Supplemental Online Content

Kuemmel S, Heil J, Bruzas S, et al. Safety of targeted axillary dissection after neoadjuvant therapy in patients with node-positive breast cancer. *JAMA Surg.* Published online June 7, 2023. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2023.1772

eTable 1. Abbreviations and the Corresponding Expanded Form

eTable 2. Baseline Characteristics of All Patients (n = 473) Who Received NST Prior to Surgery, 274 of 473 Patients Who Did Not Undergo TAD, and 199 of 473 Patients Who Underwent Successful TAD

eTable 3. Multivariate Cox Regression Analysis for Invasive Disease-Free Survival

eTable 4. Multivariate Cox Regression Analysis for Overall Survival

eTable 5. Multivariate Cox Regression Analysis for Distant Disease-Free Survival

eTable 6. Multivariate Cox Regression Analysis for Breast Cancer-Specific Survival

eFigure. Kaplan-Meier Curves for Distant Disease-Free Survival, Breast Cancer–Specific Survival, and Locoregional Recurrence

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

Abbreviation	Expanded Form
ALND	axillary lymph node dissection
aRFI	axillary recurrence-free interval
ART	axillary radiotherapy
BCSS	breast cancer-specific survival
cN+	clinically node-positive
CI	confidence interval
ERBB2	human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (formerly HER2)
ERBB2+	ERBB2 positive
HR	hazard ratio
HR–	hormone receptor negative
HR+	hormone receptor positive
DFS	disease-free survival
LN	lymph node
MARI	Marking Axillary lymph nodes with Radioactive lodine seeds
NST	neoadjuvant systemic therapy
OS	overall survival
pCR	pathologic complete response
SD	standard deviation
SLN	sentinel lymph node
SLNB	sentinel lymph node biopsy
TAD	targeted axillary dissection
TLN	target lymph node
TLNB	target lymph node biopsy
TNBC	triple-negative breast cancer
ycN0	clinically node negative after neoadjuvant systemic therapy
ycN+	clinically node positive after neoadjuvant systemic therapy
ypN0	histopathologically node negative after neoadjuvant systemic therapy
ypN+	histopathologically node positive after neoadjuvant systemic therapy
ypT0/Tis	pCR in the breast after neoadjuvant systemic therapy

eTable 1. Abbreviations and the Corresponding Expanded Form

Variable		All patients	No TAD	Successful TAD	
Valia	able	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	P value
Patients		473 (100)	274 (100)	199 (100)	
Age (years)	median (range)	53 (25–82)	54 (25–61)	52 (26–82)	. 176
ALND	yes	320 (67.7)	240 (87.6)	80 (40.2)	< 001
	no	153 (32.3)	34 (12.4)	119 (59.8)	< .001
	HR+/ERBB2+	93 (19.7)	54 (19.7)	39 (19.6)	_
Tumor receptor	HR+/ERBB2-	205 (43.3)	118 (43.1)	87 (43.7)	071
subtype	HR-/ERBB2+	66 (14.0)	37 (13.5)	29 (14.6)	.971
	HR-/ <i>ERBB2</i> -	109 (23.0)	65 (23.7)	44 (22.1)	
Clinical tumor size at diagnosis (mm)	mean (SD)	28.5 (16.6)	29.9 (18.2)	26.6 (13.9)	.063
No. of suspicious LNs on ultrasound at diagnosis	median (range)	2 (1–15)	2 (1–15)	1 (1–9)	< .001
	1	224 (47.4)	110 (40.1)	114 (57.3)	
	2	113 (23.9)	66 (24.1)	47 (23.6)	< .001
	≥ 3	136 (28.8)	98 (35.8)	38 (19.1)	-
Clinical nodal status after NST	ycN0	332 (70.2)	180 (66.0)	152 (76.4)	014
	ycN+	141 (29.8)	94 (34.0)	47 (23.6)	.014
Pathological nodal status after NST	ypN0	285 (60.3)	159 (58.0)	126 (63.3)	.255
	ypN+	188 (39.7)	115 (42.0)	73 (36.7)	

eTable 2. Baseline Characteristics of All Patients (n = 473) Who Received NST Prior to Surgery, 274 of 473 Patients Who Did Not Undergo TAD, and 199 of 473 Patients Who Underwent Successful TAD

Abbreviations: ALND, axillary lymph node dissection; *ERBB2*, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; HR–, hormone receptor negative; HR+, hormone receptor positive; LN, lymph node; NST, neoadjuvant systemic therapy; SD, standard deviation; TAD, targeted axillary dissection; ycN0/+, clinically node negative/positive after NST; ypN0/+, pathologically node negative/positive after NST.

