Supplemental Document

Flexible method for generating needle-shaped beams and its application in optical coherence tomography: supplement

JINGJING ZHAO, ¹ YONATAN WINETRAUB, 1,2,3,4 LIN DU, ⁵ AIDAN VAN VLECK, ¹ KENZO ICHIMURA, 6,7,8 CHENG HUANG, ⁹ SUMAIRA Z. AASI, ¹⁰ KAVITA Y. SARIN, ¹⁰ AND ADAM DE LA ZERDA1,2,3,4,11,[∗]

¹Department of Structural Biology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California 94305, USA

²Biophysics Program at Stanford, Stanford, California 94305, USA

³Molecular Imaging Program at Stanford, Stanford, California 94305, USA

⁴The Bio-X Program, Stanford, California 94305, USA

⁵Department of Electrical and Systems Engineering, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA

⁶Division of Pulmonary, Allergy and Critical Care, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California 94305, USA

⁷Vera Moulton Wall Center of Pulmonary Vascular Disease, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California 94304, USA

⁸Cardiovascular Institute, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California 94304, USA ⁹Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA

¹⁰Department of Dermatology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California 94305, USA ¹¹The Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, San Francisco, California 94158, USA

[∗]*Corresponding author: adlz@stanford.edu*

This supplement published with Optica Publishing Group on 22 July 2022 by The Authors under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License in the format provided by the authors and unedited. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

Supplement DOI: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.20145608

Parent Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.1364/OPTICA.456894

- Flexible method for generating needle- $\mathbf{1}$
- shaped beams and its application in optical $\overline{2}$

coherence tomography $\overline{3}$

JINGJING ZHAO¹, YONATAN WINETRAUB^{1,2,3,4}, LIN DU⁶, AIDAN VAN VLECK¹, $\overline{4}$

KENZO ICHIMURA^{7,8,9}, CHENG HUANG¹⁰, SUMAIRA Z. AASI¹¹, KAVITA Y. 5

SARIN¹¹, ADAM DE LA ZERDA^{1,2,3,4,5,*} $\,$ 6 $\,$

 $\overline{7}$ ¹Department of Structural Biology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California, 8 94305, USA

 $\boldsymbol{9}$ ²Biophysics Program at Stanford, Stanford, California, 94305, USA

 $10¹$ ³Molecular Imaging Program at Stanford, Stanford, California, 94305, USA

- 11 ⁴The Bio-X Program, Stanford, California, 94305, USA
- 12 ⁵The Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, San Francisco, California, 94158, USA
- 13 ⁶Department of Electrical and Systems Engineering, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia,
- 14 Pennsylvania, 19104, USA
- 15 ⁷Division of Pulmonary, Allergy and Critical Care, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford,
- 16 California, 94305, USA
- 17 ⁸Vera Moulton Wall Center of Pulmonary Vascular Disease, Stanford University School of Medicine, 18 Stanford, California, 94304, USA
- 19 ⁹Cardiovascular Institute, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California, 94304, USA
- 20 ¹⁰Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, California, 94305, USA
- 21 ¹¹Department of Dermatology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California 94305,
- 22 **USA**
- 23 * adlz@stanford.edu

Introduction 24 $1.$

25 $2.$ **Methods**

26 2.1 Principle

 $\frac{27}{28}$ Fig.S1 The experimental demonstration of the focus shift function. The DOEs containing two 29 foci were fabricated and placed in OCT system (referring to Fig.S10). One focus is the original objective focus f, and the other is shifted to f_m according to the function P_m
 $(x,y,f_m,f) = (2\pi n/\lambda) \cdot \{ [f - \sqrt{f^2 - (x^2 + y^2)}] - [f_m - \sqrt{f_m^2 - (x^2 + y^2)}] \}$, where (x,y) 30 31 32 is the planar coordinate, λ is the light wavelength, and n is the diffractive index of the 33 imaging space. (a, b) OCT B-scan images $(x$ is the horizontal direction and z is the depth 34 direction) of the 0.8µm beads. In a B-scan, there are two separate bright layers due to the two 35 foci. The two focal planes were determined by the two intensity peaks and the interval 36 between the two peaks was measured to compare the designed shift $(f_m - f)$. The shifts in 37 the figures are 400μm and -300μm. (c) The experimental results are consistent with the 38 theoretical values in the range of $[-400\mu m, 1200\mu m]$ with the errors between 1% and 5%, and 39 $z = 0$ is the original focal location.

