Supporting information for

Fast ¹⁹F Magic-Angle-Spinning NMR Crystallography for Structural Characterization of Fluorine-Containing Pharmaceutical Compounds

Changmiao Guo^{1,2}, Matthew Fritz^{1,2}, Jochem Struppe⁴, Sebastian Wegner⁵, John Stringer⁶, Ivan V. Sergeyev⁴, Caitlin M. Quinn¹, Angela Gronenborn^{2,3*}. Tatvana Polenova^{1,2*}

¹Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716, United States; ²Pittsburgh Center for HIV Protein Interactions, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, 1051 Biomedical Science Tower 3, 3501 Fifth Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15261, United States; ³Department of Structural Biology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, 3501 Fifth Ave., Pittsburgh, PA 15261, United States; ⁴Bruker Biospin Corporation, 15 Fortune Drive, Billerica, Massachusetts 01821, United States; ⁵Bruker BioSpin GmbH, Rheinstetten, Germany; ⁶PhoenixNMR, 510 E. 5th Streest, Loveland, CO 80537, United States

Table of contents:

- 1. Materials and methods: MAS NMR, numerical simulations, and DFT calculations
- 2. Figure S1. 1D ¹⁹F-¹³C CPMAS spectra of mefloquine acquired at various Hartmann-Hahn matching conditions.

S5-S6

S14

S2-S4

- Figure S2. ¹⁹F-¹³C CPMAS spectra acquired at the three most efficient Hartmann-Hahn matching conditions; 3. Comparison of the average SNR for the aromatic signals at the different Hartmann-Hahn matching conditions; ¹⁹F-¹³C CPMAS spectra of mefloquine acquired with the CP contact time of 7 ms without and with ¹⁹F decoupling. S7
- 4. Figure S3. ¹⁹F-¹³C CPMAS spectra acquired with different contact times; ¹⁹F-¹³C CP buildup profiles for aliphatic and aromatic carbons. S8
- 5. Figure S4. Isotropic ¹³C chemical shifts of mefloquine obtained by DFT calculations; ¹H-¹³C CPMAS spectra of mefloquine. S9 S10
- 6. Figure S5. 2D ¹⁹F-¹³C HETCOR spectra of mefloguine.
- 7. Figure S6. 2D ¹⁹F-¹⁹F RFDR spectra acquired at the MAS frequency of 50 kHz; 1D slices of the 2D ¹⁹F-¹⁹F spectra. S11
- 8. Figure S7. ¹⁹F MAS DANTE spectra of mefloquine acquired with different pulse sequences and parameters.
- S12 9. Figure S8. Pulse sequence for the 1D RFDR experiment with ¹⁹F DANTE-excitation; 1D ¹⁹F-¹⁹F DANTE-RFDR spectra with DANTE 90° selective excitation applied to the ¹⁹F resonances of 2CF₃ and 8CF₃; Experimental and simulated ¹⁹F-¹⁹F DANTE-RFDR magnetization exchange curves for the 8CF₃ and 2CF₃ S13 resonances.
- 10. Figure S9. 1D ¹⁹F DANTE-RFDR spectra of mefloguine with various RFDR mixing times.
- 11. Figure S10. Experimental and simulated ¹⁹F DANTE-RFDR magnetization exchange profiles of the 2CF₃ moietv. S15
- 12. Figure S11. Experimental and simulated ¹⁹F DANTE-RFDR magnetization exchange curves of the 8CF₃ moiety. The experimental data are shown as black circles; Comparison of the 2-spin and 5-spin simulations demonstrating the multispin effects and the dominant influence of the shortest distance on the initial magnetization buildup; Multispin models used for the 5-spin simulations of 8CF₃ moiety. S16
- 13. Table S1. Signal-to-noise ratios (SNR) for the most efficient ¹⁹F-¹³C CP conditions identified for mefloquine. S17
- 14. Table S2. MAS NMR Experimental and DFT Calculated ¹³C Isotropic Chemical Shifts and Interatomic Distances in Mefloquine S18
- 15. Table S2. Intramolecular and intermolecular ¹⁹F-¹⁹F distances (Å) in the mefloquine crystal structure. S19
- 16. Table S4. Sets of Interfluorine Distances for the 5-spin Simulations and in the X-ray Crystal Structure S20 17. Example simulation script. S21-S23

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

Natural abundance mefloquine hydrochloride was purchased from Acros Organics and used without further recrystallization. For MAS NMR experiments, sample amounts were as follows: 3 mg (1.3 mm rotor for measurements at 11.7 T), 3.7 mg (1.3 mm rotor for measurements at 14.1 T), 9.5 mg (1.6 mm rotor for measurements at 16.4 T), and 13.5 (1.9 mm rotor for measurements at 19.9 T).

