The EURO-FORTA (Fit fOR The Aged) List Version 2: Consensus Validation of a Clinical Tool for Improved Pharmacotherapy in Older Adults

Drugs & Aging

Farhad Pazan¹, Christel Weiss², Martin Wehling¹ *FORTA

Affiliations:

- 1 Clinical Pharmacology Mannheim, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Theodor-Kutzer-Ufer 1-3, 68167 Mannheim, Germany
- 2 Department of Medical Statistics, Biomathematics and Information Processing, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, 68167 Mannheim, Germany

Corresponding author:

Dr. Farhad Pazan

e-mail: farhad.pazan@medma.uni-heidelberg.de

Phone: +49 621 383 9629

The FORTA List

"Fit for The Aged" Expert Consensus Validation

Nordic Countries



Farhad Pazan¹, Christel Weiß², Martin Wehling¹

¹Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, Center for Geriatric Pharmacology, Medical Faculty of the University of Heidelberg in Mannheim, Germany

² Department of Medical Statistics, Biomathematics and Information Processing, Medical Faculty of the University of Heidelberg in Mannheim, Germany

Disclaimer

While building on an international foundation of medical evidence and experience for the medications listed, including already existing "negative lists" and classification systems, this FORTA List primarily reflects prescribing tendencies in the Nordic countries. The FORTA labels themselves, being evidence-based, may possibly be subject to change during the course of further consensus evaluation procedures, depending on the state of evidence and clinical experience for a given substance⁵. Meanwhile, the FORTA principle has been validated in a randomized clinical trial (VALFORTA) showing a large improvement of medication quality and amelioration of clinical parameters⁶.

With the goal of creating a user-friendly clinical tool, a summary of relevant comments is given directly in the FORTA List, drawing on the Delphi experts' extensive clinical experience. This is however by no means comprehensive and does not necessarily refer to specific evidence or sources. Therefore, the authors' selection of suggestions, comments and warnings may be subjective⁵. 'No comment' reflects the absence of noteworthy or relevant words of information or caution within the context of the expert evaluation. All information herein is believed to be true and accurate. Neither the authors nor the University of Heidelberg or affiliated institutions, as the publishers of this list, can accept legal responsibility for any errors or omissions made in the contents of this list⁵.

We welcome all comments and criticism which may contribute to the quality, safety and usability of the FORTA List in daily clinical practice.

The FORTA Concept: expert panel for the FORTA classification system

FORTA Expert Review Panel

The following 4 colleagues, representing the Nordic countries, provided their expertise for purposes of evaluating the proposed FORTA List. They received no honoraria in connection with this project. All panel members contributed actively to the development of the content of the FORTA List.

Expert Panel Members and their affiliations

Kristina Johnell, PhD: Aging Research Center, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden Tommy Eriksson, PhD: Malmö University, Faculty of Health and Society, Malmö, Sweden Ulla Aalto Department of Geriatrics, Helsinki University Hospital, University of Helsinki, Finland

Adalsteinn Gudmundsson Landspitali University Hospital Reykjavik, Landspitali, Iceland

FORTA- Physician's guide^{1,2,5,7}

- 1. FORTA is evidence-based + real-life-oriented (factors such as compliance issues, age-dependent tolerance and frequency of relative contraindications are considered).
- 2. Classifications are indication (or diagnosis)-dependent: a medication can receive different FORTA classifications based on differing indications.
- 3. Contraindications <u>always</u> take precedence over the FORTA-classification (for example, even Class A medications may not be given if allergies are present).
- 4. FORTA is designed to be a quick and user-friendly clinical tool to aid in the pharmacotherapy of older patients*. The system is <u>not</u> intended to take the place of individual therapeutic considerations or decisions. As with any simplified model, it <u>does</u> allow for exceptions.

FORTA-Classification System A-D1,2,3,4,7

Class A

= Indispensable drug, clear-cut benefit in terms of efficacy/safety ratio proven in elderly patients for a given indication

Class B

 Drugs with proven or obvious efficacy in the elderly, but limited extent of effect and/or safety concerns

Class C

= Drugs with questionable efficacy/safety profiles in the elderly which should be avoided or omitted in the presence of too many drugs, absence of benefits or emerging side effects; explore alternatives

Class D

 Avoid if at all possible in the elderly, omit first and use alternative substances

^{*} FORTA aims at older patients, has been validated primarily for patients 65 years of age or older with significant comorbidities (3 or more diagnoses and drugs) and should be used in all patients 80 years of age or older will. These target groups are mostly defined as geriatric patients.

