## **1** Supplementary Materials

2 3

# Genomic epidemiology of *Mycobacterium bovis* infection in sympatric badger and cattle populations in Northern Ireland.

- 6 Assel Akhmetova<sup>1</sup>, Jimena Guerrero<sup>2</sup>, Paul McAdam<sup>3</sup>, Liliana C.M. Salvador<sup>4</sup>, Joseph Crispell<sup>5</sup>,
- 7 John Lavery<sup>6</sup>, Eleanor Presho<sup>7</sup>, Rowland R. Kao<sup>8</sup>, Roman Biek<sup>1</sup>, Fraser Menzies<sup>9</sup>, Nigel Trimble<sup>9</sup>,
- 8 Roland Harwood<sup>9</sup>, P. Theo Pepler<sup>1</sup>, Katarina Oravcova<sup>1</sup>, Jordon Graham<sup>10</sup>, Robin Skuce<sup>7</sup>, Louis
- 9 du Plessis <sup>11,12</sup>, Suzan Thompson<sup>7</sup>, Lorraine Wright<sup>7</sup>, Andrew W. Byrne <sup>7,13</sup>, Adrian R. Allen<sup>7</sup>.
- 10 1 University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK.
- 11 2 Centro de Investigacion en Alimentacion y Desarrollo A.C., Hermosillo, Sonora, Mexico
- 12 3 Fios Genomics, Edinburgh, UK.
- 13 4 Department of Infectious Diseases, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Georgia,
- 14 Athens, GA, USA.
- 15 5 Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office, Glasgow, UK.
- 16 6 Department for the Economy, Belfast, UK.
- 17 7 Agrifood and Biosciences Institute, Belfast, UK.
- 18 8 University of Edinburgh, Roslin Institute, Edinburgh, UK.
- 19 9 Department of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs (DAERA), Belfast, UK.
- 20 10 Farmvet Systems Ltd, Moneymore, UK.
- 21 11 Dept. Biosystems Science and Engineering, ETH Zurich, Basel, Switzerland.
- 22 12 Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Lausanne, Switzerland.
- 23 13 Department of Agriculture Food and the Marine (DAFM), Dublin, Ireland.
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- <u>э</u>т
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36 37

38 39

# 42 Supplementary Tables

43

Year	N	Mean Na	Mean He	Mean Ho	Mean Fis	Mean AR
2014	273	4.9	0.52	0.49	0.06	4.77
2015	152	5.0	0.51	0.49	0.04	4.81
2016	97	4.6	0.52	0.50	0.04	4.53
2017	113	5.1	0.52	0.48	0.09	5.05
2018	134	4.8	0.51	0.49	0.04	4.73
ALL YEARS	769	4.9	0.52	0.49	0.05	4.78

44 **Table S1** – Badger meta population genetic summary statistics averaged across 14

45 microsatellite loci. N = no. of animals genotyped successfully; Na = no. of alleles observed

46 per locus; He = expected heterozygosity; Ho = observed heterozygosity; Fis = fixation index

47 (level of inbreeding per locus); AR = Allelic richness.

48

	tMRCA (yrs before 2017)	95% HPD
Skyline strict clock	32.4	31.0-36.8
Skyline relaxed clock	32.3	31.0-36.8
Simple coalescent strict	41.9	33.3-52.8
clock		
Simple coalescent relaxed	45.7	32.0-72.3
clock		

49 **Table S2 –** Endemic clade time to most recent common ancestor (tMRCA) for strict and

relaxed clock variants of the skyline and simple constant population coalescent phylogeneticmodels.

52

Model	Log Marginal Likelihood (ML)	SD	Bayes factor (BF)	$2\sqrt{SD_2^2+SD_1^2}$	Model comparison
Strict constant	-7266.61	5.13			Strict skyline
Strict skyline	-7258.94	5.13	7.67	14.51	Strict constant
Relaxed constant	-7745.35	5.1			Relaxed skyline
Relaxed skyline	-7696.13	4.75	49.22	13.94	Relaxed constant

53

54 **Table S3 –** Strict and relaxed clock, skyline and constant population model outputs. Log

55 Marginal Likelihoods and standard deviation (SD). Bayes factor (BF) = logML model 2 –

56 logML model1.  $2V(SD_{model2}^2+SD_{model1}^2)$  is calculated from the standard deviations of both

57 models being compared.

Provided it exceeds the figure calculated for  $2V(SD_{model2}^2+SD_{model1}^2)$ , BF of 3-20 is positive

59 support for 2<sup>nd</sup> model being superior & BF of 20-150 is strong support as per Kass and

60 Rafferty, 1995 [60].

#### 61 Supplementary Figures

62



- 64 **Supplementary Figure S1** A: Locations of 45 *M. bovis* culture positive badgers by year. B:
- 65 Maximum likelihood phylogeny of 45 *M. bovis* endemic lineage isolates from TB positive
- 66 badgers.



- Figure S2 Linear regressions of badger IBD relationships pairwise microsatellite / STR
   genetic distance vs Euclidean distance for all capture years.
- 70



71
 72 Supplementary Figure S3 – Sampling frequency across all years by host for endemic 6.263
 73 lineage.





79 time plot for the strict clock model.



Time
 Supplementary Figure S5 – Skyline effective population size of the endemic clade through
 time plot for the relaxed clock model.





