## Supporting Materials - Electrostatic complementarity at the interface drives transient protein-protein interactions

Greta Grassmann,<sup>1,2</sup> Lorenzo Di Rienzo,<sup>2</sup> Giorgio Gosti,<sup>2,3</sup> Marco Leonetti,<sup>2,3</sup> Giancarlo Ruocco,<sup>2,4</sup> Mattia Miotto,<sup>2,5,6</sup> and Edoardo Milanetti<sup>4,2,5,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemical Sciences "Alessandro Rossi Fanelli", Sapienza University of Rome, P.Le A. Moro 5, 00185, Rome, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Center for Life Nano & Neuro Science, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Viale Regina Elena 291, 00161, Rome, Italy <sup>3</sup>Soft and Living Matter Laboratory, Institute of Nanotechnology,

Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, 00185, Rome, Italy

<sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, Sapienza University, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185, Rome, Italy

<sup>5</sup>The authors contributed equally to the present work.

<sup>6</sup>Corresponding authors:

mattia.miotto@roma1.infn.it

edoardo.milanetti@uniroma1.it

## I. ADDITIONAL FIGURES AND TABLES

Complex	Dimer	Structure	Co	mplex	Dimer	Structure	Complex	Dimer	Structure	1	Complex	Class	Structure
1bd9	SBR-hom	SS	1	z68	SBR-hom	SS	3h53	SBR-hom	HH	1	4x6x	IBR-hom	HH
1bht	nIBR-hom	SS	1	zn8	IBR-hom	HH	3hkv	nIBR-hom	SS	1	4y2h	nIBR-hom	SH
1a4r	SBR-hom	HH	1	.zq9	nIBR-hom	HH	3hju	nIBR-het	HH	1	4zcb	nIBR-hom	SS
1c3i	SBR-hom	HH	1	zuo	nIBR-hom	HH	3jus	nIBR-hom	HH	1	4z18	nIBR-het	SS
1azv	SBR-hom	SS	1	yzx	nIBR-hom	HH	3hup	SBR-hom	SS	1	4za0	nIBR-hom	HH
1ekf	SBR-hom	SS	2	avd	IBR-hom	HH	3kv6	nIBR-hom	HH	1	4yep	SBR-hom	SS
1d6n	nIBR-hom	HH	2	lask	IBR-hom	SS	31f5	nIBR-hom	HH	1	4z9o	nIBR-het	HS
1deh	SBR-hom	HH	2	lath	nIBR-hom	HH	3lgd	nIBR-hom	HH	1	4zmv	SBR-hom	SS
1ckg	SBR-hom	HH	2	2a1j	nIBR-het	HH	3lxb	SBR-hom	HH	1	4zte	SBR-hom	SS
1f05	SBR-hom	HH	2	cb5	nIBR-hom	HH	3lhr	IBR-hom	HH	1	5btq	SBR-hom	HH
1f3h	IBR-hom	HH	2	dc3	nIBR-het	HH	3mqm	nIBR-hom	HH	1	5b0h	nIBR-hom	SS
1itq	nIBR-hom	HH	2	legd	nIBR-het	HH	3mdg	IBR-hom	SS	1	5d7p	SBR-hom	HH
1il0	IBR-hom	HH	2	leav	SBR-hom	HH	3mtr	nIBR-het	SS	1	5f1a	SBR-hom	HH
1i3k	IBR-hom	HH	2	gh5	nIBR-hom	HH	3mgm	IBR-hom	HH	1	5duq	nIBR-hom	HH
1jcq	nIBR-het	HH	2	g76	nIBR-hom	HH	3n8e	SBR-hom	SS	]	5f9s	nIBR-hom	HH
1j1b	nIBR-het	HH	2	grn	nIBR-het	HH	3mzg	nIBR-het	SH	]	5h9q	IBR-hom	SS
