The EURO-FORTA (Fit fOR The Aged) List Version 2: Consensus Validation of a Clinical Tool for Improved Pharmacotherapy in Older Adults

Drugs & Aging

Farhad Pazan¹, Christel Weiss², Martin Wehling¹ *FORTA

Affiliations:

- 1 Clinical Pharmacology Mannheim, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Theodor-Kutzer-Ufer 1-3, 68167 Mannheim, Germany
- 2 Department of Medical Statistics, Biomathematics and Information Processing, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, 68167 Mannheim, Germany

Corresponding author:

Dr. Farhad Pazan e-mail: <u>farhad.pazan@medma.uni-heidelberg.de</u> Phone: +49 621 383 9629

The FORTAList "Fit for The Aged" Expert Consensus Validation

United Kingdom/Ireland



Farhad Pazan¹, Christel Weiß², Martin Wehling¹

¹Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, Center for Geriatric Pharmacology, Medical Faculty of the University of Heidelberg in Mannheim ² Department of Medical Statistics, Biomathematics and Information Processing, Medical Faculty of the University of Heidelberg in Mannheim

Disclaimer

Please keep in mind that the FORTA Concept was conceived and developed in Germany. While building on an international foundation of medical evidence and experience for the medications listed, including already existing "negative lists" and classification systems, this FORTA List primarily reflects prescribing tendencies in the UK/Ireland. The FORTA labels themselves, being evidence-based, may possibly be subject to change during the course of further consensus evaluation procedures, depending on the state of evidence and clinical experience for a given substance⁵. Meanwhile, the FORTA principle has been validated in a randomized clinical trial (VALFORTA) showing a large improvement of medication quality and amelioration of clinical parameters⁶.

With the goal of creating a user-friendly clinical tool, a summary of relevant comments is given directly in the FORTA List, drawing on the Delphi experts' extensive clinical experience. This is however by no means comprehensive and does not necessarily refer to specific evidence or sources. Therefore, the authors' selection of suggestions, comments and warnings may be subjective⁵. 'No comment' reflects the absence of noteworthy or relevant words of information or caution within the context of the expert evaluation. All information herein is believed to be true and accurate. Neither the authors nor the University of Heidelberg or affiliated institutions, as the publishers of this list, can accept legal responsibility for any errors or omissions made in the contents of this list⁵.

We welcome all comments and criticism which may contribute to the quality, safety and usability of the FORTA List in daily clinical practice.

The FORTA Concept: expert panel for the FORTA classification system

FORTA Expert Review Panel

The following 4 colleagues, representing the UK, provided their expertise for purposes of evaluating the proposed FORTA List. They received no honoraria in connection with this project. All panel members contributed actively to the development of the FORTA List.

Expert Panel Members and their affiliations

Peter Crome, MD: Department of Primary Care and Population Health, University College London; and Emeritus Professor of Geriatric Medicine, Keele University, Keele

Denis O'Mahony, MD: Department of Medicine, University College Cork & Consultant Geriatrician, Cork University Hospital, Wilton, Cork, Ireland Stephen Byrne, PhD: School of Pharmacy, Cavanagh Pharmacy Building, University College Cork, Ireland Roy L. Soiza, MD: Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, Foresterhill, Aberdeen AB25 2ZN

F O R T A – Physician's guide^{1,2,5,7}

 FORTA is evidence-based + real-life-oriented (factors such as compliance issues, age-dependent tolerance and frequency of relative contraindications are considered). 									
2. Classifications are indication (or di	2. Classifications are indication (or diagnosis)-dependent: a medication can receive different FORTA classifications based on differing indications.								
3. Contraindications <u>always</u> take pre-	cedence over the FORTA-classificatior	n (for example, even Class A medications ma	ay not be given if allergies are present).						
4. FORTA is designed to be a quick and user-friendly clinical tool to aid in the pharmacotherapy of older patients [*] . The system is <u>not</u> intended to take the place of individual therapeutic considerations or decisions. As with any simplified model, it <u>does</u> allow for exceptions.									
FORTA-Classification System A-D ^{1,2,3,4,7}									
Class A = Indispensable drug, clear-cut benefit in terms of efficacy/safety ratio proven in elderly patients for a given indication	Class B = Drugs with proven or obvious efficacy in the elderly, but limited extent of effect and/or safety concerns	Class C = Drugs with questionable efficacy/safety profiles in the elderly which should be avoided or omitted in the presence of too many drugs, absence of benefits or emerging side effects; explore alternatives	Class D = Avoid if at all possible in the elderly, omit first and use alternative substances						
* FORTA aims at older patients, has been validated	primarily for patients 65 years of age or older with s	ignificant comorbidities (3 or more diagnoses and drugs) ar	nd should be used in all patients 80 years of age or older						

The FORTA List^{3,4,5} Delphi Expert Consensus Validation



Classification of the most frequently used long-term medications† for the pharmacotherapy of older patients by indication/diagnosis, ranked according to FORTA classification

Newly proposed drugs are mentioned under the respective diagnosis and marked by *; they are listed in greater detail in the second part.