42 Fig.S2 The method to allocate the pixels of the phase mask to different foci. This example 43 contains 9 foci and 1024×1024 pixels. (a) Every 3×3 contiguous pixels make up one unit cell 44 (four unit cells in the zoom-in view). The 9 pixels in one unit cell are assigned to the 9 foci, 45 for example, the pixel labeled with f 1 means $L_1(x,y) = 1$ and $L_{m \neq 1}(x,y) = 0$ ($m \in \{1,2,...,9\}$ 46). The locations of the pixels associated with the same focus in different unit cells are 47 constant. For example, all the four $f1$ pixels are located in the top left corner of four 3×3 unit 48 cells, and $L_1(x,y) = 1$ is periodic in both X and Y directions. In this manner, each binary 49 function $L_m(x, y)$ is a two-dimensional periodic array. The periodic distributions introduce 8 apparent high-order peaks in the focal plane, generating 9 beams in total. (b) In order to 50 51 eliminate noisy high-order beams, the locations of the pixels assigned to a specific focus are 52 random in different unit cells. Since the spatially periodic property of $L_m(x,y)$ is broken, only 53 the zero-order beam at the center of the focal plane remains. The intensity ratio of the central 54 beam to the background is 102dB in the simulations. In real applications, the number of foci 55 can be huge, for example, 400 foci. (c) The amplitude of the zero-order peak is inversely proportional to the foci number M . The background noise level also decreases with M . 56 57 Overall, the peak-to-background ratio (PBR) decreases with M , but PBR keeps a large value 58 even at $M=400$ (PBR>3100). Here, PBR is calculated as the ratio of peak intensity to the 59 average background intensity.

62 Fig.S3 The positions of the individual foci are optimized for the uniform axial intensity of the 63 generated beam. In this simulation example, the beam is composed of 81 foci with the length 64 of 300um, and the lens is a 20x water immersion objective (UMPLFLN20XW, Olympus, 65 equivalent focal length = 9 mm). (a) Two spatial distributions of the foci. In one, the foci are uniformly distributed over the range 0-300 um with an equal interval (the objective original 66 focus is at $z = 0$), while in the second the foci locations are optimized by the algorithm listed 67 68 in e. (b) If the foci are uniformly distributed, the axial beam intensity is not uniform, which 69 creates maximums at the two ends of the beam. With optimized foci positions, the beam 70 displays uniform intensity distribution along the z direction. (c) A comparison of axial beam 71 intensities under the two conditions. With optimization, the intensity fluctuation is reduced 72 from 50% to 5%. (d) Optimization is also beneficial to narrow the maximum diameter of the 73 needle-shaped beam. (e) The algorithm developed in this study to uniform the beam axial 74 intensity by optimizing the foci positions. To accelerate the optimization of foci positions, we 75 only calculate the light distribution along the optical axis ($x = 0$ and $y = 0$, z has 1024 pixels) 76 and the number of iterations is fixed at 12. The computation time cost for 100 foci is 1.6s (I7-77 8700 3.2GHz, 64Gb RAM) or 1.4s (AMD 3945WX 4.0GHz, 160Gb RAM). For 400 foci, it is 78 5.5s (I7-8700 3.2GHz, 64Gb RAM) or 5.0s for 400 foci (AMD 3945WX 4.0GHz, 160Gb 79 RAM).

81 2.2 Simulations

82 Phase adjuster affects several characteristics of NB. Here we selected several simulation 83 experiments to reveal its role on shaping the NB profile. We use phase adjustors $Pa_m = PA$. 84 m , where the focus index m and PA is the coefficient.

85 The first example is a two-foci beam. The focus is $F(x,y,z,f) = A(x,y,z,f) exp$ $[i \cdot P(x, y, z, f)]$, where A is the amplitude and P denotes phase distribution, referring to Eq. 86 87 (3) and Eq. (4) in the main text. Set the axial interval between the two foci as zero and the phase adjuster is zero, then the two foci are the same as $F(x,y,z,f)/2$, generating a single 88 bright focus $F(x,y, z,f)$ with a FWHM diameter of 1.4 μ m, as shown in Fig.S4(a). Adding a 89 phase shift $\pi/2$ to the second focus, the combination is $F(x,y, z,f) \cdot 0.5 \cdot [1 + \exp(i \cdot \pi/2)],$ 90 and the amplitude is reduced to $A/\sqrt{2}$ and the diameter is unchanged, as shown in Fig.S4(b). 91 With the phase shift of π , the two foci counteract each other, as shown in Fig. S4(c). It proves 92 93 that the combined optical field can be modulated by adjusting the phase difference between 94 the two spatially coincident foci.

95 Second, set the axial interval between the two foci as 4um. If the phase shift is zero, 96 Fig. S4(d), the two foci have the same phase distribution along the optical axis, the light filed 97 is $[F(x,y,z,f-2\mu m) + F(x,y,z,f+2\mu m)]/2$, and the diameter at the middle plane between 98 the two foci is 1.5 μ m (larger than the single-focus diameter 1.4 μ m), given by 99 $[F(x,y, f, f - 2\mu m) + F(x,y, f, f + 2\mu m)]/2$. With a non-zero PA, the light filed is 100 $[F(x,y,z,f-2\mu m) + F(x,y,z,f+2\mu m) \cdot \exp(i \cdot PA)]/2$. By increasing the PA in Fig.S4(e-101 g), the optical field created by the interference of the two foci changes in terms of intensity, 102 diameter, and side lobes. With increasing PA , the diameter can reduce to be smaller than the 103 original objective focal size at the cost of the intensity, as shown in Fig.S4(e, f). With a larger 104 value of PA , donut focus may be generated, $Fig.S4(g)$.

