS-13 deficiency (<10% of activity with anti- ADAMTS13 antibodies ≥ 15 U/mL). ADAMTS13 < 10 IU/dL	None	Primary outcome not explicitly stated; outcomes examined included serological markers of organ injury,
	scheme, following a confirmed diagnosis of acute TTP.			platelet count recovery, TPE requirement, TTP recurrences, bleeding/thromboembolic com plications, and mortality.
Barcelona* (2020)	Not described (abstract only)	Not described (abstract only)	Not described (abstract only)	Time to complete response: two consecutive days with platelets ≥ 150k/µL
Legend: APLS = ant exchange *abstract only	tiphospholipid antibody syndrome; DIC =	disseminated intravascular coagulation; i	TTP = immune thrombotic thrombocyto	penic pupura; TPE = therapeutic plasma

eTable 2B: Additional baseline characteristics not reported in Table 1

Study Name (Year)	Mean (SD) body mass index	Platelet count per μL at presentation: median (range)	LDH (U per L) at presentation: median (range)	Serum creatinine (μmol/L): median (range)	
HERCULES	30 (18-53)¤	24,000 (3,000 - 119,000)	449 (120-2525)	77 (35–717)	
(2019)	30 (19-59) ¤	25,000 (9,000 - 133,000)	403 (151-3343)	82 (52–482)	
TITAN	28.7 (9.1)	21,000 (2,000 - 70,000)	1277 (240-3874)	Not reported	
(2016)	29.3 (6.7)	28,000 (5,000 - 84,000)	1270 (247-4703)	Not reported	
France	27.2 (23-32) ¤	12,000 (10,000-20,000)	5.1 (4.0-6.5) †	92 (71 -120)	
(2021)	27 (23-32) ¤	12,000 (8,000-23,000)	3.7 (2.4-5.6) †	86 (68-133)	
UK (2021)	Not reported	13,000 (9,000-21,000)	Not reported	90 (71-135); elevated in 35 (41%) patients	
(2021)	Not reported	10,000 (6,000-20,000)	Not reported	elevated in 10 (26%) patients	
Barcelona*	Not reported	16,000 (8,000-21,000)	Not reported	84 (65-99)	
(2020)	Not reported	12,000 (7,000-18,000)	Not reported	75 (72-121)	
TPE = therapeutic plasma exchange *Abstract only † expressed as multiple of normal ¤ Median (interquartile range)					

eTable 2C: Details of treatments received

Study Name (Year)	Treatment assignment	Average time of caplacizumab initiation	Duration of caplacizumab	No. (%) receiving concomitant steroids	No. (%) receiving concomitant rituximab	No. (%) receiving other immunosupp- ression [§]	No. (%) receiving other TTP tx (splenectomy, IVIG, etc.)
HERCULES (2019)	Caplacizu-mab + SOC	Unclear ⁺	Mean 35 (range 1 to 65) days	69 (96)	28 (39)	12 (17) [§]	7 (10)
	SOC	n/a	n/a	71 (97)	35 (48)	3 (4) [§]	6 (8)
TITAN (2016)	Caplacizu-mab + SOC	Before or after 1 st TPE [¤]	Mean 38 (range 3-77 days) days	32 (89)	2 (6)	Not reported	Not reported
	SOC	n/a	n/a	36 (92)	9 (23)	Not reported	Not reported
France (2021)	Caplacizu-mab + SOC	Median 0 [same day as TPE] (range 0-4) days [‡]	Median 33 (range 29- 38) days	88 (98)	90 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)
	SOC	n/a	n/a	166 (92)	123 (68)	8 (0.04)	2 (0.01)
UK (2021)	Caplacizu-mab + SOC	Mean 2 (IQR 1-3) days after TPE initiation	Median 32 (IQR 22-47) days	84 (99)	84 (99)	33 (39)	Not reported
	SOC	n/a	n/a	Not reported	34 (87)	Not reported	Not reported
Barcelona* (2020)	Caplacizu-mab + SOC	3 days	Median 39 (IQR 33-39 days)	9 (100)	2 (22) [¥]	0 (0)	0 (0)
	SOC	n/a	n/a	9 (100)	8 (89) [¥]	4 (44) [§]	0 (0)

All patients across the studies received therapeutic plasma exchange (and inferred in the Barcelona study).

SOC = standard of carer; TPE = therapeutic plasma exchange

*Abstract only

⁺The study reports "patients received an intravenous loading dose of caplacizumab (10 mg) or placebo before the start of the first plasma exchange after randomization." ⁺In 47 of 90 patients, caplacizumab was started on the same day as TPE (day 0); in the remaining patients, it was started on day 1 (24 patients), day 2 (8 patients), day 3 (6 patients), or day 4 (5 patients).

¤A total of 69 patients had not undergone a plasma-exchange session before enrollment.

