SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Supplementary Table 1. Clinical and molecular information for tumour samples used in this study.

Specimen	Age (years)	Sex	Diagnosis	IDH status	ATRX status	Chr 7	Chr 10	Amplifications
G523	Average: 60.7	Male, n = 5	glioblastoma, WHO grade 4	wildtype	N/A	Gain of 7p, 7q	equivocal	EGFR, CDK4, MDM2
GSC2	Median: 60.5	Female, $n = 1$	glioblastoma, WHO grade 4	wildtype	Retained	Gain of 7p, 7q	Loss of chr10p, chr10q	EGFR
GSC3	Range: 50 - 73		glioblastoma, WHO grade 4	wildtype	Retained	Gain of 7p, 7q	Loss of chr10p, chr10q (subclonal)	none
SM4447			glioblastoma, WHO grade 4	wildtype	Retained	N/A	N/A	N/A
SM4691			glioblastoma, WHO grade 4	wildtype	Retained	N/A	N/A	N/A
SM4491			glioblastoma, WHO grade 4	wildtype	Retained	N/A	N/A	N/A



- 6 time (years)
 7 Supplementary Figure S1. (a-h) Survival analyses of the Gravendeel et al¹ dataset for histone
- 8 variants (a) H2AFV (H2AZ2), (b) H2AFX (H2AX), (c) H2AFY (MACROH2A1), (d) H2AFY2
- 9 (MACROH2A2), (e) H2AFZ (H2AZ1), (f) HIST1H1C (H1-2), (g) HIST1H1D (H1-3), (h)
- 10 HIST1H1E (H1-4). P values computed by log-rank test.
- 11



- 12 13
- **Supplementary Figure S2. (a-j)** Survival analyses of the TCGA high-grade glioma dataset^{2,3} for
- 14 histone variants (a) H2AFV (H2AZ2), (b) H2AFX (H2AX), (c) H2AFY (MACROH2A1), (d)
- 15 *H2AFY2 (MACROH2A2)*, (e) *H2AFZ (H2AZ1)*, (f) *HIST1H1A (H1-0)*, (g) *HIST1H1B (H1-1)*,
- 16 (h) *HIST1H1C (H1-2)*. (i) *HIST1H1D (H1-3)*, (j) *HIST1HE (H1-5)*. P values computed by log-
- 17 rank test.
- 18





21 Supplementary Figure S3.

- 22 (a) Overall survival for *IDH* mutant gliomas in GSE 16011 stratified by median *MACROH2A2*
- (mH2A2). P value calculated by log-rank test. Shaded region represents 95% confidence
 interval.
- 25 (b) Overall survival for CIMP-positive mutant gliomas in the TCGA high-grade glioma cohort
- 26 stratified by median *MACROH2A2* (mH2A2). P value calculated by log-rank test. Shaded region
- 27 represents 95% confidence interval.
- 28 (c) Overall survival for CIMP-negative mutant gliomas in the TCGA high-grade glioma cohort
- stratified by median *MACROH2A2* (mH2A2). P value calculated by log-rank test. Shaded region
- 30 represents 95% confidence interval.
- 31 (d) Overall survival for CIMP-negative mutant gliomas treated with chemotherapy and
- 32 radiotherapy in the TCGA high-grade glioma cohort stratified by median MACROH2A2
- 33 (mH2A2). P value calculated by log-rank test. Shaded region represents 95% confidence
- 34 interval.
- 35 (e) MACROH2A2 levels in CIMP-negative and CIMP-positive tumours in the TCGA high-grade
- 36 glioma cohort. P value by two-tailed unpaired T test with Welch's correction. Boxplot line
- 37 represents median, hinges at 25^{th} and 75^{th} percentiles, and whiskers at 1.5 x IQR.
- 38 (f) MACROH2A2 expression in CIMP-negative tumours in TCGA high-grade glioma cohort
- 39 separated by Verhaak transcriptional subtype. P value by two-tailed unpaired T test with Welch's
- 40 correction.. Boxplot line represents median, hinges at 25th and 75th percentiles, and whiskers at
- 41 1.5 x IQR.
- 42 (g) MACROH2A2 expression in GLASS consortium primary IDH-wildtype GBM separated by
- 43 Verhaak transcriptional subtype. P value by two-tailed unpaired T test with Welch's correction.
- 44 Boxplot line represents median, hinges at 25th and 75th percentiles, and whiskers at 1.5 x IQR.
- 45 (h) Expression data for *MACROH2A1* and *MACROH2A2* in the TCGA high-grade glioma cohort
- 46 (CIMP-positive and CIMP-negative). P value by two-tailed unpaired T test with Welch's
- 47 correction.
- 48 (i) Expression of *MACROH2A2* in immunopanned human brain cell types.⁴ Error bars represent
- 49 standard error.
- 50 (j) Expression of *Macroh2a2* in different cell types in the mouse brain⁵. Error bars represent
- 51 upper and lower 95% confidence interval.
- 52 (k) Kaplan-Meier survival status for patients with recurrent high-grade glioma in TCGA cohort –
- 53 CIMP-positive and CIMP-negative (shaded region represents 95% confidence interval; p value
- 54 calculated by log-rank test).
- 55 (I) Comparison of expression levels for MACROH2A2 in TCGA data (CIMP-positive and CIMP-
- 56 negative) for untreated versus treated primary glioblastoma (p value: two-tailed T test with
- 57 Welch's correction; whiskers represent 95% confidence interval). Boxplot line represents
- 58 median, hinges at 25th and 75th percentiles, and whiskers at 1.5 x IQR.
- 59