The FORTA List^{3,4,5} Delphi Expert Consensus Validation



Classification of the most frequently used long-term medications†
for the pharmacotherapy of older patients
by indication/diagnosis, ranked according to FORTA classification
Newly proposed drugs are mentioned under the respective diagnosis and marked by *; they are listed in greater detail in the second part.

(† long-term defined as > 4 weeks. Please note that the distinction between acute/chronic may not always be clear-cut; exceptions are noted)

	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
ARTERIAL HYPERTENSION		raters	0.800)	Mean; Mode	experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/Group					
Renin-Angiotensin system inhibitors		4	1.000	1.0; 1	
ACE inhibitors	Α				
		4	0.875	1.3; 1	
Angiotensin receptor antagonists	Α				
Long-acting calcium		4	1.000	1.0; 1	
antagonists, dihydropyridine	Α			,	
type, for example amlodipine					
Betablockers except	(C)	3 (R1)	0.667(R1)	2.3; 2(R1)	
atenolol	В	3 (R2)	0.625 (R2)	2.3; 2 (R2)	
Atenolol	D	3 (R1)	0.667(R1)	3.3; 4(R1)	
		4(R2)	0.750(R2)	3.5; 4(R2)	
Diuretics except indapamid	В	4	0.875	1.8; 2	
Indapamid	А	3	0.833	1.3; 1	
Alpha blockers	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Spironolactone	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Moxonidine	С	3	0.833	3.3; 3	
Clonidine	D	2	1.000	4.0; 4	
Minoxidil	D	1(R1)	0.500(R1)	3.0; 3(R1)	
	_	2(R2)	1.000(R2)	4; 4(R2)	
Calcium antagonists,	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	

verapamil type					
CARDIAC INSUFFICIENCY	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/Group					
Renin-angiotensin system inhibitors		4	1.000	1.0; 1	
ACE inhibitors	Α				
Angiotensin receptor antagonists	А	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Betablockers (metoprolol, carvedilol, bisoprolol)	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Diuretics	А	4	0.875	1.3; 1	
Gliflozins (SGLT2 inhibitors) only those substances which have been approved for this indication (dapgliflozine)	В	3	1.000	2.0; 2	
Spironolactone	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Digitalis preparations	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Ivabradine	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Iron substitution in patients with iron deficiency	Α	4	0.875	1.3; 1	

	FORTA Class			Expert ratings on a	
	(original FORTA			numerical scale:	
	class in			A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
	parentheses if		Consensus		experts during the consensus procedure
ACUTE CORONARY	different from		coefficient,		
SYNDROME	consensus		Round 1		
	results)	Nr. of	(cutoff		
		raters	0.800)	Mean; Mode	
Substance/Group					
Renin-Angiotensin-System-	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Blocker: ACE-Hemmer					
Acetylsalicylic acid	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Unfractionated heparin and		4	1.000	1.0; 1	
low molecular weight	Α				
heparin					
Frequency-lowering	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
betablockers, e.g.					
metoprolol or bisoprolol					
Atorvastatin	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Nitroglycerin spray, single		4	1.000	1.0; 1	
use, acute as on-demand	Α				
medication					
Clopidogrel, prasugrel	В	3	1.000	2.0; 2	
	A for stent	3	1.000	1.0; 1	
Thrombolytics, especially		3	1.000	2.0; 2	
rTPA (recombinant tissue-	В				
type plasminogen activator)					
Nitrates, long-term	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Gp IIb/IIIa antagonists		2	1.000	3.0; 3	
(glycoprotein 2b/3a	С				
inhibitors)					
Ivabradine	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	

	FORTA Class			Expert ratings on a	
	(original FORTA			numerical scale:	
	class in			A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
	parentheses if		Consensus		
	different from		coefficient,		
CHRONIC THERAPY	consensus		Round 1		
FOLLOWING MYOCARDIAL	results)		(cutoff		Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
INFARCTION		Nr. of	0.800)		experts during the consensus procedure
		raters		Mean; Mode	
Substance/group					
Renin angiotensin system			1.000		
blockers	Α	4		1.0; 1	
ACE Inhibitors					
Acetylsalicylic acid	Α	3	1.000	1.0; 1	
(100 mg/d)					
Frequency-lowering beta	Α	3	1.000	1.0; 1	
blockers up to 3 years					
		2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Frequency-lowering beta	С				
blockers longer than 3					
years					
Nitroglycerin spray, single		4	1.000	1.0; 1	
use as on-demand	Α				
medication					
Influenza vaccination					
(inactivated subunit	See				
vaccines)/pneumococcal	vaccinations				
immunizations					
Statins	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
	B for very old	2	1.000	2.0; 2	
	(>85 years)				
	patients				
Clopidogrel (12 months		3	1.000	1.0; 1	
after acute coronary	A with aspirin				
syndrome)	intolerance				
Nitrates, long-term	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Fibrates	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	