(† long-term defined as > 4 weeks. Please note that the distinction between acute/chronic may not always be clear-cut; exceptions are noted)

	FORTA Class			Expert ratings on a	
	(original FORTA			numerical scale:	
	class in			A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
	parentheses if		Consensus	// 1/0 2/0 0/0 4	
	different from		coefficient,		
	consensus		Round 1		
	results)	Nr. of	(cutoff		Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
ARTERIAL HYPERTENSION	results	raters	0.800)	Mean; Mode	experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/Group		Taters	0.800)		experts during the consensus procedure
Renin-Angiotensin system		4	1.000	1.0; 1	
inhibitors		4	1.000	1.0; 1	
	•				
ACE inhibitors	A		1.000	10.1	
		4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Angiotensin receptor	A				
antagonists					
Long-acting calcium	_	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
antagonists,	A				
dihydropyridine					
type, for example					
amlodipine					
Betablockers except	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
atenolol					
Atenolol	(D)	4 (R1)	0.750(R1)	3.5; -(R1)	Note: I am not persuaded atenolol is any worse than other
	С	2 (R2)	0.250(R2)	2.5; -(R2)	betablocker; I would not initiate atenolol in older people, but if I
					encounter a patient in whom it is well tolerated, I would
					probably not stop it.
Diuretics except indapamid	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Indapamid	(A)	4(R1)	0.625(R1)	1.8; 2(R1)	Note: I would not make any exception for indapamide. All
	В	2(R2)	0.500(R2)	2; 2(R2)	thiazides frequently cause orthostatic hypotension and
					hyponatraemia, so they are better classed as B; I don't consider
					indapamide any better (or worse) than other thiazide diuretics
					for HTN.; All diuretics should be considered together.
					Indapamid is routinely not available as a single agent, it is
					normally in a combination product

Alpha blockers	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Spironolactone	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Moxonidine	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Aliskiren	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Urapidil	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Clonidine	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Minoxidil	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Calcium antagonists, verapamil type	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Hydralazine	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Isosorbide dinitrate	С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus		Consensus coefficient, Round 1	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
CARDIAC INSUFFICIENCY	results)	Nr. of raters	(cutoff 0.800)	Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/Group				weall, would	
Renin-angiotensin system inhibitors ACE inhibitors	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Angiotensin receptor antagonists	Α	·	1.000	1.0, 1	
Betablockers (metoprolol, carvedilol, bisoprolol)	А	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Diuretics	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Gliflozins (SGLT2 inhibitors) only those substances	В	4	0.875	2.3; 2	

which have been approved					
for this indication					
(dapgliflozine)					
Spironolactone	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Digitalis preparations	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Ivabradine	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Nitrates	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Hydralazine	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Iron substitution in patients	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
with iron deficiency					

ACUTE CORONARY SYNDROME	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/Group					
Renin-Angiotensin-System-	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Blocker: ACE-Hemmer					
Acetylsalicylic acid	А	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Unfractionated heparin and low molecular weight heparin	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Frequency-lowering betablockers, e.g. metoprolol or bisoprolol	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Atorvastatin	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Nitroglycerin spray, single use, acute as on-demand medication	А	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Clopidogrel, prasugrel	А	4 4	1.000 1.000	1.0; 1 1.0; 1	

	A for stent				
Thrombolytics, especially		4	1.000	2.0; 2	
rTPA (recombinant tissue-	В			,	
type plasminogen activator)					
Nitrates, long-term	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Gp IIb/IIIa antagonists		4	0.875	2.8; 3	
(glycoprotein 2b/3a	С				
inhibitors)					
Ivabradine	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Ticagrelor	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
	FORTA Class			Expert ratings on a	
	(original FORTA			numerical scale:	
	class in			A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
	parentheses if		Consensus		
	different from		coefficient, Round 1		
CHRONIC THERAPY FOLLOWING MYOCARDIAL	consensus		(cutoff		Coloction of nortinent comments given by participating
INFARCTION	results)	Nr. of	0.800)		Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
INFARCTION		raters	0.800)	Mean; Mode	experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group		Taters			
Renin angiotensin system			1.000		
blockers	Α	4	21000	1.0; 1	
ACE Inhibitors		·		, _	
Acetylsalicylic acid	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
(100 mg/d)					
Frequency-lowering beta	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
blockers up to 3 years					
Frequency-lowering beta		4	1.000	2.0; 2	
blockers longer than 3	В			,	
years					
-			1.000	10.1	
Nitroglycerin spray, single use as on-demand	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
use as on-demand medication					
medication					