MAS NMR spectroscopy

¹⁹F and ¹³C-detected experiments were performed on a 20.0 T narrow bore Bruker AVANCE III spectrometer outfitted with a 1.9 mm HX MAS probe. The Larmor frequencies were 850.4 MHz for ¹H, 800.1 MHz for ¹⁹F and 213.8 MHz for ¹³C. For all ¹⁹F-detected experiments, the ¹H channel was tuned to ¹⁹F. All MAS NMR spectra were acquired at a MAS frequency of 40 kHz maintained within ± 10 Hz by Bruker MAS III controller. The sample temperature was calibrated with KBr as an external temperature sensor and was maintained at 12.0±0.3 °C by a Bruker variable temperature controller. Typical 90° pulse lengths were 1.5 µs for ¹H, 1.1 µs for ¹⁹F and 3.0 µs for ¹³C. ¹⁹F chemical shifts were referenced with respect to those of trifluoroacetic acid (100 µM solution in 25 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5) used as an external reference (0 ppm), which relates to other commonly used reference standards as: neat trifluoroacetic acid (-2.8 ppm), trichloro-fluoro-methane (73.55 ppm), Teflon (-48.45 ppm). ¹³C chemical shifts were referenced to adamantane.

The ¹⁹F-¹³C cross-polarization was performed with a linear amplitude ramp of 70-100 % on ¹³C and the center of ramp was Hartmann-Hahn matched at the first or second spinning sideband; the carrier frequency on ¹³C was set to 100 ppm. For optimization of ¹⁹F-¹³C CP, ¹⁹F rf fields of 15, 25, 30, 35, 45 and 55 kHz were applied, and Hartmann-Hahn matched at 1~3 times of the spinning frequency (v_r). Zero quantum (ZQ) or double quantum (DQ) CP was matched with the ¹⁹F rf field fixed while the ¹³C rf field was systematically varied over a range of 0 kHz to 75 kHz. ¹⁹F-¹³C CPMAS spectra were acquired with 512 scans and CP contact times varied systematically from 1.0 ms to 10.0 ms; the rf fields were 15 kHz for ¹⁹F and 25 kHz (DQ-CP) or 55 kHz (ZQ-CP) for ¹³C. For 2D ¹⁹F-¹³C HETCOR experiments, the CP contact times were 1.0, 7.0 and 10.0 ms; both DQ-CP and ZQ-CP conditions were used; 38 complex points were acquired in t₂ dimension. The carrier frequencies in ¹³C were set to 100.0 ppm. In several experiments, π -pulse ¹⁹F decoupling at RF field of 208 kHz was applied during evolution in ¹³C dimension. A recycle delay of 6.0 s was used for all experiments.

For ¹H-¹³C CPMAS experiments, the ¹H-¹³C cross polarization was performed with a linear ramp; the ¹H and ¹³C RF fields were at 13 kHz and 28 kHz, respectively; the typical CP contact times were 0.5-1.4 ms. 2D ¹H-¹³C HETCOR spectra with 0.5 ms and 1.1 ms CP contact were acquired with 448 and 384 transients, respectively; 80 complex points were collected in the indirect dimension. $2D^{19}F^{-19}F$ RFDR spectra were acquired without decoupling with RFDR mixing times of 1.6 ms, 4 ms, 8 ms, 12 ms, 20 ms and 30.4 ms. The typical length of the RFDR π pulse was 8.3 µs and a XY-16 phase cycle was used during the RFDR mixing. For each $^{19}F^{-19}F$ spectrum, the data were collected with 120 complex points in t₂ dimension using States-TPPI phase sensitive detection; 16 transients were averaged for each FID. The 1D ^{19}F DANTE spectra were acquired with 16 scans; 22 0.1-µs DANTE pulses were applied at 8.8 ppm and 15.9 ppm for selective irradiation of 2CF₃ and 8CF₃ signals, respectively. The DANTE interpulse delay was set to 4 rotor cycles. The recycle delay was 5.0 s.

