The EURO-FORTA (Fit fOR The Aged) List Version 2: Consensus Validation of a Clinical Tool for Improved Pharmacotherapy in Older Adults

Drugs & Aging

Farhad Pazan¹, Christel Weiss², Martin Wehling¹ *FORTA

Affiliations:

- 1 Clinical Pharmacology Mannheim, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Theodor-Kutzer-Ufer 1-3, 68167 Mannheim, Germany
- 2 Department of Medical Statistics, Biomathematics and Information Processing, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, 68167 Mannheim, Germany

Corresponding author:

Dr. Farhad Pazan e-mail: <u>farhad.pazan@medma.uni-heidelberg.de</u> Phone: +49 621 383 9629

The FORTAList "Fit for The Aged" Expert Consensus Validation

The Netherlands



Farhad Pazan¹, Christel Weiß², Martin Wehling¹

¹Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, Center for Geriatric Pharmacology, Medical Faculty of the University of Heidelberg in Mannheim ² Department of Medical Statistics, Biomathematics and Information Processing, Medical Faculty of the University of Heidelberg in Mannheim

Disclaimer

While building on an international foundation of medical evidence and experience for the medications listed, including already existing "negative lists" and classification systems, this FORTA List primarily reflects prescribing tendencies in the Netherlands. The FORTA labels themselves, being evidence-based, may possibly be subject to change during the course of further consensus evaluation procedures, depending on the state of evidence and clinical experience for a given substance⁵. Meanwhile, the FORTA principle has been validated in a randomized clinical trial (VALFORTA) showing a large improvement of medication quality and amelioration of clinical parameters⁶.

With the goal of creating a user-friendly clinical tool, a summary of relevant comments is given directly in the FORTA List, drawing on the Delphi experts' extensive clinical experience. This is however by no means comprehensive and does not necessarily refer to specific evidence or sources. Therefore, the authors' selection of suggestions, comments and warnings may be subjective⁵. 'No comment' reflects the absence of noteworthy or relevant words of information or caution within the context of the expert evaluation. All information herein is believed to be true and accurate. Neither the authors nor the University of Heidelberg or affiliated institutions, as the publishers of this list, can accept legal responsibility for any errors or omissions made in the contents of this list⁵.

We welcome all comments and criticism which may contribute to the quality, safety and usability of the FORTA List in daily clinical practice.

The FORTA Concept: expert panel for the FORTA classification system

FORTA Expert Review Panel

The following 4 colleagues, representing The Netherlands, provided their expertise for purposes of evaluating the proposed FORTA List. They received no honoraria in connection with this project. All panel members contributed actively to the development of the content of the FORTA List.

Expert Panel Members and their affiliations

Rob van Marum Department of General Practice and Old Age Medicine, Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Eveline P. van Poelgeest Amsterdam UMC location University of Amsterdam, Internal Medicine, Section of Geriatric Medicine, Meibergdreef 9, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Patricia M. L. A. van den Bemt, PhD: Department of Hospital Pharmacy, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Tischa J. M. van der Cammen, MD: Erasmus University Medical Center, Section of Geriatric Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

F O R T A – Physician's guide^{1,2,5,7}

1. FORTA is evidence-based + real-life-oriented (factors such as compliance issues, age-dependent tolerance and frequency of relative contraindications are considered).										
2. Classifications are indication (or d	2. Classifications are indication (or diagnosis)-dependent: a medication can receive different FORTA classifications based on differing indications.									
3. Contraindications <u>always</u> take pre	3. Contraindications always take precedence over the FORTA-classification (for example, even Class A medications may not be given if allergies are present).									
	4. FORTA is designed to be a quick and user-friendly clinical tool to aid in the pharmacotherapy of older patients*. The system is <u>not</u> intended to take the place of individual therapeutic considerations or decisions. As with any simplified model, it <u>does</u> allow for exceptions.									
	$F \ O \ R \ T \ A$ – Classification System A-D ^{1,2,3,4,7}									
Class A = Indispensable drug, clear-cut benefit in terms of efficacy/safety ratio proven in elderly patients for a given indication	Class B = Drugs with proven or obvious efficacy in the elderly, but limited extent of effect and/or safety concerns	Class C = Drugs with questionable efficacy/safety profiles in the elderly which should be avoided or omitted in the presence of too many drugs, absence of benefits or emerging side effects; explore alternatives	Class D = Avoid if at all possible in the elderly, omit first and use alternative substances							
* FORTA aims at older patients, has been validated primarily for patients 65 years of age or older with significant comorbidities (3 or more diagnoses and drugs) and should be used in all patients 80 years of age or older will. These target groups are mostly defined as geriatric patients.										

The FORTA List^{3,4,5} Delphi Expert Consensus Validation



Classification of the most frequently used long-term medications† for the pharmacotherapy of older patients by indication/diagnosis, ranked according to FORTA classification

Newly proposed drugs are mentioned under the respective diagnosis and marked by *; they are listed in greater detail in the second part.

