1 Supplementary Materials

- 2 This manuscript contains the following supplemental materials:
- 3 Supplement A Detailed UltraSEQ Services (this document)
- 4 Supplement B Other UltraSEQ Services (this document)
- 5 Supplement C Sample-report_user guide (separate Excel Document)
- 6 Supplement D Supplemental_File_Scores (separate Excel Document)
- 7 Supplement E UltraSEQ Rules Engine Logic (this document)
- 8 Supplement F Supplemental Results (this document)

9 Supplement A – Detailed UltraSEQ Services

10 Preprocessing Service. For datasets derived from sequencers, UltraSEQ's preprocessing routine includes steps to trim low quality sequence regions, remove adapter sequences, 11 (optionally) merge paired end reads, and (optionally) remove host sequences to ensure optimal 12 reads remain for analysis. For Illumina and IonTorrent datasets. Trimmomatic v0.39 (Bolger et 13 al., 2014) was used for quality trimming/adapter removal (settings include 14 ILLUMINACLIP:NexteraPE-PE.fa:2:30:10:2:keepBothReads, LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 15 SLIDINGWINDOW:5:20 MINLEN:50) and Fastp v0.23.0 (Chen et al., 2018) was used for paired 16 17 end read merging and deduplication (settings include -m (R1/R2 merging mode) when 18 applicable, -dedup, -Q -A (disable quality and adapter trimming). [Note: subsequent to this 19 publication. UltraSEQ preprocessing routine was updated to use Fastp for quality 20 trimming/adapter removal and merging in one step with the following setting: -m (R1/R2 merging mode), -cut front -cut front window size1 -cut front mean quality 3 (mimics Trimmomatic 21 LEADING:3), -cut_tail -cut_tail_window_size_1 -cut_tail_mean_quality 3 (mimics Trimmomatic 22 TRAILING: 3), -cut right-cut right window size 5-cut right mean guality 20 (mimics 23 Trimmomatic SLIDINGWINDOW:4:20), -1 50. A second step was used to deduplicate the 24 25 dataset if necessary due to interference of Fastp's -cut right setting on -dedup. We found that 26 this pipeline provides 1.5x speed increase, ~1.07x more usable reads, and automatic adapter 27 removal (data not shown)]. Following trimming and adapter removal, Bowtie2 v2.3.5.1 28 (Langmead & Salzberg, 2012) was used with default settings to remove host reads that produced an alignment to the human genome build GRCh38. For Nanopore datasets, Porechop 29 30 v0.2.4 (Wick et al., 2017) was used with default settings to remove adapters and MiniMap2 v2.24-r1122 (Li, 2018) was used with default settings to remove any reads that produced an 31 32 alignment to human genome build GRCh38. FastQC v0.11.9 (Andrews, 2010) and MultiQC v1.10.1 (Ewels et al., 2016) were used to evaluate pre-processed and post-processed standard 33 34 data quality metrics and ensure preprocessing routines were effective. 35 To ensure the most informative reads are passed to the next UltraSEQ service, an additional 36 de-duplication step is performed by removing any duplicates that have an exact match for the first 50 bases. Such duplication is known to occur during the library preparation step (Head et 37 38 al., 2014). Further, to reduce cloud compute costs, enhance run-times, and provide better comparisons across datasets, subsampling was optionally performed prior to the alignment 39 service described below. Subsampling was performed by calculating the average number of bps 40 per read in a sample, then randomly sampling to the number of reads required to reach 41 42 10,100,000 total bps (note: the subsampling was performed by bps instead of number of reads 43 since some datasets, e.g., nanopore, have much longer reads). Subsampling was performed on 44 all datasets (if needed) with the exception of a second set of runs that was performed the for 45 Yang et al. (Yang et al., 2019) datasets for antibiotic resistance genotyping. For these runs, a separate UltraSEQ run was used in which the full sample was run without de-hosting to 46 enhance signals for antibiotic resistance genes (with the exception of the following samples that 47 were subsampled to 30,000 reads: Case 22, 10, 9, and 4; these were subsampled to 30,000 48 49 reads to reduce computational runtime).

50 **Aligner Service**. To avoid sequences with lengths longer than LAMBDA2's maximum query 51 length, sequences longer than 5,000 bps were chunked in pieces of maximum size 5,000. LAMBDA2 enables alignment against both protein and nucleotide databases, but the results for this study leveraged only protein databases, including the Uniref100 protein database (built April 2021) and Battelle's Sequence of Concern protein database, which contains ~8,000 sequences of concern (including virulence factors, toxins, bioregulators, pathways of concern, etc.), ~500

- 56 signatures of genetic engineering, and ~3,500 biological agents, including ~2,800 pathogens
- 57 (~2,600 human pathogens) as detailed here (Gemler et al., 2022). For selected runs, we also
- 58 leveraged our curated nucleotide database of human pathogens and select agents, although
- these data are not shown here, as no improvement to results was noticed. For this study, the
- 60 following aligner settings were used: e-value = 1e-4, maximum number matches = 10, aligner's
- 61 seed-delta-increases-length flag = ON.

62 Query Mapper Service: This service maps regions within query sequences to identify high quality alignment regions as well as chimeric reads / out-of-context DNA sequences. This 63 service processes the raw alignment results from the aligner service and identifies top alignment 64 results by first finding the top percent identity and then subsetting the raw alignment results to 65 66 alignments whose percent identity is within a tolerance, by default 1%, of the top percent 67 identity. The top alignment results are subsequently processed for positional information from each database used, including protein and nucleotide databases. For each query position, 68 69 n_{counts} is defined as the total number of query alignment starts and query alignment stops corresponding to that position. After n_{counts} has been populated at every position, a normalized 70 71 vector of counts (N_{counts}) is compiled according to equation 1:

72 Equation 1

$$N_{counts} = \frac{n_{counts} - Min(n_{counts})}{Max(n_{counts}) - Min(n_{counts})}$$

74 Following these calculations, a K-means clustering is performed for the N_{counts} values, and the top cluster is used to define the region bounds. Specifically, kmeans++ (Arthur & Vassilvitskii, 75 2007) is used to set the initial centroids. For this application, the "furthest point" algorithm 76 sequentially selects initial centroids furthest from the ones in the previous iteration. Lloyd's 77 78 Algorithm (Lloyd, 1982) is then used for clustering given those initial centroids. Finally, the Elbow Method (Ng, 2012) is used to determine the best number of groups k. The top cluster is 79 80 defined as the cluster with the largest centroid. Further, as implemented within UltraSEQ, the algorithm checks if the top two clusters' centroids are within a particular tolerance (10% in the 81 82 case of the query mapper); if they are, the penultimate cluster is absorbed into the top cluster 83 (otherwise, the top cluster remains unaltered).

