

Supplementary Materials for

RAD51 bypasses the CMG helicase to promote replication fork reversal

Wenpeng Liu, Yuichiro Saito, Jessica Jackson, Masato T. Kanemaki, Alessandro Vindigni, and David Cortez

Correspondence to: <u>david.cortez@vanderbilt.edu</u>

This PDF file includes:

Materials and Methods Supplementary Text Figs. S1 to S8 Tables S1 and S2

Materials and Methods

Cell lines

U2OS (RRID:CVCL_0042) and HEK293T (RRID:CVCL_0063) cells were cultured in DMEM with 7.5% fetal bovine serum (FBS). HCT116 (RRID:CVCL_0291) cells were cultured in McCoy's 5A supplemented with 7.5% FBS. HEK293T and U2OS cells for iPOND mass spectrometry experiments were cultured in SILAC-compatible DMEM + 7.5% dialyzed FBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific, catalog no. A33822; R&D Systems, catalog no. S12850H), supplemented with either isotopically light or heavy ¹³C, ¹⁵N lysine, and ¹³C, ¹⁵N arginine. Cells were cultured at 37°C and 5% CO₂ with humidity. All cell lines were regularly tested for mycoplasma and verified using short tandem repeat profiling. U2OS, and HEK293T cells are female. HCT116 cells are male. HCT116 MCM2-AID2, MCM3-AID2, MCM4-AID2 and GINS4-AID2 cells were generated by CRISPR-Cas9 mediated genome editing using previously described methods (*15, 55*). Briefly, we designed a CRISPR-Cas9 plasmid for targeting the following gene locus.

MCM2: 5'-GAGGCCCTATGCCATCCATA(AGG)-3'

MCM3: 5'-CTACCTCCACCAAAGTCGCG(TGG)-3'

MCM4: 5'-GGTGTGGTCTGCATCTCAGT(TGG)-3' GINS4: 5'-ATGCCTAGTTTTAAATTAGC(TGG)-3'

A donor plasmid harboring mAID and a selection marker with two homology arms (about 500 bp each) was constructed. A parental HCT116 cell line stably expressing sTIR1(F74G) from the AAVS1 locus was transfected with the CRISPR and donor plasmids. Subsequently, colonies were formed in selection medium. After isolation of potential clones, the biallelic insertion of the tagging construct was checked by genomic PCR, and then the expression of the fusion protein was confirmed by immunoblotting.

iPOND proteomics

Proteomics changes in U2OS cells, 293T cells and HCT116 cells were determined using iPOND-SILAC MS as described previously (56). Briefly, EdU labeled and indicated drug treated cells were harvested following cross-linking with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min and quenched with glycine. Cells were permeabilized in 0.25% Triton X-100/PBS for 30 min. Heavy labeled and light labeled cells were combined 1:1 prior to performing the click reaction for 2 hr. Streptavidin coupled C1 magnabeads were utilized to capture DNA-protein complexes, washed, and boiled in sample buffer to reverse the cross-links. The samples were separated by SDS-PAGE gel and digested with trypsin. MudPIT analysis was performed on the extracted peptides using an eight-step gradient. MaxQuant was utilized for peptide and protein identification. $5x10^8$ cells per sample were utilized for CMG level analysis in HEK293T cells and U2OS cells. To examine protein changes in MCM2 degron cells, cells were incubated for 10 min with 10 μ M EdU followed by 4 hours of 4 mM HU and 2μ M 5-ph-IAA leaving EdU in the media.

Retrovirus production

pLNCX2-RAD51 vectors were transfected with p-VSVG into GP2-293 cells with Fugene HD. Fresh media was added 24 hours after transfection. Virus was collected at 48 and 72

hours after transfection and used to infect HCT116 or U2OS cells. Cells are selected with $500\mu g/ml$ G418 to establish stable cell lines.

Nascent strand degradation assays

Nascent strand degradation assays were performed using DNA fiber spreading as described previously (17). Cells were labeled with nucleoside analogs as indicated in the figures. Following stretching and fixation on glass slides, DNA was denatured in 2.5 M HCl, washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and blocked in 10% goat serum/PBS with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 1 hour. Slides are incubated with mouse anti-BrdU (IdU) and rat anti-BrdU (CldU) antibodies followed by Alexa-Flour 594 anti-rat and Alexa-Flour 488 anti mouse secondary antibodies. Median of the IdU/CldU ratios are plotted, 100-300 fibers were measured for each sample. P values were calculated using a Kruskal-Wallis test.