Ezetimibe	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Amiodarone	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
All other class-I-III	D	2	1.000	4.0; 4	
antiarrhythmic agents		-	1.000	1.0, 1	
Dihydropyridine		4	0.875	3.8; 4	
antagonists	D			·	
(if no hypertension)					
Niacin	D	1 (R1)	0.500(R1)	3.0; 3(R1)	
		2 (R2)	1.000(R2)	4.0; 4(R2)	

STROKE	FORTA Class (original FORTA	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/Group					
Acetylsalicylic acid	Α	3	1.000	1.0; 1	
Atorvastatin	Α	4	0.875	1.3; 1	
rTPA (recombinant tissue-	Α	3	1.000	1.0; 1	
type plasminogen activator); only for emergency use					
Simvastatin	Α	4	0.875	1.3; 1	
Anticoagulants including new oral anticoagulants	Α	4	0.875	1.3; 1	
Clopidogrel	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Dipyridamole plus acetylsalicylic acid	В	3	1.000	2.0; 2	

ATRIAL FIBRILLATION	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Frequency-lowering betablockers	A	3	1.000	1.0; 1	
New Oral Anticoagulants (NOACs)	В	3	0.833	1.7; 2	
	(C)	1 (R1)	0.500(R1)	2.0; 2(R1)	
Except dabigatran	В	3 (R2)	0.500(R2)	2.0; -(R2)	
Oral anticoagulation by		4(R1)	0.750(R1)	1.5; -(R1)	
vitamin-K-antagonists	(A)	3(R2)	0.667(R2)	1.7; 2(R2)	
(e.g. phenprocoumon, warfarin)	В				
Alternative: low molecular		2	1.000	3.0; 3	
weight heparin	С	_	1.000	3.0, 3	
Digoxin, Digitoxin		4	1.000	3.0; 3	
	С			,	
Diltiazem, verapamil	С	3(R1)	0.667(R1)	3.0; -(R1)	
		3(R2)	0.833(R2)	2.7; 3(R2)	
Class III antiarrhythmic agent amiodarone	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
All other class I-III antiarrhythmic agents	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Acetylsalicylic acid (100 mg/d)	С	2	1.000	3,0; 3	
Class III antiarrhythmic	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	

agant dranadarana			
agent dronedarone			

	FORTA Class			Expert ratings on a	
	(original			numerical scale:	
	FORTA class in			A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
	parentheses if		Consensus		
	different from		coefficient,		
CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE	consensus		Round 1		
PULMONARY DISEASE	results)	Nr. of	(cutoff		Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
(COPD)		raters	0.800)	Mean; Mode	experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Inhalative long-acting	Α	4	0.875	1.3; 1	
parasympatholytic agents					
Systemic glucocorticoids,		4	0.875	1.3; 2	
acute, short-term use in	Α				
cases of exacerbation					
Antibiotics (acute) in cases		3	0.833	1.3; 1	
of exacerbation, after	Α				
calculated selection and, if					
necessary, according to					
antibiogram					
Long-term administration of	Α	4	0.875	1.3; 1	
oxygen	_				
Annual influenza	See				
immunizations	vaccinations				
B					
Pneumococcal	See				
immunizations for persons ≥ 65 years	vaccinations				
2 05 years	Vaccinations				
Inhalative beta 2 mimetic	В	3	0.833	1.7; 2	
agents		-		, –	
Inhalative glucocorticoids	(C)	3 (R1)	0.667(R1)	2.3; 2 (R1)	Note: Established treatment if exacerbations or non-response
_	В	3(R2)	0.667(R2)	2.3(R2); 2	on beta agonists
Theophylline	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Mucolytic agents, e,g,	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
acetyl cysteine, bromhexine					
Roflumilast	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	