(† long-term defined as > 4 weeks. Please note that the distinction between acute/chronic may not always be clear-cut; exceptions are noted)

	FORTA Class			Expert ratings on a	
	(original FORTA			numerical scale:	
	class in			A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
	parentheses if		Consensus	A-1, D-2, C-3, D-4	
	different from				
			coefficient,		
	consensus		Round 1		
	results)	Nr. of	(cutoff		Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
ARTERIAL HYPERTENSION		raters	0.800)	Mean; Mode	experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/Group					
Renin-Angiotensin system				1.0; 1	
inhibitors		4	1.000		
ACE inhibitors	Α				
				1.0; 1	
Angiotensin receptor	Α	4	1.000		
antagonists					
Long-acting calcium				1.0; 1	
antagonists,	Α				
dihydropyridine		4	1.000		
type, for example					
amlodipine					
Betablockers except	С		0.075	2.8; 3	
atenolol		4	0.875		
Atenolol	D	4 (R1)	0.750 (R1)	3.5; - (R1)	
		3 (R2)	0.833(R2)	3.7; 4(R2)	
Diuretics except indapamid	В			2.0; 2	
	-	4	1.000	2.0, 2	
Indapamid	(A)	4(R1)	0.750(R1)	1.5; -(R1)	
	В	3(R2)	0.667(R2)	1.7; 2(R2)	
Alpha blockers	С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
Spironolactone	C	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
Moxonidine	С	4(R1)	0.750(R1)	3.5; -(R1)	
		3(R2)	1.000(R2)	3.0; 3(R2)	
Aliskiren	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Urapidil	C	3	0.833	3.3; 3	
σιαριαίι	L	3	0.055	5.5, 5	

Clonidine	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
		4	1.000		
Minoxidil	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Calcium antagonists, verapamil type	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
CARDIAC INSUFFICIENCY	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
		raters	0.800)	Mean; Mode	experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/Group					
Renin-angiotensin system inhibitors		4	1.000	1.0; 1	
ACE inhibitors	Α				
Angiotensin receptor antagonists	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Betablockers (metoprolol, carvedilol, bisoprolol)	А	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Diuretics	(B) A	4(R1) 3 (R2)	0.625(R1) 0.667 (R2)	1.3; 1(R1) 1.3; 1 (R2)	Note: Loop diuretics are important in cardiac insufficiency
Gliflozins (SGLT2 inhibitors) only those substances which have been approved for this indication (dapgliflozine)	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Spironolactone	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Digitalis preparations	C	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Ivabradine	C	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Iron substitution in patients with iron deficiency	А	3	1.000	1.0; 1	

	FORTA Class			Expert ratings on a	
	(original FORTA			numerical scale:	
	class in			A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
	parentheses if		Consensus		experts during the consensus procedure
ACUTE CORONARY	different from		coefficient,		
SYNDROME	consensus		Round 1		
	results)	Nr. of	(cutoff		
		raters	0.800)		
				Mean; Mode	
Substance/Group					
Renin-Angiotensin-System-	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Blocker: ACE-Hemmer		4			
Acetylsalicylic acid	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Unfractionated heparin and	Α	4 (04)	0.750(R1)	1.5; 1(R1)	
low molecular weight		4 (R1)	1.000(R2)	1.0; 1(R2)	
heparin		3 (R2)			
Frequency-lowering	Α		1.000	1.0; 1	
betablockers, e.g.		4			
metoprolol or bisoprolol					
Atorvastatin	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Nitroglycerin spray, single			1.000	1.0; 1	
use, acute as on-demand	Α	4			
medication					
Clopidogrel, prasugrel	В	4	0.875	1.8; 2	
	A for stent	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Thrombolytics, especially			1.000	3.0; 3	
rTPA (recombinant tissue-		3			
type plasminogen activator)	С				
Nitrates, long-term		4	1.000	2.0; 2	
	В	4			
Gp IIb/IIIa antagonists			0.875	1.8; 2	
(glycoprotein 2b/3a		4			
inhibitors)	В				
Ivabradine		4(R1)	0.750(R1)	2.0; 2(R1)	Note: Not first or second choice; perhaps B if cardiac failure is
	В				also present

		3(R2)	0.833(R2)	2.3; 2(R2)	
CHRONIC THERAPY FOLLOWING MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group				,	
Renin angiotensin system blockers ACE Inhibitors	А	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Acetylsalicylic acid (100 mg/d)	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Frequency-lowering beta blockers up to 3 years	A	3	1.000	1.0; 1	
Frequency-lowering beta blockers longer than 3 years	С	3	0.833	2.7; 3	
Nitroglycerin spray, single use as on-demand medication	A	3	1.000	1.0; 1	
Influenza vaccination (inactivated subunit vaccines)/pneumococcal immunizations	See vaccinations	-	_	_	
Statins	A B for very old (>85 years) patients	4 4	1.000 0.875	1.0; 1 1.8; 2	
Clopidogrel (12 months	A with aspirin	4	1.000	1.0; 1	

after acute coronary	intolerance				
syndrome)					
Nitrates, long-term	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Fibrates	С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
Ezetimibe	С	4	0.875	2.8; 3	
Amiodarone		4	1.000	4.0; 4	
	D				
All other class-I-III	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
antiarrhythmic agents					
Dihydropyridine	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
antagonists					
(if no hypertension)					
Niacin	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	

STROKE	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/Group					
Acetylsalicylic acid		4 (R1)	0.750(R1)	2.0; 2(R1)	
	В	3 (R2)	1.000(R2)	2.0; 2(R2)	
Atorvastatin	А	4	0.875	1.3; 1	
rTPA (recombinant tissue- type plasminogen activator) ; only for emergency use	A	3	1.000	1.0; 1	
Simvastatin	Α	4	0.875	1.3; 1	
Anticoagulants including		4	0.875	1.8; 2	
new oral anticoagulants	В				
Clopidogrel	(B)	4(R1)	0.625(R1)	1.3; 1(R1)	Note: Belongs to primary management (with aspirin as second