105 Third, we tested three closely-spaced foci with a 4um interval. As illustrated in Fig.S5(a-106 c), a large value of PA can reduce beam diameter (even smaller than the Gaussian beam 107 focus) and the length of the three-foci beam. At a distant position, e.g., $z=12.025$ mm in 108 Fig.S5(d-e), the intensity of the three-foci beam with a large PA is much weaker than that of 109 the one modulated by a small PA. In other words, more energy will leak into the neighboring 110 space when using a small PA. For a NB containing many foci, like the NBs in Fig.S10, with a large PA , NB has a small diameter and the beam profile at a certain depth Z' is mainly 111 112 determined by the few local foci around Z' (barely affected by the distant foci), thus the NB 113 diameter is more uniform along z direction. On the contrary, a small PA leads to a large NB 114 diameter and makes it hard for the current optimization algorithm (Fig.S3) to simultaneously 115 achieve uniform axial intensity and uniform beam diameter along the depth direction. As 116 mentioned in Discussion section of the main text, both uniform intensity and uniform 117 diameter are promisingly achievable if we can develop a new design algorithm that fully 118 leverages the design freedom of f_1 ,..., f_M and Pa_1 ,..., Pa_M .

Next, based on the above simulated results, it is reasonable to surmise that a NB 119 120 composed of multiple foci can also be modulated by the phase adjuster in a similar way, 121 which has been testified in Fig.2. Conclusively, a large PA reduces the diameter at the cost of 122 side lobes and efficiency.

125 Fig.S4 Simulations of two foci. The incident light is Gaussian beam (910nm, 4.6mm diameter 126 at $1/e^2$, intensity = 1), focused by a water immersion objective (focal length in water = 127 12mm). The two foci are spatially coincident in (a-c). (a) $PA=0$, beam diameter = 1.4 μ m, 128 maximum intensity = 4×10^6 ; (b) $PA=0.5\pi$, beam diameter = 1.4 μ m, intensity = 2×10^6 ; (c) PA 129 $=1\pi$, intensity = 0. The two foci are separately fixed at Z=12mm-2 μ m and Z=12mm+2 μ m in 130 (d-g). (d) PA=0, beam diameter = 1.5µm, intensity = 3.3×10^6 , invisible side lobes; (e) PA =0.5 π , beam diameter = 1.3 μ m, intensity = 2.9×10⁶, invisible side lobes; (f) PA=1 π , beam 131 132 diameter = 1.0 μ m, intensity = 0.4 \times 10⁶, intensity ratio of the side lobe to the central main lobe 133 is 4%; (g) $PA=1.25\pi$, intensity = 1.4×10⁵, donut spot.

124

136

137 Fig. S5 Simulations of a 3-foci beam. The incident light is Gaussian beam (910nm, 4.6mm 138 diameter at $1/e^2$, intensity = 1), focused by a 20x water immersion objective (focal length = 139 12mm). The Z positions of the three foci are 12mm-4um, 12mm, 12mm+4um. (a) $PA=0$, 140 beam diameter = 1.7µm, maximum intensity = 2.5×10^6 ; (b) PA=0.3 π , beam diameter = 141 1.5um, intensity = 2.7×10^6 ; (c) PA=0.6 π , beam diameter = 1.2um (Gaussian beam focus size is 1.4 μ m), intensity = 0.9 × 10⁶. (d-f) With increasing *PA*, the length of the beam, 142 143 described by FWHM of the axial intensity along the optical axis, becomes shorter $(20\mu m,$ 144 $14\mu m$, and $10\mu m$), and more energy is confined around the physical positions of the three 145 foci.

Step 1

- Choose the beam length L and determine the number of the foci M with the restriction of $RL \le L/(M-1) \le RL$, where RL stands for Rayleigh length of the objective.
- Select the position of the first focus, which is usually set at $f_1 = f$ or $f_1 = f L/2$, where f is the objective focal length, then the last focus location is $f_M = f_1 + L$. We used $f_1 = f$ for all the DOEs fabricated in this study.

Step 2

Optimize the positions of the individual foci for the uniform axial intensity of the generated beam, as illustrated in Fig. S3.

Step 3

- The phase adjusters $Pa_1, ..., Pa_M$ are set at $Pa_m = PA \cdot m$ in this work, where m is the focus index $\in \{1, 2, ..., M\}$ and PA is a coefficient \in [0, 2π].
- Scan PA within the range of $[0, 2\pi]$, thereby quantifying the beam characteristics under different PA values. Empirically, $0 < PA < 0.5\pi$ is the common range for NBs.

Step 4

- Select an appropriate PA for a design.
- Complete the phase pattern of the designed needle beam, as described in Fig. 1.