1jt3	nIBR-hom	SS	2	gk2	SBR-hom	SS	3nl7	nIBR-het	HH		5hpg	IBR-hom	SS
1iv5	SBR-hom	HH	2	h2n	IBR-hom	SS	300y	nIBR-hom	HH	]	5j8e	SBR-hom	HH
1j2e	IBR-hom	SS	2	h4x	IBR-hom	HH	30sk	SBR-hom	SS	]	5jg8	SBR-hom	HH
1k6m	nIBR-hom	HH	2	ha8	nIBR-het	HH	3o2s	nIBR-het	HH		5173	nIBR-het	SS
1181	SBR-hom	HH	2	hp4	nIBR-hom	SS	3pdj	nIBR-het	HH	]	5lvr	nIBR-het	HH
1kfu	nIBR-het	HH	2	hdj	SBR-hom	HH	3q18	IBR-hom	HH		5mgr	nIBR-hom	SS
1k3y	SBR-hom	HH	2	he0	IBR-hom	HH	3smj	nIBR-hom	SS		5m4g	nIBR-hom	HH
1juo	SBR-hom	HH	2	hqx	nIBR-hom	SS	3twq	nIBR-hom	HH		5mol	nIBR-hom	SS
1k9k	SBR-hom	HH	2	2i7d	IBR-hom	HH	3v8c	IBR-hom	SS		5lxf	SBR-hom	HH
1m6h	SBR-hom	HH	2	2i99	IBR-hom	HH	3up1	nIBR-hom	SS		50hh	SBR-hom	SS
1m4r	SBR-hom	HH	2	hth	nIBR-het	SS	3umz	nIBR-hom	SS		5010	nIBR-hom	HH
1nbq	SBR-hom	SS	2	20fx	IBR-hom	HH	3vpm	nIBR-hom	HH		5t3v	IBR-hom	HH
1p4r	nIBR-hom	HH	2	053	IBR-hom	HH	4dkc	SBR-hom	HH		5u0i	IBR-hom	HH
1pgt	SBR-hom	HH	2	006	SBR-hom	HH	4f5y	IBR-hom	HH		5vr6	SBR-hom	HH
1pe0	IBR-hom	HH	2	2pla	IBR-hom	HH	4en4	SBR-hom	HH		5vbr	SBR-hom	HH
1psr	nIBR-hom	HH	2	pn7	nIBR-het	SS	4g03	nIBR-hom	HH		5uq2	nIBR-het	HH
lqha	nIBR-hom	HH		2qjt	SBR-hom	HH	4hfg	IBR-hom	HH		5vxa	IBR-hom	HH
lqin	SBR-hom	HH	2	qpp	nIBR-het	HH	4hw5	nIBR-hom	HH		5x67	IBR-hom	HH
1qr2	IniBR-hom	HH	2	r83	nIBR-hom	SS	4idn	nIBR-het	HH		5wi2	IBR-hom	SS
1109	SBR-hom	HH	2	zəd	nIBR-het	HH	4gr7	IBR-hom	55		6bml	niBR-het	HH
1szb	SBR-hom	55	2	ZOI	IIIBR-hom	HH	41nc	SBR-hom	HH		obqu 515	IBK-hom	HH
1qpt	SBR-hom	55	3	om4	IDD L.	55	41y4	IBR-hom	нн		oy15	EDD b-	HH
1tgz	CDD L.	SH	3	DS9	CDD L	55	4011	IDD h.	HH		bC1C	JDD h-	HH
1140	SDR-nom			acas	SDR-nom	пп	4004	IDR-nom	пп		Cfb 4	IDR-nom	пп
11130	IIIBR-nom		3	ee2	SBR-nom	HH CC	4p2y	SPD harr	511		0ID4 6dum	DDR-nom	22
1vec	SPD here		3	cnk m4o	DDR-nom	22	40rs	DDR-nom	ده ۱۱۱		6 a0a	IDD horr	сс 111
1041	nIBP hom	5H HH	0	g4e a3d	IBP hom	оо 111	4020 4pag	SBD hom		{	6g6c	SBD hom	
1 WIK	SBD hom			gou ara	nIBD hom	пп	4pzg 4rco	nIBD hot	 		0g0s 6afb	SBR-HOIII	
1 wsr	IBR hom	- 35 - 111		oros of8a	IBP hom	nn cc	41Ca 4r14	SBD hom	22		6fuz	SBR-HOIII	
1XW0	SBD hor			nog gol	IBR hore	аа 111	41.14	DBR-nom	56 99	{	01VZ 6fmo	DDR-flom	пп
1ypq 1yb5	IBR hom	- 35 - 111	1	gai	nIBD kot		4run 4w5v	nIBR hot	сс 12		6 giu	SBD hom	оп НН
1y00	nIBR-hom	SH	3	1900 1930	nIBR-hom	оо НН	4w5v 4uc4	SBR-hom	50		6gzm	SBR-hom	пп НН
1 yrv	IBP hom	- 511 - 111	0	Reiv	SBD hom	- 1111 - ЦЦ	4004	nIBD hot	- 55 - 111		ogzin	SDR-HOIII	1111
1 y 1 K	1DR-nom	пп	c c	gix	SDR-nom	пп	4W11	I mon-net	пп	J			