	Α	3(R2)	0.500(R2)	1.0; 1(R2)	choice)
Dipyridamole plus acetylsalicylic acid	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	

ATRIAL FIBRILLATION	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Frequency-lowering betablockers	A	4	0.875	1.3; 1	
Digoxin	В	4 (R1) 3 (R2)	0.750(R1) 0.833(R2)	2.5; -(R1) 2.3; 2(R2)	Note: Narrow therapeutic window
New Oral Anticoagulants (NOACs)	(B) A	4(R1) 3(R2)	0.750(R1) 0.667(R2)	1.5; -(R1) 1.3; 1(R2)	Note: FORTA A for all DOACs; evidence is growing for safe use in elderly with positive risk/benefit balance (except towards end of life)
Except dabigatran	С	3(R1) 3(R2)	0.667(R1) 1.000(R2)	2.3; 3(R1) 3.0; 3(R2)	
Oral anticoagulation by vitamin-K-antagonists (e.g. phenprocoumon, warfarin)	В	4	0.875	1.8; 2	
Alternative: low molecular weight heparin	C	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
Digitoxin	С	3	0.833	3.3; 3	

Diltiazem, verapamil	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Class III antiarrhythmic agent amiodarone	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
		4	0.875	3.8; 4	
All other class I-III	D				
antiarrhythmic agents					
Acetylsalicylic acid	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
(100 mg/d)					
Class III antiarrhythmic	D	3	0.833	3.7; 4	
agent dronedarone					

CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASE (COPD)	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Inhalative long-acting parasympatholytic agents	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Systemic glucocorticoids, acute, short-term use in cases of exacerbation	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Antibiotics (acute) in cases of exacerbation, after calculated selection and, if necessary, according to antibiogram	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Long-term administration of oxygen	А	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Annual influenza immunizations	See vaccinations				
Pneumococcal immunizations for persons ≥ 65 years	See vaccinations				
Inhalative beta 2 mimetic	В	4 (R1)	0.750(R1)	1.5; -(R1)	Note: Same level (classification) as parasympatholytics
agents		3 (R2)	0.833(R2)	1.7; 2(R2)	
Inhalative glucocorticoids	C	4	0.875	2.8; 3	
Theophylline	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Mucolytic agents, e,g,		4	1.000	4.0; 4	

acetyl cysteine,	D				
bromhexine					
Roflumilast	С	4(R1)	0.750(R1)	3.5; -(R1)	
		3(R2)	1.000(R2)	3.0; 3(R2)	
Systemic glucocorticoids,	D	4	1.00	4.00; 4	
chronic use					
Antitussives: opioid A., e.g.	D	4	1.00	4.00; 4	
codein; non-opioid A., e.g.					
butamirate					
	FORTA Class			Expert ratings on a	
	(original FORTA			numerical scale:	
	class in			A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
	parenteses if		Consensus		
	different from		coefficient,		
OSTEOPOROSIS	consensus	.	Round 1		
	results)	Nr. of	(cutoff		Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
		raters	0.800)	Mean; Mode	experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/Group					
Calcium and vitamin D		4 (D4)	0.750(04)	1.5. (01)	Note: Safe and efficacious if blood concentrations of calcium
supplements (as		4 (R1)	0.750(R1)	1.5; -(R1)	and vitamin D are low
prophylaxis for persons ≥	В	2	1.000	2.0; 2	
65 years) Parenteral					
bisphosphonates (e.g.	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
ibandronate, IV every 3 months)					
Raloxifene for women					
Raioxiterie for women	В	4	0.875	1.8; 2	
Denosumab	0				
	В	4	0.875	1.8; 2	
Bisphosphonates, oral	5	4(R1)	0.750(R1)	1.5; -(R1)	Note: No difference with parenteral; parenteral has its
Displiciplicitates, or al	В	3	0.833	1.7; 2	disadvantages as well in the elderly
	0	5	0.055	1.7,2	disdavantages as well in the elderry
Teriparatide		4(R1)	0.750(R1)	2.5; 3(R1)	
	с	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
	C	5	1.000	5.0, 5	
			L		

Alfacalcidol	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Parathormone	С	4	0.875	2.8; 3	
Strontium ranelate	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
Nandrolone decanoate	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Fluoride	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Hormone replacement	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
therapy (HRT): estrogen,					
except for perimenopausal)					
TYPE II DIABETES MELLITUS	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
	resultsy	raters	0.800)		Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
		raters	0.000,	Mean; Mode	experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
DPP4 (Dipeptidylpeptidase)		4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Inhibitors	В				
Insulin and insulin analogs (if absolutely necessary)	В	4	0.875	1.8; 2	
Metformin	(B)	4 (R1)	0.750(R1)	1.5; -(R1)	Note: First choice in normal to high risk (stratification in Dutch
	Α	3 (R2)	0.500(R2)	1.0; 1(R2)	GP guideline); second choice in very high risk
GLP1 (Glucagon-Like		4	0.875	2.8; 3	
Peptide-1) analogs	С				
Acarbose	с	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
3rd generation sulfonylureas (for example, glimepiride)	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Glinides (for example, nateglinide)	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
PPAR-y Ligands (Peroxisomal Proliferator-		4	0.875	3.3; 3	

