

## Thiesler et al.

Proinflammatory macrophage activation by the polysialic acid-Siglec-16 axis is linked to increased survival of glioblastoma patients

### Supplementary Tables S1-S14

#### Supplementary Table S1.

Demographic and clinical parameters of GB patient cohorts

	MHH cohort n = 70	UMG cohort n = 100
<b>Age at primary resection [years]</b>		
Median	60	65
Range	32 - 85	25 - 80
<b>Overall survival [months]</b>		
Median	10	13
Range	0 - 76	1 - 55
<b>Sex: female/male (ratio)</b>	31 / 39 (1 : 1.26)	43 / 57 (1 : 1.33)
<b>Extent of resection: n (%)</b>		
Gross total resection	37 (54%)	75 (75%)
Sub-total resection	25 (36%)	24 (24%)
Biopsy	8 (11%)	1 (1%)
<b>IDH1/2 mutation: n (%)</b>	not determined	3 (3%)
<b>MGMT gene promoter methylation: n (%)</b>	not determined	35 (35%)
<b>Therapeutic intervention after surgery: n (%)</b>		
Combined radio- and chemotherapy <sup>a</sup>	45 (64%)	100 (100%)
Radiotherapy	18 (26%)	0 (0%)
No adjuvant therapy	7 (10%)	0 (0%)

<sup>a</sup> MHH: temozolomide, UMG: temozolomide, nimustine, or carmustine

**Supplementary Table S2.**

Characteristics of the two cohorts of n = 70 (MHH) and n = 100 (UMG) GB patients stratified for the presence of a functional *SIGLEC16* allele (A) or for the occurrence of polySia on tumor cells (B)

**A. Comparison of *SIGLEC16*-positive and -negative GB patients**

		<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+P</sup>	Statistics	
Distribution n (%)	MHH	45 (64%)	25 (36%)	<i>P</i> = 0.116 (MHH versus UMG)	Fisher's exact test
	UMG	51 (51%)	49 (49%)		
	MHH+UMG	96 (56%)	74 (44%)		
Age [years] <sup>a</sup> median ± SD (range)	MHH	58 ± 12 (35-80)	61 ± 13 (32-85)	<i>P</i> = 0.481	Mann-Whitney test
	UMG	66 ± 10 (37-80)	64 ± 13 (25-78)	<i>P</i> = 0.294	
Sex female /male (ratio)	MHH	23 / 22 (1 : 0.96)	8 / 17 (1 : 2.13)	<i>P</i> = 0.140	Fisher's exact test
	UMG	21 / 30 (1 : 1.43)	22 / 27 (1 : 1.23)	<i>P</i> = 0.840	
Gross total resection n (%) <sup>c</sup>	MHH	23 (51%)	14 (56 %)	<i>P</i> = 0.804	Fisher's exact test
	UMG	34 (66%)	41 (84%)	<i>P</i> = 0.065	
Chemotherapy <sup>b</sup> n (%) <sup>c</sup>	MHH	28 (62%)	17 (68 %)	<i>P</i> = 0.795	Fisher's exact test
	UMG	51 (100%)	49 (100%)	<i>P</i> > 0.999	
<i>MGMT</i> promotor methylated <sup>d</sup> n (%) <sup>c</sup>	UMG	16 (31%)	19 (39%)	<i>P</i> = 0.530	Fisher's exact test
Cases with polySia-positive tumor cells n (%) <sup>c</sup>	MHH	38 (84%)	22 (88%)	<i>P</i> > 0.999	Fisher's exact test
	UMG	39 (77%)	43 (88%)	<i>P</i> = 0.194	
	MHH+UMG	76 (79%)	65 (88%)	<i>P</i> = 0.154	

<sup>a</sup> Age at primary resection

<sup>b</sup> MHH: temozolomide, UMG: temozolomide, nimustine, or carmustine

<sup>c</sup> % of all *SIGLEC16*<sup>P/P</sup> or *SIGLEC16*<sup>+P</sup> (A) and polySia-positive or -negative cases (B), respectively

