# nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s): Mark Banaszak Holl

Last updated by author(s): May 7, 2023

## **Reporting Summary**

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

#### Statistics

Fora	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	nfirmed
	×	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	X	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	×	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
×		A description of all covariates tested
	X	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	×	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	×	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F, t, r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
×		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
×		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
×		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i> ), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

### Software and code

Policy information about <u>availability of computer code</u>				
Data collection	AFM-IR data was collected using the software provided as part of the Bruker nanoIR3 instrument.			
Data analysis	IR spectra and imaging data were analyzed using the software provided as part of the Bruker nanolR3 instrument. OriginPro 2018 was used for kernel density plots and color contour plots. Gwddion was employed for topography maps. A custom Matlab code was employed to analyzer fiber angle. Stiffness domains were assessed using ImageJ Directionality plugin. Band ratios derived from hyperspectral data where analyzed in Python. All statistical analyses were carried out in RStudio or Python.			

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

#### Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a <u>data availability statement</u>. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets

- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

The data that support the findings of this study and custom code has been provided on Figshare and DOI provided in the Data availability statement.

### Human research participants

Policy information about studies involving human research participants and Sex and Gender in Research.

Reporting on sex and gender	Use the terms sex (biological attribute) and gender (shaped by social and cultural circumstances) carefully in order to avoid confusing both terms. Indicate if findings apply to only one sex or gender; describe whether sex and gender were considered in study design whether sex and/or gender was determined based on self-reporting or assigned and methods used. Provide in the source data disaggregated sex and gender data where this information has been collected, and consent has been obtained for sharing of individual-level data; provide overall numbers in this Reporting Summary. Please state if this information has not been collected. Report sex- and gender-based analyses where performed, justify reasons for lack of sex- and gender-based analysis.
Population characteristics	Describe the covariate-relevant population characteristics of the human research participants (e.g. age, genotypic information, past and current diagnosis and treatment categories). If you filled out the behavioural & social sciences study design questions and have nothing to add here, write "See above."
Recruitment	Describe how participants were recruited. Outline any potential self-selection bias or other biases that may be present and how these are likely to impact results.
Ethics oversight	Identify the organization(s) that approved the study protocol.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

## Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Life sciences Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

## Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	5 pairs of cadaver knees. In each pair, one is the test sample and one is the control. Our power estimates prior to our previous work had indicated this number of pair sample would provide sufficient statistical power and this is born out in our previous studies as well as this one.
Data exclusions	None
Replication	This study is in part a more detailed replication of our first publication which included a total of seven test cadaveric samples and five patient samples. This study now extends a key part of the observation, damage in the form of collagen unravelling to an addition five samples. We check for replication of the new observations across the samples samples used in this study and we found good replication and statistical significance for the key items discussed. We also discuss observations that were not replicated between samples and not significant
Randomization	The Gift of Life Human cadavers were those obtained and are not randomized. We did randomize which knee was selected for testing and which served as control.
Blinding	The analyses were not blinded. Since we have just two knees, there is no way to blind during the mechanical loading process. The spectroscopic differences being measured are large, resulting in the high levels of significance.

## Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

#### Materials & experimental systems

- n/a Involved in the study
- X Antibodies
- **x** Eukaryotic cell lines
- Palaeontology and archaeology
- Animals and other organisms
- 🗶 🗌 Clinical data
- Dual use research of concern

Methods

- n/a Involved in the study
- K ChIP-seq
- Flow cytometry
- MRI-based neuroimaging