148 Fig.S6 The design procedure of a needle-shaped beam.

149

147

150 2.3 Fabrication

151 The fabrication procedure is shown in Fig.S7. A blank fused silica wafer (4inch, 500um 152 thickness) was first soaked in piranha solution (9:1 H₂SO₄:H₂O₂) for 20mins at 120°C for 153 cleaning. Then a 100nm thick aluminum (Al) layer was deposited onto one side of the wafer 154 (750 seconds, Lesker Sputter). The Al layer serves as a heat conductor to improve the downstream dry etching uniformity. With the coating tool (SVG Coat), a 1.6um thick 155 156 photoresist (SPR3612, 2mm edge bead removal) was deposited onto the other side of the 157 wafer after being processed by Hexamethyldisilazane (HDMS, an adhesion promoter for 158 resists). The mask pattern was transferred onto the photoresist layer by direct laser writing 159 (MLA 150, Heidelberg; 405nm laser, 1 defocus, 50mJ/cm2 dosage). Next, the wafer was 160 baked at 110°C for 90s to harden the exposed photoresist then developed (SVG Developer). Then, the wafer was engraved by inductively coupled plasma (ICP) etching (ICP Dielectric 161 162 Etcher, Plasma Therm Versaline; the parameters were set as 450W ICP, 50W BP, 40CHF3, 2 O2, 5 mT, 4T He, 10° C electrode, 70° C liner, 90° C spool, 90° C lip). The etching rate was 163 2.3-2.5nm/s, measured using a dummy wafer. Following etching, the remaining photoresist 164 was removed by oxygen plasma (Plasma Resist Strip, Matrix). The lithography steps 165 166 described above were repeated three more times (the first round lithography for 1008nm, the second for 504nm, the third for 252nm, and the fourth for 126nm, combining to generate 16 167 168 heights). The Al layer was removed by first soaking the wafer in aluminum etchant (CMOS, 169 J.T. Baker) at 40 $^{\circ}$ C for 20mins, and then cleansing in piranha solution (20mins at 120 $^{\circ}$ C). 170 Finally, wafers were divided into separate DOEs using an Excimer laser (IX-255, IPG 171 Photonics; 193nm, under high fluence mode, 7mJ, VAT=35%, 4 repeated cycles).

173

174 Fig.S7 The fabrication of the DOEs. (a) The fabrication process for the DOEs is based on 175 four rounds of lithography. (b) Nine DOEs were fabricated on one wafer simultaneously. (c) 176 The full-view microphotograph of one DOE (VHX-6000, Keyence). (d) A zoomed-in view of 177 the DOE. (e) A close-up view of the individual $10\mu m \times 10\mu m$ pixels on the DOE surface. (f) 178 The 16 height levels of a step structure (the red arrow in c) are measured by the profilometer 179 (P2, Tencor). (g) The real 16 heights of 9 samples are tested and the errors are below 2%. 180 STD stands for standard deviation, and CV is coefficient of variation.

182 3. Results

183 3.1 Beam profiles

184 Beam profiles were measured by a microscope system shown in Fig.S8. Its magnification is 185 44.4 when using 40x water immersion objective (UMPLFLN40XW, Olympus) for imaging 186 and is 11.1 with a 10x dry lens (LSM02-BB, Thorlabs). The axial scanning step size was 187 chosen between 1um to 10um to match different beam lengths. The beam diameter (FWHM) in a 2D image is calculated as $2 \times \sqrt{A_{FWHM}/\pi}$, where A_{FWHM} is the area where the beam 188 intensity is no less than the half of the maximum intensity (after background subtraction). As 189 190 show in Fig.S $8(c)$, the cross section through the middle of the NB or focused Gaussian beam 191 is taken out to calculate the efficiency and sidelobe ratio. The sidelobe ratio is the peak 192 intensity ratio between the first sidelobe and the main lobe, and the needle-shaped beam (NB) 193 efficiency is evaluated by the ratio of the energy enclosed within the NB's central lobe to the 194 energy enclosed within the Gaussian focus spot. When calculating the efficiency, the focused 195 Gaussian beam is generated by the same objective used for NBs. In theory, the efficiency of 196 Gaussian beam is 100% since its focus contains all the incident energy (E_{IN}), $E_{GB} = E_{IN}$. In the 197 simulations, the input energy (the energy of the Gaussian beam before entering the objective) 198 is the same as the energy enclosed within the Gaussian focal spot, generating a 100% 199 efficiency. In the practical experiments of 3D beam profiles, the transmission efficiency of 200 the objective (EE_{OBJ}) is not 100%. Thus, the Gaussian spot energy is lower than the incident 201 energy. The energy of the Gaussian spot is $E_{GB} = EE_{OBJ} \times Input$ Energy. The energy of the 202 main lobe of the NB is $E_{NB} = EE_{OBJ} \times Input$ Energy $\times E_{NB}$. So we use the energy of the 203 Gaussian focus as the reference to eliminate the effect of objective on energy transmission, 204 giving $E_{NB}/E_{GB} = (EE_{OBJ} \times Input Energy \times E_{NB})/(EE_{OBJ} \times Input Energy) = EE_{NB}$. 205