N (%) HR (95% Cl) P Number 199 28 (14.1) Axillary surgery TAD + ALND 80 (40.2) 16 (20.0) 1 [Reference] 0.203 Age per Year 119 (59.8) 12 (10.1) 0.40 (0.10–1.65) 0.203 Age per Year 1.05 (1.01–1.08) 0.008 0.008 Tumor receptor subtype 1 114 (16.1) 0.28 (0.06–1.30) 0.104 HR+/ERBB2+ 39 (19.6) 3 (1.0.3) 0.68 (0.21–4.01) 0.675 HR-/ERBB2+ 29 (14.6) 3 (10.3) 0.68 (0.21–4.01) 0.675 HR-/ERBB2- 44 (22.1) 8 (18.2) 0.85 (0.20–3.65) 0.832 Clinical tumor size at diagnosis (per mm) 1.03 (1.00–1.05) 0.033 No. of suspicious LNs on ultrasound at diagnosis 114 (57.3) 11 (9.6) 1 [Reference] 2 47 (23.6) 9 (19.1) 2.19 (0.81–5.91) 0.122 ≥ 3 38 (19.1) 8 (21.1) 4.38 (1.48–12.9) 0.004 Clinical nodal status after NST ycN0 152 (76.4) 19 (12.5) <t< th=""><th></th><th>Total</th><th>Events</th><th colspan="2">Multivariate Analysis^a</th></t<>		Total	Events	Multivariate Analysis ^a	
Number 199 28 (14.1) Axillary surgery TAD + ALND 80 (40.2) 16 (20.0) 1 [Reference] TAD 119 (59.8) 12 (10.1) 0.40 (0.10–1.65) 0.203 Age per Year 1.05 (1.01–1.08) 0.008 Tumor receptor subtype 1 14 (16.1) 0.28 (0.06–1.30) 0.104 HR+/ERBB2+ 39 (19.6) 3 (10.3) 0.68 (0.21–4.01) 0.675 HR-/ERBB2+ 29 (14.6) 3 (10.3) 0.68 (0.21–4.01) 0.675 HR-/ERBB2- 44 (22.1) 8 (18.2) 0.85 (0.20–3.65) 0.832 Clinical tumor size at diagnosis (per mm) 1.03 (1.00–1.05) 0.033 0.033 No. of suspicious LNs on ultrasound at diagnosis 114 (57.3) 11 (9.6) 1 [Reference] 2 47 (23.6) 9 (19.1) 2.19 (0.81–5.91) 0.122 ≥ 3 38 (19.1) 8 (21.1) 4.38 (1.48–12.9) 0.008 Clinical nodal status after NST ycN0 152 (76.4) 19 (12.5) 1 [Reference] ycN1 126 (63.3) 12 (9.5)			N (%)	HR (95% CI)	Р
Axillary surgery TAD + ALND 80 (40.2) 16 (20.0) 1 [Reference] TAD 119 (59.8) 12 (10.1) 0.40 (0.10–1.65) 0.203 Age per Year 1.05 (1.01–1.08) 0.008 Tumor receptor subtype 1 1.05 (1.01–1.08) 0.008 HR+/ERBB2+ 39 (19.6) 3 (7.7) 1 [Reference] 0.104 HR+/ERBB2+ 87 (43.7) 14 (16.1) 0.28 (0.06–1.30) 0.104 HR-/ERBB2+ 29 (14.6) 3 (10.3) 0.68 (0.21–4.01) 0.675 HR-/ERBB2- 44 (22.1) 8 (18.2) 0.85 (0.20–3.65) 0.832 Clinical tumor size at diagnosis (per mm) 1.03 (1.00–1.05) 0.033 0.033 No. of suspicious LNs on ultrasound at diagnosis 114 (57.3) 11 (9.6) 1 [Reference] 0.038 2 47 (23.6) 9 (19.1) 2.19 (0.81–5.91) 0.122 ≥ 3 38 (19.1) 8 (21.1) 4.38 (1.48–12.9) 0.008 Clinical nodal status after NST ycN+ 47 (23.6) 9 (19.1) 1.67 (0.63–4.44) 0.304 YeN0 152 (76.4) 19 (12.5) 1 [Reference] </td <td>Number</td> <td>199</td> <td>28 (14.1)</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Number	199	28 (14.1)		
TAD + ALND 80 (40.2) 16 (20.0) 1 [Reference] TAD 119 (59.8) 12 (10.1) 0.40 (0.10–1.65) 0.203 Age per Year 1.05 (1.01–1.08) 0.008 Tumor receptor subtype 1.05 (1.01–1.08) 0.008 HR+/ERBB2+ 39 (19.6) 3 (7.7) 1 [Reference] HR+/ERBB2+ 29 (14.6) 3 (10.3) 0.68 (0.21–4.01) 0.675 HR-/ERBB2+ 29 (14.6) 3 (10.3) 0.68 (0.21–4.01) 0.675 HR-/ERBB2- 44 (22.1) 8 (18.2) 0.85 (0.20–3.65) 0.832 Clinical tumor size at diagnosis (per mm) 1.03 (1.00–1.05) 1.03 (1.00–1.05) 0.033 No. of suspicious LNs on ultrasound at diagnosis 114 (57.3) 11 (9.6) 1 [Reference] 2 47 (23.6) 9 (19.1) 2.19 (0.81–5.91) 0.122 ≥ 3 38 (19.1) 8 (21.1) 4.38 (1.48–12.9) 0.008 Clinical nodal status after NST ycN0 152 (76.4) 19 (12.5) 1 [Reference] ycN0 126 (63.3) 12 (9.5) 1 [Reference]	Axillary surgery				
TAD 119 (59.8) 12 (10.1) 0.40 (0.10–1.65) 0.203 Age per Year 1.05 (1.01–1.08) 0.008 Tumor receptor subtype 1.05 (1.01–1.08) 0.008 HR+/ERBB2+ 39 (19.6) 3 (7.7) 1 [Reference] HR+/ERBB2+ 29 (14.6) 3 (10.3) 0.68 (0.21–4.01) 0.675 HR-/ERBB2+ 29 (14.6) 3 (10.3) 0.68 (0.21–4.01) 0.675 HR-/ERBB2- 44 (22.1) 8 (18.2) 0.85 (0.20–3.65) 0.832 Clinical tumor size at diagnosis (per mm) 1.03 (1.00–1.05) 0.033 No. of suspicious LNs on ultrasound at diagnosis 1.03 (1.00–1.05) 0.122 2 47 (23.6) 9 (19.1) 2.19 (0.81–5.91) 0.122 ≥ 3 38 (19.1) 8 (21.1) 4.38 (1.48–12.9) 0.008 Clinical nodal status after NST ycN+ 47 (23.6) 9 (19.1) 1.67 (0.63–4.44) 0.304 Pathological nodal status after NST ypN0 126 (63.3) 12 (9.5) 1 [Reference]	TAD + ALND	80 (40.2)	16 (20.0)	1 [Reference]	