¹⁹F-detected single pulse excitation spectra were also acquired on a 11.7 T wide bore Bruker AVANCE III spectrometer outfitted with a 1.3 mm HFX MAS probe. The Larmor frequencies were 500.13 MHz for ¹H and 470.59 MHz for ¹⁹F. The MAS frequencies were 10, 40, and 60 kHz maintained within ±10 Hz by Bruker MAS III controller. Spinal-64⁴¹ (10 kHz MAS) or swept-frequency two-pulse phase modulation (SW_f-TPPM)⁴² heteronuclear decoupling sequences were applied with the ¹H RF field strengths of 90 kHz, 15 kHz, and 10 kHz for the MAS frequencies of 10 kHz, 40 kHz, and 60 kHz, respectively. ¹⁹F 90° pulse length was 2.45 μs. The recycle delay was 6.0 s.

Additional 2D ¹⁹F-¹⁹F RFDR spectra were recorded at 14.1 T, on a Magnex narrow-bore magnet interfaced with a Bruker AVIII HD spectrometer, and outfitted with a 1.3 mm Bruker HCN MAS probe. The H channel was tuned to the ¹⁹F Larmor frequency of 564.35 MHz and the typical ¹⁹F 90° pulse length was 3.3 μ s for. ¹⁹F-¹⁹F RFDR spectra were recorded for MAS frequencies of 40 kHz, 50 kHz and 60 kHz with RFDR mixing times of 3.2 ms and 8.0 ms, 16 transients were averaged and the recycle delay was 2.0 s. The pulse length for the DANTE selective excitation pulses was 0.1 μ s. The interpulse delay was set to 2 rotor cycles. The DANTE-RFDR magnetization exchange curves were recorded with RFDR mixing times of 0.8, 1.6, 3.2, 4.0, 5.6, 8.0, 12.0, 16.0, 21.6, 26.4, and 30.4 ms; (XY8)¹₄ phase cycle⁴³ was applied during the RFDR mixing.

Supplemental ¹⁹F-¹³C CPMAS and HETCOR NMR spectra were acquired on a 16.4 T Bruker spectrometer equipped with a PhoenixNMR 1.6 mm HFX MAS probe at a MAS frequency of 40 kHz. The Larmor frequencies were 700.1 MHz for ¹H, 658.8 MHz for ¹⁹F and 176.0 MHz for ¹³C. The typical 90° pulse lengths were 2.5 μ s for ¹H, 2.0 μ s for ¹⁹F, and 1.97 μ s for ¹³C. The ¹⁹F-¹³C CP contact time was 7.0 ms. ¹H and ¹⁹F decoupling was applied simultaneously during the t₂ evolution in the ¹³C dimension. ¹H decoupling used low-power XiX³⁵ with an rf field of 12.5 kHz. For ¹⁹F decoupling, a π -pulse with the rf field of 125 kHz was applied every rotor period. A spin echo ¹H π -pulse was applied in the center of the t₁ evolution in the ¹⁹F dimension to refocus the ¹H offset and heteronuclear coupling. The recycle delay was 3 s.

All spectra were processed in TopSpin 4.0 and analyzed with Sparky⁴⁴ and Mnova.

Numerical simulations

The DANTE-RFDR magnetization exchange curves were simulated using SIMPSON⁴⁵ (version 3.1.0). In the multi-spin simulation, the magnetization exchange was followed starting from the non-selectively irradiated spin and the evolution of the spin to which the magnetization is transferred was performed from I_{2x} to -I_{1z}. The experimental decay curves of the signals that are selectively excited by the DANTE pulse are scaled to 1 and the experimental buildup curves of the nonselective signals are scaled to 0. The simulated DANTE-RFDR exchange curves were rescaled to match the experimental intensities. The example simulation script is present in the Supporting Information.