84 To illustrate these calculations, consider the following example: one query sequence of length 150 bps aligns to 3 different subject sequences, with the following start and stop query positions 85 (and percent identities): Accession A, start position 1, end position 100 (percent identity = 100); 86 87 Accession B, start position 1, end position 50 (percent identity = 95); Accession C, start position 88 25, end position 100 (percent identity = 100). In this case, n_{counts} = 195, 100, 95, and 200 and N_{counts} = 0.952, 0.0476, 0, 1.000, for positions 1, 25, 50, and 100, respectively. In this case, the 89 90 top K-means cluster includes N_{counts} values 0.95 and 1.000 associated with query positions 1 and 100, respectively. Query positions 1 and 100 then define the region bounds. The region 91

bounds are subsequently applied to the query sequence, and any overhangs of sufficient length
 (default: 6 bps) can optionally be classified as their own region (overhangs that are less than the

- 94 sufficient length threshold are ignored). The default setting for this study was to generate
- 95 overhangs when possible. The query mapper also defines the region's type: if the region has
- 96 one or more alignments derived from a protein database, it is defined as a "translated" region; if
- 97 it only has alignments from a nucleotide database, it is defined as an "untranslated" region; if no
- 98 alignments are identified, it is defined as a "novel" region. Further, for translated regions, the
- 99 reading frame(s) is documented based on the alignment. UltraSEQ provides the option to re-
- align novel regions for greater depth of analysis, but this option was not used in this study. In
- the example presented here, two regions would be identified: one from query positions 1 to 100,
- and the second from query positions 101 to 150.
- 103 Context Services and Subservices. These services generate contextual information and
- 104 passes information to downstream services. The **Metadata Service** maps metadata to
- alignment results. For UniRef100 alignments, these metadata include Gene Ontology terms,
- 106 UniProt identifiers, UniRef100 identifiers (which are linked to proteins involved in genetic
- 107 engineering, housed within Battelle's SoC database), taxonomy identifiers (also linked to
- Battelle's SoC database for agent metadata), and other. For SoC alignments, these metadata
- 109 further include tags such as coarse functionality (adherence, antibiotic resistance, etc.),
- pathways, SoC groups, etc. as defined in (Gemler et al., 2022). For nucleotide alignments,
- 111 current metadata includes taxonomic identifiers. Other context services available for use but not
- used in this study are described in the Supplement B Other UltraSEQ Services.
- **Rules Engine Service.** This service combines all of the above context and prediction services
- for regions, sequences, and samples using user defined logic rules for rapid sequence triage.
- 115 UltraSEQ currently has 4 default rules engines to identify biothreats, controlled sequences for
- 116 DNA synthesis vendors, indicators of genetic engineering, and Metagenomics Diagnostics. The
- first three are not described here as they are specific to various use cases. The fourth is
- 118 described in the main methods of the manuscript.
- 119 **Metagenomics Service.** This service provides sample level taxonomic composition based on
- the regions identified from reads processed in the query mapper service in 3 steps: 1) filtering
- out low quality reads, 2) scoring the remaining reads based on the information content of the
- reads, and 3) predicting the taxonomic composition based on the scores. In the first step, the
- default alignment quality filters used in this study include minimum alignment length of 48 base
- pairs (16 amino acids set based on aligner seed length), 99% percent identity and 100% region
- 125 coverage for nucleotide alignments (note: no nucleotide databases were used in this study),
- 126 95% percent identity and 90% region coverage for protein alignments.
- 127 The metagenomics service works by estimating the information content of a read. That is, reads
- 128 that are unique to a protein from a specific organism contain the highest amount of information,
- 129 whereas reads that are found in proteins from across the tree of life contain less information.
- 130 The information content of a read is derived from the read's alignment data, in which the value
- 131 of its information content is inversely proportional to the product of the number of unique
- accessions and taxonomies associated with high-quality alignments of a region i.e., a region
- that contains a single accession and taxonomy call is more useful than a region that contains

many accession and taxonomy calls. We note that the default protein reference database usedin this study, the UniRef100, clusters proteins with 100% similarity to each other into a single

- 136 reference accession. This clustering feature is important for the metagenomics service's
- efficacy, since it prevents reference database duplication from incorrectly lowering the perceived
- 138 information content of a region (e.g., duplicates of the same protein are represented by a single
- 139 UniRef100 cluster, which would appear as a single subject accession in this study).

Sequence region-level taxonomy predictions are associated with confidence scores that are based on alignment quality. For each unique taxonomy identified, the maximum confidence score from alignments that are associated with it are assigned. Specifically, based on the results of the query mapper service, all region alignments are compiled in a table ("query sequence information table"), and scoring is initially performed on a per region, per agent (organism), per accession basis. More specifically, each region (r), agent (a), and accession (acc) combination is assigned the following score, $S_{a,r,acc}$:

147
$$S_{a,r,acc} = \frac{Aqual_{acc,r}}{Na_r \times Nacc_r}$$

148 Where Aqualaccr is the alignment quality (percent coverage x percent identity) in the region, Nar is the number of unique agents associated with the subject accessions in the region (score 149 is inversely proportional to the region's uniqueness) and $Nacc_r$ is the number of accessions 150 151 from the subject database that are associated with the region (score is inversely proportional to 152 the region's sequence complexity - higher complexity implies more specificity to a specific 153 protein). Subsequently, an agent region score, $S_{a,r}$, is calculated to be the score associated with 154 the highest scoring accession (or accessions in the case of a tie) for the given agent, region 155 combination:

156
$$S_{a,r} = \max_{acc} S_{a,r,acc}$$

For each unique taxonomy across the sample, the agent scores $S_{a,r}$ are summed across all regions for which each taxonomy is associated, and the sample-level or agent score (S_a) is calculated:

160
$$S_a = \sum_{n} S_{a,n}$$

At this point, the agent score (S_a) are rank ordered, and starting with highest sample-level 161 162 scoring taxonomy, all sequences associated with the highest scoring taxonomy are identified and all other taxonomies associated with those sequences are removed from the query 163 sequence information table (as defined above). This process is iteratively repeated until all 164 taxonomies have been processed. The result is a pruned list of agent scores (S_a) and their 165 associated TaxIDs. From this list, a K-means cluster of the agent scores is performed by 166 167 domain (Bacteria, Archaea, Eukaryotes, and Viruses) using the same method as described above for the Query Mapper Service, and the taxonomies associated with the top cluster in 168 each domain are set to be the final sample composition. As with the query mapper, the 169 170 algorithm checks if the top two clusters' centroids are within a particular tolerance, referred to as

- the metagenomic clustering threshold (MCT) in the main body of the manuscript; if they are, the
- 172 penultimate cluster is absorbed into the top cluster (otherwise, the top cluster remains
- unaltered). In this case, a 50% MCT was used for all UltraSEQ runs except during testing
- 174 phases as described in the Results Section. The final confidence associated with each agent,
- 175 C_a , is defined as the average alignment quality for all sequences used in the final (pruned) query
- sequence information table for that agent. Note, due to the high abundance of phages, all
- 177 TaxIDs associated with phages and other similar non-human viruses were masked from these
- 178 calculations. This masking was accomplished by creating a removal list of all NCBI viral TaxID
- associated with the following hosts: fungi, bacteria, algae, archaea, diatom, and protozoa.
- 180 **Reporting Services**. UltraSEQ provides several reports as well as described below. The text
- below describes the details of these reports as of the writing of this manuscript, although we
- 182 anticipate additions/modifications as appropriate. Details for the *Top Alignment Report*,
- 183 *Taxonomy Report,* and *Default Report* are provided in the main section of the manuscript.
- 184 Additional details for the sample report are provided here.