¥ The rate of patients receiving concomitant rituximab was unclear: "There was 1 exacerbation before initiation of caplacizumab and 1 relapse. Both cases were treated with rituximab." (It is unclear if only these 2 patients received rituximab in the caplacizumab arm). "In the control group ...we observed 4 refractory cases (1 aTTP-related death), 3 exacerbations and 1 relapse; rituximab was necessary in 8 patients" (similarly, it is unclear if only these 8 patients received rituximab in the control group ...we observed a refractory cases (1 aTTP-related death), 3

§ In the HERCULES study this included mycophenolate motefil, hydroxychloroquine, bortezomib, cyclophosphamide, and cyclosporine. In the Barcelona study, this was specifically 3rd line vincristine.

Djulbegovic et al.

eTable 2D: Definition of major bleeding

HERCULES: definition not reported, but major bleeding events described.

"These [bleeding] events were mild or moderate in severity in a majority of patients and were severe in 3 patients in the caplacizumab group (epistaxis, gingival bleeding, and upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage in 1 patient each) and in 1 patient in the placebo group (hemorrhagic transformation stroke)." (p. 342 last paragraph before discussion)

TITAN: definition not reported, but major bleeding events described.

"Serious bleeding-related adverse events were report- ed in 2 patients in each study group: subarachnoid and retinal hemorrhage and metrorrhagia in the caplacizumab group and cerebral hemorrhage and hematuria in the placebo group."

The French and UK studies used the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis definition for major bleeding in nonsurgical patients (Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis. 2005;3(4):692-694).

The Barcelona study did not report their definition of major bleeding, and only described all adverse events as "mild."

S3: Risk-of-bias of the included studies

eTable 3A: Methodological quality of the included randomized controlled trials

Study Name	HERCULES	TITAN
Method of randomization	Adequate (low risk of bias) [†]	Adequate (low risk of bias) [‡]
Method of allocation	Adequate (low risk of bias)	Adequate (low risk of bias)
concealment	Trial group assignments remained concealed even to those who	Although concealment of the allocation from participants was
	switched to open-label treatment.	not explicitly stated, it was presumed based on the description
		of the randomization process and description of "single-blinded
		study"
Deviations from intended	Some concerns	Some concerns
interventions	The study is described as a "single-blinded study" but the	This study is described as a "single-blinded study" but the
	investigators do not explicitly state who was blinded or what the	investigators do not explicitly state who was blinded or what the
	blinding and unblinding procedures were. It is presumed that	blinding and unblinding procedures were. It is presumed that
	only participants were blinded to the treatment group	only participants were blinded to the treatment group
	assignment.	

		assignment. The authors report that site investigators were
		aware of the treatment assignment.
Measurement and	Some concerns	Some concerns
reporting of the outcome	Both the unadjusted (Kaplan-Meier analysis and stratified log	Refractory TTP was measured per the protocol but not explicitly
	rank test) and adjusted (Cox proportional-hazards regression	stated. The only reference to this outcome was in a description
	model) were reportedly performed – according to the protocol –	of all-cause mortality, where one of the deaths in the placebo
	to assess time to platelet count recovery, but only the former	arm was attributed to severe, refractory TTP. Additionally, there
	was reported. The question of which of these methods is	is no composite endpoint of "thrombosis" reported. Deep
	superior is highly controversial, but we note 'some concerns'	venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism are reported
	given selective reporting.	separately, and it is unclear how many individual patients these
		events represent
Missing outcome data and	Well described (low risk of bias)	Well described (low-risk of bias)
loss to follow up	1 patient withdrew consent before receiving the 1st dose of	In the caplacizumab arm: 7 discontinued the treatment early, 32
	caplacizumab; 13 patients who received caplacizumab	completed 1 month follow-up, 22 completed 12 month follow-
	discontinued; 0 withdrew from control group; 13 patients who	up. In the control arm: 8 discontinued the treatment earlry, 31
	received placebo discontinued	completed 1-month follow up; 21 completed 12-month follow-
		up.
Contamination	Well described (low risk of bias)	Not reported
	Patients with disease recurrence during the treatment period	The authors do not report patients switching therapy.
	were switched to open-label caplacizumab.	
Blinding of outcome	Adequate (low risk of bias)	Unclear risk of bias
assessment	An independent adjudication committee whose members were	The authors do not report blinding of outcome assessors.
	unaware of the trial-group assignments reviewed all potential	
	major thromboembolic events and assessed the relatedness of	
	deaths to TTP.	
Intention to treat analysis	Performed	Performed
Overall	Low risk of bias	Low risk of bias

We used the Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials (RoB-2) to assess the methodological quality of the HERCULES and TITAN trials. RoB-2 is structured to appraise the risk-of-bias across multiple domains, focusing on different aspects of trial design, conduct, and reporting. Within each domain, the assessor answers "signaling questions" that algorithmically generate risk-of-bias ('high-risk', 'low-risk,' or 'some concerns') for each outcome of interest. Here, we present the study-level risk of bias for each domain; for domains where risk-of-bias varied across outcomes, we report the highest risk-of-bias.