Activated Receptor gamma) Pioglitazone Rosiglitazone	C D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
SGLT-2 inhibitors/Gliflozins	(D) B	3(R1) 3 (R2)	0.333(R1) 0.333 (R2)	2.7; 2(R1) 2.0; 2 (R2)	Note: In my opinion, proven efficacy in older adults but with some safety concerns, justifying B.; Depending on risk; first choice in very high risk (stratification in Dutch GP guideline)
1st generation sulfonylureas (for example, glibenclamide)	С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
DEMENTIA	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors e.g. donepezil, galantamine, rivastigmine (Only if indicated for the present stage of the disease)	С	4 (R1) 3 (R2)	0.750 (R1) 0.833 (R2)	3.0; 3 (R1) 3.3; 3 (R2)	Note: no effect
Memantine	С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
Ginkgo biloba	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Statins	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Selegiline	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	

Nimodipine	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Ergoline derivatives	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
-				-	
Piracetam	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Pyritinol	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Antioxidants: Vitamin E,	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
selenium, vitamin C	D	2	1.000	40.4	
Phytotherapeutic agents,	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
e.g. ginseng		2	1.000	4.0.4	
Hormone preparations, e.g. DHEA	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
(Dehydroepiandrosterone),					
testosterone		2	1.000	4.0.4	
Antiphlogistics, e.g. indomethacin	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Desferrioxamine	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
BEHAVIORAL AND	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if		Consensus	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
PSYCHOLOGICAL SYMPTOMS OF DEMENTIA (BPSD)	different from consensus results)	Nr. of	coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff		Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
(raters	0.800)		
			,	Mean; Mode	
DEPRESSION				,	
Substance/group					
SSRI (Selective Serotonin					Note: In BPSD, the evidence for applying
Reuptake Inhibitors)					pharmacotherapeutical interventions (if any) is scarce. In
Citalopram/escitalopram,			0.750(04)	2.5; 2(R1)	combination with the potential safety issues in vulnerable
	(B)	4 (R1)	0.750(RI)	2.3, 2(11)	combination with the potential safety issues in valuerable
sertraline, fluoxetine in the	(B) C	4 (R1) 3 (R2)	0.750(R1) 0.333(R2)	3.3; 4(R2)	patients, these medications should be avoided in my opinion
sertraline, fluoxetine in the usual dosages		• •			
-		• •			

				combination with the potential safety issues in vulnerable
				patients, these medications should be avoided in my opinion
				Note: In BPSD, the evidence for applying
(C)	4(R1)	0.750(R1)	3.0; 3(R1)	pharmacotherapeutical interventions (if any) is scarce. In
D	3(R2)	0.667(R2)	3.7; 4(R2)	combination with the potential safety issues in vulnerable
				patients, these medications should be avoided in my opinion
(original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus		Consensus coefficient, Round 1	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
results)	Nr. of raters	(cutoff 0.800)		Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
			Mean; Mode	
(C)	4 (R1)	0.750(R1)	2.5; -(R1)	Note: Proven efficacy, but of limited extent. To be prescribed
	3 (R2)	0.667(R2)	2.3; 2(R2)	only short-term
В				
С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
С				
С	4(R1)	0.625(R1)	2.3; 3(R1)	Note: Proven efficacy, but of limited extent. To be prescribed
	3(R2)	1.000(R2)	3.0; 3(R2)	only short-term
	4(R1)	0.750(R1)	3.0; 3(R1)	Note: Should be avoided unless symptoms are severe and non-
С	3(R2)	1.000(R2)	3.0; 3(R2)	pharmacological treatments have failed
	D FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results) (C) B C C C C	D 3(R2) FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results) Nr. of raters NR. of raters NR. of raters 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	D3(R2)0.667(R2)FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)(C)4 (R1) 3 (R2)0.750(R1) 0.667(R2)B0.1000C31.000C40.875C40.875C40.875C40.875C40.875A0.000(R2)A0.625(R1) 1.000(R2)3(R2)1.000(R2)C4(R1) 3(R2)0.625(R1) 1.000(R2)	D 3(R2) 0.667(R2) 3.7; 4(R2) FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results) FORTA class in parentheses if different from raters Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Nr. of raters Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800) Mean; Mode Mean; Mode Mean; Mode Mean; Mode C 4 (R1) 3 (R2) 0.750(R1) 0.667(R2) 2.5; -(R1) 2.3; 2(R2) B 1.000 3.0; 3 3.0; 3.3; 3 C 4 (R1) 0.625(R1) 3.0; 3(R1) 2.3; 3(R1) 3.0; 3(R2) C 4(R1) 0.750(R1) 3.0; 3(R1)