Sample Report. As described in the main methods section of the manuscript, the 'main report' 185 tab provides a list of all organisms identified from the above Metagenomics Service, the results 186 associated with the identified organisms, and the metadata associated with the organism from 187 Battelle's SoC database. These results and metadata are used in a logical diagnostic rules 188 engine described in the 'trigger-summary' tabs. Statistics for the UltraSEQ run are provided in 189 the 'sample-statistics' tab. For each organism identified, the 'VF' tab provides a list of SoCs 190 191 identified, including virulence factors and antibiotic resistance genes from the Comprehension 192 Antibiotic Resistance Database (CARD) (Alcock et al., 2020). Specifically, if an alignment to one of the proteins in the SoC database is contained within the Top Alignment Report and its TaxID 193 matches to the organism or one of its children, it is populated in the organism-specific 'VF' tab. 194 For antibiotic resistance profiles, only proteins in the CARD's protein homology model are 195 196 currently used. These protein sequences are currently populated in Battelle's SoC database, but some metadata associated with these sequences and the drugs they confer resistance to 197 198 defined by CARD (e.g., in the aro.tsv and ro.tsv files provided by CARD downloads 199 https://card.mcmaster.ca/download). The 'ABR' tab pulls results from the 'VF' tab to provide 200 antibiotic resistance information. Information in this tab is organized by drug class and antibiotic for easy interpretation. Other antibiotic resistance models (e.g., protein variant model, rRNA 201 202 gene variant model, and protein knockout model, etc.) are not currently used in UltraSEQ. Thus, 203 antibiotic resistance profiles are currently based solely on presence of genes that confer antibiotic resistance (i.e., profiles are not based on point mutants that may help confer 204 resistance). In addition to the organism-specific antibiotic resistance profile, an organism-205 specific agnostic profile is provided in the 'CARD SoCs Report' tab to further aid in antibiotic 206 207 resistance genotyping (in cases where antibiotic genes may map to the incorrect or many 208 different taxonomies).

210 References for Supplemental Section A

211	1.	Alcock, B. P., Raphenya, A. R., Lau, T. T. Y., Tsang, K. K., Bouchard, M., Edalatmand, A., Huynh,
212		W., Nguyen, A. V., Cheng, A. A., Liu, S., Min, S. Y., Miroshnichenko, A., Tran, H. K., Werfalli, R. E.,
213		Nasir, J. A., Oloni, M., Speicher, D. J., Florescu, A., Singh, B., McArthur, A. G. (2020). CARD
214		2020: antibiotic resistome surveillance with the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database.
215		Nucleic Acids Res, 48(D1), D517-D525. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz935
216	2.	Andrews, S. (2010). FastQC: A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data [Online].
217		Available online at: <u>http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/</u> .
218	3.	Arthur, D., & Vassilvitskii, S. (2007). K-means++: the advantages of careful seeding. SODA'07
219		Proceedings of the Eighteenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms.
220		CityPhiladelphia, StatePA: placecountry. In: region SIAM Press.
221	4.	Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
222		sequence data. Bioinformatics, 30(15), 2114-2120.
223		https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
224	5.	Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., & Gu, J. (2018). fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.
225		Bioinformatics, 34(17), i884-i890. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560
226	6.	Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S., & Kaller, M. (2016). MultiQC: summarize analysis results
227		for multiple tools and samples in a single report. <i>Bioinformatics</i> , 32(19), 3047-3048.
228		https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw354
229	7.	Gemler, B. T., C., M., A., H. C., D., H., Z., S., J., H. L., O., T., & C., B. (2022). Function-based
230		Classification of Hazardous Biological Sequences: Demonstration of a New Paradigm for
231		Biohazard Assessments (Submitted for Publication).
232	8.	Head, S. R., Komori, H. K., LaMere, S. A., Whisenant, T., Van Nieuwerburgh, F., Salomon, D. R., &
233		Ordoukhanian, P. (2014). Library construction for next-generation sequencing: overviews and
234		challenges. <i>Biotechniques</i> , 56(2), 61-64, 66, 68, passim. <u>https://doi.org/10.2144/000114133</u>
235	9.	Langmead, B., & Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods,
236		9(4), 357-359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923
237	10.	Li, H. (2018). Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. <i>Bioinformatics</i> , 34(18),
238		3094-3100. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty191
239	11.	Lloyd, S. (1982). Least squares quantization in PCM. IEEE transactions on information theory,
240		28(2), 129-137.
241	12.	Ng, A. (2012). Clustering with the k-means algorithm. <i>Machine Learning</i> , 1-2.
242	13.	Wick, R. R., Judd, L. M., Gorrie, C. L., & Holt, K. E. (2017). Completing bacterial genome
243		assemblies with multiplex MinION sequencing. <i>Microb Genom</i> , 3(10), e000132.
244		https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000132
245	14.	Yang, L., Haidar, G., Zia, H., Nettles, R., Qin, S., Wang, X., Shah, F., Rapport, S. F., Charalampous,
246		T., Methe, B., Fitch, A., Morris, A., McVerry, B. J., O'Grady, J., & Kitsios, G. D. (2019).
247		Metagenomic identification of severe pneumonia pathogens in mechanically-ventilated
248		patients: a feasibility and clinical validity study. Respir Res, 20(1), 265.
249		https://doi.org/10.1186/s12931-019-1218-4
250		
251		

253 Supplement B: Other UltraSEQ Services

254 Other Context Services and Subservices. Other services include a Genetic Engineering

(GE) Service and a Classifier Service. The GE Service enables prediction of GE indicators,

- including microservices for detection of GE proteins, GE signatures, codon optimization, and
- codon re-coding. The Classifier Service includes artificial intelligence (AI) models to make
- alignment-free predictions on amino acid sequences; the output is the probability that the input
- is associated with a subset of threat metadata categories (the coarse functional categories)
 described in Gemler et al. (Gemler et al., 2022). Information from the context services are
- passed to the Prediction Services and Flagging System (Rules Engine) as described below.