DFT calculations

¹⁹F and ¹³C magnetic shielding tensor calculations were carried out in Gaussian 09 (Revision D.01)⁴⁶. Molecular clusters of mefloquine comprising 8 molecules were generated from the crystal structures by Pymol⁴⁷. All-atom geometry optimizations were performed using a M06 functional with the cc-pVTZ basis set and geometry-optimized models were used for magnetic shielding tensor calculations at the same level of theory. The chemical shifts were referenced by converting absolute magnetic shieldings σ into frequencies, using the relation $\delta_i = \sigma_{ref} - \sigma_i$ with the value of σ_{ref} determined by linear regression between calculated and experimental shifts⁴⁸.



S5



Figure S1. 1D ¹⁹F-¹³C CPMAS spectra of mefloquine acquired at various Hartmann-Hahn matching conditions (gray). The optimizations of the DQ and ZQ CP conditions were performed with the ¹⁹F RF field strength fixed and the ¹³C RF field systematically varied over the range of 0 kHz to 75 kHz. The CP contact time was 6 ms. Spectra are presented only for the matching conditions resulting in ¹⁹F-¹³C signals. The SNR and ¹³C RF fields are shown under each spectrum. All the 1D ¹⁹F-¹³C spectra were acquired at 20.0 T with 80 scans. The aliphatic region of the corresponding ¹H-¹³C CPMAS spectrum (light blue) is shown above each ¹⁹F-¹³C data set acquired with the ¹⁹F rf field strength set at 45 kHz, to indicate the peak positions of the aliphatic carbons. The ¹H-¹³C spectrum was acquired with 16 scans. The MAS frequency in all experiments was 40 kHz.



Figure S2. a) ¹⁹F-¹³C CPMAS spectra acquired at the three most efficient Hartmann-Hahn matching conditions as indicated next to each trace. The MAS frequency was 40 kHz; 80 scans were added for each spectrum. (b) Comparison of the average SNR for the aromatic signals at the different Hartmann-Hahn matching conditions. c)-g) ¹⁹F-¹³C CPMAS spectra of mefloquine acquired with the CP contact time of 7 ms without decoupling (c) and with ¹⁹F decoupling (d-g). The CP contact times were set to 7 ms (d, f) and 10 ms (e, g). The ¹⁹F rf field strength was 15 kHz; the ¹³C RF field was set to 25 kHz (c, d, e) or 50 kHz (f, g). The spectra were acquired with 512 scans.



Figure S3. a) ¹⁹F-¹³C CPMAS spectra acquired with different contact times. The ¹⁹F and ¹³C rf fields were set at 15 kHz and 25 kHz, respectively. All spectra were acquired at 20.0 T; 512 transients were added for each data set. The MAS frequency was 40 kHz. b),c) ¹⁹F-¹³C CP buildup profiles for aliphatic and aromatic carbons, respectively.



Figure S4. a) Isotropic ¹³C chemical shifts of mefloquine obtained by DFT calculations. b) ¹H-¹³C CPMAS spectra of mefloquine; the CP contact times are indicated next to each trace. The spectra were acquired at 20.0 T; 512 transients were added for each data set. The MAS frequency is 40 kHz. The ¹H and ¹³C rf field strengths were 15 kHz and 25 kHz, respectively. The assignments for ¹³C resonances are shown.



Figure S5. 2D ¹⁹F-¹³C HETCOR spectra of mefloquine. No decoupling was applied during the acquisition of spectra shown in a)-c). ¹⁹F decoupling was used for the spectrum shown in (d). The contact times and the rf powers are shown next to the spectra. All spectra were acquired at 20.0 T; the MAS frequency was 40 kHz.