206

207 Fig.S8 The setup used to image the beam profiles. (a) This setup contains the three modules 208 of light source, the DOE system, and the imaging system. The light is produced by a 209 supercontinuum laser (SL, Superk Extreme, NKT Photonics). Lens L1 collimates the output 210 light from the single mode fiber (SMF). F1 is a bandpass filter $(910\pm5nm, FB910-10,$ 211 Thorlabs) and F2 is a neutral density filter to reduce the power. L2 is the coupler (focal length 212 $= 12$ mm, TC12APC-850, Thorlabs) to convey the laser into the downstream SMF. L3 is the 213 collimator with a focal length of 25mm and the output Gaussian beam has a diameter of 214 4.6mm. The homemade DOE aims to modulate the beam phase. The pair of L4 and L5 (focal 215 length = 60mm, AC254-060-B, Thorlabs) is a 4f system to transfer the phase pattern to the 216 back focal plane of the 20x water immersion objective OBJ1 (UMPLFLN20XW, Olympus). 217 The beam is focused by OBJ1 in the water of the tank and observed by another 40x water 218 immersion objective OBJ2 (UMPLFLN40XW, Olympus) with the lens L6 (focal length= 200 mm, AC254-200-B, Thorlabs). The beam is imaged on the CCD sensor (pixel size 219 220 $=$ 5 μ m, WinCamD, Dataray). The imaging system is axially moved by a precise motor M 221 (Z812, Torlabs) to test the beam profiles at different depths. (b) A photo of the two objectives and tank. (c, d) The sidelobe ratio of NB is the peak intensity ratio between the first sidelobe 222 223 and the main lobe, and the NB's efficiency is the ratio of the energy enclosed within the NB's 224 central lobe (white circle) to the energy enclosed within the Gaussian focus spot ($=E_{NB}/E_{GB}$).

226

227 Fig.S9 The real beam profiles versus the simulations. (a) The real profiles of the Gaussian 228 beam (910nm, 4.6mm diameter at $1/e^2$), focused by 20x water immersion objective. The 229 experimental focal size is 1.6μm and the simulated size is 1.5μm. (b), The 300μm needle-230 shaped beam (NB) with the phase adjusters $Pa_m = 0.040\pi \cdot m$ has a diameter of 3µm. Pa_m 231 $= PA \cdot m$ and the focus index $m \in \{1, 2, ..., 81\}$. (c) The 300µm NB with $PA = 0.222\pi$ has a 232 1.2µm diameter that is smaller than the Gaussian focal spot. Sim., simulated; Exp., 233 experimental.

235 Fig.S10 The real profiles of seven 300µm needle-shaped beams (NBs). (a) The real profiles. 236 The ZX scale bar is $25\mu m \times 10\mu m$ and the XY scale bar is $10\mu m \times 10\mu m$. (b) The diameter profiles. (c) The axial intensity profiles. The axial intensity of the NBs with diameters of 237 238 2μ m, 3μ m, 4μ m, 5μ m, 6.5μ m is basically uniform. The narrow NB (diameter = 1.2 μ m or 239 1.5µm) has a peak at the left end because the fabrication errors lead to an intensity 240 increasement at the objective focus (positioned at the left end of NB), which is comparable to 241 the NB intensity. Gaussian beam: 910nm, 4.6mm diameter at $1/e^2$. The objective: 20x water 242 immersion objective.

244 Fig.S11 The real profiles of the needle-shaped beams (NBs) with various sizes. (a) The real 245 profiles. The ZX scale bar is $25 \mu m \times 10 \mu m$ and the XY scale bar is $10 \mu m \times 10 \mu m$. The 246 maximum sidelobe ratios in the middle of the NB are 20% for 80 μ m×1.5 μ m NB, 17% for 247 450μm×1.5μm NB, 18% for 600μm×2μm NB, 11% for 700μm×3.5μm NB, and 17% for 248 1000μm×2.5μm NB. The number of the foci constituting one NB is 16 for 80μm×1.5μm NB, 249 100 for 450μm×1.5μm NB, 144 for 600μm×2μm NB, 169 for 700μm×3.5μm NB, and 196 for 250 1000 μ m ×2.5 μ m NB. The values of PA for the above NBs are 0.210 π , 0.190 π , 0.104 π , 251 0.035π , and 0.129π respectively. (b) The diameter profile of the focused Gaussian beam. (c) 252 The diameter profiles of all beams. (d) The axial intensity distributions. Gaussian beam: 910nm, 4.6mm diameter at $1/e^2$. The objective: 20x water immersion objective. 253