Systemic glucocorticoids, chronic use	D	2	1.000	4.0; 4	
Antitussives: opioid A., e.g. codein; non-opioid A., e.g. butamirate	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Inhalative short-acting parasympatholytic agents	Α	4	0.875	1.3; 1	
OSTEOPOROSIS	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parenteses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/Group					
Calcium and vitamin D supplements (as prophylaxis for persons ≥65 years)	А	3	1.000	1.0; 1	
Parenteral bisphosphonates (e.g. ibandronate, IV every 3 months)	(B) A	4(R1) 4 (R2)	0.750(R1) 0.625(R2)	1.5; -(R1) 1.3; 1(R2)	
Denosumab	В	3	1.000	2.0; 2	
Raloxifene for women	В	2(R1) 3(R2)	0.750(R1) 0.833(R2)	2.5; -(R1) 2.3; 2(R2)	
Bisphosphonates, oral	(B) A	4(R1) 4(R2)	0.750(R1) 0.625(R2)	1.5; -(R1) 1.3; 1(R2)	
Teriparatide	С	3	0.833	2.7; 3	
Alfacalcidol	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Parathormone	С	1	1.000	3.0; 3	
Strontium ranelate	D	2(R1) 3(R2)	0.750(R1) 0.833(R2)	3.5; -(R1) 3.7; 4(R2)	
Nandrolone decanoate	D	1	1.000	4.0; 4	
Fluoride	D	1	1.000	4.0; 4	

Hormone replacement therapy (HRT): estrogen, except for perimenopausal)	D	3	0.833	3.7; 4	
TYPE II DIABETES MELLITUS	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
DPP4 (Dipeptidylpeptidase)	_	2	1.000	2.0; 2	
Inhibitors	В				
Insulin and insulin analogs	_	4	0.875	1.3; 1	
(if absolutely necessary)	Α (2)	1/54)	0 ==0 (5.1)	4.5 (5.4)	
Metformin	(A)	4(R1)	0.750 (R1)	1.5; -(R1)	Note: Careful in renal impairment
CLD4 (Change and Libra	В	4(R2)	0.750(R2)	1.5; -(R2)	
GLP1 (Glucagon-Like	В	2	1.000	2.0; 2	
Peptide-1) analogs Acarbose	В	2	1.000	2.0; 2	
3rd generation	В	3	0.833	3.3; 3	
sulfonylureas (for example, glimepiride)	С	3	0.833	5.5; 5	
Glinides (for example, nateglinide)	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
PPAR-y Ligands (Peroxisomal Proliferator- Activated Receptor gamma) Pioglitazone	с	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Rosiglitazone	D	1	1.000	4.0; 4	
SGLT-2 inhibitors/Gliflozins	D	2	1.000	4.0; 4	
1st generation sulfonylureas (for example, glibenclamide)	D	1	1.000	4.0; 4	

	FORTA Class			Expert ratings on a	
	(original			numerical scale:	
	FORTA class in			A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
	parentheses if		Consensus	, , ,	
	different from		coefficient,		
DEMENTIA	consensus		Round 1		Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
	results)	Nr. of	(cutoff		experts during the consensus procedure
	,	raters	0.800)	Mean; Mode	
Substance/group					
Acetylcholinesterase					
inhibitors					
e.g. donepezil, galantamine,		3	0.833	2.7.2	
rivastigmine (Only if	В	3	0.833	2.7; 2	
indicated for the present					
stage of the disease)					
Memantine	(C)	4(R1)	0.625(R1)	2.3; 3(R1)	
	В	4(R2)	0.625(R2)	2.3; 3(R2)	
Ginkgo biloba		2	1.000	4.0; 4	
	D				
Statins	D	3	0.833	3.7; 4	
Selegiline	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Nimodipine	D	1	1.000	4.0; 4	
Ergoline derivatives	D	1	1.000	4.0; 4	
Piracetam	D	2	1.000	4.0; 4	
Pyritinol	D	1	1.000	4.0; 4	
Antioxidants: Vitamin E,	D	2	1.000	4.0; 4	
selenium, vitamin C					
Phytotherapeutic agents,	D	2	1.000	4.0; 4	
e.g. ginseng					
Hormone preparations, e.g.		2	1.000	4.0; 4	
DHEA	D				
(Dehydroepiandrosterone),					
testosterone					
Antiphlogistics, e.g.	D	2	1.000	4.0; 4	
indomethacin					
Desferrioxamine	D	1	1.000	4.0; 4	

BEHAVIORAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL SYMPTOMS OF DEMENTIA (BPSD)	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
DEPRESSION					
Substance/group					
SSRI (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors) Citalopram/escitalopram, sertraline, fluoxetine in the usual dosages	С	4 (R1) 4 (R2)	0.750(R1) 0.875(R2)	2.5; -(R1) 2.8; 3(R2)	
Mirtazapine (15-45mg/d)	С	4(R1) 4(R2)	0.750(R1) 0.875(R2)	2.5; -(R1) 2.8; 3(R2)	
SNRI (Serotonin- Noradrenalin-Reuptake- Inhibitors) Venlafaxine, duloxetine	С	4(R1) 4(R2)	0.750(R1) 0.875(R2)	2.5; -(R1) 2.8; 3(R2)	
BPSD: PARANOIA, HALLUCINATION	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Risperidone (initially 0,5-1 mg/d)	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Melperone (25-150mg/d)	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Quetiapine (25-200 mg/d)	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	

Aripiprazole (2-15 mg/d)	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Haloperidol (initially 0.5 mg/d, max. 3 mg/d)	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Clozapine (10-50 mg/d)	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
BPSD: RESTLESSNESS, AGITATION, (AGGRESSIVENESS)	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group		10.0010			
Trazodone (50-200 mg/d)	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Risperidone (initiallly 0,5-1 mg/d, Maximum 3 mg/d)	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Quetiapine (25-200 mg/d)	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Melperone (25-150 mg/d)	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Citalopram (10-30mg)	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Clomethiazole (5-15 mg/d)	D	2	1.000	4.0; 4	
Memantine	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus		Consensus coefficient, Round 1	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
BPSD: SLEEP DISORDERS	results)	Nr. of raters	(cutoff 0.800)	Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure

Substance/group					
Slow-release melatonin	С	3	0.833	2.7; 3	
(2-4 mg)					
Tetracyclic antidepressant	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Mirtazapine (15-30mg)					
Tricyclic antidepressant	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Doxepine (25-50 mg)					
Zopiclone (3,75-7,5 mg)	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Zolpidem	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Benzodiazepines:	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Short-acting					
Nitrazepam	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
				-, -	
	FORTA Class			Expert ratings on a	
	(original			numerical scale:	
	FORTA class in			A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
DEPRESSION	parentheses if		Consensus	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Prophylaxis and therapy for	different from		coefficient,		
			LUCITICICITI,		
patients with moderate to	consensus results)	Nr. of	Round 1		Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
	consensus	Nr. of raters	Round 1 (cutoff	Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
patients with moderate to major depression	consensus		Round 1	Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
patients with moderate to major depression Substance/group	consensus		Round 1 (cutoff		
patients with moderate to major depression Substance/group SSRIs (Selective Serotonin	consensus	raters	Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Mean; Mode 2.0; 2	
patients with moderate to major depression Substance/group	consensus	raters	Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)		
patients with moderate to major depression Substance/group SSRIs (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor)	consensus results)	raters	Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	2.0; 2	
patients with moderate to major depression Substance/group SSRIs (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor)	consensus results)	raters 4	Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)		
patients with moderate to major depression Substance/group SSRIs (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor) Sertraline	consensus results)	raters 4	Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	2.0; 2	
patients with moderate to major depression Substance/group SSRIs (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor) Sertraline	consensus results)	raters 4	Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	2.0; 2	
patients with moderate to major depression Substance/group SSRIs (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor) Sertraline Escitalopram	consensus results) B	4 3	Round 1 (cutoff 0.800) 1.000	2.0; 2	
patients with moderate to major depression Substance/group SSRIs (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor) Sertraline Escitalopram Citalopram	consensus results) B B B	4 3 3	Round 1 (cutoff 0.800) 1.000	2.0; 2	
patients with moderate to major depression Substance/group SSRIs (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor) Sertraline Escitalopram Citalopram Tricyclic antidepressant	consensus results) B B B	4 3 3	Round 1 (cutoff 0.800) 1.000	2.0; 2	
patients with moderate to major depression Substance/group SSRIs (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor) Sertraline Escitalopram Citalopram Tricyclic antidepressant Nortriptyline	B B D	3 3 4	Round 1 (cutoff 0.800) 1.000 1.000	2.0; 2 2.0; 2 2.0; 2 4.0; 4	
patients with moderate to major depression Substance/group SSRIs (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor) Sertraline Escitalopram Citalopram Tricyclic antidepressant Nortriptyline Tetracyclic antidepressant	B B D	3 3 4 4(R1)	Round 1 (cutoff 0.800) 1.000 1.000 1.000 0.750(R1)	2.0; 2 2.0; 2 2.0; 2 4.0; 4 2.5; -(R1)	
patients with moderate to major depression Substance/group SSRIs (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor) Sertraline Escitalopram Citalopram Tricyclic antidepressant Nortriptyline Tetracyclic antidepressant Mirtazapine	B B D	3 3 4 4(R1) 4(R2)	Round 1 (cutoff 0.800) 1.000 1.000 1.000 0.750(R1) 0.750(R2)	2.0; 2 2.0; 2 2.0; 2 4.0; 4 2.5; -(R1) 2.5; -(R2)	
patients with moderate to major depression Substance/group SSRIs (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor) Sertraline Escitalopram Citalopram Tricyclic antidepressant Nortriptyline Tetracyclic antidepressant Mirtazapine SNRIs (Serotonin-	B B D	3 3 4 4(R1) 4(R2) 4(R1)	1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 0.750(R1) 0.750(R2) 0.750(R1)	2.0; 2 2.0; 2 2.0; 2 4.0; 4 2.5; -(R1) 2.5; -(R2) 2.5; -(R1)	