Region-based Taxonomy Prediction Subservice. For other applications (such as forensic 262 applications), sequence and region-level taxonomic information can be useful. For this 263 prediction, a conservative and information-based approach is used that takes into consideration 264 265 strength of alignments, the number of times a TaxID appears across alignments, and the taxonomic depth (species, genus, etc.). For this prediction, the TaxID frequency that each taxID 266 267 appears across the top alignments is calculated, and TaxID Depth is assigned as follows: 100 for species and below, 75 for genus, 50 for family, 30 for order, 20 for class, 15 for phylum, and 268 10 for domain and above. A normalized TaxID Depth is then calculated in the same manner as 269 270 the normalization defined in Equation 1 (Supplemental Material A). The TaxID score for each TaxID is then calculated according to Eq 2. 271

272 Equation 2

 $TaxID \ Score = \sqrt{w_d \ (Normalized \ TaxID \ Depth)^2 + w_f (TaxID \ Frequency)^2}$

Where default weight values: $w_d = 2.0$ and $w_f = 1.0$ are used (optimized weights based on 274 test/validation datasets, not shown). The final taxonomy predictions are then based on a 2-D K-275 276 means clustering for the alignment confidence and TaxID score data. The TaxIDs in the top cluster are considered the final predictions using the same K-means clustering methods as 277 278 described above (the rest of the TaxID predictions are discarded. Further, the confidence 279 associated with each TaxID prediction is reported as follows: taxonomy evidence is gathered for the region from the alignments and the alignment scores (percent identity x percent region 280 281 coverage) are normalized by the max heuristic value (100 in the case of 100% identity over 282 100% of the region). For each TaxID identified, this normalized score is considered the final 283 confidence score associated with each TaxID.

Region-based Function Prediction Subservice. For each region, the function (gene ontology terms) is calculated in a similar manner. Specifically, 1) function evidence is gathered for each Region and alignment scores are normalized, 2) a 1-D K-means clustering is used for the alignment confidence; the GO Term sets in the top cluster are selected, and 3) the final function prediction confidence is calculated by averaging the alignment confidence values across the members in the top cluster.

Region-based Threat Prediction Subservice. For each region, the threat metadata
 associated with that region (damage, antibiotic resistance, adherence, etc. as defined in

- 292 (Gemler et al., 2022)) is tabulating the SoC alignment scores (percent identity x region
- coverage) associated with threat metadata category, clustering the scores using K-means,
- down selecting to only the top cluster, adding the alignment scores to 1/100th of the AI model,
- then dividing by the maximum possible score.
- 296

297 References for Supplemental Section B

Gemler, B. T., C., M., A., H. C., D., H., Z., S., J., H. L., O., T., & C., B. (2022). Function-based
 Classification of Hazardous Biological Sequences: Demonstration of a New Paradigm for
 Biohazard Assessments (Submitted for Publication).

301

303 Supplement E - UltraSEQ Rules Engine Logic

Bin	Disease Diagnosis Confidence	C	Qualifications
Bin 1A	Highest Confidence	1.	SoC Filter* is True AND
	Agent	2.	SoC agent is a pathogen that infects human host
			AND
		3.	Relative abundance filters (based on predicted
			reads): 1%-5% for bacteria**; > 1%-2%** for
			fungi; >1% for other (protozoa, etc.) AND
		4.	SoC agent is contained in Battelle's human
			respiratory pathogen list (for respiratory
			datasets) or encephalitis/ meningitis pathogen
		5.	list (for encephalitis datasets) AND***
		5.	At least one SoC with the Active, Damage, Apoptosis, Inhibits, or Transmission threat
			category from the agent was used by UltraSEQ's
			metagenomics module for agent prediction
Bin 1B	High Confidence	1.	SoC Filter* is False AND Conditions 2,3,4, and 5
	Agent		above met
Bin 2	Medium Confidence	1.	Condition 1A and Condition1B = FALSE AND
	Respiratory Agent		Conditions 2 and 3 above met AND
		2.	No SoCs identified from the above categories for
			that agent
Bin 3	Lowest Confidence	1.	Condition 1A and Condition1B = FALSE AND
	Respiratory Agent		Conditions 2 and 3 above met AND
		2.	There are SoCs from the above categories for
			that agent, however none are found [Note: other
			SoCs may be identified such as antibiotic
			resistant SoCs and adherence SoCs]

* SoC Filter is a condition that is true when the UltraSEQ metagenomics service uses a
 UniRef100 cluster containing a SoC to trigger the taxonomy prediction.

^{**} For bacteria, a 5% threshold was used for the de Vries et al., PRJNA516289, Hasan et al.,

PRJEB7888, PRJEB13360; a 1% bacteria filter was used for all other datasets; for fungi, a 2%
filter was used PRJNA516582; a 1% fungi filter was used for all other datasets

309 *** At the time of this manuscript, Battelle's SoC database contained ~2,200 human pathogen

species, ~150 of which are curated as potential contaminants (either from reagents used during

311 sequencing and/or due to the biological sample such as normal skin flora; all of these

annotations are provided in the sample report. Of the human pathogens, ~250 are contained

within the encephalitis/ meningitis list and ~250 are contained within the respiratory list.

314

316 Supplement F - Supplemental Results

317 Encephalitis / meningitis

318 PRJNA516289 (Miller et al. (Miller et al., 2019)).

319

Table F1. UltraSEQ Results for Miller Dataset

Result	Parasites	6	Fungi		Bacteria		DNA Viru	ises	RNA Viru	ises
	UltraSE	Mille	UltraSE	Mille	UltraSE	Mill	UltraSE	Mille	UltraSE	Mille
	Q	r	Q	r	Q	er	Q	r	Q	r
TP	1	1	10	9	6	5	23	25	10	11
FP	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
FN	0	0	0	1	1	2	5	3	3	2
TN	4	4	38	38	51	50	16	16	5	5
PPA	100%	100	100%	90%	86%	71	82%	89%	77%	85%
		%				%				
NPA	100%	100	100%	100	100%	98	100%	100	100%	100
		%		%		%		%		%
Accura	100%	100	100%	98%	98%	95	87%	93%	83%	89%
су		%				%				

320 * As noted by Miller et al., the "truth" was considered the initial clinical result unless a confirmatory test was run (i.e., if

a confirmatory test was run by Miller et al., the truth was considered to be the confirmatory test). For both SURPI and

322 UltraSEQ, RNA viruses were reported using sequences derived from the RNA libraries, whereas all other organisms

323 results were based on sequences from the DNA libraries.