TABLE I: 'Human' dataset. For each of the 199 considered complexes the name of the pdb, the classification of the dimer and the classification of the secondary structure are reported respectively in the Complex, Dimer and Structure columns.

Complex	$B_a$	Complex	$B_a$	•	Complex	$B_a$
1jtd -	-10.57	1y34	-9.28		2uuy	-8.25
1bvn -	-11.05	1wr1	-4.83		2ptt	-5.4
1j7d	-5.7	1wrd	-3.39		2uyz	-7.09
1emv -	-13.62	1ugh	-10.92		2rnr	-6.82
1grn	-6.41	1y33	-8.77		20mw	-5.0
1jiw	-11.4	1y4a	-8.92		2qc1	-11.0
1e96	-5.57	1y3d	-9.25		2wp3	-6.1
1j7v -	-10.46	1yx6	-4.14		2v9t	-8.09
1ay7	-6.0	1zgu	-4.01		2vlq	-10.64
1lp1	-5.7	1y4d	-8.92		2wwk	-5.89
1lzw	-6.48	1y3c	-10.6		2wo3	-5.64
118c	-8.15	1y6k	-9.0		2wy8	-6.44
1m10	-8.24	1yx5	-3.46		3bh6	-7.02
1kac	-7.83	1y48	-8.77		2z58	-7.8
1lx5	-8.92	2a9k	-7.22		2vlp	-12.27
1lw6	-11.7	1zvy	-10.0		3knb	-6.03
1ry7	-6.64	1zv5	-8.0		3ixe	-5.64
1ta3	-8.05	2b12	-4.7		3kuc	-6.35
1t0p	-4.6	2a78	-7.22		3kud	-5.77
1sq0	-7.52	2hrk	-8.05		3f1p	-3.92
1op9	-9.15	2jti	-6.23		3hct	-5.83
1ri8	-8.54	2k2s	-7.28		3k1r	-9.0
1r8u	-7.89	2hev	-7.21		3bn3	-4.7
1te1	-8.47	2jy6	-4.7		3kw5	-6.41
1 tm 5 -	-10.77	2jt4	-4.4		3e1z	-10.44
1tdq	-7.92	2hth	-3.98		3fpu	-9.92
1tm1 -	-11.52	2f4m	-7.19		3fju	-8.8
1 tm7 -	-11.48	2fuh	-3.52		3ncc	-0.67
1u0s	-6.64	2k6d	-3.77		3n0p	-2.29
1tm3 -	-10.72	2k3s	-5.57		3 me2	-10.17
1to1	-9.34	2k5b	-5.4		3m18	-9.74
1tlh	-3.52	2k8c	-2.74		3ncb	-1.03
1tm4	-9.89	2k8b	-2.74		3nvn	-8.03
1tba	-9.0	2k79	-3.17		3n06	-2.51
1 vet	-7.89	2k7a	-3.17		3mzg	-2.3
1wq1	-4.77	203b	-11.49		3qq8	-5.82
1y1k	-9.52	2knb	-4.96		3tnf	-11.0
1xg2	-8.3	2nqd	-10.41		3ona	-6.17
1wqj	-6.06	2omu	-9.22		3t04	-7.28
1u5s	-2.52	2ka4	-7.24		3qc8	-4.95
1y3b	-9.51	20mx	-6.92		3uyo	-5.17

TABLE II: 'Affinity' dataset. For each of the 123 considered complexes the name of the pdb and the  $B_a$  are reported.