	FORTA Class			Expert ratings on a	
	(original			numerical scale:	
	FORTA class in				
				A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
	parentheses if				
	different from		Consensus		
BPSD: RESTLESSNESS,	consensus		coefficient,		
AGITATION,	results)		Round 1		Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
(AGGRESSIVENESS)		Nr. of	(cutoff		experts during the consensus procedure
		raters	0.800)	Mean; Mode	
Substance/group					
Trazodone (50-200 mg/d)	С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
Risperidone (initiallly 0,5-1	С	4	0.875	2.8; 3	
mg/d, Maximum 3 mg/d)					
Quetiapine (25-200 mg/d)	С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
Melperone (25-150 mg/d)	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Pipamperone (20-120	С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
mg/d)					
Citalopram (10-30mg)		4	0.875	3.8; 4	
	D				
Clomethiazole (5-15 mg/d)	D	2	1.000	4.0; 4	
	FORTA Class			Expert ratings on a	
	(original			numerical scale:	
	FORTA class in			A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
	parentheses if		Consensus		
	different from		coefficient,		
BPSD: SLEEP DISORDERS	consensus		Round 1		
	results)	Nr. of	(cutoff		
		raters	0.800)		Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
				Mean; Mode	experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group				,	
Slow-release melatonin		4	1.000	4.0; 4	
(2-4 mg)	D			- / -	
Tetracyclic antidepressant	(C)	4 (R1)	0.750 (R1)	3.5; - (R1)	
Mirtazapine (15-30mg)	D	3(R2)	0.667(R2)	3.7; 4(R2)	
Tricyclic antidepressant		4	1.000	4.0; 4	
· / ···· ·····························		•		, .	

Doxepine (25-50 mg)	D				
Zopiclone (3,75-7,5 mg)	с	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
	U				
DEPRESSION Prophylaxis and therapy for patients with moderate to major depression	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group				Mean; Mode	
SSRIs (Selective Serotonin		4 (R1)	0.750(R1)	2.5; -(R1)	
Reuptake Inhibitor)		3 (R2)	0.667(R2)	3.0; -(R2)	
Sertraline	С				
Escitalopram	с	4(R1) 3(R2)	0.625(R1) 0.667(R2)	2.8; 2(R1) 3.0; -(R2)	
Citalopram	(C) B	4(R1) 3(R2)	0.750(R1) 0.667(R2)	2.5; -(R1) 2.3; 2(R2)	
Tricyclic antidepressant Nortriptyline	(C) B	4(R1) 3(R2)	0.750(R1) 0.667(R2)	2.5; -(R1) 2.3; 2(R2)	Note: Moderate/major depression is serious condition hampering QoL, also in the elderly. In case medication needs to be given, nortriptyline is best choice after SSRIs
Tetracyclic antidepressant Mirtazapine	С	4	0.875	2.8; 3	
SNRIs (Serotonin- Noradrenalin Reuptake Inhibitors) Venlafaxine	С	4	0.875	2.8; 3	

		г. –	0.077		
Duloxetine	с	4	0.875	2.8; 3	
Duloxetine	Ľ				
Monoamine oxidase A		4	1.000	4.0; 4	
(MAO) inhibitor	D				
Moclobemide					
Dopamine and	С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
norepinephrine reuptake					
inhibitor Bupropion					
Vortioxetine	C	4(R1)	0.750(R1)	3.5; -(R1)	
		3(R2)	1.000(R2)	3.0; 3(R2)	
Quetiapine	С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
Trazodone	C	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
Olanzapine	(C)	4(R1)	0.750(R1)	3.5; -(R1)	
	D	3(R2)	0.667(R2)	3.7; 4(R2)	
Benzodiazepines:		4	1.000	4.0; 4	
General	D				
		4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Long-acting,	D				
Short-acting	_	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
	D				
St. John's Wort	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Agomelatine	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Selective noradrenaline re-	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
uptake inhibitor					
Reboxetine					
	FORTA Class			Expert ratings on a	
	(original			numerical scale:	
	FORTA class in			A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
BIPOLAR DISORDER	parentheses if		Consensus		
	different from		coefficient,		
	consensus		Round 1		
	results)	Nr. of	(cutoff		Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
		raters	0.800)		experts during the consensus procedure

				Mean; Mode	
Substance/group					
Lithium	В	4 (R1)	0.750 (R1)	1.5; - (R1)	Note: Needs treatment; with good monitoring no issue in the
		3(R2)	0.833(R2)	1.7; 2(R2)	elderly
Quetiapine	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Valproic acid		4	0.875	1.8; 2	
	В				
Lamotrigine	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Carbamazepine	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	

FORTA Class		Expert ratings on a	
(original		numerical scale:	
FORTA class in		A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	

INSOMNIA / SLEEP DISORDERS	parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Melatonin (slow-release)	с	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
ω1-Benzodiazepine agonists Zolpidem	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
Zaleplone	С	3	0.833	3.3; 3	
Non-benzodiazepine hypnotic Zopiclone	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
Butyrophenone derivative Pipamperone	D	3	0.833	3.7; 4	
Melperone	(C) D	2 (R1) 2(R2)	0.750 (R1) 0.750(R2)	3.5; - (R1) 3.5; (R2)	Note: Not on the market in The Netherlands.
Tetracyclic antidepressant Mirtazapine	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Tricyclic antidepressant Doxepine	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Benzodiazepines, e.g. Oxazepam (medium half- life)	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Triazolam (very short half- life)	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Sigma receptor agonist	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	

Opipramole					
Antihistamine	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Diphenhydramine	-				
CHRONIC PAIN	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
Substance/group		raters	0.800)	Mean; Mode	experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group Paracetamol	Α	4	0.875	1.3; 1	
(acetaminophen)	A	4	0.875	1.3; 1	
(acetaninophen)		4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Metamizole	с	4	1.000	5.0, 5	
Opioids, e.g. Buprenorphine, oxycodone, hydromorphone	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Primary use of a combination of an agonist and an antagonist, e.g. tilidine/naloxone	С	3	0.833	3.3; 3	
Oxycodone/naloxone	С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
Morphine	С	4	0.875	2.8; 3	
SSRI (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors) / SNRI (Serotonin- Norepinephrine-Reuptake	C	4	1.000	3.0; 3	