324

325 PRJNA516582 (Saha et al. (Saha et al., 2019)).

326

Table F2: Summary of Results for Saha and UltraSEQ

		Saha I	Results		UltraSEQ Results					
Resul t	All sample s	Culture Only	All confirme d Cases	CHIKV cases	All sample s	Culture Only	All confirmed Cases	CHIK V cases		
TP	52	7	24	17	53	7	25	17		
FP	NR*	NR*	NR*	NR*	0	0	0	0		
FN	12	1	12	0	11	1	11	0		
ΤN	29	N/A	N/A	N/A	29	N/A	N/A	N/A		
PPA	81%	88%	67%	100%	83%	88%	72%	100%		
NPA	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A		
ACC	87%	88%	67%	100%	88%	88%	72%	100%		

327

* NR= not reported; N/A=not applicable (i.e., could not be calculated)

^{**} As detailed in the methods, UltraSEQ identified *E. coli* in nearly every sample despite the fact

that *E. coli* was only identified by clinical tests in 2 samples. By using the UltraSEQ logic as

defined in the Methods section without any background sample subtraction (as required by

331 Saha), UltraSEQ was able to remove all *E. coli* false positives.

333 'CSF_metagenomics' from idseq.net (Hasan et al. (Hasan et al., 2020)).

Table F3: Table of Species Identified by UltraSEQ for Sample CW322

Taxonomy Name	TaxID	NCBI TaxID Rank	Туре	Confidenc e	Relative Abundance TaxID + Children (vs PREDICTED ONLY reads)
Neisseria	487	species	Bacteri	99.4	99.96
meningitidis			а		
Human	10310	species	Virus	99.0	0.0025
alphaherpesvirus 2					

	/322 ∽ ple Details						a	Share	(4)	Download V	
et	agenomic										
Та	xon name Q Name Type: Scientific V Bac	kground: CSF_Meta	agenomics_B0	i 🗸 Categ	ories: 3 🗸	Thresho	ld filters 🗸	Read Spe	cificity: All	~	
Bac	teria ≍ Viruses ≭ Phage ≍										
78 r	ows passing the above filters, out of 208 total rows. Clear All Filters									₿	P
>	Taxon ~	Score ∨	Z Sco 🗸	rPM ~	r 🗸	contig ∨	contig r ∨	%id ∨	Lv	E value \vee	NT NR
>	Neisseria (22 bacterial species: • 2)	158,368,898	99.0 99.0	8,437.2 8,276.6	128,225 125,784	1,227 1,226	119,585 119,545	99.1 98.9	2,851.3 375.8	10 ⁻²⁹² 10 ⁻²⁵⁹	
>	Cutibacterium (2 bacterial species)	-0	-0.2 - 0.2	4.1 2.7	62 41	0 0	0 0	99.5 99.9	155.3 54.7	10 ⁻⁹⁵ 10 ⁻²⁹⁷	
>	Morococcus (1 bacterial species)	26,320	0.0 100.0	0.0 2.6	0 40	0 1	0 40	0.0 97.5	0.0 157.0	0 10 ⁻¹⁰⁵	
>	Escherichia (1 bacterial species: •1)	-0	-0.2 -0.2	2.0 1.9	30 29	0 0	0 0	99.9 98.9	306.3 91.2	10 ⁻²⁰⁸ 10 ⁻²¹¹	
>	Pseudomonas (14 bacterial species)	3	-0.2 - 0.2	1.1 1.1	16 16	0 0	0 0	99.4 100.0	186.7 63.8	10 ⁻¹²¹ 10 ⁻²⁷⁹	
>	Staphylococcus (4 bacterial species: • 1)	0	-0.2 - 0.2	0.8 1.0	12 15	0 1	0 4	99.9 99.8	148.2 76.7	10 ⁻⁸⁹ 10 ⁻²⁵²	
>	all taxa with neither family nor genus classification (7 species)	6	-0.2 -0.2	0.7 0.9	10 14	2 0	8 0	100.0 88.8	423.7 87.9	10 ⁻²⁷⁷ 10 ⁻²⁰⁵	
>	Clostridioides (1 bacterial species: •1)	2,133	-100.0 52.0	0.0 0.8	0 12	0 0	0 0	0.0 96.2	0.0 36.8	0 10 ⁻³⁰⁸	
>	Propionibacterium (6 bacterial species)	0	-0.2 -0.2	0.3 0.5	4 7	0 0	0 0	99.9 100.0	202.0 51.9	10 ⁻¹²⁶ 10 ⁻³⁰⁸	
~	Simplexvirus (1 viral species: •1)	2,916	10.8 100.0	0.3 0.3	4 4	0 0	0 0	99.8 99.7	216.0 64.0	10 ⁻¹³⁵ 10⁻³⁰⁸	
	Human alphaherpesvirus 2 Known Pathogen	2,916	100.0 100.0	0.3 0.3	4 4	0 0	0 0	99.8 99.7	216.0 64.0	10 ⁻¹³⁵ 10⁻³⁰⁸	
>	Mycoplasma (2 bacterial species)	61	-0.2 15.2	0.1 0.3	2 4	0 1	0 4	95.8 98.5	24.0 66.0	10 ⁻³ 10 ⁻⁴⁰	
>	Francisella (1 bacterial species)	-0	-100.0 -0.2	0.0 0.3	0 4	0 0	0 0	0.0 100.0	0.0 33.0	0 10 ⁻³⁰⁸	
>	Zhizhongheella (1 bocterial species)	0	0.0 0.4	0.0 0.1	0	0 0	0	0.0 90.5	0.0 47.0	0 10 ⁻³⁰⁸	

Figure F1: CW322 Results Showing Bacteria and Viruses Identified by IdSeq (Note that several
 more rows of genera were identified and not shown here).