Influenza vaccination	See				
(inactivated subunit	vaccinations				
vaccines)/pneumococcal					
immunizations					
Statins	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
	B for very old	3	1.000	2.0; 2	
	(>85 years)				
	patients				
Clopidogrel (12 months	A with aspirin	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
after acute coronary	intolerance				
syndrome)					
Nitrates, long-term	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Fibrates	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Ezetimibe	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Amiodarone	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
All other class-I-III	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
antiarrhythmic agents					
Dihydropyridine	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
antagonists					
(if no hypertension)					
Niacin	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	

STROKE	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/Group					
Acetylsalicylic acid	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Atorvastatin		4	1.000	2.0; 2	

	В			
rTDA (recombinent tissue	_	4	1.000	1 0, 1
rTPA (recombinant tissue-	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1
type plasminogen activator)				
; only for emergency use				
Simvastatin	Α	4	0.875	1.3; 1
Anticoagulants including	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1
new oral anticoagulants				
Clopidogrel	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1
Dipyridamole plus	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3
acetylsalicylic acid				,

ATRIAL FIBRILLATION	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Frequency-lowering betablockers	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Digoxin	В	4	0.875	2.3; 2	
New Oral Anticoagulants (NOACs)	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Except dabigatran	C	4	0.875	2.8; 3	
Oral anticoagulation by vitamin-K-antagonists (e.g. phenprocoumon,	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	

warfarin)					
Alternative: low molecular weight heparin	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Digitoxin	С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
Diltiazem, verapamil	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Class III antiarrhythmic agent amiodarone	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
All other class I or III antiarrhythmic agents	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Acetylsalicylic acid (100 mg/d)	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Class III antiarrhythmic agent dronedarone	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	

CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASE (COPD)	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Inhalative long-acting parasympatholytic agents	Α	4	0.875	1.3; 1	
Systemic glucocorticoids, acute, short-term use in cases of exacerbation	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Antibiotics (acute) in cases of exacerbation, after calculated selection and, if necessary, according to antibiogram	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Long-term administration of oxygen	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Annual influenza immunizations	See vaccinations				
Pneumococcal immunizations for persons ≥ 65 years	See vaccinations				
Inhalative beta 2 mimetic agents	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Inhalative glucocorticoids	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Theophylline	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Mucolytic agents, e,g,	С	4	0.875	2.8; 3	

acetyl cysteine,					
bromhexine					
Roflumilast	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Systemic glucocorticoids,	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
chronic use					
Antitussives: opioid A., e.g.	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
codein; non-opioid A., e.g.					
butamirate					
	FORTA Class			Expert ratings on a	
	(original FORTA			numerical scale:	
	class in			A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
	parenteses if		Consensus		
	different from		coefficient,		
OSTEOPOROSIS	consensus		Round 1		
	results)	Nr. of	(cutoff		Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
		raters	0.800)	Mean; Mode	experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/Group					
Calcium and vitamin D		4	1.000	1.0; 1	
supplements (as	A				
prophylaxis for persons ≥					
65 years)			0.075	12.1	
Parenteral	•	4	0.875	1.3; 1	
bisphosphonates (e.g.	A				
ibandronate, IV every 3 months)					
Raloxifene for women		4	1.000	2.0.2	
Raloxitene for women	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Denosumab	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Bisphosphonates, oral	B	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Teriparatide	C	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Alfacalcidol	C C	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Parathormone	C C	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
Strontium ranelate	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Nandrolone decanoate	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Fluoride	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Hormone replacement	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
		т	0.075	5.5, 4	

therapy (HRT): estrogen, except for perimenopausal)					
TYPE II DIABETES MELLITUS	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
DPP4 (Dipeptidylpeptidase) Inhibitors	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Insulin and insulin analogs (if absolutely necessary)	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Metformin	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
GLP1 (Glucagon-Like Peptide-1) analogs	В	4	0.875	2.3; 2	
Acarbose	с	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
3rd generation sulfonylureas (for example, glimepiride)	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Glinides (for example, nateglinide)	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
PPAR-y Ligands (Peroxisomal Proliferator- Activated Receptor gamma) Pioglitazone	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Rosiglitazone	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	