⁺ Baseline characteristics were generally well balanced between the treatment groups, except there were more patients with recurrent TTP at presentation in the caplacizumab arm (vs more initial episodes in the control arm)

[‡] "The proportion of patients who received rituximab during daily plasma exchange differed significantly between the two groups (P<0.05). The imbalance may have been a site effect, since one site used rituximab as part of the standard of care starting on day 2 of daily plasma exchange, and this site recruited seven patients, five of whom were randomly assigned to the placebo group." However, since all other factors were generally well balanced between the experimental and control groups, we assessed the randomization process as adequate.

eTable 3B: Methodological quality of the included observational studies

Study Name	France	UK	Barcelona
Selection			
Representativeness of the exposed cohort	somewhat representative of the average iTTP demographic in the community *	somewhat representative of the average iTTP demographic in the community *	somewhat representative of the average iTTP demographic in the community *
Selection of the non- exposed cohort	drawn from the same community as the exposed cohort ∗	drawn from the same community as the exposed cohort ∗	drawn from the same community as the exposed cohort ∗
Ascertainment of exposure	Secure record (e.g., medical record) *	Secure record (e.g., medical record) *	Secure record (e.g., medical record) *
Outcomes of interest not present at study start	Yes *	Yes ∗	Yes *
Comparability of cohorts based on design or analysis	Study neither controls for severity of illness (the most important factor) nor co-intervention (2 nd most important factor)	Study neither controls for severity of illness (the most important factor) nor co-intervention (2 nd most important factor)	Study neither controls for severity of illness (the most important factor) nor co-intervention (2 nd most important factor)
Outcome		· · · · ·	· · · · ·
Assessment of outcome	Through record linkage ∗	Reference to secure records (e.g., medical records) ∗	No description.
Adequacy of length of follow up	Median follow-up of 107 days adequate for all outcomes. *	Median follow-up of 80 days adequate for all outcomes.*	Median follow-up of 6 months adequate for all outcomes.*
Cohort follow-up adequacy	Not described [†]	Not described [†]	Not described ⁺
Total # stars	Selection: *** Comparability: 0 Outcome: **	Selection: ※ ※ ※ ※ Comparability: 0 Outcome: ※ ※	Selection * * * * Comparability: 0 Outcome: *
Qualitative assessment	Some concerns	Several concerns	Some concerns

AL		
No matching between prospectively	No matching between prospectively	This study was reported only in abstract
followed cohort and historical controls.	followed cohort and historical controls.	form and its report not peer-reviewed.
Confounders not addressed nor	Confounders not addressed nor	There was no matching between the
controlled for. No mention of missing	controlled for. Time to normalization of	experimental group with historical
data or loss to follow-up.	platelet count was reported only among	controls. Confounders not addressed
	the patients who achieved	nor controlled for. Does not report all-
	normalization (81 of 85 patients), which	cause mortality. No description of how
	is potentially misleading. Baseline	outcomes were recorded-assume
	characteristics and co-interventions not	electronic health record review. No
	reported for control group in the same	statement of missing data or loss to
	level of detail as the intervention group;	follow-up.
	not all outcomes reported for both	
	groups (TTP exacerbation or relapse);	
	all-cause mortality not reported;	
	refractory TTP measured (stated in	
	methods) but not explicitly reported.	
	Control cohort not published but drawn	
	from same registry. Unclear numbers	
	lost to follow-up. Anonymized outcome	
	data was submitted by centers for	
	outcomes.	

The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) was developed to assess the quality of nonrandomized, comparative studies; we used the NOS for cohort studies. Assessors use a "star system" to judge studies on three broad perspectives: the selection of the study groups, the comparability of the groups, and the ascertainment of the outcome of interest. Two authors independently assessed the methodological quality of the non-randomized studies included in this review and evaluated study-level selection and comparability domains and the cohort follow-up adequacy (outcome domain). The two authors appraised the assessment and adequacy of follow up for each outcome of interest; here, we report the average across all outcomes. We denote whether a star was given with *. A study can be awarded a maximum of one star for each item within the selection and outcome categories (4 and 3 stars for each domain maximum, respectively); a maximum of two stars can be given for the comparability domain. We also report a hybrid of each author's qualitative assessment of these non-randomized studies.