Figure S6. a)-d) ¹⁹F MAS spectra of mefloquine. Brown traces: single-pulse excitation spectra. Black traces: spectra with DANTE selective pulses applied at indicated frequencies for the following pulse schemes: a) 90° DANTE excitation, b) 180° DANTE inversion, c) 180° DANTE inversion followed by a 90° non-selective pulse, and d) 90° DANTE excitation followed by a 180° non-selective pulse. The spectra were acquired with 16 scans and DANTE interpulse delays of 4 rotor cycles (4 τ_r). The arrows indicate the positions at which the DANTE pulses were applied. The widths of selected peaks are shown. e) Simulated ¹⁹F DANTE excitation and inversion spectra. The DANTE pulses were applied on the 2CF₃ resonances. f) Comparison of ¹⁹F spectra acquired with 90° DANTE excitation (orange) and 180° DANTE inversion followed by a 90° non-selective pulse (black). The control nonselective single-pulse excitation spectrum is shown in blue. g) Comparison of ¹⁹F spectra acquired with 180° DANTE excitation followed by a 180° non-selective pulse (orange) and the control spectra acquired with 180° non-selective pulse (black). The control nonselective single-pulse excitation spectrum is shown in blue. g) were acquired with 180° non-selective single-pulse excitation spectra acquired with 180° non-selective pulse (black). The control nonselective single-pulse excitation spectra acquired with 180° non-selective pulse (black). The control nonselective single-pulse excitation spectra acquired with 180° non-selective pulse (black). The control nonselective single-pulse excitation spectra acquired with 192 scans; the DANTE delay was $2\tau_r$. The spectra were acquired at 20.0 T (a-d) and 14.1 T (f-g); the MAS frequency was 40 kHz.



Figure S7. a) 2D ¹⁹F-¹⁹F RFDR spectra acquired at the MAS frequency of 50 kHz. The RFDR mixing was 8.0 ms. b) 1D slices of the 2D ¹⁹F-¹⁹F spectra extracted at positions indicated in a). The peak widths are indicated for the spectra acquired with the MAS frequencies of 50 kHz and 60 kHz in black and orange, respectively.



Figure S8. a) Pulse sequence for the 1D RFDR experiment with ¹⁹F DANTE-excitation. b),c) 1D ¹⁹F-¹⁹F DANTE-RFDR spectra with DANTE 90° selective excitation applied to the ¹⁹F resonances of 2CF₃ (b) and 8CF₃ (c), respectively. Spectra acquired with RFDR mixing times of 1.6 ms and 8.0 ms are shown in black and blue, respectively. The position of the DANTE excitation is shown with arrows and the resonances to which the magnetization was transferred by asterisks. d),e) Left: Experimental and simulated ¹⁹F-¹⁹F DANTE-RFDR magnetization exchange curves for the 8CF₃ (d) and 2CF₃ (e) resonances. The experimental data points are shown as black circles, the simulated curves, as dashed lines. In d), the 2CF₃ spins were excited by DANTE pulses and magnetization was transferred to the 8CF₃ spins during RFDR mixing period. In e), DANTE excitation was applied to the 8CF₃ resonances and magnetization was transferred to the 2CF₃ groups. Errors in the data points as defined by the standard deviation of the noise in a region of over 10 ppm are smaller than the size of the circles. The RMSDs of the simulated DANTE-RFDR magnetization exchange curves (dashed lines) are 0.008 (orange, the 2CF₃ – 2CF₃ distance is 7.2 Å) and 0.014 (black, the 2CF₃ – 2CF₃ distance is 7.4 Å) for 8CF₃ (d) and 0.014 for 2CF₃ (e). Right: Sets of interfluorine distances used in the 5-spin simulations, see also Table S4.



Figure S9. 1D ¹⁹F DANTE-RFDR spectra of mefloquine with various RFDR mixing times (black). Following the selective excitation of 8CF₃ signals by DANTE pulses, the magnetization was transferred to 2CF₃ during ¹⁹F-¹⁹F RFDR mixing. The control experiments where the RFDR mixing is absent are shown in red. The spectra were acquired at 14.1 T. The MAS frequency was 40 kHz.



Figure S10. a)-c) Experimental and simulated ¹⁹F DANTE-RFDR magnetization exchange profiles of the 2CF₃ moiety. The experimental data are shown as cyan circles, and the simulated curves as solid lines. The simulations with two-spin systems (a) and multispin simulations with 3-spin, 4spin and 5-spin systems (b) were performed using the ¹⁹F-¹⁹F distances from the crystal structure. Simulations with different ¹⁹F-¹⁹F distances are color coded and the corresponding distances used for each simulation are indicated in the plots or listed in the tables. The interfluorine distance between spin 1 (on which DANTE selective excitation was applied) and spin 2 (to which magnetization was transferred during RFDR mixing period) is shown in a dashed box, for each set of the simulations. The multispin models used in the simulations are illustrated in the top right of c).