SGLT-2 inhibitors/Gliflozins	с	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
1st generation sulfonylureas (for example, glibenclamide)	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
DEMENTIA	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors e.g. donepezil, galantamine, rivastigmine (Only if indicated for the present stage of the disease)	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Memantine	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Ginkgo biloba	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Statins	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Selegiline	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Nimodipine	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Ergoline derivatives	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Piracetam	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	

Pyritinol	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Antioxidants: Vitamin E,	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
selenium, vitamin C					
Phytotherapeutic agents,	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
e.g. ginseng					
Hormone preparations, e.g.	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
DHEA					
(Dehydroepiandrosterone),					
testosterone					
Antiphlogistics, e.g.	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
indomethacin					
Desferrioxamine	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
BEHAVIORAL AND	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if		Consensus	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
PSYCHOLOGICAL	different from		coefficient,		
SYMPTOMS OF DEMENTIA	consensus		Round 1		Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
SYMPTOMS OF DEMENTIA (BPSD)	consensus results)	Nr. of	(cutoff		Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
		Nr. of raters			
(BPSD)		-	(cutoff	Mean; Mode	
(BPSD) DEPRESSION		-	(cutoff	Mean; Mode	
(BPSD) DEPRESSION Substance/group		-	(cutoff	Mean; Mode	
(BPSD) DEPRESSION Substance/group SSRI (Selective Serotonin		-	(cutoff	Mean; Mode	
(BPSD) DEPRESSION Substance/group SSRI (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors)	results)	raters	(cutoff 0.800)		
(BPSD) DEPRESSION Substance/group SSRI (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors) Citalopram/escitalopram,		-	(cutoff	Mean; Mode 3.0; 3	
(BPSD) DEPRESSION Substance/group SSRI (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors) Citalopram/escitalopram, sertraline, fluoxetine in the	results)	raters	(cutoff 0.800)		
(BPSD) DEPRESSION Substance/group SSRI (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors) Citalopram/escitalopram, sertraline, fluoxetine in the usual dosages	c results	raters 4	(cutoff 0.800) 1.000	3.0; 3	
(BPSD) DEPRESSION Substance/group SSRI (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors) Citalopram/escitalopram, sertraline, fluoxetine in the usual dosages Mirtazapine (15-45mg/d)	results)	raters	(cutoff 0.800)		
(BPSD) DEPRESSION Substance/group SSRI (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors) Citalopram/escitalopram, sertraline, fluoxetine in the usual dosages Mirtazapine (15-45mg/d) SNRI (Serotonin-	c results	raters 4	(cutoff 0.800) 1.000	3.0; 3	
(BPSD) DEPRESSION Substance/group SSRI (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors) Citalopram/escitalopram, sertraline, fluoxetine in the usual dosages Mirtazapine (15-45mg/d) SNRI (Serotonin- Noradrenalin-Reuptake-	results)	raters 4	(cutoff 0.800) 1.000	3.0; 3	
(BPSD) DEPRESSION Substance/group SSRI (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors) Citalopram/escitalopram, sertraline, fluoxetine in the usual dosages Mirtazapine (15-45mg/d) SNRI (Serotonin- Noradrenalin-Reuptake- Inhibitors)	c results	raters 4 4	(cutoff 0.800) 1.000 1.000	3.0; 3 3.0; 3	
(BPSD) DEPRESSION Substance/group SSRI (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors) Citalopram/escitalopram, sertraline, fluoxetine in the usual dosages Mirtazapine (15-45mg/d) SNRI (Serotonin- Noradrenalin-Reuptake- Inhibitors) Venlafaxine, duloxetine	results) C C C	raters 4 4 4	(cutoff 0.800) 1.000 1.000	3.0; 3 3.0; 3 3.0; 3	
(BPSD) DEPRESSION Substance/group SSRI (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors) Citalopram/escitalopram, sertraline, fluoxetine in the usual dosages Mirtazapine (15-45mg/d) SNRI (Serotonin- Noradrenalin-Reuptake- Inhibitors)	results)	raters 4 4	(cutoff 0.800) 1.000 1.000	3.0; 3 3.0; 3	

BPSD: PARANOIA, HALLUCINATION	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Risperidone (initially 0,5-1 mg/d)	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Melperone (25-150mg/d)	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Quetiapine (25-200 mg/d)	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Aripiprazole (2-15 mg/d)	с	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Clozapine (10-50 mg/d)	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Haloperidol (initially 0.5 mg/d, max. 3 mg/d)	с	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
BPSD: RESTLESSNESS, AGITATION, (AGGRESSIVENESS)	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Trazodone (50-200 mg/d)	C	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
Risperidone (initiallly 0,5-1 mg/d, Maximum 3 mg/d)	C	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Quetiapine (25-200 mg/d)	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Melperone (25-150 mg/d)	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	