60

61 Supplementary Figure S4. (a) *MACROH2A2* counts in different cell subsets in the Neftel et al⁶

- 62 dataset (P values; unpaired two-tailed T-test with Welch's correction). Boxplot line represents
- 63 median, hinges at 25^{th} and 75^{th} percentiles, and whiskers at 1.5 x IQR. (b-c) *MACROH2A2*
- 64 counts in Richards et al⁷ dataset plotted against (b) developmental and injury response axes and
- 65 (c) Neftel NPC1 and MES1 axes (c). Comparison of *MACROH2A2* expression levels at tumour

- 66 bulk versus margin regions in (d) Brooks et al xenografts⁸ (GSE139261) [Boxplot line represents
- 67 median, hinges at 25th and 75th percentiles, and whiskers at 1.5 x IQR; P value by Wilcoxon test]
- and (e) individual tumour cells from Yu et al⁹ regionalized scRNA-seq data from gliomas
- 69 (GSE117891; P value: Wilcoxon test). (f) Immunohistochemistry of macroH2A2 and
- 70 macroH2A1 in two patient tumours (scale bar 50 microns).
- 71





75 Supplementary Figure S5. (a) Characterization of cell subtypes in primary tumours based on

- published scRNA-seq $(G523)^7$ and scATAC-seq datasets. (b) RT-qPCR validation of
- 77 MACROH2A2 transcript levels at 48 hours post-induction (center represents overall per-
- 78 condition mean; 3 biological replicates/condition; error bars represent standard error; p value by
- ⁷⁹ unpaired two-tailed T-test). (c) macroH2A2 protein levels after two weeks of induction in two
- 80 hairpins. Experiment repeated three times. (d) Western blot of macroH2A2 and macroH2A1
- 81 after 7 or 14 days of activation with doxycycline. Experiment repeated two times.
- 82 (e-g) Log fraction non-responding plots for limiting dilution assays on (e) G523, (f) GSC2 and
- 83 (g) GSC3 cells.
- 84 (h) Western blot showing differentiation marker expression in G523 cells after 7 days of
- 85 MACROH2A2 knockdown and 7 days of culture under stem (EF) or differentiation conditions
- 86 [FBS 1% FBS; -GF growth factor withdrawal (no EGF or FGF)]. Experiment performed
- 87 twice.
- 88 (i) Schematic of dCas9-based overexpression model.
- 89 (j) RT-qPCR validation of transcript levels of MACROH2A1 and MACROH2A2 after
- 90 transfection with sgRNAs (center: overall per-condition mean; 3 biological replicates/condition;
- 91 error bars represent standard error; p value by unpaired two-tailed T-test).
- 92 (k) Sphere forming frequency of sgMH2A2 versus Scramble sgRNA treated cells. P value was
- 93 determined by Chi-square test with the tool ELDA (see Methods). Center: point estimate of
- 94 sphere formation potential. Error bars: 95% confidence interval. Statistics from 6 technical95 replicates.
- 96
- 97
- 98
- 99
- 100







- 103 (a-f) Representative confocal microscopy images of macroH2A2 and human nucleus stained
- 104 cells in shScr control xenografts (a-c) and knockdown xenografts (d-f) [scale bar: 20 microns].