<sup>d</sup> For MHH cohort not determined

**Supplementary Table S2 (continued).**

**B. Comparison of GB patients with polySia-positive and -negative tumor cells**

		<b>polySia-positive tumor cells</b>	<b>no polySia-positive tumor cells</b>	<b>Statistics</b>	
Distribution n (%)	MHH	60 (86%)	10 (14%)	<i>P</i> = 0.675 (MHH versus UMG)	Fisher's exact test
	UMG	82 (82%)	18 (18%)		
	MHH+UMG	142 (84%)	28 (16%)		
Age [years] <sup>a</sup> median ± SD (range)	MHH	59.5 ± 13 (32-85)	60 ± 11 (43-78)	<i>P</i> = 0.682	Mann-Whitney test
	UMG	65.5 ± 12 (25-80)	64.5 ± 11 (37-79)	<i>P</i> = 0.861	
Sex female /male (ratio)	MHH	25 / 34 (1 : 1.36)	6 / 5 (1 : 0.83)	<i>P</i> = 0.520	Fisher's exact test
	UMG	35 / 47 (1 : 1.34)	8 / 10 (1 : 1.25)	<i>P</i> > 0.999	
Gross total resection n (%) <sup>c</sup>	MHH	32 (53%)	5 (50%)	<i>P</i> > 0.999	Fisher's exact test
	UMG	62 (75%)	13 (72%)	<i>P</i> = 0.769	
Chemotherapy <sup>b</sup> n (%) <sup>c</sup>	MHH	40 (68%)	5 (46%)	<i>P</i> = 0.183	Fisher's exact test
	UMG	82 (100%)	18 (100%)	<i>P</i> > 0.999	
<i>MGMT</i> promotor methylated <sup>d</sup> n (%) <sup>c</sup>	UMG	28 (34%)	7 (39%)	<i>P</i> = 0.787	Fisher's exact test
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+P</sup> cases n (%) <sup>c</sup>	MHH	22 (37%)	3 (30%)	<i>P</i> > 0.999	Fisher's exact test
	UMG	43 (52%)	6 (33%)	<i>P</i> = 0.194	
	MHH+UMG	65 (46%)	9 (32%)	<i>P</i> = 0.154	

<sup>a</sup> Age at primary resection

<sup>b</sup> MHH: temozolomide, UMG: temozolomide, nimustine, or carmustine

<sup>c</sup> % of all *SIGLEC16*<sup>P/P</sup> or *SIGLEC16*<sup>+P</sup> (A) and polySia-positive or -negative cases (B), respectively

<sup>d</sup> For MHH cohort not determined

**Supplementary Table S3.**

Cox proportional hazards regression analysis of covariates for *SIGLEC16* and polySia status in relation to OS (MHH and UMG cohorts combined)

Variable (see Table 1C)	Covariates	HR <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	P
<i>SIGLEC16</i>	cohort	1.15 (0.80 – 1.67)	P = 0.46
	Age at resection	1.02 (1.01 – 1.03)	<b>P = 0.005<sup>b</sup></b>
	Sex	1.27 (0.92 – 1.74)	P = 0.14
	Gross total resection	0.90 (0.63 – 1.30)	P = 0.56
	Chemotherapy	0.29 (0.17 – 0.56)	<b>P &lt; 0.0001</b>
polySia	cohort	1.06 (0.74 – 1.55)	P = 0.75
	Age at resection	1.02 (1.01 – 1.04)	<b>P = 0.002</b>
	Sex	1.37 (1.00 – 1.87)	P = 0.050
	Gross total resection	0.76 (0.54 – 1.09)	P = 0.13
	Chemotherapy	0.37 (0.22 – 0.64)	<b>P = 0.0003</b>
<i>SIGLEC16</i> / polySia double-positive	cohort	1.11 (0.78 – 1.62)	P = 0.57
	Age at resection	1.02 (1.01 – 1.03)	<b>P = 0.008</b>
	Sex	1.27 (0.92 – 1.74)	P = 0.15
	Gross total resection	0.88 (0.62 – 1.26)	P = 0.46
	Chemotherapy	0.32 (0.19 – 0.55)	<b>P &lt; 0.0001</b>