stagenomic Pipeline v3.6, NT/NR: 2018-12-01 processed 3 years ago							Share		Download 🗸)(?)
W322 ~							o share		Download V	\mathcal{O}
mple Details										
etagenomic										
Taxon name Q Name Type: Scientific V	Background: CSF_Met	agenomics_BG	i 🗸 🔍 Categ	ories: 3 🗸	Thresho	ld filters 🗸	Read Spe	ecificity: All	~	
acteria % Viruses % Phage %										
8 rows passing the above filters, out of 208 total rows. Clear All Filte	rs								_	
									⊞	4
> Taxon ~	Score ∨	Z Sco 🗸	rPM 🗸	r v	contig ∨	contig r ∨	%id ∽	Lv	E value \vee	NT NR
 Neisseria (22 bacterial species: • 2) 	158,368,898	99.0 99.0	8,437.2 8,276.6	128,225 125,784	1,227 1,226	119,585 119,545	99.1 98.9	2,851.3 375.8	10 ⁻²⁹² 10 ⁻²⁵⁹	
Noissona maniagitidis	0 450 360 000	99.0	8,356.9	127,004	1,215	118,662	99.2	2,862.5	10-292	
Neisseria meningitidis Known Pathogen	158,368,898	99.0	7,801.5	118,564	1,160	112,796	99.1	376.0	10 ⁻²⁵⁹	
Neisseria gonorrhoeae Known Pathogen	2,156,574	99.0 99.0	38.4 181.7	583 2,761	4 28	382 2,456	98.4 94.6	1,711.1 361.6	10 ⁻²²⁵ 10 ⁻²⁶²	
Neisseria lactamica	1,046,784	99.0 100.0	13.7 92.2	208 1,401	3 11	142 1,292	97.0 95.4	799.8 434.5	10 ⁻²³⁶ 10 ⁻²⁷⁴	
Neisseria polysaccharea	837,078	100.0 100.0	11.4 73.2	173 1,112	3 10	153 1,102	97.2 96.4	970.1 336.2	10 ⁻²⁸³ 10 ⁻²⁵⁶	
Neisseria cinerea	527,099	100.0 99.0	2.1 51.7	32 785	1	28 774	98.2 94.1	541.5 417.6	10 ⁻²⁷⁶ 10⁻³⁰⁸	
		0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	417.0	0	
Neisseria flavescens	255,384	99.0	26.1	396	4	390	95.5	355.5	10 ⁻²⁶⁰	
Neisseria mucosa	232,558	-100.0 100.0	0.0 23.5	0 357	0 1	0 362	0.0 96.4	0.0 444.0	0 10 ⁻³⁰⁸	
Neisseria sp. HMSC070F02	84,685	0.0 100.0	0.0 8.6	0 130	0 1	0 124	0.0 97.2	0.0 117.8	0 10 ^{.90}	
Neisseria macacae	78,171	0.0 100.0	0.0 7.9	0 120	0 2	0 116	0.0 94.3	0.0 251.9	0 10 ⁻²³³	
Neisseria subflava	45,789	-100.0 99.0	0.0 4.7	0 71	0	0 68	0.0 99.1	0.0 380.0	0 10 ⁻³⁰⁸	
		0.0	4.7	0	0	00	0.0	0.0	0	
Neisseria sp. HMSC06F02	26,708	100.0	2.7	41	1	37	91.4	83.0	10 ^{.76}	
Neisseria bergeri	11,608	0.0 99.0	0.0 1.2	0 18	0 1	0 14	0.0 95.9	0.0 91.1	0 10 ⁻⁹⁸	
		0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	

Figure F2: CW322 Results Showing all Neisseria Species Identified (Note that several more rows of species were identified and not shown here).

- **Respiratory disease: Influenza**
- **PRJEB7888 (Fischer et al. (Fischer et al., 2015)).**

Table F4: Summary of Results for Fischer and UltraSEQ

Pipeline	ТР	FN	TN	FP	PPA	NPA	Accuracy
Explify	16	3	5	0	84%	100%	83%
Fisher	15	4	5	0	79%	100%	88%

UltraSE	14	5	5	0	74%	100%	79%
Q							

Respiratory disease: ventilator associated pneumonia (VAP)

PRJNA554856 (Watts et al. (Watts et al., 2019)).

 Table F5:
 AbR Report for SRR9693434 (Patient 2, Day 1)

fluoroquinolone antibiotic (ARO:0000001)	['major facilitator superfamily (MFS) antibiotic efflux pump (ARO:0010002)', 'Staphylococcus aureus norA', ['ARO:3004667'], 31, 0.016, '0'] ['major facilitator superfamily (MFS) antibiotic efflux pump (ARO:0010002)', 'Staphylococcus aureus norA', ['ARO:3004667'], 31, 0.016, '0'] ['major facilitator superfamily (MFS) antibiotic efflux pump (ARO:0010002)', 'Staphylococcus aureus norA', ['ARO:3004667'], 31, 0.016, '0'] ['major facilitator superfamily (MFS) antibiotic efflux pump (ARO:0010002)', 'Staphylococcus aureus norA', ['ARO:3004667'], 31, 0.016, '0']	ciprofloxacin (ARO:0000036) enoxacin (ARO:0000023) ofloxacin (ARO:3000663) norfloxacin (ARO:3000662)	['Staphylococcus aureus norA', ['ARO:3004667'], 31, 0.016, '0']	['major facilitator superfamily (MFS) antibiotic efflux pump (ARO:0010002)', 'Staphylococcus aureus norA', ['ARO:3004667'], 31, 0.016, '0']
phosphonic acid antibiotic (ARO:0000025)	['fosfomycin thiol transferase (ARO:3000133)', 'FosD', ['ARO:3004674'], 1, 0.001, '0']			

glycylcycline (ARO:0000042)	['mepA', ['ARO:3000026'], 44, 0.023, '0']	['multidrug and toxic compound extrusion (MATE) transporter (ARO:3000112)', 'mepA', ['ARO:3000026'], 44, 0.023, '0']	tigecycline (ARO:0000030)	['mepA', ['ARO:3000026'], 44, 0.023, '0']	['multidrug and toxic compound extrusion (MATE) transporter (ARO:3000112)', 'mepA', ['ARO:3000026'], 44, 0.023, '0']
lincosamide antibiotic (ARO:0000017)		['ABC-F ATP-binding cassette ribosomal protection protein (ARO:3004469)', 'poxtA', ['ARO:3004470'], 6, 0.003, '0'] ['ABC-F ATP-binding cassette ribosomal protection protein (ARO:3004469)', 'vgaA', ['ARO:3002829'], 1, 0.001, '0'] ['ABC-F ATP-binding cassette ribosomal protection protein (ARO:3004469)', 'vgaC', ['ARO:3002831'], 1, 0.001, '0']			
macrolide antibiotic (ARO:0000000)		['macrolide phosphotransferase (MPH) (ARO:3000333)', 'mphC', ['ARO:3000319'], 72, 0.037, '0'] ['ABC-F ATP-binding cassette ribosomal protection protein (ARO:3004469)', 'poxtA', ['ARO:3004469)', 'poxtA', ['ABC-F ATP-binding cassette ribosomal protection protein (ARO:3004469)', 'vgaA', ['ARO:3002829'], 1, 0.001, '0'] ['ABC-F ATP-binding cassette ribosomal protection protein (ARO:3004469)', 'vgaC', ['ARO:3002831'], 1, 0.001, '0']	spiramycin (ARO:3000156) clarithromycin (ARO:0000065) roxithromycin (ARO:0000027) tylosin (ARO:3000145) oleandomycin (ARO:3000867) azithromycin (ARO:3000158) erythromycin (ARO:0000006) dirithromycin (ARO:3000176) telithromycin (ARO:0000057)	['mphC', ['ARO:3000319'], 72, 0.037, '0']	['macrolide phosphotransferase (MPH) (ARO:3000333)', 'mphC', ['ARO:3000319'], 72, 0.037, '0']
mupirocin (ARO:3000554)		['antibiotic-resistant isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase (ileS) (ARO:3000446)', 'mupA', ['ARO:3000521'], 10, 0.005, '1'] ['antibiotic-resistant isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase (ileS) (ARO:3000446)', 'mupB',	mupirocin (ARO:3000554)	['mupA', ['ARO:3000521'], 10, 0.005, '1'] ['mupB', ['ARO:3000510'], 2, 0.001, '1']	['antibiotic-resistant isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase (ileS) (ARO:3000446)', 'mupA', ['ARO:3000521'], 10, 0.005, '1'] ['antibiotic-resistant isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase (ileS) (ARO:3000446)',