Figure S11. a) Experimental and simulated ¹⁹F DANTE-RFDR magnetization exchange curves of the 8CF₃ moiety. The experimental data are shown as black circles. The multispin model used in the simulations is illustrated at the top. Simulations with different ¹⁹F-¹⁹F distance sets are color coded and the corresponding distances are listed in the tables. The interfluorine distance between spin 1 (on which DANTE selective excitation was applied) and spin 2 (to which magnetization was transferred during RFDR mixing period) is shown in the dashed box, for each set of the simulations. b) Comparison of the 2-spin (cyan trace) and 5-spin (red and brown traces) simulations demonstrating the multispin effects and the dominant influence of the shortest distance on the initial magnetization buildup. c) Multispin models used for the 5-spin simulations of 8CF₃ moiety.

Hartmann-Hann matching conditions	Magnetization transfer	¹⁹ F rf field	¹³ C rf field	SNR
$\nu_{19F} + \nu_{13C} \approx 1 \nu_r$	double quantum (DQ)	15	25	8.8
$\nu_{13C} - \nu_{19F} \approx 1 \nu_r$	zero quantum (ZQ)	15	50	5.5
$v_{13C} \approx v_{19F}$	zero quantum (ZQ)	25	23	4.9
$\nu_{19F} + \nu_{13C} \approx 1 \nu_r$	DQ	25	17	4.1
_	_	30	60	4.4
$\nu_{19F} + \nu_{13C} \approx 2.5 \ \nu_r$	DQ	35	65	5.1
$v_{19F} + v_{13C} \approx 1 v_r$	DQ	35	10	5.5

Table S1. Signal-to-noise ratios (SNR) for the most efficient ¹⁹F-¹³C CP conditions identified for
mefloquine. The MAS frequency was 40 kHz.

Table S2. MAS NMR Experimental and DFT Calculated ¹³C Isotropic Chemical Shifts and Interatomic Distances in Mefloquine

Carbon atom	δ^{13} C (ppm) MAS NMR		δ ¹³ C (ppm) DFT	Distance to ¹⁹ F (Å)	
	а	b		2C F 3	8C F 3
C4	147.5	148	157.2	4.5 [*]	5.2
C2	144.3	145	150.9	2.3	4.1
C8a	143.6	143.2	146.7	4.1	3
C7	129.7		134.3	6.3	3.4
C5	12	26.8	132.4	6.2	4.9
C6	125.2	124.7	128.7	6.8	4.6
8 C F ₃	123.0	122.6	126.2	5	1.3
C8	122.2#		126.6	5	2.4
C4a	120.8#		125.1	4.9	4.3
2 C F ₃	120.1		122.4	1.3	4.7
C3	114.3	114.1	114.2	3.4	5.2
C11	67.7		71.7	5.8	6.7
C12	59.3	58.8	58.4	6.3	7
C14	47		44.9	8.5	9
C15	23.1, 22.4	(22.3-23.6)	24.8	8.1	9.1
C17	21.7, 21.4	(21.3-22.0)	21.4	5.7	7
C16	21.0, 20.1	(19.8-20.9)	20.3	6.8	7.7

*Resonances were assigned on the basis of ¹⁹F-¹³C CPMAS, ¹H-¹³C CPMAS and ¹⁹F-¹³C HETCOR spectra. Correlations detected in 2D FC-HETCOR with the 7.0 ms CP contact time are highlighted in green and those only detected with the 1ms CP contact in light green.

Residue	a 2CF ₃	a 8CF ₃	b 2CF ₃	b 8CF ₃	c 2CF ₃	c 8CF ₃
a 2CF ₃	4.5	5.4				
a 8CF ₃	7.9/8.0	12.9				
b 2CF ₃	7.4/7.4/10.6/10.6	10.6/10.6/11.3/11.3	17.8	5.4		
b 8CF ₃	4.5 /4.7/6.0/6.0	5.4/5.5/10.0/10.0	13.2/13.2	9.7		
c 2CF ₃	12.8/15.5/–/–	10.7/10.9/16.7/-	11.8/14.2/_/_	11.9/15.1/_/_	10.5	5.4
c 8CF ₃	9.9/10.5 /17.3/-	6.9/7.0/17.7/-	10.6/15.7/_/_	7.8/17.7/_/_	8.3/14.9/_/_	13.7

Table S3. Intramolecular and intermolecular ¹⁹F-¹⁹F distances (Å) in the mefloquine crystal structure*

 * The distances between CF₃ groups were measured using spherical pseudoatoms at the geometry center of the three fluorine atoms in each CF₃ group.