- 91 Supplementary Figure S6 Transphylo medoid transmission tree of the endemic clade for
- 92 the strict clock model. Branch colour changes and red stars indicate inferred host change93 events.
- 94



96 Supplementary Figure S7 – Transphylo medoid transmission tree of the endemic clade for the relaxed clock model. Branch colour changes and red stars indicate inferred host change events.



108	Supplementary Figure S9 - Transphyle distribution of time from infection to transmission
109	(generation time) for <b>A</b> : strict clock model <b>B</b> : relaxed clock model
111	
112	
113	
114	
115	
116	
117	
118	
119	
120	
121	
122	
123	
124	
125	
126	
127	
128	
129	
130	
131	
132	
133	
134	
135	



**Supplementary Figure S9 –** Transphylo distribution of time from infection to detection for

- 139 A: strict clock model, B: relaxed clock model.



**Supplementary Figure S10** - Maximum likelihood phylogeny (53 SNPs) of non-endemic

- 150 lineage 20.131.
- 151
- 152



Supplementary Figure S11 – Maximum likelihood phylogeny (92 SNPs) of non-endemic
 lineage 4.140.

- 155 inteage 4...
- 150
- 157

## 159 Supplementary Text

160

#### 161 Utility of WGS for bovine TB surveillance.

162 Our data are consistent with the findings that WGS provides unparalleled resolution for 163 epidemiological investigations of zoonotic disease [1] – indexing additional pathogen 164 variation to stratify isolates that are homogeneous according to the classical tuberculosis 165 molecular epidemiological tools of spoligotyping and MLVA [2-3].

166

167 Regarding the latter tools, we find perfect congruence between spoligotype and MLVA data 168 and the basal nodes defining major lineages of the phylogeny for all isolates from the TVR 169 region (main text Figure 2). This concordance is a testament to the clonality of *M. bovis* [4-5] 170 and is indicative that existing databases of classical molecular markers, can be used to target 171 'hotspots' of persistent, endemic infection for closer investigation using WGS.

172

173 Contemporary transmission of slowly evolving pathogens, such as members of the 174 Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex (MTBC), is typically characterised by little within-clade 175 diversity and resulting reduced SNP distances / phylogenetic branch lengths between isolates 176 [6]. Previous studies have suggested various minimal SNP distance thresholds, for the 177 definition of contemporaneous, epidemiologically linked isolates. Five and twelve SNP 178 distances have been proposed to be consistent with such transmission clusters in M. 179 tuberculosis outbreaks in the United Kingdom [7], whilst ten SNP thresholds have been proposed in other studies with M. tuberculosis and M. bovis [8-12]. Meehan et al (2018) [6] 180 181 have observed that in *M. tuberculosis*, SNP distances between one and five can represent 182 transmission events up to 10 years apart. Given that MTBC evolution appears to consistently 183 involve relaxed molecular clock like behaviour across lineages [12-14], it is perhaps not 184 surprising that selecting a definitive threshold for contemporary transmission is difficult, and 185 as a result, those set can appear arbitrary. In addition to the issues with relative clock like 186 behaviour of different *M. bovis* lineages, intensity of sample collection and representation of 187 multiple time points can be crucial to establishing robust substitution rates [1]. The general 188 rule of thumb remains however - the shorter the SNP distance between isolates, the more 189 likely they are more closely epidemiologically linked. In this study, the lineage we know to be 190 endemic in the study area from years of MLVA surveillance, exhibits the shortest average 191 pairwise SNP distance between isolates (7.6 s.d.  $\pm 4.0 -$  see Table 1), which is likely indicative 192 of contemporary transmission in the region, and compares favourably to the thresholds 193 discussed above.

194

The advantage that WGS will provide in disease tracing compared to historical molecular epidemiology methods in the *M. bovis* epi-system, is that outbreaks can be traced back to higher resolution, WGS-defined lineages and sequence types, found in more precise locations than those defined by genetically homogeneous, MLVA home ranges that can cover substantial geographical areas [2-3]. A recent and pertinent example of this, is an outbreak from Cumbria in northwest England, which genome sequencing revealed was linked to the outbreak area under study here [15].

- 202
- 203

#### 204 Monitoring of non-endemic lineages

205 Sequence data are useful for identifying probable incursions of non-endemic disease lineages into new areas, and for potentially determining if the incursion results in contemporary 206 207 transmission and persistence. Intra-lineage, inter-isolate SNP distances greater than that 208 observed for the endemic 6.263 lineage, are possibly indicative of a lack of contemporary 209 transmission, likely associated with lineages which are non-endemic in the study region as per 210 previous observations from Northern Ireland wide molecular epidemiological surveillance [2-211 3]. This certainly appears to hold true for lineages 1.140, 2.142 and 3.140, which exhibit 212 average pairwise inter-isolate distances of between 17.6 and 21.6 SNPs. Lineages 5.140 and 213 19.140 are only represented by two isolates each, but for both lineages, inter-isolate 214 distances are again observed to be larger than the endemic lineage at 79 SNPs and 13 SNPs 215 respectively. Given this observation, and the fact that we know these five lineages are outside 216 of their MLVA defined home ranges [3], it seems probable that they could have arrived in the 217 study area through multiple, long distance, cattle movements. It is noteworthy, but 218 anecdotal, that these lineages are comprised solely of isolates from cattle, suggestive perhaps 219 that the lack of contemporary transmission for these incursive strains has resulted in no 220 infection reaching the wildlife population. However, with deficiencies in sampling, badger 221 'trappability' and TB test diagnostics as discussed in the supplementary materials, one cannot 222 be definitive that a non-endemic, visiting pathogen lineage has not established a focus of 223 infection in the study area. Only continued surveillance over a wider temporal window could 224 assess that. The establishment of long-term genome-based surveillance systems could in the 225 future help to inform on successful incursions (Gardy and Loman, 2018) [16].