	['ARO:3000510'], 2, 0.001, '1']			ʻmupB', [ʻARO:3000510'], 2, 0.001, ʻ1']
oxazolidinone antibiotic (ARO:3000079)	['ABC-F ATP-binding cassette ribosomal protection protein (ARO:3004469)', 'poxtA', ['ARO:3004470'], 6, 0.003, '0'] ['ABC-F ATP-binding cassette ribosomal protection protein (ARO:3004469)', 'vgaA', ['ARO:3002829'], 1, 0.001, '0'] ['ABC-F ATP-binding cassette ribosomal protection protein (ARO:3004469)', 'vgaC', ['ARO:3002831'], 1, 0.001, '0']	linezolid (ARO:0000072)	['poxtA', ['ARO:3004470'], 6, 0.003, '0']	['ABC-F ATP- binding cassette ribosomal protection protein (ARO:3004469)', 'poxtA', ['ARO:3004470'], 6, 0.003, '0']
penam (ARO:3000008)	['methicillin resistant PBP2 (ARO:3001208)', 'mecA', ['ARO:3000617'], 35, 0.018, '0']	methicillin (ARO:0000015)	['mecA', ['ARO:3000617'], 35, 0.018, '0']	['methicillin resistant PBP2 (ARO:3001208)', 'mecA', ['ARO:3000617'], 35, 0.018, '0']
phenicol antibiotic (ARO:3000387)	['ABC-F ATP-binding cassette ribosomal protection protein (ARO:3004469)', 'poxtA', ['ARO:3004470'], 6, 0.003, '0'] ['ABC-F ATP-binding cassette ribosomal protection protein (ARO:3004469)', 'vgaA', ['ARO:3002829'], 1, 0.001, '0'] ['ABC-F ATP-binding cassette ribosomal protection protein (ARO:3004469)', 'vgaC', ['ARO:3002831'], 1, 0.001, '0']	chloramphenicol (ARO:3000385) florfenicol (ARO:3000461)	['poxtA', ['ARO:3004470'], 6, 0.003, '0']	['ABC-F ATP- binding cassette ribosomal protection protein (ARO:3004469)', 'poxtA', ['ARO:3004470'], 6, 0.003, '0']

PRJNA554461 (Yang et al. (Yang et al., 2019)).

Table F6. Comparison of UltraSEQ and WIMP Results for the Yang et al. (PRJNA554461) VAP
 Dataset

Platform	TPs	FNs	TNs	FPs	PPA	NPA	Accuracy

					[TP / (TP+FN)]	[TN / (TN +FP)]	
WIMP (Author)	10	2	6	7	83%	46%	64%
UltraSEQ	9	3	5	3	75%	63%	70%

 Table F7. UltraSEQ's Antibiotic Genotype Profiles Agree with Phenotypic Profiles.

Case	AbR profile by culture*	UltraSEQ AbR summary for Identified Pathogen w/ # reads** Drug class Antibiotic Cephalosporin (beta lactam): 2 reads	Author results
1	R: ticarcillin/ clavulanic acid R: ceftazidime I: levofloxacin NT: Tetracycline	Note: Others identified as well; fluoroquinolone identified in agent agnostic report	blaTEM-4,blaTEM- 112, blaTEM-157, blaACT-5, oqxB, tetC
2	R: methicillin R: erythromycin, clindamycin	Penam (beta lactam): 2 reads methicillin: 10 reads Macrolide: Roxithromycin, oleandomycin, telithromycin, spiramycin, azithromycin, clarithromycin, erythromycin, tylosin, dirithromycin: 17 reads lincosamide: clindamycin, lincomycin: 17 reads <i>Note: several others identified as well</i>	mecA ermA, erm tet38,ant(4')-lb, tetC, blaTEM-4
3	I: tetracycline	Tetracycline: Tetracycline: 3 reads Tigecycline: 3 reads No Methicillin resistance identified Note: several others identified as well but all 2 reads or less; included all strains of S. aureus identified	tetK, tet38, tetQ
4	R: tetracycline R: Trimethoprim- sulfamethoxazole R: ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin	Tetracycline: Tetracycline: 14 reads Minocycline, demeclocycline, oxytetracycline, chlortetracycline, doxycycline: 4 reads Diaminopyrimidine: Trimethoprim: 1 read	tetX sul1 dfrA acrF, pare, mdf mphA, aadA5, vgaC, blaACT-5, blaACT-14, mefA, mel

		Note: Aminoglycosides and macrolides identified as well (>10 reads each); fluoroquinolone identified in agent agnostic report	
5	S: all tested agents	None No Methicillin resistance identified	None
6	S: all tested agents	<i>Note: 7 classes identified, all with 2 reads</i> <i>or less</i> No Methicillin resistance identified	Tet38, blaTEM4
7	S: all tested agents	N/A	None
8	NT	<i>Note: 56 reads to drug efflux protein conferring resistance to multiple drug classes</i>	tetM, isaC, sul1, tetQ, mphA, aadA5

^{*} R=resistant, I=intermediate, S=Susceptible, NT=Not tested; N/A = not applicable

^{**} Only appropriate true positives and true negatives are listed (full AbR phenotype is unknown).

Results in green font indicate that for the identified pathogen, UltraSEQ identified the same

antibiotic or class as the phenotype data; those in blue denote that UltraSEQ identified a closely

related class; those in orange indicate that that the antibiotic was only identified in the agent

368 agnostic report; those in *italics* were not phenotypically tested.