+ No mention of missing data or loss to follow-up

S4: Primary meta-analysis and forest plots of secondary outcomes

S4.1: Binary Outcomes

We present the outcomes reported in each individual trial as well as meta-analysis according to study design. Control refers to standard of care alone without caplacizumab (refer to Supplement S2 "eTable 2C: Details of treatments received"). Event rates refer to the number of patients with the event of interest.

eFigure 4A: TTP exacerbation

	# events (caplacizumab)	total # patients (caplacizumab)	# events (control)	total # patients (control)	Risk Difference (RD) [95%Cl]	Relative Risk (RR) [95%Cl]		Forest Plot (RR)		
RCTs										
HERCULES	3	72	28	73	-0.34 [-0.46, -0.22]	0.11 [0.03, 0.34]		∎		
TITAN	3	36	11	39	-0.20 [-0.37, -0.03]	0.30 [0.09, 0.97]		├──■		
Pooled estimate (Q =	1.41, df = 1, p = 0	0.24; I ² = 28.9%, 1	$t^2 = 0.14)$		-0.29 [-0.42, -0.14]	0.16 [0.07, 0.47]	-			
Observational										
France	3	90	70	180	-0.36 [-0.44, -0.28]	0.09 [0.03, 0.26]		■		
Barcelona	1	9	3	9	-0.22 [-0.59, 0.15]	0.33 [0.04, 2.63]	L	•		
Pooled estimate (Q =	1.28, df = 1, p = 0	0.26; I ² = 21.8%, 1	$t^2 = 0.20)$		-0.35 [-0.43, -0.27]	0.10 [0.04, 0.42]				
							Γ	Ι		
							0.02	0.25	1 4	20
							Favors	caplacizumab	Favors co	ontrol

Legend: CI=Confidence Interval; RCT=Randomized Controlled Trials; RR=relative risk

eFigure 4B: TTP relapse

	# events (caplacizumab)	# events total # patients # events total # patients Risk Difference (RD) Relative Risk (RR) (caplacizumab) (control) (control) [95%CI] [95%CI]			Relative Risk (RR) [95%Cl]	Forest Plot (RR)			
RCTs								_	
HERCULES	6	72	0	73	0.08 [0.02, 0.15]	3.18 [0.76, 229.69]	I		
TITAN	11	36	3	39	0.23 [0.06, 0.40]	3.97 [1.20, 13.10]	├ ── ■ ───┤		
Pooled estimate (Q = 0	0.58, df = 1, p = 0	0.45; I ² = 0.0%, τ ²	= 0.00)		0.14 [-0.00, 0.27]	3.81 [1.58, 14.28]			
Observational									
Barcelona	1	9	1	9	0.00 [-0.29, 0.29]	1.00 [0.07, 13.64]	HH		
							0.02 0.25 1 4	250	
							ravors capiacizumad ravors control		

eFigure 4C: Refractory TTP

	# events (caplacizumab)	total # patients (caplacizumab)	# events (control)	total # patients (control)	Risk Difference (RD) [95%Cl]	Relative Risk (RR) [95%Cl]		Plot (RR)		
RCTs										
HERCULES	0	72	5	73	-0.07 [-0.13, -0.01]	0.09 [0.01, 1.64]				
TITAN	0	36	4	39	-0.10 [-0.21, 0.00]	0.12 [0.01, 2.16]				
Pooled estimate (Q	a = 0.02, df = 1, p =	0.90; $I^2 = 0.0\%$, τ	² = 0.00)		-0.08 [-0.13, -0.02]	0.11 [0.01, 0.81]				
Observational										
France	1	90	16	180	-0.08 [-0.12, -0.03]	0.13 [0.02, 0.93]	H		ł	
Barcelona	0	9	4	9	-0.44 [-0.78, -0.11]	0.11 [0.01, 1.79]	 			
Pooled estimate (Q	a = 0.00, df = 1, p =	0.95; $I^2 = 0.0\%$, τ	² = 0.00)		-0.22 [-0.45, -0.03]	0.12 [0.02, 0.61]				
]
							0.01	0.25	1 4 	20
								Favors caplacizumab	Favors cor	itrol

eFigure 4D: Thrombosis

	# events (caplacizumab)	total # patients (caplacizumab)	# events (control)	total # patients (control)	Risk Difference (RD) [95%Cl]	Relative Risk (RR) [95%Cl]	t) Forest Plot (RR)		t Plot (RR)	
RCTs										
HERCULES	6	72	6	73	0.00 [-0.09, 0.09]	1.01 [0.34, 3.00]			•	
TITAN	2	35	2	37	0.00 [-0.10, 0.11]	1.06 [0.16, 7.10]				
Pooled estimate (Q =	= 0.00, df = 1, p = 0	0.97; $I^2 = 0.0\%$, τ^2	² = 0.00)		0.00 [-0.07, 0.07]	1.02 [0.40, 2.63]				
Observational										
France	11	90	20	180	0.01 [-0.07, 0.09]	1.10 [0.55, 2.19]		H	-∎1	
UK	4	85	2	39	-0.00 [-0.09, 0.08]	0.92 [0.18, 4.80]			•	
Pooled estimate (Q =	= 0.04, df = 1, p = 0	0.84; $I^2 = 0.0\%$, τ^2	² = 0.00)		0.00 [-0.05, 0.06]	1.07 [0.57, 2.03]				
							0.02	0.25	1 1	
							0.02	0.25	- <u>-</u>	20
							Favor	s caplacizumab	Favors co	ntrol