226

227 The 20.131 lineage exhibits mean inter-isolate SNP distances which are comparable to that of 228 the endemic 6.263 lineage (main text Table 1). However, only four isolates of this lineage, 229 from two badgers (See Supplementary Figure S10), were found within the study area, with 230 the majority sampled from a neighbouring region in which this strain has a focus of infection. 231 The short inter-isolate distances observed are therefore more likely to be consistent with 232 contemporary transmission in the neighbouring region. It is noteworthy however that the 233 two badgers sampled for this lineage and found in the study zone were found in isolation, 234 with no associated, contemporary, study zone cattle isolates. It could be that badgers have 235 dispersed from the neighbouring region into the study zone, carrying infection with them, 236 which has yet to appear in the cattle population. However, it is also possible that an 237 undetected reservoir of cattle may have entered the study zone and transmitted infection to 238 local badgers. Alternatively, an undetected reservoir not picked up by sampling could be 239 residing within the zone. With so few isolates of the 20.131 lineage from within the study 240 area, and the previously mentioned biases in sampling, it is impossible to be definitive. Again, 241 detailed, longitudinal, genome facilitated surveillance would perhaps be able to inform more 242 fully on this incursive lineage in this region.

243

Interestingly, one of the historically non-endemic lineages (4.140) [3], does appear to be persisting in the TVR zone, with multiple badger-sourced isolates observed to exhibit shorter inter-isolate SNP distances (0-1) between each-other and cattle from the area (Supplementary Figure S11), consistent with more contemporary transmission events. The latter observation does highlight the usefulness of this WGS based approach for on-going surveillance, and for detecting incursions that establish new foci in new regions. It seems most probable from this case, that new foci of non-endemic lineages are introduced by cattle 251 movements, with subsequent spill-over to badgers. A similar chain of events has been 252 described for the RBCT area by van Tonder et al (2021) [17].