Venlafaxine	С				
Duloxetine	С	3	0.833	2.7; 3	
Monoamine oxidase A (MAO) inhibitor Moclobemide	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Dopamine and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor Bupropion	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Vortioxetine	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Olanzapine	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Quetiapine	D	2	1.000	4.0; 4	
Benzodiazepines: General	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Long-acting,	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Short-acting	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
St. John's Wort	D	2	1.000	4.0; 4	
Agomelatine	D	2	1.000	4.0; 4	
Selective noradrenaline re- uptake inhibitor Reboxetine	D	1	1.000	4.0; 4	
BIPOLAR DISORDER	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					·
Quetiapine	В	2	1.000	2.0; 2	

Lithium	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Valproic acid	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Lamotrigine	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Carbamazepine	D	2	1.000	4.0; 4	
	FORTA Class			Expert ratings on a	
	(original			numerical scale:	
	FORTA class in			A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
	parentheses if		Consensus		
_	different from		coefficient,		
INSOMNIA /	consensus		Round 1		
SLEEP DISORDERS	results)	Nr. of	(cutoff		Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
		raters	0.800)	Mean; Mode	experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Melatonin (slow-release)		3	0.833	2.7; 3	
	С				
ω1-Benzodiazepine		3	0.833	2.7;3	
agonists					
Zolpidem	С				
Zaleplone	С	2(R1)	0.750(R1)	2.5; -(R1)	
		2(R2)	1.000(R2)	3.0; 3(R2)	
Non-benzodiazepine	С	3	0.833	2.7; 3	
hypnotic Zopiclone					
Tetracyclic antidepressant	С	3	0.833	2.7; 3	
Mirtazapine					
Tricyclic antidepressant		3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Doxepine	D				
Benzodiazepines, e.g.		3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Oxazepam (medium half-	С				
life)					
Triazolam (very short half-	D	1	1.000	4.0; 4	
life)					
Antihistamine	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Diphenhydramin					

CHRONIC PAIN	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. Of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Paracetamol (acetaminophen)	Α	4	0.875	1.3; 1	
Opioids, e.g. Buprenorphine, oxycodone, hydromorphone	В	3	1.000	2.0; 2	
Primary use of a combination of an agonist and an antagonist, e.g. Tilidine/naloxone	С	1	1.000	3.0; 3	
Oxycodone/naloxone	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Morphine	С	2 (R1) 3(R2)	0.750(R1) 1.000(R2)	2.5; -(R1) 3.0; 3(R2)	Note: similar effects to oxycodone
Fentanyl	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Tramadol	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
SSRI (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors) / SNRI (Serotonin- Norepinephrine-Reuptake	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	

Inhibitor), e.g. venlafaxine (only if absolutely necessary)					
Antiepileptic agents (only for neuropathic pain)		2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Pregabalin/gabapentin	С				
Carbamazepine	D	1	1.000	4.0; 4	
Tricyclic antidepressant amitriptyline (does not apply to doses up to 10mg per day)	D	2	1.000	4.0; 4	
NSAIDs (nonsteroidal anti- inflammatory drugs, for long-term use), e.g. naproxen	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
Cox-2 inhibitors, e.g. celecoxib	D	3	0.833	3.7; 4	
EPILEPSY	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Levetiracetam	В	3	1.000	2.0; 2	
Lamotrigine	В	3	1.000	2.0; 2	