eFigure 4E: Major bleeding

	# events (caplacizumab)	total # patients (caplacizumab)	# events (control)	total # patients (control)	Risk Difference (RD) [95%Cl]	Relative Risk (RR) [95%Cl]		Forest Plo	ot (RR)	
RCTs										
HERCULES	3	71	1	73	0.03 [-0.03, 0.08]	3.08 [0.33, 28.96]				
TITAN	2	35	2	37	0.00 [-0.10, 0.11]	1.06 [0.16, 7.10]		 		
Pooled estimate (Q = 0	0.51, df = 1, p = 0	.48; $I^2 = 0.0\%$, τ^2	= 0.00)		0.02 [-0.02, 0.07]	1.73 [0.39, 7.07]				
Observational										
Barcelona	0	9	0	9	0.00 [-0.19, 0.19]	1.00 [0.02, 45.13]				
							Γ		1]
							0.02	0.25 1	4	50
							▲ Favor	rs caplacizumab	Favors contro	→

eFigure 4F: Intracranial bleeding

	# events (caplacizumab)	total # patients (caplacizumab)	# events (control)	total # patients (control)	Risk Difference (RD) [95%Cl]	Relative Risk (RR) [95%Cl]		Forest	Plot (RR)	
RCTs										
HERCULES	1	71	1	73	0.00 [-0.04, 0.04]	1.03 [0.07, 16.12]				
TITAN	1	35	1	37	0.00 [-0.07, 0.08]	1.06 [0.07, 16.26]		 		
Pooled estimate (Q = 0	0.00, df = 1, p = 0	.99; $I^2 = 0.0\%$, τ^2	= 0.00)		0.00 [-0.03, 0.03]	1.04 [0.15, 7.25]				
							0.02	0.25	1 1 1 4	20
								Favors caplacizumab	Favors con	trol

S4.2: Continuous Outcomes

We present the outcomes reported in each individual trial as well as meta-analysis according to study design. Control refers to standard of care alone without caplacizumab (refer to Supplement S2 "eTable 2C: Details of treatments received"). As few trials reported means and no trials reported standard deviation (SD), we calculated mean (SD) to generated pooled estimates using the methods described in the main text. We denote reported mean with § to differentiate from calculated mean. We calculated standard deviations from the available aggregate data (ranges or interquartile ranges for all outcomes in all studies, except for time to response in TITAN [where we used 95% CI of the associated median]).

eFigure 4G: Time to	normalization of the	e platelet count (days)
0		

	Median time (caplacizumab)	IQR (caplacizumab)	Median time (control)	IQR (control)	Calculated mean (caplacizumab)	Calculated SD (caplacizumab)	Calculated mean (control)	Calculated SD (control)	Mean Difference (MD) [95%Cl]		Fores	t Plot (I	MD)
RCTs													
HERCULES ¹	2.69	(1.74, 2.95)	2.88	(1.94, 4.50)	3.40	0.90	4.10	1.90	-0.70 [-1.18, -0.22]		ŀ	∎H	
TITAN ^{†‡}	3.00	(2.70, 4.30)	4.60	(3.00, 5.90)	0.80	0.41	1.45	0.74	-0.65 [-0.92, -0.38]		•	•	
Pooled estim	nate (Q = 0.03	, df = 1, p = 0.8	86; I ² = 0.0%,	$\tau^2 = 0.00)$					-0.66 [-0.90, -0.43]		•	>	
Observatio	onal												
France	5.00	(4.00, 6.00)	12.00	(6.00, 17.00)	5.00	0.40	11.80	2.00	-6.80 [-7.10, -6.50]		Ħ		
UK	4.00	(3.00, 8.00)	6.00	(4.00, 10.00)	6.30	3.80	8.70	4.60	-2.40 [-4.06, -0.74]		∎		
Barcelona	4.00	(3.00, 4.00)	6.00	(5.00, 14.00)	5.00	0.90	10.30	7.90	-5.30 [-10.49, -0.11]			-	
Pooled estim	nate (Q = 26.2	5, df = 2, p < .0	01; I ² = 89.5%	ώ, τ ² = 5.76)					-4.84 [-7.91, -1.76]				
										[Ι	1	
										-11	-5	0	5
											Favors caplacizumab		Favors control

Legend: IQR=interquartile range; TTP=thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura; RCT=Randomized Controlled Trials; SD=standard deviation

+ Median (95% confidence interval)

