## **Supporting Information for**

# Nasal administration of anti-CD3 mAb (Foralumab) downregulates *NKG7* and increases *TGFb1* and *GIMAP7* expression in T cells in subjects with COVID-19.

Thais G. Moreira<sup>1</sup>, Christian D. Gauthier<sup>1</sup>, Liam Murphy<sup>1</sup>, Toby B. Lanser<sup>1</sup>, Anu Paul<sup>1</sup>, Kimble T. F. Matos<sup>2</sup>, Davide Mangani<sup>1</sup>, Saef Izzy<sup>1</sup>, Rafael M. Rezende<sup>1</sup>, Brian C. Healy<sup>1</sup>, Clare M. Baecher-Allan<sup>1</sup>, Tanuja Chitnis<sup>1</sup>, Vijay Kuchroo<sup>1</sup>, Howard L. Weiner<sup>1</sup>

Correspondence: hweiner@rics.bwh.harvard.edu / tmoreira@bwh.harvard.edu/

\* Howard L. Weiner / Thais Garcias Moreira Ann Romney Center for Neurologic Diseases Department of Neurology,
Brigham and Women's Hospital,
Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.
60 Fenwood Rd, Boston, MA. 02115 USA

#### This PDF file includes:

Figures S1 to S7 Tables S1 and S2

#### Other supporting materials for this manuscript include the following:

Datasets S1 to S8



**Figure S1. Gating strategy for RNA-sequencing and Ingenuity Pathway Analysis A)** Sample selection for RNA-sequencing. 39/60 patients were allocated. Samples from Fora/Dexa group were not elected for this study and thus 28/39 patients were selected. Further, 12/28 samples were removed because patients did present lung involvement or did not collect CT scan on endpoint and thus could not be evaluated. We then used IL-6 serum levels at baseline to define a sample selection zone that excluded skewed IL-6 serum levels. Samples within median and interquartile range were used for single cell RNA-seq analysis and it is shown in squared shape. Bulk RNA-seq **B)** Gating strategy for cell sorting: bulk RNA-seq (Smart-Seq2) and 10x single cell RNA-seq. PBMCs were stained with viability dye, CD3, CD19, CD66b and CD14 and FACS-sorted. Cells were gated on singlet+ Live+ cells. P1 (CD3<sup>+</sup>), P3 (CD19<sup>+</sup>) and P5 (CD14<sup>+</sup>) were gated on P2, CD3<sup>-</sup>. **C-E)** Ingenuity Pathways Analysis on DEG genes (p<0.05) of comparisons between healthy volunteers and COVID-19 subjects in CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells, CD19<sup>+</sup> B cells and CD14<sup>+</sup> monocytes . N=7 healthy volunteers (HV), N=8 untreated controls and N=8 Foralumab treated



Untreated controls
 Foralumab

**Figure S2. T cell subtyping. A)** Canonical cell markers for T cell subsets. **B)** UMAP plots of T cells showing localization of CD3<sup>+</sup> cell subset markers. **C)** CD3<sup>+</sup> subsets including T helper stratification into Th1, Th2 and Th17 subsets. **D)** Graphic representation T cell subset distribution in healthy controls, untreated and Foralumab treated COVID-19 subjects at baseline (day-2) and at day 10 shown in Fig1E. Bars represent mean<u>+</u>SEM. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey *post hoc* analysis \*\* p<0.01. **E)** UMAP plots of T cells showing localization of effector genes.



JMAP 2



В

Figure S3. TCR sequencing in Foralumab treated subjects. A, B) TCR sharing patterns of specific T cell subsets by comparing usage of V(D)J genes on healthy controls (A), untreated controls vs. Foralumab treated subjects (B). C) Distribution within UMAP plots of T cells subsets. D) Violin plots showing the frequency of clonal T cells in CD8<sup>+</sup> TEMRA and CD8<sup>+</sup> CM cells (Median+IQR). Graphs show percentage of each clonal type and individual status. 4 healthy volunteers, 4 untreated controls (before and after) and 4 Foralumab treated subjects (before and after) were studied.





**Figure S4. Effector gene cluster and exhaustion modules in CD3+ T cells. A)** UMAP plots of T cells showing localization of effector genes in CD3+ cells across treatment. **B)** Exhausted score of >0.01 was used to determinate highly exhausted cells and compared to low/not exhausted cells (<0.01). *MAF, TGFB1, IL2, TNFa* and *IFNg* gene expression in exhausted and not exhausted cells is compared and shown in Violin-plots (Median<u>+</u>IQR). **C)** Distribution of exhaustion score within UMAP plots of CD3+ T cells subsets in in healthy controls, untreated COVID19 subjects and Foralumab treated subjects at baseline (day-2) and at day 10. Violin plots (Median<u>+</u>IQR) with individual points is shown. HC= healthy controls. One-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey *post hoc* analysis was performed and comparison between healthy vs. day-2 and untreated vs Foralumab is shown. \* P < 0.05, \*\* P < 0.01, \*\*\* P < 0.001.