The intramolecular and intermolecular distances are marked in light blue and orange, respectively. Intermolecular distances longer than 18 Å are not included.

Type of Interfluorine Distances	Simulation	X-ray
	5.5 Å	5.5 Å
2CF ₃ – 8CF ₃	8.0 Å	8.0 Å
	9.9 Å	9.9 Å
	10.5 Å	10.5 Å
$2CF_3 - 2CF_3$	7.4 Å / 7.2 Å	7.4 Å
(Simulation for 8CF ₃ resonance)	10.6 Å	
8C F ₃ – 8C F ₃ (Simulation for 2CF₃ resonance)	7.0 Å	7.0 Å

Table S4. Sets of Interfluorine Distances for the 5-spin Simulations and in the X-ray Crystal Structure

Example simulation script

SIMPSON script for multispin simulation of DANTE(excitation)-RFDR magnetization exchange curves using the XY814 phase cycling scheme. The simulated curves need to be rescaled to match the intensities of experimental measurements. The simulation script for 2CF₃ groups with 5-spin systems is shown as an example.

spinsys { channels 19F nuclei 19F 19F 19F 19F 19F shift 1 3.65p 40p 0 0 0 0 shift 2 -3.65p 40p 0 0 0 0 shift 3 3.65p 40p 0 0 0 0 shift 4 3.65p 40p 0 0 0 0 shift 5 3.65p 40p 0 0 0 0 dipole 1 2 -207.873 0 60 0 dipole 3 2 -91.9389 0 60 0 dipole 4 2 -109.689 0 60 0 dipole 5 2 -639.704 0 60 0 dipole 1 3 -310.294 0 60 0 } par { proton_frequency 599.8e6 spin rate 40000 sw spin rate/32 np 80 crystal file zcw615 gamma angles 13 start operator I2x detect operator -I1z verbose 1101 variable FRF 73000 variable FRF2 73000 variable tr 1.0e6/spin rate } proc pulseq {} { global par maxdt 2 set taur [expr 1.0e6/\$par(spin_rate)] set F180 [expr 0.5e6/\$par(FRF)] set tau1 [expr \$taur-\$F180] set tau2 [expr \$tau1/2.0] #set tau1 [expr \$taur/2] #set tau2 [expr \$tau1/2] reset # ----- RFDR (XY814) -----delay \$tau2 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 0 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 90 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 0 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 90 delay \$tau1

pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 90 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 0 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 90 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 0 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 90 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 180 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 90 . delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 180 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 180 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 90 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 180 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 90 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 180 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 270 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 180 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 270 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 270 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 180 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 270 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 180 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 270 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 0 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 270 delav \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 0 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 0 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 270 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 0 delay \$tau1 pulse \$F180 \$par(FRF2) 270 delav \$tau2 # ----- RFDR (XY814)-----store 1 #set i to 1 and accumulate reset for {set i 1} {\$i <= 1} {incr i} { prop 1 }

```
store 10
  store 100
  reset
  acq
  for {set i 2} {$i <= $par(np)} {incr i} {
   reset
   prop 100
   acq
   reset
   prop 100
   prop 10
   store 100
}
}
 proc main {} {
  global par
  set File [open "$par(name).res" w]
  set f [fsimpson]
  faddlb $f -0 0
 fsave $f $par(name).fid
for {set i 1} {$i <= $par(np)} {incr i} {
 # set duration [expr $i*16*$par(tr)]
   set Sr [findex $f $i -re]
set Si [findex $f $i -im]
   puts $File "[expr sqrt($Sr*$Sr+$Si*$Si)/2.0]"
  }
  funload $f
  close $File
}
```