Pipamperone (20-120		3	1.000	4.0; 4	
mg/d)	D				
Citalopram (10-30mg)	С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
Clomethiazole (5-15 mg/d)	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
BPSD: SLEEP DISORDERS	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
				Mean; Mode	experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group				,	
Slow-release melatonin (2-4 mg)	C	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Tetracyclic antidepressant Mirtazapine (15-30mg)	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Tricyclic antidepressant Doxepine (25-50 mg)	С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
Zopiclone (3,75-7,5 mg)	С	4	0.875	2.8; 3	
DEPRESSION Prophylaxis and therapy for patients with moderate to major depression	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
SSRIs (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor)		4	1.000	2.0; 2	

Sertraline	В				
Escitalopram	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Citalopram	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Tricyclic antidepressant	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Nortriptyline Tetracyclic antidepressant Mirtazapine	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
SNRIs (Serotonin- Noradrenalin Reuptake Inhibitors) Venlafaxine	с	4	0.875	2.8; 3	
Duloxetine	с	4	0.875	2.8; 3	
Monoamine oxidase A (MAO) inhibitor Moclobemide	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Dopamine and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor Bupropion	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Vortioxetine	С	4 (R1) 2 (R2)	0.750 (R1) 0.750(R2)	3.5; - (R1) 2.5; -(R2)	
Quetiapine	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
Trazodone	(B) C	4(R1) 2(R2)	0.750(R1) 0.750(R2)	2.5; -(R1) 2.5; -(R2)	Note: Trazodone is chemically similar to amitriptyline and has significant antimuscarinic effects. Given that there are several more effective and better tolerated antidepressants, it should be seldom used. The S/E profile of trazodone would suggest to me a 'C' not a 'B'
Olanzapine	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
Benzodiazepines: General	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	

Long-acting,	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Short-acting	D	4		4.0; 4	
St. John's Wort	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Agomelatine	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Selective noradrenaline re-	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
uptake inhibitor					
Reboxetine					
BIPOLAR DISORDER	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Lithium	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Quetiapine	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Valproic acid	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Lamotrigine	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Carbamazepine	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	

INSOMNIA / SLEEP DISORDERS	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Melatonin (slow-release)	В	4	0.875	2.3; 2	
ω1-Benzodiazepine		4	1.000	3.0; 3	
agonists Zolpidem	с				
Zaleplone	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Non-benzodiazepine hypnotic Zopiclone	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Butyrophenone derivative Pipamperone	С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
Melperone	С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
Tetracyclic antidepressant Mirtazapine	С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
Tricyclic antidepressant Doxepine	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Benzodiazepines, e.g. Oxazepam (medium half- life)	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Triazolam (very short half- life)	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
Sigma receptor agonist Opipramole	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	

Antihistamine Diphenhydramine	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
CHRONIC PAIN	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group		Taters	0.800)		experts during the consensus procedure
Paracetamol (acetaminophen)	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Metamizole	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Opioids, e.g. Buprenorphine, oxycodone,	(B)	4 (R1)	0.750 (R1)	2.5; 2(R1)	Note: Recent guidance for chronic pain advises against use of opiates or opioids in chronic pain
hydromorphone	С	2(R2)	0.750(R2)	2.5; -(R2)	
Primary use of a combination of an agonist and an antagonist, e.g. Tilidine/naloxone	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Oxycodone/naloxone	С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
Morphine	С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
SSRI (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors) / SNRI (Serotonin-	C	4	1.000	3.0; 3	

Norepinephrine-Reuptake Inhibitor), e.g. venlafaxine (only if absolutely necessary) Antiepileptic agents (only for neuropathic pain)		4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Pregabalin/gabapentin	C				
Carbamazepine	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Tricyclic antidepressant amitriptyline (does not apply to doses up to 10mg per day)	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
NSAIDs (nonsteroidal anti- inflammatory drugs, for long-term use), e.g. naproxen	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Cox-2 inhibitors, e.g. celecoxib	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Lidocaine (as patch)	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Capsaicin cream	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
EPILEPSY	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)		Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
EFILEPSY		Nr. of raters	(cutoff 0.800)	Mean; Mode	experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Levetiracetam	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Lamotrigine	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	