Gabapentin	В	3	1.000	2.0; 2	
Pregabalin		3	0.833	2.3; 2	
	В				
Lorazepam	В	1	1.000	2.0; 2	
(emergency use)					
Lorazepam	D	1	1.000	4.0; 4	
(long-term use)					
Topiramate	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Valproic acid	С	2(R1)	0.750(R1)	2.5; -(R1)	Note: first line still also in elderly
		3 (R2)	0.833(R2)	2.7;3(R2)	
Eslicarbazepine	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Lacosamide	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Zonisamide	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Carbamazepine	С	2(R1)	0.750(R1)	2.5; -(R1)	Note: first line still also in elderly
		3(R2)	1.000(R2)	3.0; 3(R2)	
Diazepam		3	1.000	2.0; 2	
(emergency use)	В				
Diazepam	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
(long-term use)				·	
Midazolam	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
(emrgency use)					
Midazolam	D	2	1.000	4.0; 4	
(long-term use)				<u> </u>	
Oxcarbazepine		3	1.000	4.0; 4	
	D				
Phenytoin	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
Phenobarbital	D	2	1.000	4.0; 4	

PARKINSON'S DISEASE	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
L-DOPA	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
COMT (Catechol-O- Methyltransferase) Inhibitor Entacapone, opicapon	В	3	1.000	2.0; 2	
Dopamine agonists, e.g.		3	1.000	2.0; 2	
Ropinirole	В				
Pramipexole	В	3	1.000	2.0; 2	
Piribedil, quinagolide, rotigotine	В	2	1.000	2.0; 2	
MAO-B inhibitors		3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Rasagiline	С				
Selegiline	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Glutamate antagonists Amantadine	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Bromocriptine, cabergoline	D	2	1.000	4.0; 4	
Anticholinergics Biperidene	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	

INCONTINENCE Drug therapy for urge incontinence	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Fesoterodine	В	3(R1) 3(R2)	0.667(R1) 1.000(R2)	2.7; 2(R1) 2.0; 2(R2)	
Tolterodine	С	3	0.833	3.3; 3	
Trospium chloride	С	2(R1) 1(R2)	0.750(R1) 1.000(R2)	3.5; -(R1) 3.0; 3(R2)	
Extended-release Oxybutynin	С	3	0.833	3.3; 3	
Immediate-release Oxybutynin	D	3	0.833	3.7; 4	

GASTROINTESTINAL ILLNESSES/ CONCOMITANT THERAPY WITH NSAIDs	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. Of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Proton pump inhibitors (PPI), only if absolutely necessary	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
H ₂ receptor antagonists	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	

Anemia	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Substitution (iron, vitamin B12, folic acid in cases of deficiency)	A	3	1.000	1.0; 1	
Erythropoetin-stimulating agents (ESA) in patients with renal insufficiency	A	4	0.875	1.3; 1	
Iron substitution in patients with cardiac insufficiency	See cardiac insufficiency				
With proof of iron deficiency					

Vaccinations	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Annual influenza	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
immunizations					
Pneumococcal	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
immunizations for persons ≥					
65 years					

Shingles (Herpes Zoster) Vaccination	Α	2	1.000	1.0; 1	
Vaccination					

ONCOLOGICAL DISEASES: SOLID TUMORS	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
INDICATION			-		
Substance/group					
BREAST CANCER					
Adjuvant therapy					
Hormone therapy, e.g.		1	1.000	2.0; 2	
Tamoxifen	В				
Aromatase inhibitors	В	1	1.000	2.0; 2	
Immunotherapy /		1	1.000	2.0; 2	
"Targeted" therapy	В				
Trastuzumab					
Chemotherapy, e.g.		1	1.000	3.0; 3	
CMF (Combination Cyclophosphamide,	С				

Methotrexate, 5- Fluorouracil) AC/EC Regimen (Anthracycline/ Epirubicin, Cyclophosphamide)	С	1	1.000	3.0; 3	
ONCOLOGICAL DISEASES HEMATOLOGICAL NEOPLASIAS	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
INDICATION	,		,	,	
Substance/group					
Multiple myeloma		1	1.000	2.0; 2	
Primary therapy with					
Prednisolone	В				
Thalidomide	В	1	1.000	2.0; 2	
Melphalan	В	1	1.000	2.0; 2	
Bortezomib	В	1	1.000	2.0; 2	
Lenalidomide	В	1	1.000	2.0; 2	

ONCOLOGICAL SUPPORTIVE THERAPY	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
G-CSF (Granulocyte Colony Stimulation Factor)	A	1	1.000	1.0; 1	
Antiemetic agents (e.g. 5- HT receptor inhibitors)	Α	1	1.000	1.0; 1	
Erythropoesis Stimulating Agents, ESA	В	1	1.000	2.0; 2	

^{*}This substance or indication was suggested by the participating experts during the course of Round 1 and evaluated by the experts during Round 2, see second table below.