254

255

256 Fig.S12 The real profiles of the needle-shaped beams (NBs) generated by non-immersion 257 objective. The is 10x objectives (focal length = 18mm, LSM02-BB, Thorlabs) was used as the 258 OBJ1 and OBJ2 in Supplementary Fig.8 with the same input Gaussian beam (910nm, 4.6mm 259 diameter). (a) The real profiles. The focused Gaussian beam has the Rayleigh length of 18µm 260 and a focal size of 3.5 µm. The 600 µm \times 7.5 µm NB is contains 64 foci ($PA = 0.096\pi$, efficiency = 20%), which has a diameter profile changing between 4.5 μ m and 7.5 μ m within 261 262 its 600 μ m depth-of-focus (DOF). The 900 μ m×9 μ m NB has a diameter profile between 4 μ m 263 and 8.7 µm within the 900 µm DOF, containing 100 foci $(PA = 0.058\pi,$ efficiency = 13%). 264 The maximum sidelobe ratios at the middle of NB are 5% for $600 \mu m \times 7.5 \mu m$ NB, 8% for 900μm×9μm NB. ZX scale bar, 35μm×20μm; XY scale bar, 20μm×20μm. (b) The axial 265 266 intensity profiles of the focused Gaussian beam and the two NBs. The uniformities of the two NBs are both above 90%. (c) The diameter profiles. At the depth of 600µm, the focused 267 268 Gaussian beam has a diameter of 42 μ m, while the 600 μ m \times 7.5 μ m NB has a diameter of 7 μ m. 269 At the depth of 900 μ m, the diameter of the focused Gaussian beam is 76 μ m, and the diameter 270 of 900 μ m×9 μ m NB is only 8 μ m.

272 3.2 Needle-shaped beams in OCT

273 274

276 Fig.S13 The OCT imaging system. Light source. The light is from a supercontinuum laser (SL, Superk Extreme, NKT Photonics). Lens L1 collimates the output light from the single 277 mode fiber (SMF). F1 is a long-pass filter (800nm, FELH0800, Thorlabs) and F2 is a short-278 279 pass (1050nm, #64-338, Edmund) filter. L2 is the coupler (focal length = $12mm$, TC12APC-280 850, Thorlabs) to convey the laser into the downstream SMF. Reference arm. L3 is the 281 coupler (focal length = 25mm , TC25APC-850, Thorlabs). HWP is a half-wave plate 282 (WPH05ME-980, Thorlabs) to reduce the polarization disparity between the reference arm 283 and sample arm. A1 is the adjustable aperture (SM1D12C, Thorlabs) to change the power. M 284 is the mirror (solid prism retroreflector, PS975M-B, Thorlabs) to reflect the input laser. PBS 285 is a polarizing beam-splitter cube (CCM1-PBS253/M, Thorlabs) to eliminate the reflected 286 light perpendicular to the input, which is induced by the mirror and can generate ghost images 287 in OCT. TS is a translation stage (CT1, Thorlabs) to adjust reference arm length. Sample arm. 288 L4 is the collimator with a focal length of 25mm and the output Gaussian beam has a 289 diameter of 4.6mm. The homemade DOE aims to modulate the beam phase. The pair of L5 290 and L6 (focal length = 60 mm, AC254-060-B, Thorlabs) is a 4f system that transfers the phase pattern to the back focal plane of the 20x water immersion objective OBJ (UMPLFLN20XW, 291 292 Olympus). GM is a two-dimensional galvo mirror (OCTP-900, Thorlabs) to scan the sample. SA stands for sample. Spectrometer. The spectrometer is from a commercial OCT 293 294 (Ganymede OCTP-900, Thorlabs) with the detection range from 810nm to 1010nm. L, lens; 295 G, grating. FC is the fiber coupler (TW930R5A2, Thorlabs) to connect the above four parts. 296 Software. The Thorlabs Ganymede imaging software.

297

298 OCT setup. As shown in Fig.S10, our OCT system is based on a commercial OCT 299 instrument (Ganymede OCTP-900, Thorlabs) and the light source is centered at 910nm with a 300 bandwidth of 200nm (EXR-9 continuum laser, NKT Photonics). Its acquisition rate is 30,000 301 A-scans/s. The imaging depth is 2mm in air or 1.5mm in water with 1024 pixels, and the 302 experimental axial resolution is 3 pixels (FWHM in linear scale). Its original lateral resolution 303 depends on the objective, which is $1.6\mu m$ with a $20x$ water immersion objective (UMPLFLN20XW, Olympus) and 4um with a 10x dry objective (LSM02-BB, Thorlabs). The 304 305 laser power entering the objective aperture is controlled below 5mW. Since our system 306 utilizes the same optical path (the sample arm) to illuminate the sample and collect the light 307 (common path), both the incident and the backscattered lights pass through the DOE (doublepassing, collected light intensity α the square of DOE efficiency). The OCT signal intensity 308 309 is proportional to the amplitude of the light from the sample arm (OCT intensity α 310 [amplitude of sample arm signal \times amplitude of reference arm signal]). That means signal