253

#### 254 **References**

<ol> <li>Kao RR, Price-Carter M, Robbe-Austerman S. Use of genomics to track bovine tuberculosis transmission. <i>Rev Sci Tech</i> 2016;35(1):241-258.</li> <li>Skuce RA, Mallon TR, McCormick C, McBride SH, Clarke G et al. <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> genotypes in Northern Ireland: herd-level surveilance (2003-2008). <i>Vet Rec</i> 2010;167(18):684-689</li> <li>Skuce R, Breadon E, Allen A, Milne G, McCormick C et al. Longitudinal dynamics of herd-level <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> MLVA type surveillance in cattle in Northern Ireland 2003-2016. <i>Infect Genet Evol</i>: 2020;79:104131.</li> <li>Smith NH, Gordon SV, de la Rua-Domenech R, Clifton-Hadley RS, Hewinson RG. Bottlenecks and broomsticks: the molecular evolution of <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i>. <i>Nat Rev Microbiol</i> 2006;4(9):670-681.</li> <li>Allen AR, Dale J, McCormick C, Mallon TR, Costello E et al. The phylogeny and population structure of <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> in the British Isles. <i>Infect Genet Evol</i> 2013;20:8-15.</li> <li>Meehan CJ, Moris P, Kohl TA, Pečarska J, Akter S et al. The relationship between transmission time and clustering methods in <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> epidemiology. <i>EBioMedicine</i> 2018;37:410-416.</li> <li>Walker TM, Ip CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G et al. Whole-genome sequencing to delineate <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreaks: a retrospective observational study. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13(2):137-146.</li> <li>Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al. Inferring patient to patient transmission of <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreak: a longitudinal molecular epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>O, Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug- resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrosp</li></ol>	255		
<ul> <li>bovine tuberculosis transmission. <i>Rev Sci Tech</i> 2016;35(1):241-258.</li> <li>Skuce RA, Mallon TR, McCormick C, McBride SH, Clarke G et al. <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> genotypes in Northern Ireland: herd-level surveillance (2003-2008). <i>Vet Rec</i> 2010;167(18):684-689</li> <li>Skuce R, Breadon E, Allen A, Milne G, McCormick C et al. Longitudinal dynamics of herd-level <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> MLVA type surveillance in cattle in Northern Ireland 2003-2016. <i>Infect Genet Evol</i>: 2020;79:104131.</li> <li>Smith NH, Gordon SV, de la Rua-Domenech R, Clifton-Hadley RS, Hewinson RG. Bottlenecks and broomsticks: the molecular evolution of <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i>. <i>Nat Rev Microbiol</i> 2006;4(9):670-681.</li> <li>Allen AR, Dale J, McCormick C, Mallon TR, Costello E et al. The phylogeny and population structure of <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> in the British Isles. <i>Infect Genet Evol</i> 2013;20:8-15.</li> <li>Meehan CJ, Moris P, Kohl TA, Pečerska J, Akter S et al. The relationship between transmission time and clustering methods in <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> epidemiology. <i>EBioMedicine</i> 2018;37:410-416.</li> <li>Walker TM, Ip CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G et al. Whole-genome sequencing to delineate <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreaks: a retrospective observational study. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:(2):137-146.</li> <li>Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al. Inferring patient to patient transmission of <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective observational study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug- resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective observational study using whole-genome sequencing and epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i></li></ul>	256	1.	Kao RR, Price-Carter M, Robbe-Austerman S. Use of genomics to track
<ol> <li>Skuce RA, Mallon TR, McCormick C, McBride SH, Clarke G et al. <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> genotypes in Northern Ireland: herd-level surveillance (2003-2008). <i>Vet Rec</i> 2010;167(18):684-689</li> <li>Skuce R, Breadon E, Allen A, Milne G, McCormick C et al. Longitudinal dynamics of herd-level <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> MLVA type surveillance in cattle in Northern Ireland 2003-2016. <i>Infect Genet Evol</i>: 2020;79:104131.</li> <li>Smith NH, Gordon SV, de la Rua-Domenech R, Clifton-Hadley RS, Hewinson RG. Bottlenecks and broomsticks: the molecular evolution of <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i>. <i>Nat Rev Microbiol</i> 2006;4(9):670-681.</li> <li>Allen AR, Dale J, McCormick C, Mallon TR, Costello E et al. The phylogeny and population structure of <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> in the British Isles. <i>Infect Genet Evol</i> 2013;20:8-15.</li> <li>Meehan CJ, Moris P, Kohl TA, Pečerska J, Akter S et al. The relationship between transmission time and clustering methods in <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> epidemiology. <i>EBioMedicine</i> 2018;37:410-416.</li> <li>Walker TM, Jp CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G et al. Whole-genome sequencing to delineate <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreaks: a retrospective observational study. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13(2):137-146.</li> <li>Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer J L et al. Inferring patient to patient transmission of <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreak: a longitudinal molecular epidemiological itudy. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug- resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> cases identified twice as efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as efficiently by who</li></ol>	257		bovine tuberculosis transmission. <i>Rev Sci Tech</i> 2016;35(1):241-258.
<ul> <li>Mycobacterium bovis genotypes in Northern Ireland: herd-level</li> <li>surveillance (2003-2008). <i>Vet Rec</i> 2010;167(18):684-689</li> <li>Skuce R, Breadon E, Allen A, Milne G, McCormick C et al. Longitudinal</li> <li>dynamics of herd-level <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> MLVA type surveillance in</li> <li>cattle in Northern Ireland 2003-2016. <i>Infect Genet Evol</i>: 2020;79:104131.</li> <li>Smith NH, Gordon SV, de la Rua-Domenech R, Clifton-Hadley RS,</li> <li>Hewinson RG. Bottlenecks and broomsticks: the molecular evolution of</li> <li><i>Mycobacterium bovis</i>. <i>Nat Rev Microbiol</i> 2006;4(9):670-681.</li> <li>Allen AR, Dale J, McCormick C, Mallon TR, Costello E et al. The phylogeny</li> <li>and population structure of <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> in the British Isles.</li> <li><i>Infect Genet Evol</i> 2013;20:8-15.</li> <li>Meehan CJ, Moris P, Kohl TA, Pečerska J, Akter S et al. The relationship</li> <li>between transmission time and clustering methods in <i>Mycobacterium</i></li> <li><i>tuberculosis</i> epidemiology. <i>EBioMedicine</i> 2018;37:410-416.</li> <li>Walker TM, Ip CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G et al. Whole-genome</li> <li>sequencing to delineate <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreaks: a</li> <li>retrospective observational study. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13(2):137-146.</li> <li>Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al.</li> <li>Inferring patient to patient transmission of mycobacterium tuberculosis</li> <li>from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome</li> <li>sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a</li> <li><i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreak: a longitudinal molecular</li> <li>epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug-</li> <li>resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis in Shanghai, China: a retr</li></ul>	258	2.	Skuce RA, Mallon TR, McCormick C, McBride SH, Clarke G et al.
<ul> <li>surveillance (2003-2008). <i>Vet Rec</i> 2010;167(18):684-689</li> <li>Skuce R, Breadon E, Allen A, Milne G, McCormick C et al. Longitudinal dynamics of herd-level <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> MLVA type surveillance in cattle in Northern Ireland 2003-2016. <i>Infect Genet Evol</i>: 2020;79:104131.</li> <li>Smith NH, Gordon SV, de la Rua-Domenech R, Clifton-Hadley RS, Hewinson RG. Bottlenecks and broomsticks: the molecular evolution of <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i>. <i>Nat Rev Microbiol</i> 2006;4(9):670-681.</li> <li>Allen AR, Dale J, McCormick C, Mallon TR, Costello E et al. The phylogeny and population structure of <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> in the British Isles. <i>Infect Genet Evol</i> 2013;20:8-15.</li> <li>Meehan CJ, Moris P, Kohl TA, Pečerska J, Akter S et al. The relationship between transmission time and clustering methods in <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> epidemiology. <i>EBioMedicine</i> 2018;37:410-416.</li> <li>Walker TM, Ip CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G et al. Whole-genome sequencing to delineate <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreaks: a retrospective observational study. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13(2):137-146.</li> <li>Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al. Inferring patient to patient transmission of <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreak: a longitudinal molecular epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug- resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective observational study using whole-genome sequencing and epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al.</li> <li>Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as efficiently by whole genome s</li></ul>	259		Mycobacterium bovis genotypes in Northern Ireland: herd-level