FIG. 1: Charge properties of the dataset. a) On each interacting surface residue a sphere of radius R is built, and the number of interacting residues on the partners surface included in the sphere is counted. The bar plot shows, for increasing values of R (as reported by the labels on the right) and for both the whole dataset and each of the four classes, the fraction of positively or negatively charged residues that can be found close to positive residues, respectively in yellow or ochre. In grey, the fraction of negative residues closed to a negative amino acid. b) For increasing values of R (as reported by the labels on the right) and for both the fraction of positively or null residues that can be found close to positive residues, respectively in yellow or ochre. In grey, the right) and for both the whole dataset and each of the four classes, the fraction of positively or null residues that can be found close to non charged residues, respectively in brown, beige and grey.



FIG. 2: Structural classification of the 'Human' dataset, amino acid composition and charge properties of the classes. a) The complexes in the dataset are divided into SS, HH and SH. The colored boxes report an example for each category. The same colors are used to indicate in the pie chart each class abundance in the dataset. b) For each protein, the sum of the charges of all its residues and only the interacting residues on the surface is computed. For each complex, these total and interacting charges from the two interacting partners are multiplied. The bar plot shows, for the whole dataset and each class, the percentage of complexes whose total (in orange) and interacting (in blue) products are negative. c) On each interacting surface residue a sphere of radius R is built, and the number of interacting residues on the right) and for both the whole dataset and each of the three classes, the fraction of positively or negatively charged residues that can be found close to positive residues, respectively in yellow or ochre. In grey, the fraction of negative residues closed to a negative amino acid. d) For increasing values of R (as reported by the labels on the three classes, the fraction of positively or null residues that can be found close to non charged residues, respectively in brown, beige and grey. e) The relative abundances of each of the twenty natural amino acids considering all the residues (orange), only the interacting ones (in green), and only the solvent-exposed residues (brown) are shown. The results are divided into the three classes.



FIG. 3: Electrostatic complementarity contribution in protein-protein complexes. Distributions of the F values of the interacting patches in complexes from the IBR-hom (blue) and nIBR-hom (green) classes. In the insert the corresponding ROC curves.



FIG. 4: **2D** Zernike polynomials to compare surfaces regions. a) Distributions of the distances between the Zernike vectors describing the molecular surface of nIBR-het and SBR-hom interacting (red and yellow respectively) and random (grey) patches in the Human dataset. The distribution of all the patches in the dataset is shown in orange. b) ROC curves of the distributions in a) and corresponding AUC (in the legend) computed against the random distribution. c) For each patch the distance between the Zernike vectors describing the electrostatic potential surface in that region is computed. Then the same analysis and classification as in a) is performed. d) ROC curves of the distributions in c) and corresponding AUC (in the legend) computed against the random distributions in c) and corresponding AUC (in the legend) computed against the random distributions in c) and corresponding AUC (in the legend) computed against the random distribution.

## **II. PREDICTION TESTING**

To test the observed anti-correlation between binding affinity and electrostatic complementarity we selected a third dataset, that we call 'Variants' dataset. This dataset includes five SARS-CoV-2 variants of concerns (VOCs) (alpha, beta, gamma, delta and omicron) with known dissociation constant  $(K_d)$  [1].