[‡] Since time to platelet count recovery was not reported for the entire cohort in the TITAN trial, we used the aggregate data reported for the subgroup of patients who had a baseline ADAMTS13 < 10% (n=58).

eFigure 4H: Duration of plasma exchange (days)

(Median time caplacizumab)	IQR) (caplacizumab)	Median time (control)	IQR (control)	Calculated mean (caplacizumab)	Calculated SD (caplacizumab	Calculated mean) (control)	Calculated SD (control)	Mean Difference (MD) [95%Cl]			Forest Pl	ot (MD)	
RCTs														
HERCULES ^{±§}	§ 5.80	(4.80, 6.80)	9.40	(7.80, 11.00)	5.80	0.51	9.40	0.82	-3.60 [-3.82, -3.38]			Ħ		
TITAN ^{¥¤§}	7.70	(3.00, 21.00)	11.70	(2.00, 43.00)	7.70	4.30	11.70	9.60	-4.00 [-7.32, -0.68]					
Pooled estimation	ate (Q = 0.0	06, df = 1, p = 0	.81; I ² = 0.0	%, $\tau^2 = 0.00$)					-3.60 [-3.82, -3.38]			٠		
Observatio	nal													
France	5.00	(4.00, 7.00)	10.00	(6.00, 16.00)	5.20	0.60	10.50	1.90	-5.30 [-5.60, -5.00]			•		
UK	7.00	(5.00, 14.00)	9.00	(8.00, 16.00)	11.00	6.80	14.00	6.20	-3.00 [-5.42, -0.58]			├──■──┤		
Barcelona	10.00	(9.00, 11.00)	19.00	(16.00, 23.00)	13.30	1.70	25.70	6.10	-12.40 [-16.54, -8.26]	I				
Pooled estimation	ate (Q = 14.	.77, df = 2, p <	.01; I ² = 94.	3%, τ ² = 19.26)					-6.63 [-11.83, -1.43]					
										Γ	1			٦
										17	10	0		

Legend: IQR=interquartile range; TTP=thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura; RCT=Randomized Controlled Trials; SD=standard deviation

× IQR not reported, full range reported instead.

¥ Median not reported, mean reported instead.

§ Reported mean (rather than calculated mean).

eFigure 4/: Hospital length of stay (days)

	Median time (caplacizuma	IQR b) (caplacizumab)	Median time (control)	IQR (control)	Calculated mean (caplacizumab)	Calculated SD (caplacizumab)	Calculated mean) (control)	Calculated SD (control)	Mean Difference (MD) [95%Cl]		Fores	t Plot (MD)
RCTs												
HERCULE	S ^{¤§} 9.00	(2.00, 37.00)	12.00	(4.00, 53.00)	14.20	7.40	20.20	10.30	-6.00 [-8.92, -3.08]		⊢	
Pooled est	imate (Q = 0.	00, df = 0, p = 1	.00; $I^2 = 0.0$	0%, $\tau^2 = 0.00$)					-6.00 [-8.92, -3.08]			
Observat	ional											
France	13.00	(9.00, 19.00)	22.00	(15.00, 30.00)	18.00	7.50	29.70	11.20	-11.70 [-13.95, -9.45]	⊢■	—	
UK	12.00	(8.00, 24.00)	14.00	(9.00, 17.00)	18.70	12.10	18.00	6.20	0.70 [-2.53, 3.93]		⊢ −	-
Barcelona	12.00	(12.00, 14.00)	26.00	(20.00, 27.00)	16.70	1.70	33.00	6.10	-16.30 [-20.44, -12.16]	└───		
Pooled est	imate (Q = 52	2.21, df = 2, p <	.01; I ² = 96	.7%, $\tau^2 = 73.70$)					-9.06 [-18.96, 0.84]			
										Γ	1	1
										-21	-10	0 5
											 Favors caplacizumab 	Favors control

Legend: IQR=interquartile range; TTP=thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura; RCT=Randomized Controlled Trials; SD=standard deviation

× IQR not reported, full range reported instead. § Reported mean (rather than calculated mean).

S5: Sensitivity meta-analysis and forest plots: peer-reviewed publications only

The following outcomes were analyzed only among studies published as peer-reviewed research articles, and excludes the results of the Barcelona study (an abstract-only publication). We did not perform a sensitivity analysis of the outcomes "thrombosis" and "intracranial bleeding" because they were not reported in the Barcelona study and the analysis did not change with focusing on peer-reviewed-only publications.