<ol> <li>Skuce R, Breadon E, Allen A, Milne G, McCormick C et al. Longitudinal dynamics of herd-level <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> MLVA type surveillance in cattle in Northern Ireland 2003-2016. <i>Infect Genet Evol</i>: 2020;79:104131.</li> <li>Smith NH, Gordon SV, de la Rua-Domenech R, Clifton-Hadley RS, Hewinson RG. Bottlenecks and broomsticks: the molecular evolution of <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i>. <i>Nat Rev Microbiol</i> 2006;4(9):670-681.</li> <li>Allen AR, Dale J, McCormick C, Mallon TR, Costello E et al. The phylogeny and population structure of <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> in the British Isles. <i>Infect Genet Evol</i> 2013;20:8-15.</li> <li>Meehan CJ, Moris P, Kohl TA, Pečerska J, Akter S et al. The relationship between transmission time and clustering methods in <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> epidemiology. <i>EBioMedicine</i> 2018;37:410-416.</li> <li>Walker TM, Ip CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G et al. Whole-genome sequencing to delineate <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreaks: a retrospective observational study. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13(2):137-146.</li> <li>Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al. Inferring patient to patient transmission of <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreak: a longitudinal molecular epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug- resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective observational study using whole-genome sequencing and epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ol>	260		surveillance (2003-2008). Vet Rec 2010;167(18):684-689
<ul> <li>dynamics of herd-level <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> MLVA type surveillance in cattle in Northern Ireland 2003-2016. <i>Infect Genet Evol</i>: 2020;79:104131.</li> <li>Smith NH, Gordon SV, de la Rua-Domenech R, Clifton-Hadley RS, Hewinson RG. Bottlenecks and broomsticks: the molecular evolution of <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i>. <i>Nat Rev Microbiol</i> 2006;4(9):670-681.</li> <li>Allen AR, Dale J, McCormick C, Mallon TR, Costello E et al. The phylogeny and population structure of <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> in the British Isles. <i>Infect Genet Evol</i> 2013;20:8-15.</li> <li>Meehan CJ, Moris P, Kohl TA, Pečerska J, Akter S et al. The relationship between transmission time and clustering methods in <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> epidemiology. <i>EBioMedicine</i> 2018;37:410-416.</li> <li>Walker TM, Ip CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G et al. Whole-genome sequencing to delineate <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreaks: a retrospective observational study. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13(2):137-146.</li> <li>Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al. Inferring patient to patient transmission of <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreak: a longitudinal molecular epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>O.Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug- resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective observational study using whole-genome sequencing and epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	261	3.	Skuce R, Breadon E, Allen A, Milne G, McCormick C et al. Longitudinal
<ul> <li>cattle in Northern Ireland 2003-2016. Infect Genet Evol: 2020;79:104131.</li> <li>Smith NH, Gordon SV, de la Rua-Domenech R, Clifton-Hadley RS, Hewinson RG. Bottlenecks and broomsticks: the molecular evolution of <i>Mycobacterium bovis. Nat Rev Microbiol</i> 2006;4(9):670-681.</li> <li>Allen AR, Dale J, McCormick C, Mallon TR, Costello E et al. The phylogeny and population structure of <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> in the British Isles. <i>Infect Genet Evol</i> 2013;20:8-15.</li> <li>Meehan CJ, Moris P, Kohl TA, Pečerska J, Akter S et al. The relationship between transmission time and clustering methods in <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> epidemiology. <i>EBioMedicine</i> 2018;37:410-416.</li> <li>Walker TM, Ip CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G et al. Whole-genome sequencing to delineate <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreaks: a retrospective observational study. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13(2):137-146.</li> <li>Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al. Inferring patient to patient transmission of <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreak: a longitudinal molecular epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug- resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective observational study using whole-genome sequencing and epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al. Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	262		dynamics of herd-level Mycobacterium bovis MLVA type surveillance in
<ol> <li>Smith NH, Gordon SV, de la Rua-Domenech R, Clifton-Hadley RS, Hewinson RG. Bottlenecks and broomsticks: the molecular evolution of <i>Mycobacterium bovis. Nat Rev Microbiol</i> 2006;4(9):670-681.</li> <li>Allen AR, Dale J, McCormick C, Mallon TR, Costello E et al. The phylogeny and population structure of <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> in the British Isles. <i>Infect Genet Evol</i> 2013;20:8-15.</li> <li>Meehan CJ, Moris P, Kohl TA, Pečerska J, Akter S et al. The relationship between transmission time and clustering methods in <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> epidemiology. <i>EBioMedicine</i> 2018;37:410-416.</li> <li>Walker TM, Ip CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G et al. Whole-genome sequencing to delineate <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreaks: a retrospective observational study. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13(2):137-146.</li> <li>Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al. Inferring patient to patient transmission of <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreaks: a retrospective observational study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug- resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective observational study using whole-genome sequencing and epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al. Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ol>	263		cattle in Northern Ireland 2003-2016. Infect Genet Evol: 2020;79:104131.
<ul> <li>Hewinson RG. Bottlenecks and broomsticks: the molecular evolution of <i>Mycobacterium bovis. Nat Rev Microbiol</i> 2006;4(9):670-681.</li> <li>Allen AR, Dale J, McCormick C, Mallon TR, Costello E et al. The phylogeny and population structure of <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> in the British Isles. <i>Infect Genet Evol</i> 2013;20:8-15.</li> <li>Meehan CJ, Moris P, Kohl TA, Pečerska J, Akter S et al. The relationship between transmission time and clustering methods in <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> epidemiology. <i>EBioMedicine</i> 2018;37:410-416.</li> <li>Walker TM, Ip CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G et al. Whole-genome sequencing to delineate <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreaks: a retrospective observational study. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13(2):137-146.</li> <li>Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al. Inferring patient to patient transmission of <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreaks: a epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug- resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective observational study using whole-genome sequencing and epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>Hajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al. Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	264	4.	Smith NH, Gordon SV, de la Rua-Domenech R, Clifton-Hadley RS,
<ul> <li>Mycobacterium bovis. Nat Rev Microbiol 2006;4(9):670-681.</li> <li>Allen AR, Dale J, McCormick C, Mallon TR, Costello E et al. The phylogeny and population structure of Mycobacterium bovis in the British Isles. <i>Infect Genet Evol</i> 2013;20:8-15.</li> <li>Meehan CJ, Moris P, Kohl TA, Pečerska J, Akter S et al. The relationship between transmission time and clustering methods in Mycobacterium tuberculosis epidemiology. EBioMedicine 2018;37:410-416.</li> <li>Walker TM, Ip CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G et al. Whole-genome sequencing to delineate Mycobacterium tuberculosis outbreaks: a retrospective observational study. Lancet Infect Dis 2013;13(2):137-146.</li> <li>Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al. Inferring patient to patient transmission of Mycobacterium tuberculosis from whole genome sequencing data. BMC Infect Dis 2013;13:110.</li> <li>Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a Mycobacterium tuberculosis outbreak: a longitudinal molecular epidemiological study. PLoS Med 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug- resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis in Shanghai, China: a retrospective observational study using whole-genome sequencing and epidemiological investigation. Lancet Infect Dis 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al. Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular typing: A population-based study. PLoS One 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	265		Hewinson RG. Bottlenecks and broomsticks: the molecular evolution of
<ol> <li>Allen AR, Dale J, McCormick C, Mallon TR, Costello E et al. The phylogeny and population structure of <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> in the British Isles. <i>Infect Genet Evol</i> 2013;20:8-15.</li> <li>Meehan CJ, Moris P, Kohl TA, Pečerska J, Akter S et al. The relationship between transmission time and clustering methods in <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> epidemiology. <i>EBioMedicine</i> 2018;37:410-416.</li> <li>Walker TM, Ip CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G et al. Whole-genome sequencing to delineate <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreaks: a retrospective observational study. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13(2):137-146.</li> <li>Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al. Inferring patient to patient transmission of <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreak: a longitudinal molecular epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug- resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective observational study using whole-genome sequencing and epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al. Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ol>	266		Mycobacterium bovis. Nat Rev Microbiol 2006;4(9):670-681.