Inhibitor), e.g. venlafaxine (only if absolutely necessary) Antiepileptic agents (only for neuropathic pain) Pregabalin/gabapentin	C	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Carbamazepine	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
Tricyclic antidepressant Amitriptyline (does not apply to doses up to 10mg per day)	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
NSAIDs (nonsteroidal anti- inflammatory drugs, for long-term use), e.g. naproxen	D	4	0.875	3.8; 4	
Cox-2 inhibitors, e.g.	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)		Consensus coefficient, Round 1	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
EPILEPSY	,	Nr. of raters	(cutoff 0.800)	Mean; Mode	experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Levetiracetam	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Lamotrigine	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Gabapentin	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Topiramate	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Lorazepam	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	

(emergency use)					
	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Lorazepam					
(long-term use)					
Pregabalin		4	1.000	2.0; 2	
	В				
Oxcarbazepine	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
			1.000		
Valproic acid		4	1.000	2.0; 2	
	В				
Eslicarbazepine	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Lacosamide	C	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Zonisamide	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Carbamazepine	C	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Diazepam	С	4(R1)	0.750(R1)	2.5; 3(R1)	
(emrgency use)		3(R2)	1.000(R2)	3.0; 3(R2)	
	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Diazepam					
(long-term use)			0.075		
Midazolam		4	0.875	2.3; 2	
(emrgency use)	В				
Midazolam	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	
(long-term use)				·	
Phenytoin	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Phenobarbital	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Ethosuximide	D	3	1.000	4.0; 4	

PARKINSON'S DISEASE	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
L-DOPA	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
COMT (Catechol-O- Methyltransferase) Inhibitor Entacapone, opicapone	В	4	0.875	1.8; 2	
Dopamine agonists, e.g. Ropinirole	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Pramipexole	В	4	1.000	2.0; 2	
Piribedil, quinagolide, rotigotine	В	3	1.000	2.0; 2	
MAO-B inhibitors		4	0.875	2.8; 3	
Rasagiline	с				

Selegiline	с	4	0.875	2.8; 3	
Bromocriptine, cabergoline	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Glutamate antagonists Amantadine	С	4	0.875	3.3; 3	
Anticholinergics Biperidene	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
INCONTINENCE Drug therapy for urge incontinence	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group Fesoterodine	(B) C	4(R1) 3(R2)	0.750(R1) 0.667(R2)	2.5; -(R1) 2.7; 3(R2)	Note: Little effect so risk-benefit negative
Tolterodine	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	
Trospium chloride	С	2	1.000	3.0; 3	
Extended-release Oxybutynin	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	
Immediate-release Oxybutynin	D	4	1.000	4.0; 4	

GASTROINTESTINAL ILLNESSES/ CONCOMITANT THERAPY WITH NSAIDs	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. Of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Proton pump inhibitors (PPI), only if absolutely necessary	В	4	0.875	1.8; 2	
H ₂ receptor antagonists	С	4	1.000	3.0; 3	

Anemia	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Substitution (iron, vitamin B12, folic acid in cases of deficiency)	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Erythropoetin-stimulating agents (ESA) in patients with renal insufficiency	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1	

Iron substitution in patients with cardiac insufficiency			
Proof of iron deficiency	See cardiac insufficiency		

Vaccinations	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group					
Annual influenza immunizations	Α	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Pneumococcal immunizations for persons ≥ 65 years	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1	
Shingles (Herpes Zoster) Vaccination	A	4	1.000	1.0; 1	



ONCOLOGICAL DISEASES: SOLID TUMORS	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in parentheses if different from consensus results)	Nr. of raters	Consensus coefficient, Round 1 (cutoff 0.800)	Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
INDICATION Substance/group					
BREAST CANCER Adjuvant therapy					
Hormone therapy, e.g. Tamoxifen	В	3	1.000	2.0; 2	
Aromatase inhibitors	В	3	1.000	2.0; 2	
Immunotherapy / "Targeted" therapy Trastuzumab	с	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Chemotherapy, e.g. CMF (Combination	с	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Cyclophosphamide, Methotrexate, 5- Fluorouracil)					
AC/EC Regimen(Anthracycline/ Epirubicin, Cyclophosphamide)	c	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
BREAST CANCER Advanced Stage					
Hormone therapy, e.g. tamoxifen, aromatase	В	3	1.000	2.0; 2	

inhibitors					
Immunotherapy/Targeted		3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Therapy		_		· / -	
	С				
Trastuzumab /					
lapatinib					
Chemotherapy, e.g.	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
anthracyclins, taxanes					
VEGF (Vascular Endothelial		3	1.000	4.0; 4	
Growth Factor) Inhibition	D				
Bevacizumab					
COLORECTAL CARCINOMA					
Adjuvant Therapy					
FOLFOX Regimen (Folinic	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
acid, Fluorouracil,					
Oxaliplatin)					
5-Fluorouracil based	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
infusion regimen					
Capecitabine	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
COLORECTAL CARCINOMA					
Advanced stage					
Chemotherapy		3	1.000	3.0; 3	
FOLFOX (Folinic acid,	С				
Fluorouracil, Oxaliplatin)					
VEGF (Vascular Endothelial		3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Growth Factor) Inhibition	С				
Bevacizumab					
EGFR (Epidermal-Growth-		3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Factor-Receptor) Inhibition	С				
Cetuximab					
		3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Panitumumab	C				
BRONCHIAL CARCINOMA					
Adjuvant therapy					
Adjuvant chemotherapy	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
(Cisplatin-based)					