Age per Year1.05 (1.01-1.08)0.008Tumor receptor subtype $HR+/ERBB2+$ 39 (19.6)3 (7.7)1 [Reference]HR+/ERBB2-87 (43.7)14 (16.1)0.28 (0.06-1.30)0.104HR-/ERBB2+29 (14.6)3 (10.3)0.68 (0.21-4.01)0.675HR-/ERBB2-44 (22.1)8 (18.2)0.85 (0.20-3.65)0.832Clinical tumor size at diagnosis (per mm)1.03 (1.00-1.05)0.033No. of suspicious LNs on ultrasound at diagnosis1.14 (57.3)11 (9.6)1 [Reference]247 (23.6)9 (19.1)2.19 (0.81-5.91)0.122≥ 338 (19.1)8 (21.1)4.38 (1.48-12.9)0.008Clinical nodal status after NSTycN0152 (76.4)19 (12.5)1 [Reference]ycN0126 (63.3)12 (9.5)1 [Reference]	TAD	119 (59.8)	12 (10.1)	0.40 (0.10–1.65)	0.203
Tumor receptor subtypeHR+/ERBB2+39 (19.6)3 (7.7)1 [Reference]HR+/ERBB2-87 (43.7)14 (16.1)0.28 (0.06-1.30)0.104HR-/ERBB2+29 (14.6)3 (10.3)0.68 (0.21-4.01)0.675HR-/ERBB2-44 (22.1)8 (18.2)0.85 (0.20-3.65)0.832Clinical tumor size at diagnosis1.03 (1.00-1.05)0.033(per mm)1.03 (1.00-1.05)0.033No. of suspicious LNs on ultrasound at diagnosis114 (57.3)11 (9.6)1 [Reference]247 (23.6)9 (19.1)2.19 (0.81-5.91)0.122≥ 338 (19.1)8 (21.1)4.38 (1.48-12.9)0.008Clinical nodal status after NST ycN0152 (76.4)19 (12.5)1 [Reference]ycN447 (23.6)9 (19.1)1.67 (0.63-4.44)0.304Pathological nodal status after NST ypN0126 (63.3)12 (9.5)1 [Reference]ypN+73 (36.7)16 (21.9)2.48 (0.72-8.60)0.151No. of all resected LNs (per LN)0.78 (0.58-1.04)0.095No. of TAD LNs (per LN)0.78 (0.58-1.04)0.095Pathological tumor status after NST ypT0145 (72.9)13 (9.0)1 [Reference]	Age per Year		. ,	1.05 (1.01–1.08)	0.008
HR+/ERBB2+ 39 (19.6) 3 (7.7) 1 [Reference] HR+/ERBB2- 87 (43.7) 14 (16.1) 0.28 (0.06–1.30) 0.104 HR-/ERBB2+ 29 (14.6) 3 (10.3) 0.68 (0.21–4.01) 0.675 HR-/ERBB2- 44 (22.1) 8 (18.2) 0.85 (0.20–3.65) 0.832 Clinical tumor size at diagnosis (per mm) 1.03 (1.00–1.05) 0.033 No. of suspicious LNs on ultrasound at diagnosis 114 (57.3) 11 (9.6) 1 [Reference] 2 47 (23.6) 9 (19.1) 2.19 (0.81–5.91) 0.122 ≥ 3 38 (19.1) 8 (21.1) 4.38 (1.48–12.9) 0.008 Clinical nodal status after NST ycN0 152 (76.4) 19 (12.5) 1 [Reference] ycN1 47 (23.6) 9 (19.1) 1.67 (0.63–4.44) 0.304 Pathological nodal status after NST ypN0 126 (63.3) 12 (9.5) 1 [Reference] ypN4 73 (36.7) 16 (21.9) 2.48 (0.72–8.60) 0.151 No. of all resected LNs (per LN) 0.97 (0.87–1.07) 0.539 No. of TAD LNs (per LN) 0.78 (0.58–1.04) 0.095 Pathological tumor status after	Tumor receptor subtype			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
HR+/ERBB2- HR-/ERBB2+87 (43.7)14 (16.1)0.28 (0.06-1.30)0.104HR-/ERBB2+29 (14.6)3 (10.3)0.68 (0.21-4.01)0.675HR-/ERBB2-44 (22.1)8 (18.2)0.85 (0.20-3.65)0.832Clinical tumor size at diagnosis (per mm)1.03 (1.00-1.05)0.033No. of suspicious LNs on ultrasound at diagnosis1.03 (1.00-1.05)0.0331114 (57.3)11 (9.6)1 [Reference]247 (23.6)9 (19.1)2.19 (0.81-5.91)0.122≥ 338 (19.1)8 (21.1)4.38 (1.48-12.9)0.008Clinical nodal status after NST ycN0152 (76.4)19 (12.5)1 [Reference]ycN0152 (76.4)19 (12.5)1 [Reference]ypN0126 (63.3)12 (9.5)1 [Reference]ypN0126 (63.3)12 (9.5)1 [Reference]ypN0126 (63.3)12 (9.5)1 [Reference]ypN1+73 (36.7)16 (21.9)2.48 (0.72-8.60)0.151No. of all resected LNs (per LN)0.97 (0.87-1.07)0.539No. of TAD LNs (per LN)0.78 (0.58-1.04)0.095Pathological tumor status after NSTypT0145 (72.9)13 (9.0)1 [Reference]	HR+/ERBB2+	39 (19.6)	3 (7.7)	1 [Reference]	
HR-/ERB2+ 29 (14.6) 3 (10.3) 0.68 (0.21-4.01) 0.675 HR-/ERB2- 44 (22.1) 8 (18.2) 0.85 (0.20-3.65) 0.832 Clinical tumor size at diagnosis (per mm) 1.03 (1.00-1.05) 0.033 No. of suspicious LNs on ultrasound at diagnosis 1.03 (1.00-1.05) 0.033 1 114 (57.3) 11 (9.6) 1 [Reference] 2 47 (23.6) 9 (19.1) 2.19 (0.81-5.91) 0.122 ≥ 3 38 (19.1) 8 (21.1) 4.38 (1.48-12.9) 0.008 Clinical nodal status after NST ycN0 152 (76.4) 19 (12.5) 1 [Reference] ycN0 ycN+ 47 (23.6) 9 (19.1) 1.67 (0.63-4.44) 0.304 Pathological nodal status after NST ypN0 126 (63.3) 12 (9.5) 1 [Reference] ypN0 126 (63.3) 12 (9.5) 1 [Reference] ycN1 No. of all resected LNs (per LN) 0.97 (0.87-1.07) 0.539 No. of TAD LNs (per LN) 0.78 (0.58-1.04) 0.095 Pathological tumor status after NST ypT0 145 (72.9) 13 (9.0) 1 [Reference]	HR+/ERBB2-	87 (43.7)	14 (16.1)	0.28 (0.06–1.30)	0.104