DNA combing assays

Cells were labeled with the nucleoside analogs as described in the figures. DNA combing was then performed using a DNA combing instrument as previously described (57). The S1 nuclease digestion assay was also completed as previously described with the digestion happening in the low-melting agarose plugs (57).

Immunofluorescence

To measure the amount of proteins in the insoluble/chromatin fraction, cells were detergent extracted with 0.5% Triton X-100 prior to fixing with 3% paraformaldehyde/2% sucrose. Slides were blocked with 1% goat serum in PBST (0.1% triton-X100) and incubated with antibody. EdU was detected using click chemistry with an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated azide. Immunofluorescent images were obtained and analyzed by ImageXpress microscopy and software.

PLA assay for MCM7 and EdU

The Sigma PLA kit protocol was used with the following additions. Cells were pre-extracted and fixed as stated in the immunofluorescence method. Then the cells were blocked with 3% BSA and processed via click chemistry with biotin-azide. MCM7 antibody (Santa Cruz SC-9966) (1:100 dilution) and biotin antibody (CST 5597) (1:200 dilution) were used in the assay.

Electron microscopy

Electron microscopy experiments were performed as previously described (58). 5x10⁶ cells transfected with the indicated siRNAs were collected immediately after treatment with 4 mM hydroxyurea, 20µM DNA2 inhibitor C5 and 25µM MRE11 inhibitor Mirin, with or without 2µM 5-ph-IAA for 4 hours. DNA was cross-linked by incubating with 10 µg/mL 4,5',8-trimethylpsoralen followed by a 3-minute exposure to 366 nm UV light on a precooled metal block, for a total of three rounds. Cells were lysed and genomic DNA was isolated from the nuclei by proteinase K digestion and chloroform-isoamyl alcohol extraction. Genomic DNA was purified by isopropanol precipitation and digested with PvuII HF with the appropriate buffer for 4 hours at 37°C. Replication intermediates were enriched on a benzoylated naphthoylated DEAE-cellulose (Sigma-Aldrich) column. Samples were prepared for visualization by EM by spreading the purified, concentrated DNA on a carbon-coated grid in the presence of benzyl-dimethyl-alkylammonium chloride, followed by platinum rotary shadowing. Images were obtained on a JEOL JEM-1400 electron

microscope using a bottom mounted AMT XR401 camera. Analysis was performed using ImageJ software (National Institute of Health). EM analysis allows distinguishing duplex DNA—which is expected to appear as a 10 nm thick fiber after the platinum/carbon coating step necessary for EM visualization-from ssDNA, which has a reduced thickness of 5-7 nm. Criteria used for the assignment of a three-way junction, indicative of a replication fork, include the joining of three DNA fibers into a single junction, with two symmetrical daughter strands and single parental strand. Reversed replication forks consist of four DNA fibers joined at a single junction, consisting of two symmetrical daughter strands, one parental strand and the addition of a typically shorter fourth strand, representative of the reversed arm. The length of the two daughter strands corresponding to the newly replicated duplex should be equal (b = c), whereas the length of the parental arm and the regressed arm can vary ($a \neq b = c \neq d$). Conversely, canonical Holliday junction structures will be characterized by arms of equal length (a = b, c = d). Particular attention is paid to the junction of the reversed replication fork to observe the presence of a bubble structure, indicating that the junction is opened and that it is simply not the result of the occasional crossover of two DNA molecules. These four-way junctions of reversed replication forks may also be collapsed and other indicators such as daughter strand symmetry, presence of single-stranded DNA at the junction or the entire structure itself, all are considered during analysis.

Cell fractionation

Cells $(1x10^6)$ were harvested and washed with PBS, then lysed on ice for 20 min with 100 µl H150 buffer, which contains 50 mM HEPES (pH7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.5% NP-40 and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). The lysate was centrifuged for 10 min at 5000g, and the supernatant is the soluble fraction. The pellet was washed two times with H150 lysis buffer, and the supernatant discarded. The pellet is then considered the insoluble nuclear fraction. The pellet was resuspended in PBS and 6xSDS loading buffer and boiled prior to SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting.