<ul> <li>and population structure of <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> in the British Isles.</li> <li><i>Infect Genet Evol</i> 2013;20:8-15.</li> <li>6. Meehan CJ, Moris P, Kohl TA, Pečerska J, Akter S et al. The relationship</li> <li>between transmission time and clustering methods in <i>Mycobacterium</i></li> <li><i>tuberculosis</i> epidemiology. <i>EBioMedicine</i> 2018;37:410-416.</li> <li>7. Walker TM, Ip CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G et al. Whole-genome</li> <li>sequencing to delineate <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreaks: a</li> <li>retrospective observational study. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13(2):137-146.</li> <li>8. Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al.</li> <li>Inferring patient to patient transmission of <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i></li> <li>from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>9. Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome</li> <li>sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a</li> <li><i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreak: a longitudinal molecular</li> <li>epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>10. Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug-</li> <li>resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective</li> <li>observational study using whole-genome sequencing and</li> <li>epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>11. Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al.</li> <li>Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as</li> <li>efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular</li> <li>typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	267	5.	Allen AR, Dale J, McCormick C, Mallon TR, Costello E et al. The phylogeny
<ul> <li><i>Infect Genet Evol</i> 2013;20:8-15.</li> <li>Meehan CJ, Moris P, Kohl TA, Pečerska J, Akter S et al. The relationship</li> <li>between transmission time and clustering methods in <i>Mycobacterium</i></li> <li><i>tuberculosis</i> epidemiology. <i>EBioMedicine</i> 2018;37:410-416.</li> <li>Walker TM, Ip CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G et al. Whole-genome</li> <li>sequencing to delineate <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreaks: a</li> <li>retrospective observational study. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13(2):137-146.</li> <li>Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al.</li> <li>Inferring patient to patient transmission of <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i></li> <li>from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome</li> <li>sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a</li> <li><i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreak: a longitudinal molecular</li> <li>epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>Nyang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Trasmission of multidrug-</li> <li>resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective</li> <li>observational study using whole-genome sequencing and</li> <li>epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al.</li> <li>Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as</li> <li>efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular</li> <li>typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	268		and population structure of <i>Mycobacterium bovis</i> in the British Isles.
<ol> <li>Meehan CJ, Moris P, Kohl TA, Pečerska J, Akter S et al. The relationship between transmission time and clustering methods in <i>Mycobacterium</i> <i>tuberculosis</i> epidemiology. <i>EBioMedicine</i> 2018;37:410-416.</li> <li>Walker TM, Ip CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G et al. Whole-genome sequencing to delineate <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreaks: a retrospective observational study. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13(2):137-146.</li> <li>Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al. Inferring patient to patient transmission of <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreak: a longitudinal molecular epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug- resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective observational study using whole-genome sequencing and epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al. Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ol>	269		Infect Genet Evol 2013;20:8-15.
<ul> <li>between transmission time and clustering methods in <i>Mycobacterium</i></li> <li><i>tuberculosis</i> epidemiology. <i>EBioMedicine</i> 2018;37:410-416.</li> <li>7. Walker TM, Ip CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G et al. Whole-genome</li> <li>sequencing to delineate <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreaks: a</li> <li>retrospective observational study. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13(2):137-146.</li> <li>8. Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al.</li> <li>Inferring patient to patient transmission of <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i></li> <li>from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>9. Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome</li> <li>sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a</li> <li><i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreak: a longitudinal molecular</li> <li>epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>10.Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug-</li> <li>resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective</li> <li>observational study using whole-genome sequencing and</li> <li>epidemiological linvestigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>11.Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al.</li> <li>Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as</li> <li>efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular</li> <li>typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	270	6.	Meehan CJ, Moris P, Kohl TA, Pečerska J, Akter S et al. The relationship
<ul> <li><i>tuberculosis</i> epidemiology. EBioMedicine 2018;37:410-416.</li> <li>Walker TM, Ip CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G et al. Whole-genome sequencing to delineate Mycobacterium tuberculosis outbreaks: a retrospective observational study. Lancet Infect Dis 2013;13(2):137-146.</li> <li>Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al.</li> <li>Inferring patient to patient transmission of Mycobacterium tuberculosis from whole genome sequencing data. BMC Infect Dis 2013;13:110.</li> <li>Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a Mycobacterium tuberculosis outbreak: a longitudinal molecular epidemiological study. PLoS Med 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>10. Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug- resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis in Shanghai, China: a retrospective observational study using whole-genome sequencing and epidemiological investigation. Lancet Infect Dis 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>11. Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al. Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular typing: A population-based study. PLoS One 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	271		between transmission time and clustering methods in Mycobacterium
<ol> <li>Walker TM, Ip CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G et al. Whole-genome sequencing to delineate <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreaks: a retrospective observational study. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13(2):137-146.</li> <li>Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al. Inferring patient to patient transmission of <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreak: a longitudinal molecular epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug- resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective observational study using whole-genome sequencing and epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al. Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ol>	272		tuberculosis epidemiology. EBioMedicine 2018;37:410-416.
<ul> <li>sequencing to delineate <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreaks: a</li> <li>retrospective observational study. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13(2):137-146.</li> <li>8. Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al.</li> <li>Inferring patient to patient transmission of <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i></li> <li>from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>9. Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome</li> <li>sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a</li> <li><i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreak: a longitudinal molecular</li> <li>epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>10.Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug-</li> <li>resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective</li> <li>observational study using whole-genome sequencing and</li> <li>epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>11.Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al.</li> <li>Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as</li> <li>efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular</li> <li>typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	273	7.	Walker TM, Ip CL, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G et al. Whole-genome