Gabapentin	В	4	0.875	2.3; 2	
Topiramate	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Lorazepam	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
(emergency use)					
	D	2	1.000	4.0; 4	
Lorazepam		_			
(long-term use)					
Pregabalin	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Queerhenerine	С	1	1 000	2.0.2	
Oxcarbazepine	Ľ	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Valproic acid	С	4	0.875	2.8; 3	
	Č		0.075	2.0, 5	
Eslicarbazepine	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Lincarbazepine	C		1.000	5.0, 5	
Lacosamide	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Zonisamide	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Carbamazepine	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Diazepam	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
(emrgency use)					
	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Diazepam					
(long-term use)					
Midazolam	С	4	0.875	2.8; 3	
(emrgency use)		2	1.000	4.0.4	
Midazolam	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
(long-term use)					
Phenytoin	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
riienytoin		+	0.875	5.0, 4	
Phenobarbital	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Ethosuximide	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	

PARKINSON'S DISEASE	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
L-DOPA	В	4	0.875	1.8; 2	
COMT (Catechol-O- Methyltransferase) Inhibitor Entacapone, opicapon	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Dopamine agonists, e.g. Ropinirole	с	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Pramipexole	C	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Piribedil, quinagolide, rotigotine	В	4	0.875	2.3; 2	
MAO-B inhibitors		4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Rasagiline	с				
		4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Selegiline	с				

Bromocriptine, cabergoline	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Glutamate antagonists Amantadine	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
Anticholinergics Biperidene	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
INCONTINENCE Drug therapy for urge incontinence	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Fesoterodine	с	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Tolterodine	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Trospium chloride	C	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Extended-release Oxybutynin	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Immediate-release Oxybutynin	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Mirabegron	C	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Duloxetine	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	

GASTROINTESTINAL ILLNESSES/ CONCOMITANT THERAPY WITH NSAIDs	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. Of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Proton pump inhibitors (PPI), only if absolutely necessary	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
H ₂ receptor antagonists	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	

Anemia	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Substitution (iron, vitamin B12, folic acid in cases of deficiency)	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Erythropoetin-stimulating agents (ESA) in patients with renal insufficiency	A	4	0.875	1.3; 1	

Iron substitution in patients with cardiac insufficiency	See cardiac insufficiency		
With proof of iron deficiency			

Vaccinations	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Annual influenza immunizations	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Pneumococcal immunizations for persons ≥ 65 years	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Shingles (Herpes Zoster) Vaccination	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1	

INTESTINAL COLIC	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from	 Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Isensus fficient,	
	consensus	ound 1	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating

	results)	raters	(cutoff 0.800)		experts during the consensus procedure
				Mean; Mode	
Substance/group					
Mebeverine	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Hyoscine butylbromide	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Propantheline bromide	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	

Syndrome of inappropriate antidiuretic hormone	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Tolvaptan	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	

	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if		Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
ONCOLOGICAL DISEASES:	different from consensus	Consensus coefficient,		

SOLID TUMORS	results)	Nr. of raters	Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
INDICATION Substance/group					
BREAST CANCER Adjuvant therapy					
Hormone therapy, e.g. Tamoxifen	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Aromatase inhibitors	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Immunotherapy / "Targeted" therapy Trastuzumab	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Chemotherapy, e.g.		4	1.000	3.0; 3	
CMF (Combination Cyclophosphamide, Methotrexate, 5- Fluorouracil)	С				
AC/EC Regimen(Anthracycline/ Epirubicin, Cyclophosphamide)	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
BREAST CANCER Advanced Stage					
Hormone therapy, e.g. tamoxifen, aromatase inhibitors	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Immunotherapy/Targeted Therapy	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	

Trastuzumab /					
lapatinib					
-	С	4	1.000	2.0.2	
Chemotherapy, e.g.	L	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
anthracyclins, taxanes					
VEGF (Vascular Endothelial		4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Growth Factor) Inhibition	D				
Bevacizumab					
COLORECTAL CARCINOMA					
Adjuvant Therapy					
FOLFOX Regimen (Folinic	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
acid, Fluorouracil,					
Oxaliplatin)					
5-Fluorouracil based	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
infusion regimen					
Capecitabine	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
				/ -	
COLORECTAL CARCINOMA					
Advanced stage					
Chemotherapy		4	1.000	3.0; 3	
FOLFOX (Folinic acid,	С				
Fluorouracil, Oxaliplatin)					
VEGF (Vascular Endothelial		4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Growth Factor) Inhibition	С	-		, -	
Bevacizumab	•				
EGFR (Epidermal-Growth-		4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Factor-Receptor) Inhibition	С	-	1.000	5.0, 5	
Cetuximab	C				
Cetuxiniab		4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Panitumumab	С	4	1.000	5.0, 5	
	L				
BRONCHIAL CARCINOMA					
Adjuvant therapy					
Adjuvant chemotherapy	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
(Cisplatin-based)					
BRONCHIAL CARCINOMA					
Advanced Stage					