RAD51 expression vectors and mutagenesis

RAD51 was cloned to pLNCX2 retrovirus vector using Not1 and Sal1 digests. Primers are as follows: NotI-RAD51-F: ATAAGAATGCGGCCGCATGGCAATGCAGATGCA SalI-RAD51-R: AGGCCTGTCGACTCAGTCTTTGGCATCTCCCACTC RAD51 point mutation primers are as follows: I287T F: AACCTACAGGAGGAAATATCATCGCCC R: TCCTGTAGGTTTTTTGGGATCAGCAGC Y232A F: CAGACGCCTCGGGTCGAGGTGAGCT R: CGAGGCGTCTGTTCTGTAAAGGGCGGT G151D F: CGGGACGGAGGTGAAGGAAAG R: TCCGtcCCGGTCAATGGGAA K133R TGGGCGTACCCAGATCTGTCATACGCT TGGGTACGCCCAGTTCGGAATTCTCCAAA II3A (Consists of three point mutations)

R130AF TTCGCAACTGGGAAGACCCAGATCT R130AR CAGTTGCGAATTCTCCAAACATTTCTGTGAT R303AF ATCTGGCGAAAGGAAGAGGGGGAAACCAGA R303AR TTTCGCCAGATACAATCTGGTTGTTGATGCATG K313AF TCTGCGCAATCTACGACTCTCCCTGTCTT K313AR AGATTGCGCAGATTCTGGTTTCCCCTCTTC A293T F: TCACACATGCATCAACAACCAGATTGTATCTG

R: ATGTGTGATGATATTTCCTCCAATAGGTTTTTTGGGA

T131P geneblock is synthesized from Genewiz, then inserted to the pLNCX2 vector. All mutations are sequenced before use.

The miSIFTs geneblocks were synthesized by Genewiz and inserted into the pLNCX2-RAD51 vectors using Sal1 and Stu1 digests. Sequences of the miSFITs are as follows: 1xperfect:

GACTGAGTCGACCTAGCCTACCTGCACTGTAAGCACTTTGAAGGCCTTAATGG 2xperfect:

GACTGAGTCGACCTAGCCTACCTGCACTGTAAGCACTTTGTATCTACCTGCACT GTAAGCACTTTGAAGGCCTTAATGG 8A:

GACTGAGTCGACCTAGCCTACCTGAACTGTAAGCACTTTGAAGGCCTTAATGG 18G:

GACTGAGTCGACCTAGCCTACCTGCACTGTAAGCGCTTTGAAGGCCTTAATGG 9G: GACTGAGTCGACCTAGCCTACCTGCGCTGTAAGCACTTTGAAGGCCTTAATGG 22G:

GACTGAGTCGACCTAGCCTACCTGCACTGTAAGCACTTGGAAGGCCTTAATGG 6C-16C:

GACTGAGTCGACCTAGCCTACCCGCACTGTAACCACTTTGAAGGCCTTAATGG 3C: GACTGAGTCGACCTAGCCTCCCTGCACTGTAAGCACTTTGAAGGCCTTAATGG 12C:

GACTGAGTCGACCTAGCCTACCTGCACTCTAAGCACTTTGAAGGCCTTAATGG 17A-18G:

GACTGAGTCGACCTAGCCTACCTGCACTGTAAGAGCTTTGAAGGCCTTAATGG

RNA interference

All siRNA transfections were performed using DharmaFECT reagents according to the manufacturer's instructions. Experiments were completed 3 days after transfection. See table S2 for siRNA sequences. Qiagen AllStars Negative Control Nontargeting (NT) siRNA was used in samples where a gene-selective siRNA is not indicated or to bring the total amount of siRNA to equal molar concentrations when comparing samples with one versus two siRNAs.

Quantification and statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were completed using Prism. A Kruskal-Wallis test was used for experiments with more than two samples, and P values were calculated by Prism for the multiple comparisons. A two-tailed t test was used to compare two samples with normally distributed data. No statistical methods or criteria were used to estimate sample size or to

include/exclude samples. Statistical details of individual experiments can be found in the figure legends and in Results. Experiments shown are representative of at least two biological replicates unless otherwise indicated in the figure legend.



Fig. S1. Characterization of MCM2-AID2 degron cells.

(A) Immunoblot of MCM2-AID2 cells following 5-ph-IAA treatment and biochemical fractionation. Immunostaining of (B) insoluble MCM2, (C) EdU, (D and E) insoluble MCM7 in MCM2-AID2 cells treated as indicated. Graphs are representative of at least three experiments. P values were calculated using a Kruskal-Wallis test.





(A-B) MCM2-AID2 cells were treated with 5-ph-IAA, and p97 inhibitor NMS-873 in A) and CB-5083 in B) for 2 hours to show that p97 inhibition blocks CMG disassembly. MCM7 was imaged by immunostaining in detergent pre-extracted cells. Representative images and quantitation from a representative experiment are presented. P values were calculated using a Kruskal-Wallis test. (C) Predictions of PLA assay between nascent DNA labeled with EdU and MCM7 depending on the position of the MCM complex during reversal. (D) Model for how

RAD51 strand exchange activity could generate a paranemic joint behind the CMG complex that could be a substrate for fork reversal enzymes.