The 'Variants' dataset was obtained starting from the experimentally resolved structure of the wild-type (WT) spike protein bound to Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme 2 (ACE2) (pdb id: 6M0J). Since not all the VOCs have an available experimental structure, WT was subjected to computational mutagenesis using the dedicated tool provided in the PyMol software [2]. We selected the ACE2 residues from 19 to 615 in complex with spike residues from 333 to 526, and we only considered the mutations in the spike Receptor Binding Domain (RBD), including residues from 319 to 541, because those are the interacting regions [3, 4]. For each complex, we performed with Gromacs 2020.6 [5] a 100-ns-long molecular dynamics simulation and extracted configurations of the system every 1 ns. For this dataset, to reduce the computational time, the centers of all the frames' interacting regions were defined using the starting structure of the spike protein original version. We super-positioned each structure with the original spike protein, and selected the points closest to the binding region on this original version. To increase the volume of our data despite the low number of complexes, for each frame we defined N pairs of interacting patches, where N corresponds to the 5% of the points forming the surface mesh included in that interacting region. To avoid redundancy in the analysis we defined the patches with a radius of 6 Å. Since for this dataset we only performed the Zernike-based complementarity evaluation, this radius value was already shown to result in the highest efficiency [6]. The so-defined interacting patches include the points of the electrostatic surfaces that are projected in the electrostatic matrices. The electrostatic surfaces and the electrostatic matrices were instead obtained as described in the Methods in the main text.

We then applied the Zernike formalism to measure the complementarity between ACE2 and each variants for all the simulation frames. Table III shows for each variant the experimental  $K_d$  and the shape ( $Z_s$  column) and electrostatic ( $Z_{el}$  column) complementarities measured in terms of Euclidean distances between the Zernike descriptors, as discussed in the main text. As expected, complexes with lower  $K_d$  (higher binding stability) have higher shape complementarity (smaller  $Z_s$  values) because the role of the Lennard-Jones potential predominates. On the other hand, complexes with higher  $K_d$  (lower binding affinity) tend to have a higher electrostatic complementarity (smaller  $Z_{el}$  values) because they exploit Coulombic complementarity to acquire specificity. This would seem to confirm that electrostatic complementarity has greater role in less stable complexes.

Variant	$K_D$	$Z_s$	$Z_{el}$
Alpha	5.40	2.97	17.88
Gamma	11.00	2.96	17.96
Beta	13.83	2.96	18.14
Delta	25.07	3.01	17.40
Omicron	31.40	3.05	16.87

TABLE III: Dissociation constant and shape and electrostatic complementarity for five SARS-CoV-2 variants. List of the SARS-CoV-2 variants considered in this study with their  $K_d$  as measured by Han, P. *et al* [1] in nM, and the shape  $(Z_s \text{ column})$  and electrostatic  $(Z_{el} \text{ column})$  complementarities measured in terms of Euclidean distances between the Zernike descriptors.

- Pengcheng Han, Linjie Li, Sheng Liu, Qisheng Wang, Di Zhang, Zepeng Xu, Pu Han, Xiaomei Li, Qi Peng, Chao Su, et al. Receptor binding and complex structures of human ace2 to spike rbd from omicron and delta sars-cov-2. *Cell*, 185(4):630–640, 2022.
- [2] Warren L DeLano et al. Pymol: An open-source molecular graphics tool. CCP4 Newsl. Protein Crystallogr, 40(1):82–92, 2002.
- [3] Renhong Yan, Yuanyuan Zhang, Yaning Li, Lu Xia, Yingying Guo, and Qiang Zhou. Structural basis for the recognition of sars-cov-2 by full-length human ace2. *Science*, 367(6485):1444–1448, 2020.
- [4] Gabriele Cerutti, Yicheng Guo, Tongqing Zhou, Jason Gorman, Myungjin Lee, Micah Rapp, Eswar R Reddem, Jian Yu, Fabiana Bahna, Jude Bimela, et al. Potent sars-cov-2 neutralizing antibodies directed against spike n-terminal domain target a single supersite. *Cell host & microbe*, 29(5):819–833, 2021.
- [5] David Van Der Spoel, Erik Lindahl, Berk Hess, Gerrit Groenhof, Alan E Mark, and Herman JC Berendsen. Gromacs: fast, flexible, and free. Journal of computational chemistry, 26(16):1701–1718, 2005.
- [6] Edoardo Milanetti, Mattia Miotto, Lorenzo Di Rienzo, Michele Monti, Giorgio Gosti, and Giancarlo Ruocco. 2d zernike polynomial expansion: Finding the protein-protein binding regions. *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal*, 19:29–36, 2021.