nature portfolio

| Corresponding author(s): | Richard Axel |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| Last updated by author(s): | March 23, 2022 |

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

| _ | | | | |
|---|----|----|-----|-----|
| · | トつ | +1 | C T | |
| ی | ιa | u | Sι | ics |

| For | all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section. |
|-------------|---|
| n/a | Confirmed |
| | The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement |
| | A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly |
| | The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section. |
| \boxtimes | A description of all covariates tested |
| | A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons |
| | A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals) |
| | For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i> |
| \boxtimes | For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings |
| \times | For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes |
| \boxtimes | Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i>), indicating how they were calculated |
| | Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above. |

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection

Video data was collected using pylon Viewer 6.2 (Basler) and FlyCapture 2.0 SDK (Point Grey). 2-photon imaging data was collected using PrairieView 5.4 (Bruker).

Data analysis

Confocal images were analyzed in ImageJ (ver: 1.53f51). Two-photon ROI segmentation and unsupervised behavioral classification were based on models generated in DeepLabCut (ver: 2.2.1). Supervised behavioral classification was performed using DeepEthogram (ver: 0.1.4). Behavioral and imaging data were analyzed using custom MATLAB (R2019a) code, which we have made available for public use via GitHub.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

The data from this study can be accessed via GitHub and upon request. Trained pose-estimation models and supervised behavioral classifier available via Dropbox.

| Human | research | partici | pants |
|----------|-------------|---------|--------|
| Hulliali | I Cocal CII | partici | pariti |

| Policy information abo | out studies involving human research participants and Sex and Gender in Research. |
|----------------------------|---|
| Reporting on sex and | d gender N/A |
| Population characte | ristics N/A |
| Recruitment | N/A |
| Ethics oversight | N/A |
| Note that full information | n on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript. |
| Please select the one l | ific reporting below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection. Behavioural & social sciences |
| | ces study design |
| All studies must disclo | ise on these points even when the disclosure is negative. |
| st | o statistical methods were used to pre-determine sample sizes but our sample sizes are comparable to the other functional and behavioral udies in Drosophila (e.g. Feng et al., 2014; Gou et al., 2014; Seeholzer et al., 2018; Bräcker et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020.) |
| Data evalusions W | la did not evolude flies or data from analysis |

Replication

Each experiment presented in the manuscript was repeated in multiple animals, and the effects identified were consistent across animals. The specific number of replicates for each experiment is detailed on the figure and/or its corresponding legend entry. Furthermore, the main findings of the paper were confirmed by multiple complimentary experiments. A subset of 30 randomly selected 2-minute videos was reannotated by a second human annotator and labeling agreement was above 95% across all behaviors. Analysis was performed with code that is available for public use via GitHub to promote replication.

Randomization

 $Flies were {\it group housed separated by genotype, and females were randomly chosen for functional or behavioral experiments.}$

Blinding

The experimenter was not blind to fly genotype and/or experimental condition during behavioral data acquisition (Figures 1-4, 6-8) as this was not logistically possible. However, all behavioral annotations were performed blinded to these details. Furthermore, the subsequent analyses were automated and run the same way for all flies and so there was no opportunity for subjective influence on the outcome. The assessment of the depth and count of eggs laid (Figures 2-3, 6) was performed blinded to the genotype and/or experimental condition. For imaging experiments (Figures 5, 8), the ROIs corresponding to the relevant cell types were determined at the conclusion of the experiment and so the experimenter had no opportunity to influence the outcome. Furthermore, the analysis and identification of relevant behavioral events used to interpret the imaging data was entirely automated. The assessment of the fraction of flies that expelled an egg during optogenetic stimulation (Figure 8) was not performed in real-time; video data was later scored blind to the genotype and experimental condition.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

| Materials & evnerime | ntal systems | Methods |
|---|--------------------------------|--|
| Materials & experimental systems n/a Involved in the study | | Methods n/a Involved in the study ChIP-seq Flow cytometry MRI-based neuroimaging |
| Antibodies | | |
| Chicken anti-GFP (Aves Labs Rabbit anti-DsRed (Clontech Rabbit anti-NOMPC (gift of Rabbit anti-dVGlut (gift of A Alexa Fluor 633-conjugated Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated Alexa Fluor 633 phalloidin F | | (nc82) (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank - nc82; RRID: AB_2314865) - GFP-1020; RRID: AB_10000240) - 632496; RRID: AB_10013483) .N. Jan; Zhang et al., Cell 162, 1391–1403 (2015)) DiAntonio; Daniels et al., J Neurosci. 24, 10466-10474 (2004)) goat anti-mouse IgG (Life Technologies - A21052; RRID: AB_141459) goat anti-chicken IgY (Life Technologies - A11039; RRID: AB_142924) goat anti-rabbit IgG (Life Technologies - A11008; RRID: AB_143165) actin probe (Life Technologies - A22284) goat anti-rabbit IgG (Life Technologies - A27039; RRID: AB_2536100) |
| · | | s were used and validated in numerous studies, including for example Hattori et al., Cell 169, 956-969 2, 1391–1403 (2015), and Daniels et al., J Neurosci. 24, 10466-10474 (2004). |
| Animals and othe | r research organi | sms |
| Policy information about <u>st</u> <u>Research</u> | udies involving animals; AF | RRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research, and Sex and Gender in |
| Laboratory animals | | e Drosophila melanogaster. Canton-S gifted from B.J. Dickson was used as a wild-type strain. All relevant sources are described in Supplementary Table 5. |
| Wild animals | This study did not involve wi | d animals. |
| Reporting on sex | All findings apply only to fem | ale Drosophila melanogaster. |

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

No ethical approval was required for work on Drosophila melanogaster.

Field-collected samples This study did not involve samples collected in the field.

Ethics oversight