- 105 (g-h) Quantification of human macroH2A2 signal (g) and proportion of positive cells (h) by
- 106 confocal microscopy over a 20x field in scramble and knockdown cells (p values: unpaired two-
- 107 tailed T-test); repeated over two fields. Boxplot line represents median, hinges at 25th and 75th
- 108 percentiles, and whiskers at 1.5 x IQR. (i-p) Representative widefield microscopy images of Ki-
- 109 67 and ASCL1 colabeling in control (i-l) and knockdown (m-p) xenograft mice. Scale bar: 25
- 110 microns. Experiment repeated in at least 2 mice per condition. (q-r) Immunocytochemistry for
- 111 Ki-67 and ASCL1 in G523 control (q) or shMH2A2 (r) cells after 1 week of induction.
- 112 Experiment repeated on two biological replicates. Scale bar: 25 microns. (s) Comparison of
- 113 ASCL1+ proliferating cells between control and knockdown conditions. P value: unpaired two-
- tailed T test with Welch's correction. Boxplot line represents median, hinges at 25th and 75th
- 115 percentiles, and whiskers at 1.5 x IQR. (t) Maximum ASCL1 signal intensity per cell in control
- 116 versus shMH2A2 cells. P value: unpaired two-tailed T-test with Welch's correction. Boxplot line
- 117 represents median, hinges at 25th and 75th percentiles, and whiskers at 1.5 x IQR.



- 119GFP-A120Supplementary Figure S7
- 121 (a-d) Flow cytometry analysis showing gating strategy for flow cytometry experiments of CD44
- and cell cycle analysis on knockdown cells induced for 7 days. (c-d) Example of GFP positivity
- 123 in knockdown cells induced for 7 days (c) versus uninduced control (d)
- 124 (e-g) Cell cycle analysis of G523 cells by Dye Cycle Violet: representative traces of control and
- 125 knockdown cells (e-f) and quantification (g) of biological replicates (n = 3). P values: unpaired
- 126 two-tailed T-test with Welch's correction. Error bars represent standard deviation.
- 127
- 128



- 130 Supplementary Figure S8.
- 131 (a-f) Representative images of shScr (a-c) and shMH2A2a (d-f) xenografts stained for OLIG2.
- 132 (g) Quantification of average OLIG2 signal (p value calculated by unpaired two-tailed T-test).
- Boxplot line represents median, hinges at 25th and 75th percentiles, and whiskers at 1.5 x IQR.
- 134 (h) Proportion of OLIG2-positive cells in control versus knockdown tumours (p value
- 135 calculated by unpaired two-tailed T-test). Quantification performed over 3 10x fields. Scale bar:
- 136 50 microns. Boxplot line represents median, hinges at 25th and 75th percentiles, and whiskers at
- 137 1.5 x IQR. (i-l) Fluorescence immunohistochemistry of control xenografts stained for human
- 138 nuclear antigen, propidium iodide, and CD44. (i) represents composite image (scale bar 200
- 139 mm), and (j)-(l) represent magnified single channel images of the inset area in orange (scale bar
- 140 100 mm).

- 141 (m-p) Fluorescence immunohistochemistry of shMH2A2a xenografts stained for human nuclear
- 142 antigen, propidium iodide, and CD44. (m) represents composite image (scale bar 200 mm), and
- 143 (n)-(p) represent magnified single channel images of the inset area in orange (scale bar 100 mm).
- 144 Experiments in (i)-(p) repeated twice on three independent animals.



148 Supplementary Figure S9.

- 149 (a) Changepoint analysis of knockdown (shMH2A2a) versus control cells. Error bars represent
- 150 standard deviation.
- 151 **(b)** GO process terms enriched in peaks lost upon macroH2A2 knockdown. P value:
- 152 hypergeometric test.

- 153 (c-j) Permutation analysis of regions with at least 1.5 fold log₂ change in accessibility upon
- 154 MACROH2A2 knockdown with (c-d) DNA loop boundaries (Johnston et al 2019); (e-f) Gene
- bodies; (g-h) repeat regions from the RepeatMasker database; (i-j) Introns. Regions of
- accessibility gain and loss were analysed separately. P value by hypergeometric test from 500
- 157 permutations. Boxplot line represents median, hinges at 25th and 75th percentiles, and whiskers at
- 158 1.5 x IQR.
- 159



- 162 (a) Differential expression of top 200 differentially expressed GBM-specific putative eRNAs
- 163 between *MACROH2A2* knockdown and control cells.
- 164 (b) Top 2000 differentially transcribed GBM-specific eRNAs between macroH2A2 knockdown
- 165 and control cells, ranked by fold change.
- 166 (c) Close up of significantly differentially transcribed eRNA, ranked by p value.
- 167 (d) Example of a representative ATAC-seq enhancer peak lost upon macroH2A2 knockdown.
- 168 (e) Example of eRNA at an enhancer locus with increased accessibility. P value calculated by
- 169 unpaired T test. Center: mean TPM per condition. Error bars represent standard deviation.