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	HR_/FRBB2+	29 (14 6)	3 (10.3)	0.68 (0.21-4.01)	0.675
Clinical tumor size at diagnosis (per mm) $114 (22.17)$ $0 (10.2)$ $0.000 (0.100 - 0.00)$ 0.000 No. of suspicious LNs on ultrasound at diagnosis $1.03 (1.00 - 1.05)$ 0.033 1114 (57.3)11 (9.6)1 [Reference]247 (23.6)9 (19.1)2.19 (0.81 - 5.91) 0.122 ≥ 338 (19.1)8 (21.1) $4.38 (1.48 - 12.9)$ 0.008 Clinical nodal status after NST ycN0152 (76.4)19 (12.5)1 [Reference]ycN+47 (23.6)9 (19.1)1.67 (0.63 - 4.44) 0.304 Pathological nodal status after NST ypN0126 (63.3)12 (9.5)1 [Reference]ypN+73 (36.7)16 (21.9)2.48 (0.72 - 8.60) 0.151 No. of all resected LNs (per LN) $0.78 (0.58 - 1.04)$ 0.095 Pathological tumor status after NST ypT0145 (72.9)13 (9.0)1 [Reference]	HR_/FRBB2_	44 (22 1)	8 (18 2)	0.85 (0.20-3.65)	0.832
Image: constrained random size at diagnosis1.03 (1.00-1.05)0.033(per mm)1.03 (1.00-1.05)0.033No. of suspicious LNs on ultrasound at diagnosis114 (57.3)11 (9.6)1 [Reference]247 (23.6)9 (19.1)2.19 (0.81-5.91)0.122≥ 338 (19.1)8 (21.1)4.38 (1.48-12.9)0.008Clinical nodal status after NST ycN0152 (76.4)19 (12.5)1 [Reference]ycN+47 (23.6)9 (19.1)1.67 (0.63-4.44)0.304Pathological nodal status after NST ypN0126 (63.3)12 (9.5)1 [Reference]ypN+73 (36.7)16 (21.9)2.48 (0.72-8.60)0.151No. of all resected LNs (per LN)0.97 (0.87-1.07)0.539No. of TAD LNs (per LN)0.78 (0.58-1.04)0.095Pathological tumor status after NST ypT0145 (72.9)13 (9.0)1 [Reference]	Clinical tumor size at diagnosis		0 (10.2)	0.00 (0.20 0.00)	0.002
No. of suspicious LNs on ultrasound at diagnosis114 (57.3)11 (9.6)1 [Reference]247 (23.6)9 (19.1)2.19 (0.81–5.91)0.122≥ 338 (19.1)8 (21.1)4.38 (1.48–12.9)0.008Clinical nodal status after NST ycN0152 (76.4)19 (12.5)1 [Reference]ycN147 (23.6)9 (19.1)1.67 (0.63–4.44)0.304Pathological nodal status after NST ypN0126 (63.3)12 (9.5)1 [Reference]ypN173 (36.7)16 (21.9)2.48 (0.72–8.60)0.151No. of all resected LNs (per LN)0.97 (0.87–1.07)0.539No. of TAD LNs (per LN)0.78 (0.58–1.04)0.095Pathological tumor status after NST145 (72.9)13 (9.0)1 [Reference]	(per mm)			1.03 (1.00–1.05)	0.033
ultrasound at diagnosis 114 (57.3) 11 (9.6) 1 [Reference] 2 47 (23.6) 9 (19.1) 2.19 (0.81–5.91) 0.122 ≥ 3 38 (19.1) 8 (21.1) 4.38 (1.48–12.9) 0.008 Clinical nodal status after NST 9 (19.1) 1.[Reference] 0.008 ycN0 152 (76.4) 19 (12.5) 1 [Reference] 0.304 Pathological nodal status after NST 47 (23.6) 9 (19.1) 1.67 (0.63–4.44) 0.304 Pathological nodal status after NST ypN0 126 (63.3) 12 (9.5) 1 [Reference] 0.304 ypN1+ 73 (36.7) 16 (21.9) 2.48 (0.72–8.60) 0.151 No. of TAD LNs (per LN) 0.78 (0.58–1.04) 0.095 Pathological tumor status after NST 0.78 (0.58–1.04) 0.095 Pathological tumor status after NST 0.78 (0.58–1.04) 0.095	No. of suspicious LNs on				
1114 (57.3)11 (9.6)1 [Reference]247 (23.6)9 (19.1)2.19 (0.81–5.91)0.122≥ 338 (19.1)8 (21.1)4.38 (1.48–12.9)0.008Clinical nodal status after NST </td <td>ultrasound at diagnosis</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	ultrasound at diagnosis				
247 (23.6)9 (19.1)2.19 (0.81–5.91)0.122≥ 338 (19.1)8 (21.1)4.38 (1.48–12.9)0.008Clinical nodal status after NST y CN0152 (76.4)19 (12.5)1 [Reference]ycN447 (23.6)9 (19.1)1.67 (0.63–4.44)0.304Pathological nodal status after NST y PN0126 (63.3)12 (9.5)1 [Reference]ypN0126 (63.3)12 (9.5)1 [Reference] v ypN+73 (36.7)16 (21.9)2.48 (0.72–8.60)0.151No. of all resected LNs (per LN) $0.78 (0.58–1.04)$ 0.095Pathological tumor status after NST v v v ypT0145 (72.9)13 (9.0)1 [Reference]	1	114 (57.3)	11 (9.6)	1 [Reference]	