Docetaxel	В	4	0.875	2.3; 2	
Vinorelbin					
Vinoreibin	В	4	0.875	2.3; 2	
Primary combination therapy Cisplatin/gemcitabin, or	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
cisplatin/vinorelbin					
GASTRIC CANCER					
ECF Regime (Epirubicin, Cisplatin, 5-Fluorouracil)	с	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
ONCOLOGICAL DISEASES HEMATOLOGICAL NEOPLASIAS	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
INDICATION Substance/group					
MDS (Myelodysplastic syndrome) Azacytidine	В	4	0.875	2.3; 2	
AML (Acute myeloid leukemia) Anthracyclines + cytosine arabinoside (cytarabine)	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
CLL (Chronic lymphatic leukemia) Chlorambucil, Fludarabin, Bendamustin	В	4	0.875	2.3; 2	
CLL Obinutuzumab	В	4	0.875	2.3; 2	

CLL		4	0.875	2.3; 2	
Rituximab	В	-	0.075	2.3, 2	
Multiple myeloma		4	0.875	2.3; 2	
				- /	
Primary therapy with					
Prednisolone	В				
W (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	_	4	0.875	2.3; 2	
Thalidomide	В				
		4	0.875	2.3; 2	
Melphalan	В	4	0.875	2.3, 2	
Bortezomib	В	4	0.875	2.3; 2	
Lenalidomide	В	4	0.875	2.3; 2	
CLL	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Ibrutinib		4	1.000	2.0.2	
CLL Idelalisib	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
				Expert ratings on a	
	FORTA Class			numerical scale:	
	(original			A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
ONCOLOGICAL	FORTA class in			N 1,8 2,0 0,8 4	
SUPPORTIVE THERAPY	parentheses if		Consensus		
	different from		coefficient,		
	consensus		Round 1		
	results)	Nr. of	(cutoff		Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
	_	raters	0.800)	Mean; Mode	experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
G-CSF (Granulocyte Colony	Α	4	0.875	1.3; 1	
Stimulation Factor)					
Antiemetic agents (e.g. 5-	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
HT receptor inhibitors)					
Erythropoesis Stimulating	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Agents, ESA					

*This substance or indication was suggested by the participating experts during the course of Round 1 and evaluated by the experts during Round 2, see second table below.

R1= Round 1

R2= Round 2

Delphi Expert Consensus Validation ⁵ FORTA A B C D							
NEW SUBSTANCES/INDICATIONS SUGGESTED BY EXPERTS Results to be corroborated in future consensus/research projects Classification of long-term medications [†] for the pharmacotherapy of older patients by indication/diagnosis, ranked according to FORTA classification							
(†long-term de	fined as > 4 weeks. Plea	ase note that	the distinction b	etween acute/chronic ma	y not always be clear-cut; exceptions are noted)		
EXISTING INDICATION ARTERIAL HYPERTENSION	Rater-based FORTA Class (bold if: κ > 0.500, rater number ≥ 10 and label distance < 2)	Nr. of raters	к-Index	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure		
Substance/group							
Covid-19 vaccination	Α	3	1.000	1.0; 1			

REFERENCES

- 1. Wehling M. Drug therapy in the elderly: too much or too little, what to do? A new assessment system: fit for the aged FORTA. Dtsch Med Wochenschr 2008; 133: 2289-91.
- 2. Wehling M. Multimorbidity and polypharmacy: how to reduce the harmful drug load and yet add needed drugs in the elderly? Proposal of a new drug classification: fit for the aged. J Am Geriatr Soc 2009; 57: 560-561.
- 3. Wehling M, Burkhardt H. Arzneitherapie für Ältere. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 3. Auflage 2013.
- 4. Wehling M, Ed., Drug Therapy for the Elderly. Springer-Verlag, Wien 2013
- 5. Kuhn-Thiel AM. et al. Consensus validation of the FORTA (Fit fOR The Aged) List: a clinical tool for increasing the appropriateness of pharmacotherapy in the elderly. Drugs Aging. 2014; 31(2): 131-140.
- Wehling M. et al. VALFORTA: a randomized trial to validate the FORTA (Fit fOR The Aged) classification. Age Ageing Jan 18, 2016, doi: 10.1093/ageing/afv200 [Epub ahead of print]
- 7. Wehling M. How to Use the FORTA ("Fit fOR The Aged") List to Improve Pharmacotherapy in the Elderly. Drug Res 2015, ePub

SUMMARY OF STATISTICAL METHODS

(The following descriptions of the statistical methods and calculations are based on the first version of the FORTA List⁵. Former definitions and explanations are adopted unchanged.)