171 Supplementary Figure S11.

- 172 (a-b) Sanger sequencing traces confirming in-frame FLAG insert in G523 (a) and GSC3 (b)
- 173 clones. (c-g) Permutation analysis of macroH2A2 ChIP peaks with Fantom5 enhancers (c),
- 174 promoters (d), GBM-specific loop anchors (e), transposable elements (f), and GBM
- superenhancers (g). (h) Permutation analysis of ATAC-seq peaks lost in shMH2A2a knockdown
- 176 cells compared to macroH2A2 peaks. All P values by hypergeometric test, n = 500 permutations.
- 177 Boxplot line represents median, hinges at 25^{th} and 75^{th} percentiles, and whiskers at 1.5 x IQR. (i)
- 178 Scatterplot of ATAC peaks between control and knockdown cells colored by macroH2A2
- 179 overlap status.
- 180





- 185 Supplementary Figure S12.
- 186 (a) Overview of high-content screening strategy.
- 187 (b) List of compounds showing more than 2-fold increase in macroH2A2 levels. Error bars
- 188 represent standard deviations.
- 189 (c) Alamar blue *in vitro* dose-response curve for MI-3 in G523 cells. Six technical replicates per
- 190 concentration. Error bars represent standard deviation. Experiment repeated two times.

- 191 (d) Alamar blue *in vitro* dose-response curve for RGFP-966 in G523 cells. Six technical
- 192 replicates per concentration. Error bars represent standard deviation, and center represents mean
- 193 signal at each concentration. Experiment repeated two times.



196197 Supplementary Figure S13.

- 198 (a-b) GSEA results showing altered interferon signalling and methylation signatures upon MI-3
- 199 treatment compared to DMSO control. P value by hypergeometric test, q value by
- 200 hypergeometric test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction.
- 201 (c) Change in *PDGFRA* transcription by qPCR upon MI-3 treatment. Three biological and three
- 202 technical replicates per condition. Center represents mean fold change across all replicates. P
- 203 value from unpaired two-tailed T-test. Error bars represent standard deviation. (d) Expression of
- 204 ISGs in control versus macroH2A2 knockdown cells. (e) Expression of ISGs in MI3 and DMSO
- treated cells. (f) Expression of select repeat elements in DMSO versus MI-3 treated cells.
- 206
- 207
- 208

- 209 References:
- 210 1. Gravendeel, L. A. M. et al. Intrinsic gene expression profiles of gliomas are a better predictor
- of survival than histology. *Cancer Research* **69**, 9065–9072 (2009).
- 212 2. Brennan, C. W. et al. The somatic genomic landscape of glioblastoma. Cell 155, 462–77
- 213 (2013).
- 214 3. Verhaak, R. G. W. et al. Integrated Genomic Analysis Identifies Clinically Relevant Subtypes
- of Glioblastoma Characterized by Abnormalities in PDGFRA, IDH1, EGFR, and NF1.
- 216 *Cancer Cell* **17**, 98–110 (2010).
- 4. Zhang, Y. et al. Purification and Characterization of Progenitor and Mature Human Astrocytes
- 218 Reveals Transcriptional and Functional Differences with Mouse. *Neuron* **89**, 37–53 (2016).
- 219 5. Saunders, A. *et al.* Molecular Diversity and Specializations among the Cells of the Adult
- 220 Mouse Brain. Cell 174, 1015–1030 (2018).
- 221 6. Neftel, C. et al. An Integrative Model of Cellular States, Plasticity, and Genetics for
- 222 Glioblastoma. *Cell* **178**, 835-849.e21 (2019).
- 223 7. Richards, L. M. et al. Gradient of Developmental and Injury Response transcriptional states
- defines functional vulnerabilities underpinning glioblastoma heterogeneity. *Nature Cancer* **2**,
- 225 157–173 (2021).
- 8. Brooks, L. J. *et al.* The white matter is a pro-differentiative niche for glioblastoma. *Nature Communications* 12, 2184 (2021).
- 9. Yu, K. et al. Surveying brain tumor heterogeneity by single-cell RNA-sequencing of multi-
- sector biopsies. *National Science Review* 7, 1306–1318 (2020).