≥ 338 (19.1)8 (21.1)4.38 (1.48–12.9)0.008Clinical nodal status after NST152 (76.4)19 (12.5)1 [Reference]ycN+47 (23.6)9 (19.1)1.67 (0.63–4.44)0.304Pathological nodal status after NST126 (63.3)12 (9.5)1 [Reference]ypN0126 (63.3)12 (9.5)1 [Reference]ypN+73 (36.7)16 (21.9)2.48 (0.72–8.60)0.151No. of all resected LNs (per LN)0.97 (0.87–1.07)0.539No. of TAD LNs (per LN)0.78 (0.58–1.04)0.095Pathological tumor status after NST145 (72.9)13 (9.0)1 [Reference]	2	47 (23.6)	9 (19.1)	2.19 (0.81–5.91)	0.122
Clinical nodal status after NST ycN0 152 (76.4) 19 (12.5) 1 [Reference] ycN+ 47 (23.6) 9 (19.1) 1.67 (0.63–4.44) 0.304 Pathological nodal status after NST 9 126 (63.3) 12 (9.5) 1 [Reference] ypN0 126 (63.3) 12 (9.5) 1 [Reference] 0.151 ypN+ 73 (36.7) 16 (21.9) 2.48 (0.72–8.60) 0.151 No. of all resected LNs (per LN) 0.97 (0.87–1.07) 0.539 No. of TAD LNs (per LN) 0.78 (0.58–1.04) 0.095 Pathological tumor status after NST 145 (72.9) 13 (9.0) 1 [Reference]	≥ 3	38 (19.1)	8 (21.1)	4.38 (1.48–12.9)	0.008
ycN0152 (76.4)19 (12.5)1 [Reference]ycN+47 (23.6)9 (19.1)1.67 (0.63–4.44)0.304Pathological nodal status after NSTypN0126 (63.3)12 (9.5)1 [Reference]ypN+73 (36.7)16 (21.9)2.48 (0.72–8.60)0.151No. of all resected LNs (per LN)0.97 (0.87–1.07)0.539No. of TAD LNs (per LN)0.78 (0.58–1.04)0.095Pathological tumor status after NST145 (72.9)13 (9.0)1 [Reference]	Clinical nodal status after NST				
ycN+ 47 (23.6) 9 (19.1) 1.67 (0.63–4.44) 0.304 Pathological nodal status after NST 0.304 ypN0 126 (63.3) 12 (9.5) 1 [Reference] 0.151 ypN+ 73 (36.7) 16 (21.9) 2.48 (0.72–8.60) 0.151 0.97 (0.87–1.07) 0.539 <t< td=""><td>ycN0</td><td>152 (76.4)</td><td>19 (12.5)</td><td>1 [Reference]</td><td></td></t<>	ycN0	152 (76.4)	19 (12.5)	1 [Reference]	
Pathological nodal status after NST ypN0 126 (63.3) 12 (9.5) 1 [Reference] ypN+ 73 (36.7) 16 (21.9) 2.48 (0.72–8.60) 0.151 No. of all resected LNs (per LN) 0.97 (0.87–1.07) 0.539 No. of TAD LNs (per LN) 0.78 (0.58–1.04) 0.095 Pathological tumor status after NST ypT0 145 (72.9) 13 (9.0) 1 [Reference]	ycN+	47 (23.6)	9 (19.1)	1.67 (0.63–4.44)	0.304
ypN0 126 (63.3) 12 (9.5) 1 [Reference] ypN+ 73 (36.7) 16 (21.9) 2.48 (0.72–8.60) 0.151 No. of all resected LNs (per LN) 0.97 (0.87–1.07) 0.539 No. of TAD LNs (per LN) 0.78 (0.58–1.04) 0.095 Pathological tumor status after NST ypT0 145 (72.9) 13 (9.0) 1 [Reference]	Pathological nodal status after NST				
ypN+ 73 (36.7) 16 (21.9) 2.48 (0.72–8.60) 0.151 No. of all resected LNs (per LN) 0.97 (0.87–1.07) 0.539 No. of TAD LNs (per LN) 0.78 (0.58–1.04) 0.095 Pathological tumor status after NST 145 (72.9) 13 (9.0) 1 [Reference]	ypN0	126 (63.3)	12 (9.5)	1 [Reference]	
No. of all resected LNs (per LN) 0.97 (0.87–1.07) 0.539 No. of TAD LNs (per LN) 0.78 (0.58–1.04) 0.095 Pathological tumor status after NST ypT0 145 (72.9) 13 (9.0) 1 [Reference]	ypN+	73 (36.7)	16 (21.9)	2.48 (0.72-8.60)	0.151
No. of TAD LNs (per LN) 0.78 (0.58–1.04) 0.095 Pathological tumor status after NST ypT0 145 (72.9) 13 (9.0) 1 [Reference]	No. of all resected LNs (per LN)			0.97 (0.87–1.07)	0.539
Pathological tumor status after NSTypT0145 (72.9)13 (9.0)1 [Reference]	No. of TAD LNs (per LN)			0.78 (0.58–1.04)	0.095
yp10 145 (72.9) 13 (9.0) 1 [Reference]	Pathological tumor status after NST				
	ypT0	145 (72.9)	13 (9.0)	1 [Reference]	
yp11–4 50 (25.1) 15 (30.0) 5.70 (2.10–14.4) <0.001	yp11–4	50 (25.1)	15 (30.0)	5.70 (2.10–14.4)	<0.001
yp1x 4 (2.0) 0 -	ypix	4 (2.0)	0	-	
Radiotherapy	Radiotherapy	40 (0.0)	0 (05 0)		
No 12 (6.0) 3 (25.0) 1 [Reference]	No	12 (6.0)	3 (25.0)		
Yes 180 (90.5) 24 (13.3) 0.13 (0.03–0.53) 0.005	Yes	180 (90.5)	24 (13.3)	0.13(0.03-0.53)	0.005
Unknown / (3.5) 1 (14.3) U.61 (U.04–9.82) 0.727		7 (3.5)	1 (14.3)	0.61 (0.04–9.82)	0.727
Post-neoaujuvant Unemotherapy	Post-neoadjuvant Chemotherapy	476 (00 4)	07 (45 0)	1 [Deference]	
IV0 I/0 (88.4) Z/ (15.3) I [Reference] Vac 17 (8.5) 1 (5.0) 0.27 (0.04, 2.42) 0.262		17 (88.4)	21 (15.3)		0.260
$\frac{100}{100000000000000000000000000000000$			0	0.37 (0.04 - 3.12)	0.302