369

370 Illumina RNASeq Dataset: Respiratory viruses

371 **PRJEB13360 (Graf, Flygare (Flygare et al., 2016; Graf et al., 2016)).** Detailed results are

provided in **Supplement D – Supplemental_File_Scores.xlsx.**

373 Illumina RNASeq Dataset: nasopharyngeal swabs for SARS-CoV-2 diagnosis

374 PRJNA634356 (Babiker et al (Babiker et al., 2020)).

375

376 **Table F8**. Comparison of UltraSEQ Results to Babiker et al. (KrakenUniq) and Explify

Platform	TPs	FNs	TNs	FPs	PPA [TP / (TP+FN)]	NPA [TN / (TN +FP)]	Accuracy
Author	26	1	17	1	96%	94%	96%
(KrakenUniq)							
Explify	20	3	16	0	86%	100%	93%
UltraSEQ	27	0	16	2	100%	89%	96%

377

378 **Mixed:**

379 *de Vries et al. Dataset.* (https://veb.lumc.nl/CliniMG)

Table F9. UltraSEQ Results for the de Vries Dataset Compared to Other Pipelines as Reported in (de Vries et al., 2021)

Pipeline	Positive predictive value (PPV) [%]*	PPA (Sensitivity) [%]*
UltraSEQ	100	92
Centrifuge	100	92
DAMIAN	100	77
DIAMOND	93	85
DNAstar	71	100
FEVIR	88	100
Genome Detective	100	85
Jovian	100	77
MetaMIC	100	77
metaMix	100	100
One Codex	100	77
RIEMS	81	85
Taxonomer	100	85
VirMet	93	92

^{*} PPV and PPA for UltraSEQ results were determined as described in the Methods Section.

PPA and PPV for all other datasets determined as reported in Supplemental Table 2 and 4,
 respectively in (de Vries et al., 2021).

385

386 Mixed Illumina RNASeq Dataset: In-house COVID-19 Saliva Study

387 Battelle (PRJNA856680).

388 Detailed results provided in Supplement D – Supplemental_File_Scores.xlsx.

390 References for Supplemental Section F

- Babiker, A., Bradley, H. L., Stittleburg, V. D., Ingersoll, J. M., Key, A., Kraft, C. S., Waggoner, J. J., &
 Piantadosi, A. (2020). Metagenomic Sequencing To Detect Respiratory Viruses in Persons under
 Investigation for COVID-19. *J Clin Microbiol*, *59*(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02142-20</u>
- de Vries, J. J. C., Brown, J. R., Fischer, N., Sidorov, I. A., Morfopoulou, S., Huang, J., Munnink, B.
 B. O., Sayiner, A., Bulgurcu, A., Rodriguez, C., Gricourt, G., Keyaerts, E., Beller, L., Bachofen, C.,
 Kubacki, J., Samuel, C., Florian, L., Dennis, S., Beer, M., . . . Claas, E. C. J. (2021). Benchmark of
 thirteen bioinformatic pipelines for metagenomic virus diagnostics using datasets from clinical
 samples. J Clin Virol, 141, 104908. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2021.104908
- Fischer, N., Indenbirken, D., Meyer, T., Lutgehetmann, M., Lellek, H., Spohn, M., Aepfelbacher,
 M., Alawi, M., & Grundhoff, A. (2015). Evaluation of Unbiased Next-Generation Sequencing of
 RNA (RNA-seq) as a Diagnostic Method in Influenza Virus-Positive Respiratory Samples. *J Clin Microbiol*, 53(7), 2238-2250. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02495-14</u>
- Flygare, S., Simmon, K., Miller, C., Qiao, Y., Kennedy, B., Di Sera, T., Graf, E. H., Tardif, K. D.,
 Kapusta, A., Rynearson, S., Stockmann, C., Queen, K., Tong, S., Voelkerding, K. V., Blaschke, A.,
 Byington, C. L., Jain, S., Pavia, A., Ampofo, K., . . . Schlaberg, R. (2016). Taxonomer: an interactive
 metagenomics analysis portal for universal pathogen detection and host mRNA expression
 profiling. *Genome Biol*, *17*(1), 111. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-0969-1</u>
- 408 5. Graf, E. H., Simmon, K. E., Tardif, K. D., Hymas, W., Flygare, S., Eilbeck, K., Yandell, M., &
 409 Schlaberg, R. (2016). Unbiased Detection of Respiratory Viruses by Use of RNA Sequencing410 Based Metagenomics: a Systematic Comparison to a Commercial PCR Panel. *J Clin Microbiol*,
 411 54(4), 1000-1007. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.03060-15</u>
- 412 6. Hasan, M. R., Sundararaju, S., Tang, P., Tsui, K. M., Lopez, A. P., Janahi, M., Tan, R., & Tilley, P.
 413 (2020). A metagenomics-based diagnostic approach for central nervous system infections in
 414 hospital acute care setting. *Sci Rep*, *10*(1), 11194. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-68159-z</u>
- Miller, S., Naccache, S. N., Samayoa, E., Messacar, K., Arevalo, S., Federman, S., Stryke, D., Pham,
 E., Fung, B., Bolosky, W. J., Ingebrigtsen, D., Lorizio, W., Paff, S. M., Leake, J. A., Pesano, R.,
 DeBiasi, R., Dominguez, S., & Chiu, C. Y. (2019). Laboratory validation of a clinical metagenomic
 sequencing assay for pathogen detection in cerebrospinal fluid. *Genome Res*, 29(5), 831-842.
 https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.238170.118
- Saha, S., Ramesh, A., Kalantar, K., Malaker, R., Hasanuzzaman, M., Khan, L. M., Mayday, M. Y.,
 Sajib, M. S. I., Li, L. M., Langelier, C., Rahman, H., Crawford, E. D., Tato, C. M., Islam, M., Juan, Y.
 F., de Bourcy, C., Dimitrov, B., Wang, J., Tang, J., . . . DeRisi, J. L. (2019). Unbiased Metagenomic
 Sequencing for Pediatric Meningitis in Bangladesh Reveals Neuroinvasive Chikungunya Virus
 Outbreak and Other Unrealized Pathogens. *mBio*, *10*(6). https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02877-19
- Watts, G. S., Thornton, J. E., Jr., Youens-Clark, K., Ponsero, A. J., Slepian, M. J., Menashi, E., Hu,
 C., Deng, W., Armstrong, D. G., Reed, S., Cranmer, L. D., & Hurwitz, B. L. (2019). Identification
 and quantitation of clinically relevant microbes in patient samples: Comparison of three k-mer
 based classifiers for speed, accuracy, and sensitivity. *PLoS Comput Biol*, *15*(11), e1006863.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006863
- Yang, L., Haidar, G., Zia, H., Nettles, R., Qin, S., Wang, X., Shah, F., Rapport, S. F., Charalampous,
 T., Methe, B., Fitch, A., Morris, A., McVerry, B. J., O'Grady, J., & Kitsios, G. D. (2019).
 Metagenomic identification of severe pneumonia pathogens in mechanically-ventilated
 patients: a feasibility and clinical validity study. *Respir Res, 20*(1), 265.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12931-019-1218-4
- 435