BRONCHIAL CARCINOMA					
Advanced Stage					
Docetaxel		3	1.000	3.0; 3	
	С				
Vinorelbin		3	1.000	3.0; 3	
	С				
Primary combination	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
therapy					
Cisplatin/gemcitabin, or					
cisplatin/vinorelbin					
GASTRIC CANCER					
ECF Regime (Epirubicin,		3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Cisplatin, 5-Fluorouracil)	С				
	FORTA Class (original			Expert ratings on a numerical scale:	
	FORTA class in			A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
	parentheses if		Consensus		
ONCOLOGICAL DISEASES	different from		coefficient,		
HEMATOLOGICAL NEOPLASIAS	consensus	Nr. of	Round 1		Selection of pertinent comments given by participating
NEOPLASIAS	results)	raters	(cutoff 0.800)		experts during the consensus procedure
		Ialeis	0.800)		experts during the consensus procedure
			_	Mean: Mode	
				Mean; Mode	
INDICATION Substance/group				Mean; Mode	
INDICATION Substance/group MDS (Myelodysplastic		3	1.000	Mean; Mode	
Substance/group	В		1.000		
Substance/group MDS (Myelodysplastic	В		1.000		
Substance/group MDS (Myelodysplastic syndrome)	B		1.000		
Substance/group MDS (Myelodysplastic syndrome) Azacytidine AML (Acute myeloid leukemia)		3		2.0; 2	
Substance/group MDS (Myelodysplastic syndrome) Azacytidine AML (Acute myeloid leukemia) Anthracyclines +	B	3		2.0; 2	
Substance/group MDS (Myelodysplastic syndrome) Azacytidine AML (Acute myeloid leukemia) Anthracyclines + cytosine arabinoside		3		2.0; 2	
Substance/group MDS (Myelodysplastic syndrome) Azacytidine AML (Acute myeloid leukemia) Anthracyclines + cytosine arabinoside (cytarabine)		3	1.000	2.0; 2 2.0; 2	
Substance/groupMDS (Myelodysplasticsyndrome)AzacytidineAML (Acute myeloidleukemia)Anthracyclines +cytosine arabinoside(cytarabine)CLL (Chronic lymphatic		3		2.0; 2	
Substance/group MDS (Myelodysplastic syndrome) Azacytidine AML (Acute myeloid leukemia) Anthracyclines + cytosine arabinoside (cytarabine)		3	1.000	2.0; 2 2.0; 2	

Fludarabin,					
Bendamustin					
CLL		3	1.000	2.0; 2	
Obinutuzumab	В				
CLL		3	1.000	2.0; 2	
Rituximab	В				
Multiple myeloma		3	1.000	2.0; 2	
Primary therapy with					
Prednisolone	В				
Thalidomide	В	3	1.000	2.0; 2	
Melphalan	В	3	1.000	2.0; 2	
Bortezomib	В	3	1.000	2.0; 2	
Lenalidomide	В	3	1.000	2.0; 2	
CLL	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Ibrutinib					
CLL	С	3	1.000	3.0; 3	
Idelalisib					
ONCOLOGICAL	FORTA Class (original FORTA class in			Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4	
SUPPORTIVE THERAPY	parentheses if different from consensus		Consensus coefficient, Round 1		
	results)	Nr. of raters	(cutoff 0.800)	Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating experts during the consensus procedure
Substance/group		Taters	0.000,		
G-CSF (Granulocyte Colony	Α	3	1.000	1.0; 1	
Stimulation Factor)		•		, -	

Antiemetic agents (e.g. 5-	Α	3	1.000	1.0; 1
HT receptor inhibitors)				
Erythropoesis Stimulating	В	3	1.000	2.0; 2
Agents, ESA				

Delphi Expert Consensus Validation ⁵ FORTA ABCD NEW SUBSTANCES/INDICATIONS SUGGESTED BY EXPERTS							
Classification of long-term medications ⁺ for the pharmacotherapy of older patients by indication/diagnosis, ranked according to FORTA classification ([†] long-term defined as > 4 weeks. Please note that the distinction between acute/chronic may not always be clear-cut; exceptions are noted)							
EXISTING INDICATION vaccinations	Rater-based FORTA Class (bold if:	Nr. of		Expert ratings on a numerical scale: A=1, B=2, C=3, D=4 Mean; Mode	Selection of pertinent comments given by participating		
Substance/group	distance < 2)	raters	к-Index		experts during the consensus procedure		
Covid-19 vaccination	A	2	1.000	1.0; 1			