311 intensity is linear to the square root of sample arm intensity also linearly modulated by DOE 312 efficiency. We tested the NBs in Fig.2 with the OCT system by scanning a metal plate in 313 water. As listed in Table S1, the results prove that the signal intensity is roughly proportional 314 to DOE efficiency. The sensitivity is measured as the ratio of metal plate intensity to the 315 background intensity (the blank area containing no sample). The ideal point-spread function 316 (PSF) is the same as the intensity profile of the beam. The system point-spread function 317 $(PSF)^1$ is the product of the light illumination PSF_1 and the light collection PSF_C . The double-318 passing configuration gives PSF_I and PSF_C the same amplitude profile of needle-shaped beam 319 PSF_{NB} , thus the OCT system PSF_{DP} is the same as the intensity profile of needle-shaped beam 320 $(=[\text{PSF}_{NB}]^2)$. Another possible configuration is the single-passing one, where the DOE is only 321 used for light illumination and an independent light collection channel is required to detect 322 the lights back-scattered from the sample. Its PSF_{SP} is the product of PSF_{NB} and PSF_{GB}, where 323 PSF_{GB} is the 3D Gaussian amplitude profile shaped by the confocal gate of the light collection 324 fiber via the objective. Apparently, $PSF_{SP} = |PSF_{NR} \times PSF_{GR}|$ makes the axial intensity 325 reduce as well as the lateral resolution degrade with the distance to the objective focal plane. 326 Also, double-passing configuration used in this work can suppress side lobes, since PSF_{DP} is 327 proportional to the intensity profile of NB while PSF_{SP} is proportional to the amplitude profile 328 of NB. 329

330 Image acquisition. For the experiments in Figs.3-5, pixel number in both the X and Y 331 directions was chosen as 512 (Field-of-View = $0.125 \text{mm} \times 0.125 \text{mm}$, 1mm×1mm, 332 0.5 mm \times 0.5mm, respectively). To enhance the detection sensitivity, the A-scan at every point 333 was repeated successively four times and the averaged data were used for image 334 reconstruction. The acquisition time for one 3D volume is around 45s. In the reconstructed 335 3D images, a 2D Gaussian filter with a standard deviation of 2 pixels was applied for every 336 XY layer to reduce the speckle noises. For the dynamic imaging of drosophila larva, no A-337 scan average was applied in order to achieve a high frame rate (12 B-scans/s). The scanning 338 range is 4mm in the first section of Supplementary Video and 1mm in the second and third 339 sections, and the number of scanning steps was 2048 for all the three sections. All B-scans 340 were processed by a 2D Gaussian filter with a standard deviation of 2 pixels to reduce speckle 341 noise. All OCT images were presented in log10 scale. In a single 2D OCT image, the 342 intensity scale was dynamically adjusted according to the maximum intensity and the 343 minimum intensity (or background) in the image.

344

345 **Beads imaging.** The phantom used to characterize the needle-shaped beams in OCT was 346 made by uniformly distributing 0.8µm polystyrene (PS) beads (TP-08-10, Spherotech) in 347 ultrasound gel (Aquasonic 100, Parker). The phantom was degassed with a centrifuge 348 (15mins at 15,000rpm, ST16-R, Thermo) with bead concentration around $2\times10^5/\text{mm}^3$. The 349 beam resolution in OCT system was tested with the 0.8µm polystyrene beads. The resolution 350 at specific depths was determined by the average diameter (FWHM in linear scale) of bead 351 profiles. Each XY layer containing beads in a volumetric image was measured to determine 352 the complete resolution profile of the target beam. Within each depth, 200-300 beads were 353 averaged to give reliable results. Additionally, 20 samples were averaged for measuring one 354 sidelobe ratio.

 1 Stelzer, E.H. and Lindek, S., 1994. Fundamental reduction of the observation volume in far-field light microscopy by detection orthogonal to the illumination axis: confocal theta microscopy. Optics Communications, 111(5-6), pp.536-547.

Beam	Theoretical efficiency	Efficiency measured by beam profiler	Relative intensity of metal plate in OCT	Intensity (I) of metal plate in OCT	Standard deviation (STD) of background intensity in OCT	Sensitivity (I/STD) measured with metal plate in OCT	Sensitivity measured with metal plate in OCT/dB
Gaussian beam	100%	100.00%	100.00%	683600	180	3798	36
$300 \mu m \times 1.2 \mu m \text{ NB}$	0.10%	0.30%	1.90%	12000	158	76	19
$300 \mu m \times 1.5 \mu m \text{ NB}$	0.30%	0.50%	2.30%	16000	163	98	20
$300 \mu m \times 2 \mu m NB$	1%	2%	4%	26000	170	153	22
$300 \mu m \times 3 \mu m NB$	4%	4%	7%	45000	170	265	24
$300 \mu m \times 4 \mu m NB$	9%	9%	10%	70000	175	400	26
$300 \mu m \times 5 \mu m \text{ NB}$	15%	22%	23%	160000	172	930	30
$300 \mu m \times 7 \mu m \text{ NB}$	13%	20%	14%	95000	177	537	27
200							