<ul> <li>retrospective observational study. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13(2):137-146.</li> <li>8. Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al.</li> <li>Inferring patient to patient transmission of <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i></li> <li>from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>9. Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome</li> <li>sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a</li> <li><i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreak: a longitudinal molecular</li> <li>epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>10.Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug-</li> <li>resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective</li> <li>observational study using whole-genome sequencing and</li> <li>epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>11.Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al.</li> <li>Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as</li> <li>efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular</li> <li>typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	274		sequencing to delineate Mycobacterium tuberculosis outbreaks: a
<ol> <li>8. Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al. Inferring patient to patient transmission of <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>9. Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreak: a longitudinal molecular epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>10. Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug- resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective observational study using whole-genome sequencing and epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>11. Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al. Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ol>	275		retrospective observational study. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2013;13(2):137-146.
<ul> <li>Inferring patient to patient transmission of <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i></li> <li>from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>9. Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome</li> <li>sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a</li> <li><i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreak: a longitudinal molecular</li> <li>epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>10.Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug-</li> <li>resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective</li> <li>observational study using whole-genome sequencing and</li> <li>epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>11.Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al.</li> <li>Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as</li> <li>efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular</li> <li>typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	276	8.	Bryant JM, Schürch AC, van Deutekom H, Harris SR, de Beer JL et al.
<ul> <li>from whole genome sequencing data. <i>BMC Infect Dis</i> 2013;13:110.</li> <li>Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome</li> <li>sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a</li> <li><i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreak: a longitudinal molecular</li> <li>epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>10.Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug-</li> <li>resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective</li> <li>observational study using whole-genome sequencing and</li> <li>epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>11.Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al.</li> <li>Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as</li> <li>efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular</li> <li>typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	277		Inferring patient to patient transmission of Mycobacterium tuberculosis
<ol> <li>Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome</li> <li>sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a</li> <li><i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreak: a longitudinal molecular</li> <li>epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>10.Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug-</li> <li>resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective</li> <li>observational study using whole-genome sequencing and</li> <li>epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>11.Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al.</li> <li>Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as</li> <li>efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular</li> <li>typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ol>	278		from whole genome sequencing data. BMC Infect Dis 2013;13:110.
<ul> <li>sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a</li> <li><i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> outbreak: a longitudinal molecular</li> <li>epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>10.Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug-</li> <li>resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective</li> <li>observational study using whole-genome sequencing and</li> <li>epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>11.Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al.</li> <li>Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as</li> <li>efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular</li> <li>typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	279	9.	Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U et al. Whole genome
<ul> <li>Mycobacterium tuberculosis outbreak: a longitudinal molecular</li> <li>epidemiological study. PLoS Med 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>10.Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug-</li> <li>resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis in Shanghai, China: a retrospective</li> <li>observational study using whole-genome sequencing and</li> <li>epidemiological investigation. Lancet Infect Dis 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>11.Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al.</li> <li>Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as</li> <li>efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular</li> <li>typing: A population-based study. PLoS One 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	280		sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a
<ul> <li>epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.</li> <li>10.Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug-</li> <li>resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective</li> <li>observational study using whole-genome sequencing and</li> <li>epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>11.Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al.</li> <li>Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as</li> <li>efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular</li> <li>typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	281		Mycobacterium tuberculosis outbreak: a longitudinal molecular
<ul> <li>10. Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug-</li> <li>resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective</li> <li>observational study using whole-genome sequencing and</li> <li>epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>11. Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al.</li> <li>Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as</li> <li>efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular</li> <li>typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	282		epidemiological study. <i>PLoS Med</i> 2013;10(2):e1001387.
<ul> <li>resistant <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> in Shanghai, China: a retrospective</li> <li>observational study using whole-genome sequencing and</li> <li>epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>11.Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al.</li> <li>Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as</li> <li>efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular</li> <li>typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	283	10	.Yang C, Luo T, Shen X, Wu J, Gan M et al. Transmission of multidrug-
<ul> <li>observational study using whole-genome sequencing and</li> <li>epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>11.Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al.</li> <li>Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as</li> <li>efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular</li> <li>typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	284		resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis in Shanghai, China: a retrospective
<ul> <li>epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.</li> <li>11.Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al.</li> <li>Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as</li> <li>efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular</li> <li>typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	285		observational study using whole-genome sequencing and
<ul> <li>11. Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al.</li> <li>Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as</li> <li>efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular</li> <li>typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	286		epidemiological investigation. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> 2017;17(3):275-284.
<ul> <li>Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as</li> <li>efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular</li> <li>typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	287	11	.Jajou R, de Neeling A, van Hunen R, de Vries G, Schimmel H et al.
<ul> <li>efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular</li> <li>typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.</li> </ul>	288		Epidemiological links between tuberculosis cases identified twice as
typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.	289		efficiently by whole genome sequencing than conventional molecular
	290		typing: A population-based study. <i>PLoS One</i> 2018;13(4):e0195413.

