1

PRISMA-P 2015 Checklist

This checklist has been adapted for use with systematic review protocol submissions to BioMed Central journals from Table 3 in Moher D et al: Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Systematic Reviews 2015 4:1

An Editorial from the Editors-in-Chief of *Systematic Reviews* details why this checklist was adapted - Moher D, Stewart L & Shekelle P: Implementing PRISMA-P: recommendations for prospective authors. *Systematic Reviews* 2016 **5**:15

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Informatio	Line					
	#		Yes	No	number(s)				
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION									
Title									
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review			1-2				
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such							
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (e.g., PROSPERO) and registration number in the Abstract			37				
Authors									
Contact	За	Provide name, institutional affiliation, and e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author			6-12				
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review			436-439				
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments							
Support									
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review			431-435				
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor			431-435				
Role of sponsor/funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol			435				
INTRODUCTION									
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known			129-174				



2

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Information reported		Line
			Yes	No	number(s)
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)			170-174
METHODS				'	
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (e.g., PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review			260-317
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (e.g., electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers, or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage			199-254
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated			Additional file 2 and 3
STUDY RECORDS					
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review			255-259
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (e.g., two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (i.e., screening, eligibility, and inclusion in meta-analysis)			261-286
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (e.g., piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators			318-344
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (e.g., PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications			318-344
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale			318-344
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis			367-374
DATA					
	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesized			
Synthesis	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data, and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (e.g., I^2 , Kendall's tau)			
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-			



3

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Information reported		Line
			Yes	No	number(s)
		regression)			
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned			375-381
Meta-bias(es)		Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (e.g., publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)			
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (e.g., GRADE)			