Fig. S3. Establishment of RAD51 wild-type and mutant cell lines expressing near endogenous levels of RAD51 proteins.

(A) Strategy for creating and analyzing RAD51 wild-type and mutant expressing cell lines. (B) Immunoblot of U2OS cells containing the indicated wild-type RAD51 expression vector. (C-D) Fork protection assay for the indicated cell lines after transfection with siRNAs. All samples

were treated with HU. Graphs are representative of at least three experiments. P values were calculated using a Kruskal-Wallis test. (E) Summary table of the miSFIT vectors and passage numbers used to analyze each RAD51 mutant. (F-I) Immunoblots of the indicated cell lines. Passage numbers are indicated. The miSFIT microRNA tag inserted into the expression vectors are indicated. (WT, wild type; EV, empty vector)



Fig. S4. Analysis of RAD51 expression heterogeneity in stable U2OS cell lines.

(A) Immunofluorescence imaging of RAD51 in the indicated cells. EV: empty vector, siNT: non-targeting siRNA. siRAD51, siRNA targeting endogenous RAD51. (B) Distribution of RAD51 expression level in the indicated cells after transfection with siRNA to deplete endogenous RAD51.

Fig. S5. RAD51 recombinase activity is needed to generate reversed fork substrates for nascent strand degradation.

(A-E) Fork protection assays were completed in the indicated cell lines. All graphs are representative of at least three experiments. Immunoblots show the expression level of RAD51 proteins. (EV, empty vector; NT, non-targeting) (F) Fork protection assays were completed in the indicated cell lines as in Figure 2A after treatment with B02 or DMSO as a control. All graphs are representative of at least three experiments. P values were calculated using a Kruskal-Wallis test. (G) Replication elongation rates in the presence of cisplatin were measured in U2OS cells expressing the indicated RAD51 proteins after transfection with siRNAs. S1 nuclease was used where indicated to determine if ssDNA gaps were present in the IdU replication tracks. P values were calculated using a Kruskal-Wallis test.

Fig. S6. Representative electron microscopy images.

Representative electron microscopy images of (A-D) three- and (E-H) four-way junction replication fork structures. P: parental strand, D: daughter strand and R: reversed arm

Fig. S7. RAD51 is dispensable for fork reversal when MCM proteins are removed from the stalled fork.

(A) Fork protection assay of MCM2-AID2 cells expressing wild type or II3A RAD51. Cells were transfected with siRNA to deplete endogenous RAD51 and treated with 2 μ M 5-ph-IAA to degrade the MCM complex. The graph is representative of two experiments. P values were calculated using a Kruskal-Wallis test. (B) Fork protection assays in MCM2-AID2 cells transfected with indicated siRNAs. 2 μ M 5-ph-IAA and 4mM HU were included in all samples. The graph is representative of at least three experiments. P values were calculated using a Kruskal-Wallis test. (C) iPOND-SILAC-MS was used to quantify the relative amounts of the indicated proteins bound to nascent DNA in the HU-treated MCM2-AID2 cells treated with 5-ph-IAA or DMSO vehicle control. (D) Fork protection assay in MCM2-AID2 cells

overexpressing (OE) FANCD2. The graph is representative of at least three experiments. P values were calculated using a Kruskal-Wallis test. The intensity of (**E and F**) EdU or (**G and H**) MCM7 was measured by immunofluorescence imaging in MCM3-AID2 or MCM4-AID2 cell lines. Cells were incubated with EdU for 20 minutes prior to staining. (I) Percentage of reversed replication forks in MCM2-AID2 cells transfected with the indicated siRNA and treated 72 hours later with DMSO or 5-ph-IAA together with HU, mirin, and C5 for 5 hours. The number of replication intermediates analyzed for each condition is indicated in parentheses.

Fig. S8. Fork reversal model.

(i) Replication stress that causes fork reversal often involves uncoupling of helicase and polymerase activities to generate ssDNA that is bound by RPA at stalled forks. (ii) RAD51 accumulates at stalled forks and utilizes its strand exchange activity to promote invasion of the parental ssDNA into the sister chromatid duplex DNA. (iii) Strand invasion generates a paranemic joint that could be converted to a plectonemic joint by topoisomerases or other strand nicking enzymes. (iv) DNA translocases like SMARCAL1 binds the new fork junction and (v) catalyze branch migration to generate a reversed replication fork.