S5.1: Binary Outcomes

eFigure 5A: All-cause mortality

	# events (caplacizumab)	total # patients (caplacizumab)	# events (control)	total # patients (control)	Risk Difference (RD) [95%CI]	Relative Risk (RR) [95%Cl]		Forest	Plot (RR)	
RCTs										
HERCULES	1	72	3	73	-0.03 [-0.08, 0.03]	0.34 [0.04, 3.17]	I H			
TITAN	0	36	2	39	-0.05 [-0.14, 0.03]	0.22 [0.01, 4.36]	I			
Pooled estimate (Q = 0.0	05, df = 1, p = 0.82;	$t^2 = 0.0\%, \tau^2 = 0.1$	00)		-0.04 [-0.08, 0.01] 40 fewer per 1000 (from 80 fewer to 10 more	0.21 [0.05, 1.74]	_			
France	1	90	12	180	-0.06 [-0.10, -0.01]	0.17 [0.02, 1.26]]			
UK	5	85	0	39	0.06 [-0.00, 0.12]	5.08 [0.29, 89.66]]	 		
Pooled estimate (Q = 3.6	65, df = 1, p = 0.06;	$l^2 = 72.6\%, \tau^2 = 4$	1.26)	(f	-0.00 [-0.11, 0.10] 0 fewer per 1000 from 110 fewer to 100 more	0.79 [0.03, 22.29] ;)				_
							[I]
).01	0.25	1 4	100
								Favors caplacizumab	Favors control	

Legend: CI=Confidence Interval; RCT=Randomized Controlled Trials; RR=relative risk; SD=standard deviation

eFigure 5B: TTP exacerbation

	# events (caplacizumab)	total # patients (caplacizumab)	# events (control)	total # patients (control)	Risk Difference (RD) [95%Cl]	Relative Risk (RR) [95%Cl]		Forest P	lot (RR)	
?CTs										
ERCULES	3	72	28	73	-0.34 [-0.46, -0.22]	0.11 [0.03, 0.34]		-∎		
TAN	3	36	11	39	-0.20 [-0.37, -0.03]	0.30 [0.09, 0.97]				
poled estimate (Q = 1	1.41, df = 1, p = 0).24; I ² = 28.9%, 1	$x^2 = 0.14$)		-0.29 [-0.42, -0.14]	0.16 [0.07, 0.47]	-			
bservational										
rance	3	90	70	180	-0.36 [-0.44, -0.28]	0.09 [0.03, 0.26]		■		

eFigure 5C: TTP relapse

# events (caplacizumab)	total # patients (caplacizumab)	# events (control)	total # patients (control)	Risk Difference (RD) [95%Cl]	Relative Risk (RR) [95%Cl]		F	orest Plot (RR)		
6	72	0	73	0.08 [0.02, 0.15]	3.18 [0.76, 229.69]			•		
11	36	3	39	0.23 [0.06, 0.40]	3.97 [1.20, 13.10]			⊦_∎		
58, df = 1, p = 0	.45; $I^2 = 0.0\%$, τ^2	= 0.00)		0.14 [-0.00, 0.27]	3.81 [1.58, 14.28]			\frown		
						0.02	0.25	1 4	250	
						◄ Favor	s caplacizuma	b Favors control	_►	
	# events (caplacizumab) 6 11 58, df = 1, p = 0	(caplacizumab) total # patients (caplacizumab) 6 72 11 36 58, df = 1, p = 0.45; l2 = 0.0%, τ2	# events (caplacizumab) total # patients (caplacizumab) # events (control) 6 72 0 11 36 3 58, df = 1, p = 0.45; l ² = 0.0%, τ^2 = 0.00)	# events (caplacizumab) total # patients (caplacizumab) # events (control) total # patients (control) 6 72 0 73 11 36 3 39 58, df = 1, p = 0.45; l ² = 0.0%, τ^2 = 0.00) 000000000000000000000000000000000000	# events (caplacizumab)total # patients (control)total # patients (control)Risk Difference (RD) [95%CI]6720730.08 [0.02, 0.15]11363390.23 [0.06, 0.40]58, df = 1, p = 0.45; l ² = 0.0%, $\tau^2 = 0.00$)0.14 [-0.00, 0.27]	$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{# events} & total \# patients} & \mbox{# events} & total \# patients} & \mbox{Risk Difference (RD)} & \mbox{Relative Risk (RR)} & \mbox{[95\%CI]} & \mbox{Relative Risk (RR)} & Relati$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c} \# \text{ events} & \text{total $\#$ patients} & \# \text{ events} & \text{total $\#$ patients} & \text{Risk Difference (RD)} & \text{Relative Risk (RR)} & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & \\ \hline & & & &$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