eTable 3. Multivariate Cox Regression Analysis for Invasive Disease-Free Survival

Abbreviations: ALND, axillary lymph node dissection; CI, confidence interval; *ERBB2*, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; HR, hazard ratio; HR+, hormone receptor positive; HR–, hormone receptor negative; LN, lymph node; NST, neoadjuvant systemic therapy; TAD, targeted axillary dissection; T, tumor; ycN0/+, clinically node negative/positive after NST; ypN0/+, pathologically node negative/positive after NST.

	Total	Events	Multivariate Ana	alysis ^a
		N (%)	HR (95% CI)	P
Number	199	15 (7.5)		
Axillary surgery				
TAD + ALND	80 (40.2)	8 (10.0)	1 [Reference]	
TAD	119 (59.8)	7 (5.9)	0.68 (0.09-4.85)	0.698
Age per Year	· · ·	. ,	1.05 (1.00–1.11)	0.050
Tumor receptor subtype			· · ·	
HR+/ERBB2+	39 (19.6)	2 (5.1)	1 [Reference]	
HR+/ERBB2–	87 (43.7)	7 (8.0)	0.31 (0.04-2.13)	0.231
HR-/ERBB2+	29 (14.6)	0	-	
HR-/ <i>ERBB2</i> -	44 (22.1)	6 (13.6)	2.75 (0.49–15.5)	0.252
Clinical tumor size at diagnosis	· · ·		1 01 (0 06 1 06)	0 7 2 0
(per mm)			1.01 (0.90–1.00)	0.720
No. of suspicious LNs on				
ultrasound at diagnosis				
1	114 (57.3)	9 (7.9)	1 [Reference]	
2	47 (23.6)	3 (6.4)	0.80 (0.18–3.42)	0.758
≥ 3	38 (19.1)	3 (7.9)	0.98 (0.19–5.01)	0.979
Clinical nodal status after NST				
ycN0	152 (76.4)	7 (4.6)	1 [Reference]	
ycN+	47 (23.6)	8 (17.0)	2.95 (0.92–9.43)	0.069
Pathological nodal status after NST				
ypN0	126 (63.3)	6 (4.8)	1 [Reference]	
≥ ypN1	73 (36.7)	9 (12.3)	3.12 (0.64–15.2)	0.158
No. of all resected LNs (per LN)			1.01 (0.86–1.18)	0.931
No. of TAD LNs (per LN)			0.99 (0.69–1.42)	0.952
Pathological tumor status after NST				
урТО	145 (72.9)	8 (5.5)	1 [Reference]	
ypT1–4	50 (25.1)	6 (12.0)	3.06 (0.78–12.0)	0.110
урТх	4 (2.0)	1 (25.0)	-	
Radiotherapy				
No	12 (6.0)	2 (16.7)	1 [Reference]	
Yes	180 (90.5)	12 (6.7)	0.30 (0.05–1.74)	0.178
Unknown	7 (3.5)	1 (14.3)	-	
Post-neoadjuvant Chemotherapy				
No	176 (88.4)	14 (8.0)	1 [Reference]	
Yes	17 (8.5)	0	-	
Unknown	6 (3.0)	1 (16.7)	-	