REFERENCES

- 1. Wehling M. Drug therapy in the elderly: too much or too little, what to do? A new assessment system: fit for the aged FORTA. Dtsch Med Wochenschr 2008; 133: 2289-91.
- 2. Wehling M. Multimorbidity and polypharmacy: how to reduce the harmful drug load and yet add needed drugs in the elderly? Proposal of a new drug classification: fit for the aged. J Am Geriatr Soc 2009; 57: 560-561.
- 3. Wehling M, Burkhardt H. Arzneitherapie für Ältere. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 3. Auflage 2013.
- 4. Wehling M, Ed., Drug Therapy for the Elderly. Springer-Verlag, Wien 2013
- 5. Kuhn-Thiel AM. et al. Consensus validation of the FORTA (Fit fOR The Aged) List: a clinical tool for increasing the appropriateness of pharmacotherapy in the elderly. Drugs Aging. 2014; 31(2): 131-140.
- Wehling M. et al. VALFORTA: a randomized trial to validate the FORTA (Fit fOR The Aged) classification. Age Ageing Jan 18, 2016, doi: 10.1093/ageing/afv200 [Epub ahead of print]
- 7. Wehling M. How to Use the FORTA ("Fit fOR The Aged") List to Improve Pharmacotherapy in the Elderly. Drug Res 2015, ePub

SUMMARY OF STATISTICAL METHODS

(The following descriptions of the statistical methods and calculations are based on the first version of the FORTA List⁵. Former definitions and explanations are adopted unchanged.)

Consensus Coefficient⁵

Consensus parameters were generated by calculating the percentage of experts' FORTA ratings (minus abstentions) agreeing with the original FORTA values, both overall and for each item separately (n = 264). The coefficients were then corrected (cons_corr) to weight the degree of deviation between the experts' individual FORTA ratings, expressed in terms of range class, from 0-3 as defined:

- Range = 0: unanimity among all experts (no deviation);
- Range = 1: greatest range only from A to B or B to C, or C to D (neighboring classes), ½ weight;
- Range = 2: greatest distance from A to C or B to D, weight=0;
- Range = 3: greatest distance from A to D, weight=0.

Frequency of substances in defined range groups according to degree of consensus

R	Range	Frequency (n total=264)	%
	0	164	62.12
	1	86	32.58
	2	14	5.3
	3	0	-

Cons_corr coefficients ranged from 0.333 to 1.000 (mean 0.931, median 1.000). 36 substances fall short of our established cons_corr cutoff of 0.800.

Confirmation/determination of FORTA labels⁵

In order to compare the rater-based FORTA labels with the original author-based labels, the labels A, B, C and D were transformed as follows⁵:

 $A \rightarrow 1$ $B \rightarrow 2$ $C \rightarrow 3$ $D \rightarrow 4$

These numerical "grades" were used for the calculation of arithmetic mean. The mode (=grade appearing most frequently for rated item) is also shown. The rater-based FORTA labels are derived from the arithmetic mean from Round 1. The range for each grade was set at:

If $1 \le m \le 1.5$	\rightarrow FORTA Class A
If $1.5 \le m < 2.5$	\rightarrow FORTA Class B
If $2.5 \le m < 3.5$	\rightarrow FORTA Class C
If $m \ge 3.5$	\rightarrow FORTA Class D

m= arithmetic mean based on the grades 1-4

The results of The Delphi Consensus Validation Procedure confirmed the original FORTA labels for 93.94% of all substances (n=264); for 16/264 substances (6.06%), the FORTA labels were not confirmed over the two rounds (consensus coefficient \leq 0.800). All consensus-based FORTA ratings are listed in bold print: **A B C D**, and the original author-based FORTA ratings are supplied in parentheses: (A) (B) (C) (D).

Asterisks in the first table mark substances or indications suggested by the panel members during the course of Round 1 and assessed by the experts during Round 2.

Selection process for new substances and indications⁵

- One substance was accepted for potential addition to the revised FORTA List. Due to the large number of substances suggested, a selection procedure was adopted: 1) acceptance of all substances suggested by ≥ 2 experts during Round 1, and all suggested indication areas; 2) acceptance of all substances/indication areas affirmed by >50% of experts during Round 2 that the substance/indication should be included in the FORTA List; 3) acceptance of all substances assigned a FORTA label by ≥ 2 raters (excluding abstentions) during Round 2. The one substance was
 - o a new substance belonging to a pre-existing FORTA indication (vaccinations)
- A kappa index was generated for each of those added substances to analyze the distribution of the raters' FORTA labels given. The kappa index is defined as the (proportion of "matching" labels -0.25) / 0.75. This gives due consideration to the fact that a figure of 25% can theoretically be attained by chance alone with this particular constellation (the choice of 4 distinct labels, as with multiple choice).

Mean and mode were calculated according to the numerical scale used for the original FORTA substances

 $\begin{array}{c} A \rightarrow 1 \\ B \rightarrow 2 \end{array}$

 $C \rightarrow 3$

 $D \rightarrow 4$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{If } 1 \leq m < 1.5 & \rightarrow \mbox{ FORTA Class } {\bf A} \\ \mbox{If } 1.5 \leq m < 2.5 & \rightarrow \mbox{ FORTA Class } {\bf B} \\ \mbox{If } 2.5 \leq m < 3.5 & \rightarrow \mbox{ FORTA Class } {\bf C} \\ \mbox{If } m \geq 3.5 & \rightarrow \mbox{ FORTA Class } {\bf D} \end{array}$

m= arithmetic mean based on the grades 1-4

• The new substance had a kappa index higher than 0.500. Suggesting a high level of inter-rater agreement for these substances