Consensus Coefficient⁵

Consensus parameters were generated by calculating the percentage of experts' FORTA ratings (minus abstentions) agreeing with the original FORTA values, both overall and for each item separately (n = 279). The coefficients were then corrected (cons_corr) to weight the degree of deviation between the experts' individual FORTA ratings, expressed in terms of range class, from 0-3 as defined:

- Range = 0: unanimity among all experts (no deviation);
- Range = 1: greatest range only from A to B or B to C, or C to D (neighboring classes), ½ weight;
- Range = 2: greatest distance from A to C or B to D, full weight;
- Range = 3: greatest distance from A to D, full weight.

Frequency of substances in defined range groups according to degree of consensus

Range	Frequency (n total=279)	%
0	221	79.21
1	57	20.43
2	1	0.36
3	0	-

 $Cons_corr coefficients ranged from 0.625 to 1.000 (mean 0.971, median 1.000)$. Substances falling short of our established cons_corr cutoff of 0.800 underwent re-evaluation in a second round: n=5

Confirmation/determination of FORTA labels⁵

In order to compare the rater-based FORTA labels with the original author-based labels, the labels A, B, C and D were transformed as follows⁵:

 $A \rightarrow 1$ $B \rightarrow 2$ $C \rightarrow 3$ $D \rightarrow 4$

These numerical "grades" were used for the calculation of arithmetic mean. The mode (=grade appearing most frequently for rated item) is also shown. For the 5 re-evaluated items, grading was performed twice. The rater-based FORTA labels are derived from the arithmetic mean from Round 1, or if re-evaluated, from Round 2. The range for each grade was set at:

If $1 \le m < 1.5$ \rightarrow FORTA Class AIf $1.5 \le m < 2.5$ \rightarrow FORTA Class BIf $2.5 \le m < 3.5$ \rightarrow FORTA Class CIf $m \ge 3.5$ \rightarrow FORTA Class D

m= arithmetic mean based on the grades 1-4

The results of The Delphi Consensus Validation Procedure confirmed the original FORTA labels for 98.6% of all substances (n=279); for 4/279 substances (1.4%), the FORTA labels changed over the course of two rounds. All consensus-based FORTA ratings are listed in bold print: **A B C D**, and the original author-based FORTA ratings are supplied in parentheses: (A) (B) (C) (D).

Asterisks in the first table mark substances or indications suggested by the panel members during the course of Round 1 and assessed by the experts during Round 2.

Selection process for new substances and indications⁵

- One substance was accepted for potential addition to the revised FORTA List. Due to the large number of substances suggested, a selection procedure was adopted: 1) acceptance of all substances suggested by ≥ 2 experts during Round 1, and all suggested indication areas; 2) acceptance of all substances/indication areas affirmed by >50% of experts during Round 2 that the substance/indication should be included in the FORTA List; 3) acceptance of all substances assigned a FORTA label by ≥ 2 raters (excluding abstentions) during Round 2. The new substance belonged to
 - A pre-existing FORTA indication
- A kappa index was generated for the new substance to analyze the distribution of the raters' FORTA labels given. The kappa index is defined as the (proportion of "matching" labels -0.25) / 0.75. This gives due consideration to the fact that a figure of 25% can theoretically be attained by chance alone with this particular constellation (the choice of 4 distinct labels, as with multiple choice).

Mean and mode were calculated according to the numerical scale used for the original FORTA substances

 $\begin{array}{c} A \rightarrow 1 \\ B \rightarrow 2 \end{array}$

 $C \rightarrow 3$ $D \rightarrow 4$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{If } 1 \leq m < 1.5 & \rightarrow \mbox{ FORTA Class } {\bf A} \\ \mbox{If } 1.5 \leq m < 2.5 & \rightarrow \mbox{ FORTA Class } {\bf B} \\ \mbox{If } 2.5 \leq m < 3.5 & \rightarrow \mbox{ FORTA Class } {\bf C} \\ \mbox{If } m \geq 3.5 & \rightarrow \mbox{ FORTA Class } {\bf D} \end{array}$

m= arithmetic mean based on the grades 1-4

• The new substance had a kappa index higher than 0.500. Suggesting a high level of inter-rater agreement for these substances