eTable 4. Multivariate Cox Regression Analysis for Overall Survival

Abbreviations: ALND, axillary lymph node dissection; CI, confidence interval; *ERBB2*, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; HR, hazard ratio; HR+, hormone receptor positive; HR–, hormone receptor negative; LN, lymph node; NST, neoadjuvant systemic therapy; TAD, targeted axillary dissection; T, tumor; ycN0/+, clinically node negative/positive after NST; ypN0/+, pathologically node negative/positive after NST.

	Total	Events	Multivariate Ana	lysisª
			HR (95% CI)	P
Number	199	24 (12.1)		
Axillary surgery				
TAD + ALND	80 (40.2)	15 (18.8)	1 [Reference]	
TAD	119 (59.8)	9 (7.6)	0.25 (0.05-1.15)	0.076
Age per Year			1.04 (0.99–1.08)	0.080
Tumor receptor subtype				
HR+/ <i>ERBB2</i> +	39 (19.6)	3 (7.7)	1 [Reference]	
HR+/ <i>ERBB2</i> –	87 (43.7)	13 (14.9)	0.16 (0.03–0.82)	0.028
HR–/ <i>ERBB2</i> +	29 (14.6)	2 (6.9)	0.40 (0.05-3.29)	0.395
HR–/ <i>ERBB2</i> –	44 (22.1)	6 (13.6)	0.58 (0.21-2.80)	0.498
Clinical tumor size at diagnosis			1 03 (1 00 1 06)	0 024
(per mm)			1.03 (1.00–1.00)	0.024
No. of suspicious LNs on				
ultrasound at diagnosis				
1	114 (57.3)	10 (8.8)	1 [Reference]	
2	47 (23.6)	8 (17.0)	2.17 (0.75–6.27)	0.152
≥ 3	38 (19.1)	6 (15.8)	4.63 (1.35–15.8)	0.015
Clinical nodal status after NST				
ycN0	152 (76.4)	15 (9.9)	1 [Reference]	
ycN+	47 (23.6)	9 (19.1)	2.31 (0.82–6.53)	0.114
Pathological nodal status after NST				
ypN0	126 (63.3)	8 (6.3)	1 [Reference]	
≥ ypN1	73 (36.7)	16 (21.9)	3.69 (0.94–14.5)	0.062
No. of all resected LNs (per LN)			0.93 (0.84–1.04)	0.212
No. of TAD LNs (per LN)			0.75 (0.53–1.06)	0.100
Pathological tumor status after NST				
урТ0	145 (72.9)	9 (6.2)	1 [Reference]	
ypT1–4	50 (25.1)	15 (30.0)	8.23 (2.71–25.0)	<0.001
урТх	4 (2.0)	0	-	
Radiotherapy				
No	12 (6.0)	2 (16.7)	1 [Reference]	
Yes	180 (90.5)	21 (11.7)	0.19 (0.03–1.02)	0.053
Unknown	7 (3.5)	1 (14.3)	0.17 (0.01–3.91)	0.267
Post-neoadjuvant Chemotherapy				
No	176 (88.4)	23 (13.1)	1 [Reference]	
Yes	17 (8.5)	1 (5.9)	0.38 (0.05–3.28)	0.381
Unknown	6 (3.0)	0	-	