**Figure S5. A, B)** *NKG7* and *TGFB1* counts in CD3+ subsets in healthy controls, untreated and Foralumab treated COVID-19 subjects at baseline (day-2) and at day 10. *NKG7* (**A**) and *TGFB1* (**B**). Bars represent mean<u>+</u>SEM. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey *post hoc* analysis \*\* p < 0.01. \* P < 0.05, \*\* P < 0.01, \*\*\*\* P < 0.001.





Figure S6. Serum markers altered by COVID-19 detected by proteomics. A) Comparison between healthy volunteers and COVID-19 subjects. Dots are individual values, bars represent mean<u>+</u>SEM. Student's t test. Healthy = healthy controls. COVID-19 refers to all COVID-19 subjects at baseline (day-2). \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*\* P < 0.001. B) Resume of up and downregulated serum markers obtained by Olink.

В



**Figure S7. A)** Serum BDNF before and after treatment measured by Multiplex. **B)** Serum ST1A1, AXIN1, SIRT2, NT-3, FLT3L and IL-12B change (before and after treatment) measured by Olink. Bars represent change from baseline. Student's t test. **C)** Dot plot showing average expression and percent of *FLT3G*, *SIRT2*, *SULT1A1* and *AXIN1* in CD3<sup>+</sup> cell subsets. **D)** Heat maps showing gene expression of the corresponding proteins found to be modulated by Foralumab treatment in sera. One-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey *post hoc* analysis was used for analysis in D. \* P < 0.05, \*\* P < 0.01, \*\*\*P = 0.001

### **Table S1. Subject demographics**

		Fora	lumab	Unt	reated	Healthy controls	
	Total	Gender (F/M)	Age (yrs)	Gender (F/M)	Age (yrs)	Gender (F/M)	Age (yrs)
Proteomics	n=33	12 (10/2)	$44.5\pm11$	15 (9/6)	$31\pm18.9$	6 (5/1)	$42.4\pm7$
Bulk-RNA	n=23	8 (7/1)	$47.6\pm9.1$	8 (6/2)	$39.8 \pm 19.1$	7 (2/5)	$31.9\pm5.1$
scRNAseq	n=12	4 (3/1)	$46.7\pm8.3$	4 (3/1)	$48.7\pm24.5$	4 (2/2)	$35.6\pm2.5$

Proteomics: Multiplex and Olink Bulk-RNA: Smart2-seq scRNAseq: 10X genomics Age ± Standard Deviation

Control				Foralumab						
	Day -2	Day 10				Day -2	Day 10			
	Mean (±SD) #		Change		p-value*	Mean (±SD)		change		p-value
IL.18	34.8 (±18.9)	32.2 (±17.7)	-2.6; 95% CI: -9.6, 4.3	-Ψ	0.429	46.9 (±15.5)	37.6 (±12.6)	-9.3; 95% CI: -18.9, 0.2	<b>-</b> Ψ	0.054
BDNF	144.4 (±217.6)	174.3 (±191)	29.9; 95% CI: -109.2, 169	-	0.651	91.5 (±105.6)	279.6 (±274.6)	188.1; 95% CI: 50.8, 325.4	↑	0.012
VEGF-A	455.6 (±391.8)	525.1 (±359.6)	69.5; 95% CI: -98.1, 237.2	-	0.389	362.1 (±184.7)	610.4 (±310.2)	248.3; 95% CI: 103.8, 392.9	1	0.003
PIGF-1	49.2 (±29)	67.4 (±38.6)	18.1; 95% CI: -0.8, 37.1	-	0.059	55.8 (±28.7)	87.1 (±41)	31.3; 95% CI: 12.2, 50.5	1	0.004
SCF	6.3 (±4.6)	6.8 (±3.7)	0.5; 95% CI: -0.6, 1.6	-	0.316	5.5 (±3.2)	6.6 (±4.1)	1.1; 95% CI: 0.2, 2	1	0.023
HGF	73 (±43.9)	125.5 (±72.4)	52.5; 95% CI: 23.9, 81.1	Ţ	0.001	89.6 (±41.1)	187.2 (±89.5)	97.6; 95% CI: 63.7, 131.6	↑	<0.001
PDGF-BB	34.6 (±31)	77.5 (±78.4)	42.9; 95% CI: 0.3, 85.5	Ţ	0.048	48 (±49.5)	159.2 (±219.4)	111.1; 95% CI: -1, 223.3	-	0.052
IP-10	28.2 (±16.5)	12.3 (±8)	-15.9; 95% CI: -23.1, -8.7	$\downarrow$	<0.001	30.9 (±20.2)	13.4 (±6.7)	-17.5; 95% CI: -29, -6	$\downarrow$	0.006

#### Table S2: Serum Biomarkers before and after treatment measured by Multiplex

# Standard Deviation (SD)

\*: P-value = difference within groups before (day-2) and after treatment (day 10) and controls. CI= confidence intervals

 $\Psi$  Increase ( $\uparrow$ ) Decrease ( $\downarrow$ ) No change (-)

IL= Interleukin; Brain Derived Neutrophic Factor (BNDF); Interferon gamma inducible protein-10 (IP-10); Placental Growth Factor (PIGF); Stem cell Factor (SCF); Hepatocyte Growth Factor (HGF); Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor A (VEGF-A); Platelet Derived Growth Factor (PDGF).