

Risk of bias domains

		D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	Overall	
Study	Baldassarri et al, 2021 [32]	8	+	-	+	<u>–</u>	<u>–</u>	8	-	
	Zhang et al, 2021 [34]	<u>–</u>	+	<u>–</u>	8	<u>–</u>	×	8	×	-
	Peruzzu et al, 2021 [38]	<u>–</u>	+	-	<u>–</u>	+	+	<u> </u>	-	
	Campos et al, 2021 [35]	+	<u>–</u>	8	+	+	•	<u> </u>	-	
	Fallerini et al, 2021 [37]	+	+	<u>–</u>	?	<u>–</u>	<u>–</u>	8	-	
	Vishvakarma et al, 2022 [33]	<u>–</u>	<u> </u>	?	?	+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	
	Welén et al, 2022 [39]	+	+	+	<u>–</u>	+	+	_	+	
	Li et al, 2022 [36]	<u>–</u>	+	+	?	+	<u>–</u>	_	-	
	Ghosh et al, 2022 [31]	<u>–</u>	+	-	+	+	<u>–</u>	_	+	

Domains:

- D1: bias due to confounding
- D2: bias arising from measurement of the exposure
- D3: bias in selection of participants into the study (or into the analysis)
- D4: bias due to post-exposure interventions
- D5: bias due to missing data
- D6: bias arising from measurement of the outcome
- D7: bias in selection of the reported result

Judgement

- Very high
- High
- <u>-</u> Some concerns
- Low
- ? No information

Supplement Fig. 2. Risk Of Bias In Non-randomized Studies - of Exposure (ROBINS-E) assessment for studies in the preclinical setting included in the systematic review.