356
357 Table R1 The quantitative characteristics of needle-shaped beams

360 Fig.S14 The XY images of 0.8µm microbeads captured by the OCT with the focused 361 Gaussian beam (20x water immersion objective), $80 \mu m \times 1.5 \mu m$ NB, and $300 \mu m \times 3 \mu m$ NB. 362 The XY images at the depths from $-160\mu m$ to $160\mu m$ with $20\mu m$ interval are showed. The 363 Gaussian beam only produces high resolution image at $z = 0$, and the beads become barely 364 distinguishable beyond $z < -40 \mu m$ and $z > 80 \mu m$. $80 \mu m \times 1.5 \mu m$ NB is able to clearly reveal 365 the individual beads in the depth range between $-40\mu m$ and $40\mu m$, and still can profile beads 366 at the depths of $-60\mu m$ and $60\mu m$. Outside the aforementioned depths, the XY-images are 367 completely out of focus and contain only noise. 300μm×3μm NB effectively detects single 368 beads for the whole depth range from -160μm to 160μm. Since NBs have sidelobes, the bead 369 profiles may have sidelobes at some specific depths. 80um NB produces sidelobes in the XY-370 images from $z = -20\mu m$ to $z = 20\mu m$, and 300 μ m NB does from $z = -40\mu m$ to $z = 40\mu m$. 371 The sidelobe ratios (SRs) are listed below the corresponding XY-images. The sidelobes have 372 limited effect on distinguishing closely adjacent beads, for example, the boundaries among 373 the adjacent beads marked by the red arrows are easily legible. GB, Gaussian beam; NB, 374 needle-shaped beam; WI, water immersion; SR, sidelobe ratio. Scale bar (in the last XY-375 image), 10 μ m. The filed-of-view is 50 μ m×50 μ m.

376 3.3 Skin imaging

377 Human tissue specimens that would otherwise have been discarded during surgical excision 378 of skin growths were collected, placed in keratinocyte media, and stored at 4°C for an average 379 of four hours before being transported to our lab. The specimens were stored in 10% formalin 380 solution (VIP-Fixative, Scigen) at 4 °C in the laboratory refrigerator after being embedded in 381 agar gel in Petri dishes. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects. All experimental 382 protocols were approved by the Stanford Institutional Review Board (Protocol #48409), and 383 all methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

384 385

387 Fig.S15 The surface projections of the 3D human skin images captured with Gaussian beam 388 (20x water immersion lens) and $300 \mu m \times 3 \mu m$ NB. a, With Gaussian beam imaging, only the 389 right upper region is in focus and the rest are blurry and dark. b, With $300 \mu m \times 3 \mu m$ NB, the 390 details over the entire surface projection are revealed. Some comparisons are indicated by the red arrows. Scale bar, 200μm; XY-FOV, 1mm×1mm. 391

402 3.4 Dynamic imaging of drosophila larva

403 In live imaging experiments, we used 3rd instar larvae of the standard laboratory wild-type 404 Drosophila melanogaster (Canton-S strain). Flies were raised on cornmeal agar media with a 12h light/dark cycle at 25°C and 50% relative humidity. All experimental protocols were 405 406 approved by the Stanford Institutional Review Board (Protocol #48409), and all methods 407 were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. Visualization 1 408 shows dynamic imaging of heartbeat, digestive system, and muscle motion.

412 Fig.S17 The images of 0.8um microbeads captured by the OCT with Gaussian beam (10x dry 413 objective, LSM02-BB, Thorlabs) and $700\mu m \times 8\mu m$ NB. The beam profiles are given in 414 Supplementary Fig.9. $700 \mu m \times 8 \mu m$ NB here and $600 \mu m \times 7.5 \mu m$ NB in Supplementary Fig.9 415 were generated by the same diffractive optical element. The size changes because the ultrasound gel used to contain the microbeads has a refractive index about 1.33, which is 416 417 larger than that of air $(=1)$. (a) The B-scan images. The depth range where the beads are 418 clearly imaged is 280um for Gaussian beam and 880um for 700um NB. S, the sample 419 surface. Scale bar, 50 km. (b) The resolution profiles. $700 \mu m \times 8 \mu m$ NB has a resolution 420 varying between 4.5um (at the ends of the beam) and 8um (in the middle). The resolution of 421 the Gaussian beam is down to 4 μ m but increases rapidly to 9.6 μ m at 230 μ m depth, and the

422 beads become indistinguishable in the depths deeper than 230µm due to its resolution loss. (c) 423 XY images. In Gaussian imaging, the beads located between $z = 0$ and $z = 200 \mu m$ can produce complete circular profiles. For 700 μ m NB, the range is from $z = 0$ to $z = 700 \mu$ m. 424 (d) The peak-to-background ratios (PBRs) along depth and (e) the signal-to-noise ratios (SNR) in 425 426 the 3D bead images. In the depth range from 200μm to 800μm, the NB outperforms the focused Gaussian beam. PBR = (peak intensity $-$ average background intensity) ÷ average background intensity, SNR = (peak intensity $-$ average background intensity) ÷ standard 427 428 429 deviation of background intensity. Scale bar, 50um.

431 4. Discussion and conclusion

432

434 Fig.S18 The second configuration of OCT sample arm. (a) The sample arm in Supplementary 435 Fig.10can use another configuration, where DOE is directly placed on the top of the objective 436 (OBJ) and just beneath the Galvo mirror (GM) scanner. Under this configuration, 437 700μm×8μm NB was tested to image 0.8μm microbeads. (b) The B-scan image of 0.8μm 438 microbeads. S, the sample surface. Scale bar, 50µm. (c) The XY images. Scale bar, 50µm. 439 Referring to Supplementary Fig.13, the bead images taken with this configuration coincided 440 with those using the previous configuration.