12. Crispell J, Benton CH, Balaz D, De Maio N, Ahkmetova A et al. Combining 291 genomics and epidemiology to analyse bi-directional transmission of 292 Mycobacterium bovis in a multi-host system. Elife 2019;8. 293 13. Crispell J, Zadoks RN, Harris SR, Paterson B, Collins DM et al. Using whole 294 295 genome sequencing to investigate transmission in a multi-host system: bovine tuberculosis in New Zealand. BMC Genomics 2017;18(1):180. 296 14. Menardo F, Duchêne S, Brites D, Gagneux S. The molecular clock of 297 *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *PLoS Pathog* 2019;15(9):e1008067. 298 15. Rossi G, Crispell, J., Brough, T., Lycett, S.J., White, P.C.L., Allen, A., Ellis, 299 R.J., Gordon, S.V., Harwood, R., Palkopoulou, E., Presho, E., Skuce, R., 300 Smith, G.C., Kao, R.R. Phylodynamic analysis of an emergent 301 Mycobacterium bovis outbreak in an area with no previous wildlife 302 infections. J Appl Ecol 2021;59(1):210-222. 303 16.Gardy JL, Loman NJ. Towards a genomics-informed, real-time, global 304 pathogen surveillance system. Nat Rev Genetics 2018;19(1):9-20. 305 17.van Tonder AJ, Thornton MJ, Conlan AJK, Jolley KA, Goolding L et al. 306 307 Inferring *Mycobacterium bovis* transmission between cattle and badgers using isolates from the Randomised Badger Culling Trial. PLoS Pathog 308 2021;17(11):e1010075. 309