eTable 5. Multivariate Cox Regression Analysis for Distant Disease-Free Survival

Abbreviations: ALND, axillary lymph node dissection; CI, confidence interval; *ERBB2*, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; HR, hazard ratio; HR+, hormone receptor positive; HR–, hormone receptor negative; LN, lymph node; NST, neoadjuvant systemic therapy; TAD, targeted axillary dissection; T, tumor; ycN0/+, clinically node negative/positive after NST; ypN0/+, pathologically node negative/positive after NST.

	Total	Events	Multivariate Ana	lysisª
		N (%)	HR (95% CI)	P
Number	199	11 (5.5)		
Axillary surgery		. ,		
TAD + ALND	80 (40.2)	6 (7.5)	1 [Reference]	
TAD	119 (59.8)	5 (4.2)	1.05 (0.09–11.7)	0.968
Age per Year			1.09 (1.02–1.17)	0.017
Tumor receptor subtype				
HR+/ERBB2+	39 (19.6)	2 (5.1)	1 [Reference]	
HR+/ <i>ERBB2</i> –	87 (43.7)	5 (5.7)	0.31 (0.04-2.13)	0.231
HR–/ERBB2+	29 (14.6)	0	-	
HR-/ <i>ERBB2</i> -	44 (22.1)	4 (9.1)	1.75 (0.27–11.3)	0.557
Clinical tumor size at diagnosis	· · ·		1.00 (0.94–1.07)	0.932
(per mm)			· · · ·	
No. of suspicious LNs on				
ultrasound at diagnosis				
1	114 (57.3)	7 (6.1)	1 [Reference]	
2	47 (23.6)	3 (6.4)	1.78 (0.37-8.57)	0.573
≥ 3	38 (19.1)	2 (2.6)	0.38 (0.20-7.71)	0.529
Clinical nodal status after NST				
ycN0	152 (76.4)	6 (3.9)	1 [Reference]	
ycN+	47 (23.6)	5 (10.6)	2.41 (0.56–10.4)	0.240
Pathological nodal status after NST				
ypN0	126 (63.3)	3 (2.4)	1 [Reference]	
≥ ypN1	73 (36.7)	8 (11.0)	10.1 (1.36–74.6)	0.024
No. of all resected LNs (per LN)			1.02 (0.85–1.22)	0.807
No. of TAD LNs (per LN)			0.71 (0.39–1.30)	0.269
Pathological tumor status after NST				
урТ0	145 (72.9)	5 (3.4)	1 [Reference]	
ypT1-4	50 (25.1)	6 (12.0)	3.94 (0.86–18.1)	0.078
урТх	4 (2.0)	0	-	
Radiotherapy				
No	12 (6.0)	2 (16.7)	1 [Reference]	
Yes	180 (90.5)	9 (5.0)	0.10 (0.01–0.79)	0.030
Unknown	7 (3.5)	0	· · /	
Post-neoadjuvant Chemotherapy	. ,			
No	176 (88.4)	11 (6.3)	1 [Reference]	
Yes	17 (8.5)) Ó		
Unknown	6 (3.0)	0	-	

eTable 6. Multivariate Cox Regression Analysis for Breast Cancer-Specific Survival

Abbreviations: ALND, axillary lymph node dissection; CI, confidence interval; *ERBB2*, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; HR, hazard ratio; HR+, hormone receptor positive; HR–, hormone receptor negative; LN, lymph node; NST, neoadjuvant systemic therapy; TAD, targeted axillary dissection; T, tumor; ycN0/+, clinically node negative/positive after NST; ypN0/+, pathologically node negative/positive after NST.

eFigure. Kaplan-Meier Curves for Distant Disease-Free Survival, Breast Cancer–Specific Survival, and Locoregional Recurrence



© 2023 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Kaplan-Meier curves for (A) breast-cancer specific survival, (B) distant disease-free survival as well as cumulative incidence of (C) locoregional recurrence for 80 patients who underwent targeted axillary dissection (TAD) with axillary lymph node dissection (ALND) versus 119 patients who underwent TAD alone. Fifteen patients in the TAD with ALND group and six patients in the TAD alone group suffered from distant metastases; six and five patients, respectively, died of breast cancer. All 10 patients, five in each group, with regional recurrence suffered from local recurrence as well.