R1= Round 1

R2= Round 2

REFERENCES

- 1. Wehling M. Drug therapy in the elderly: too much or too little, what to do? A new assessment system: fit for the aged FORTA. Dtsch Med Wochenschr 2008; 133: 2289-91.
- 2. Wehling M. Multimorbidity and polypharmacy: how to reduce the harmful drug load and yet add needed drugs in the elderly? Proposal of a new drug classification: fit for the aged. J Am Geriatr Soc 2009; 57: 560-561.
- 3. Wehling M, Burkhardt H. Arzneitherapie für Ältere. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 3. Auflage 2013.
- 4. Wehling M, Ed., Drug Therapy for the Elderly. Springer-Verlag, Wien 2013
- 5. Kuhn-Thiel AM. et al. Consensus validation of the FORTA (Fit fOR The Aged) List: a clinical tool for increasing the appropriateness of pharmacotherapy in the elderly. Drugs Aging. 2014; 31(2): 131-140.
- 6. Wehling M. et al. VALFORTA: a randomized trial to validate the FORTA (Fit fOR The Aged) classification. Age Ageing Jan 18, 2016, doi: 10.1093/ageing/afv200 [Epub ahead of print]
- 7. Wehling M. How to Use the FORTA ("Fit fOR The Aged") List to Improve Pharmacotherapy in the Elderly. Drug Res 2015, ePub

SUMMARY OF STATISTICAL METHODS

(The following descriptions of the statistical methods and calculations are based on the first version of the FORTA List⁵. Former definitions and explanations are adopted unchanged.)

Consensus Coefficient⁵

Consensus parameters were generated by calculating the percentage of experts' FORTA ratings (minus abstentions) agreeing with the original FORTA values, both overall and for each item separately (n = 263; 25 of 263 were removed). The coefficients were then corrected (cons_corr) to weight the degree of deviation between the experts' individual FORTA ratings, expressed in terms of range class, from 0-3 as defined:

- Range = 0: unanimity among all experts, full weight;
- Range = 1: greatest range only from A to B or B to C, or C to D (neighboring classes), ½ weight;
- Range = 2: greatest distance from A to C or B to D, weight=0;
- Range = 3: greatest distance from A to D, weight=0.

Frequency of substances in defined range groups according to degree of consensus

Range	Frequency (n total=238 [*])	%
0	201	76.43
1	58	22.05
2	4	1.52

• For 25 substances the number of ratings was zero. Thus, we did not include these substances in this table.

Cons_corr coefficients ranged from 0.500 to 1.000 (mean 0.944, median 1.000). Substances falling short of our established cons_corr cutoff of 0.800 underwent re-evaluation in a second round: n=25

Confirmation/determination of FORTA labels⁵

In order to compare the rater-based FORTA labels with the original author-based labels, the labels A, B, C and D were transformed as follows⁵:

 $A \rightarrow 1$ $B \rightarrow 2$ $C \rightarrow 3$

 $D \rightarrow 4$

These numerical "grades" were used for the calculation of arithmetic mean. The mode (=grade appearing most frequently for rated item) is also shown. For the 25 re-evaluated items, grading was performed twice. The rater-based FORTA labels are derived from the arithmetic mean from Round 1, or if re-evaluated, from Round 2. The range for each grade was set at:

If $1 \le m < 1.5$ \rightarrow FORTA Class **A**If $1.5 \le m < 2.5$ \rightarrow FORTA Class **B**If $2.5 \le m < 3.5$ \rightarrow FORTA Class **C**If $m \ge 3.5$ \rightarrow FORTA Class **D**

m= arithmetic mean based on the grades 1-4

The results of The Delphi Consensus Validation Procedure confirmed the original FORTA labels for 96.6% of all substances (n=238, substances with low number of raters, less than two, are not taken into consideration); for 8/238 substances (3.4%), the FORTA labels changed over the course of two rounds. All consensus-based FORTA ratings are listed in bold print: **A B C D**, and the original author-based FORTA ratings are supplied in parentheses: (A) (B) (C) (D).

•