eFigure 5D: Refractory TTP

	# events (caplacizumab)	total # patients (caplacizumab)	# events (control)	total # patients (control)	Risk Difference (RD) [95%Cl]	Relative Risk (RR) [95%Cl]	Fores	t Plot (RR)		
RCTs										
HERCULES	0	72	3	73	-0.04 [-0.09, 0.01]	0.14 [0.01, 2.75] -				
TITAN	0	36	1	39	-0.03 [-0.10, 0.04]	0.36 [0.02, 8.58]	ļ			
Pooled estimate (0	Q = 0.17, df = 1, p	$= 0.68; I^2 = 0.0\%$	$t_{\rm o}, \tau^2 = 0.00)$		-0.04 [-0.08, 0.01]	0.22 [0.03, 1.91]				
Observational										
France	1	90	16	180	-0.08 [-0.12, -0.03]	0.13 [0.02, 0.93]	⊢	4		
						Г [—]		i		
						0.01	0.25	1 4 20		
							Favors caplacizumab	Favors control		

eFigure 5E: Any treatment-emergent bleeding

	# events (caplacizumab)	total # patients (caplacizumab)	# events (control)	total # patients (control)	Risk Difference (RD) [95%Cl]	Relative Risk (RR) [95%Cl]	Forest Plot (RR)
RCTs							
HERCULES	46	71	35	73	0.17 [0.01, 0.33]	1.35 [1.01, 1.81]	⊨ -1
TITAN	19	35	14	37	0.16 [-0.06, 0.39]	1.43 [0.86, 2.40]	- ∎
Pooled estimate (Q = Observational	= 0.04, df = 1, p = 0	0.84; Ι ² = 0.0%, τ ²	² = 0.00)		0.17 [0.04, 0.30] 170 more per 1000 (from 40 more to 300 more	1.37 [1.06, 1.77]	~
UK	15	85	0	39	0.18 [0.09, 0.26]	14.32 [0.88, 233.36]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
						F	0.1 1 4 240 avors caplacizumab Favors control

Legend: CI=Confidence Interval; RCT=Randomized Controlled Trials; RR=relative risk; SD=standard deviation

eFigure 5F: Major bleeding

	# events (caplacizumab)	total # patients (caplacizumab)	# events (control)	total # patients (control)	Risk Difference (RD) [95%Cl]	Relative Risk (RR) [95%Cl]		Forest Plc		
RCTs										
HERCULES	3	71	1	73	0.03 [-0.03, 0.08]	3.08 [0.33, 28.96]		ŀ		
TITAN	2	35	2	37	0.00 [-0.10, 0.11]	1.06 [0.16, 7.10]		-	I	
Pooled estimate (Q =	0.51, df = 1, p = 0	.48; I ² = 0.0%, τ ²	= 0.00)		0.02 [-0.02, 0.07]	1.73 [0.39, 7.07]				
							0.02	0.25 1	4	50
							Favo	ors caplacizumab	Favors contro	ol ►

S5.2: Continuous Outcomes

eFigure 5G: Time to normalization of the platelet count (days)



Legend: IQR=interquartile range; TTP=thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura; RCT=Randomized Controlled Trials; SD=standard deviation

+ Median (95% confidence interval)

‡ Since time to platelet count recovery was not reported for the entire cohort in the TITAN trial, we used the aggregate data reported for the subgroup of patients who had a baseline ADAMTS13 < 10% (n=58).

eFigure 5H: Duration of plasma exchange (days)



Legend: IQR=interquartile range; TTP=thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura; RCT=Randomized Controlled Trials; SD=standard deviation

× IQR not reported, full range reported instead.

¥ Median not reported, mean reported instead.

§ Reported mean (rather than calculated mean).

eFigure 51: Hospital length of stay (days)

	Median time (caplacizumab	IQR (caplacizumab)	Median time (control)	IQR (control)	Calculated mean (caplacizumab)(Calculated SD (caplacizumab)	Calculated mean (control)	Calculated SD (control)	Mean Difference (MD) [95%Cl]		F	orest Plot (MD)
RCTs												
HERCULE	S ^{¤§} 9.00	(2.00, 37.00)	12.00	(4.00, 53.00)	14.20	7.40	20.20	10.30	-6.00 [-8.92, -3.08]		⊢	
Pooled esti	mate (Q = 0.0	00, df = 0, p = 1	.00; I ² = 0.0	%, $\tau^2 = 0.00$)					-6.00 [-8.92, -3.08]			
Observat	ional											
France	13.00	(9.00, 19.00)	22.00	(15.00, 30.00)	18.00	7.50	29.70	11.20	-11.70 [-13.95, -9.45]	\vdash	-∎	
UK	12.00	(8.00, 24.00)	14.00	(9.00, 17.00)	18.70	12.10	18.00	6.20	0.70 [-2.53, 3.93]		F	
Pooled esti	mate (Q = 38	.15, df = 1, p <	.01; I ² = 97.	4%, τ ² = 74.86)					-5.56 [-17.71, 6.60]			
										-21	-10	0 5
											 Favors caplacizum 	ab Favors control

Legend: IQR=interquartile range; TTP=thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura; RCT=Randomized Controlled Trials; SD=standard deviation

× IQR not reported, full range reported instead. § Reported mean (rather than calculated mean).