Function	RAD51 Proteins							
	WT	K133R	I287T	G151D	T131P	A293T	II3A	Y232A
ssDNA binding	Yes	increased	increased	Yes	decreased	decreased	slightly decreased	decreased
dsDNA binding	Yes	increased	increased	Yes	decreased	decreased	slightly decreased	decreased
Filament formation	Yes	hyper stable	Yes	hyper stable	unstable	unstable	Yes	ND
ATPase activity	Yes	No	increased	decreased with DNA, increased without DNA	independent of DNA	decreased	ND	No DNA- dependent activity
BRCA2 binding BRC	Yes	ND	Yes	Yes	ND	ND	ND	ND
BRCA2 binding Exon27	Yes	ND	Yes	Yes	ND	ND	ND	ND
D-loop formation	Yes	Yes	ND	ND	No	No	No	ND
Strand exchange	Yes	Yes	Increased*	increased	No	ND	No	No
Homologous recombination in cells	Yes	decreased	decreased	increased	Yes, in presence of wild-type RAD51, ND on its own	ND	No	ND
References		(33-35)	(31, 59, 60)	(36, 37)	(16, 18, 38, 40)	(40, 41)	(42, 44) (43)	(39, 61)

Table S1. RAD51 protein characteristics

ND, not determined; *increased strand invasion, but products may not resolve properly

Reagent		Source	Catalog number/RRID				
Antibodies							
Mouse monoclonal anti-	-GAPDH	Millipore	MAB374/AB 2107445				
Mouse monoclonal anti-	-BrdU	BD Biosciences	347580/AB 10015219				
Rat monoclonal anti-Br	dU	Abcam	ab6326/AB 305426				
Goat anti-rat Alexa Flue	or 594	Thermo Fisher	A-11007/AB 10561522				
Goat anti-mouse Alexa	Fluor 488	Thermo Fisher	A-11029/AB 2534088				
Mouse monoclonal anti-	-MCM7	Santa Cruz	SC-9966/AB 627235				
Rabbit polyclonal anti-M	мсм3	Bethyl	A300-192A/AB 162726				
Mouse monoclonal anti-	-mAID	MBL	M214-3/AB 2890014				
Rabbit monoclonal anti-	-GINS4	Abcam	Ab139683/				
Rabbit monoclonal anti-	-MCM2	CST	3619S/AB 2142137				
Rabbit polyclonal anti-I	H2B	Abcam	Ab1790/AB_302612				
Mouse monoclonal anti-	-RAD51	Abcam	ab213/AB_302856				
Rabbit monoclonal anti-	-Biotin	CST	5597S/AB 10828011				
Plasmid vectors							
pCMV-FANCD2		Campbell Lab					
pLNCX2		Clontech	631503				
		Chemicals					
Hydroxyurea		Millipore Sigma	H8627				
CldU		Millipore Sigma	C6891				
IdU		Millipore Sigma	17125				
CB-5083		Selleck Chemicals	S8101				
NMS-873		ApexBio	B2168				
MLN-4924		Sigma-Aldrich	5054770001				
B02 inhibitor		Calbiochem	1290541-46-6				
S1 nuclease kit		ThermoFisher	EN0321				
5-ph-IAA		MCE	HY-134653				
Mirin		Millipore Sigma	M9948				
C5		MCE	HY-128729				
Cisplatin		Millipore Sigma	P4394-250MG				
Camptothecin		Sigma-Aldrich	7689-03-4				
		siRNAs					
siRNA	Sequence/Sourc	e	Catalog Number				
SMARCAL1#6	GCUUUGACC	UUCUUAGCAA	J-013058-06-0002				
ZRANB3#2	GAUUCGAUC	UAAUAACAGU	s38488				
SLX4#2	GAAGUGGAA	UUGUCUAGCA	s39054				
MRE11#3	GCUAAUGAC	UCUGAUGAUA	D-009271-03-0010				
DNA2#3	ACAGUUGCC	UGCAUUCUAA	Custom				
RAD51	AAGUGCUGC	AGCCUAAUGAGAGUG	Custom				
BRCA2 pool	Qiagen		S102653595				
HLTF pool	Dharmacon SM	ARTpool	L-006448-00-0005				
MUS81 pool	Dharmacon SM	ARTpool	L-016143-01-0005				
RAD54L	Dharmacon SM	ARTpool	L-004592-00-0005				
RAD51AP1	Dharmacon SM	ARTpool	L-017166-00-0005				
UAF1	Dharmacon SM	ARTpool	L-016462-01-0005				
PRIMPOL	Dharmacon SM	ARTpool	L-016804-02-0005				

Table S2. Antibodies, chemicals, and siRNAs utilized.