<sup>a</sup> Abbreviations: HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval

<sup>b</sup> P-values < 0.05 are shown in bold

**Supplementary Table S4.**

Median age of GB cases stratified for *SIGLEC16* genotype and polySia on tumor cells

Median age [years] <sup>a</sup> ± SD (range), n			
	<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup>	Mann-Whitney test
MHH	58.0 ± 12.4 (35-80), 45	61.0 ± 13.0 (32-85), 25	<i>P</i> = 0.48
UMG	66.0 ± 10.1 (37-80), 51	64.0 ± 12.7 (25-78), 49	<i>P</i> = 0.29
MHH+UMG	62.5 ± 11.6 (35-80), 96	64.0 ± 12.7 (25-85), 74	<i>P</i> = 0.89
	Negative for polySia on tumor cells	Positive for polySia on tumor cells	
MHH	60.0 ± 11.0 (43-78), 10	59.5 ± 12.9 (32-85), 60	<i>P</i> = 0.68
UMG	64.5 ± 10.9 (37-79), 18	65.5 ± 11.7 (25-80), 82	<i>P</i> = 0.86
MHH+UMG	63.5 ± 10.8 (37-79), 28	63.0 ± 12.3 (25-85), 142	<i>P</i> = 0.87
Median age [years] <sup>a</sup> ± SD (range), n			
		<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup>
<b>polySia-negative</b>	MHH	56.0 ± 12.0 (43-78), 7	70.0 ± 8.1 (57-72), 3
	UMG	66.0 ± 12.4 (37-79), 12	63.5 ± 8.0 (54-78), 6
	MHH+UMG	63.0 ± 12.1 (37-79), 19	64.0 ± 7.6 (54-78), 9
<b>polySia-positive</b>	MHH	58.5 ± 12.6 (35-80), 38	60.5 ± 13.5 (32-85), 22
	UMG	66.0 ± 9.4 (46-80), 39	65.0 ± 13.2 (25-78), 43
	MHH+UMG	62.0 ± 11.5 (35-80), 77	64.0 ± 13.2 (25-85), 65
<b>Statistics</b>		<b>Kruskal-Wallis test</b>	<b>Dunn's post test</b>
	MHH	<i>P</i> = 0.74	<i>P</i> > 0.999 for all group comparisons
	UMG	<i>P</i> = 0.70	
	MHH+UMG	<i>P</i> = 0.91	

<sup>a</sup> Age at primary resection

**Supplementary Table S5.**

OS analysis of GB cases receiving (A) or not receiving gross total resection (B) stratified for *SIGLEC16* genotype and polySia on tumor cells (MHH and UMG cohorts combined)

**A. Gross total resection (n=112)**

GB status	n	median OS [months]	statistics (log-rank test)
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	57	9	<i>P</i> = 0.0003
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup>	55	15	
polySia-negative	18	8	<i>P</i> = 0.031
polySia-positive	94	13	
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup> polySia <sup>-</sup>	11	9	<i>P</i> = 0.0009 $\left. \begin{array}{l} P = 0.0005^a \\ q = 0.002 \\ P = 0.0009 \\ q = 0.002 \end{array} \right\}$
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup> polySia <sup>-</sup>	7	5	
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup> polySia <sup>+</sup>	46	9	
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup> polySia <sup>+</sup>	48	15.5	

<sup>a</sup> Differences with *P* < 0.05 and respective FDR adjusted *q* values are shown

**B. Subtotal resection or biopsy (n=58)<sup>a</sup>**

GB status	n	median OS [months]	statistics (log-rank test)
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	38 <sup>a</sup>	10	<i>P</i> = 0.151
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup>	19	10	
polySia-negative	10 <sup>a</sup>	5.5	<i>P</i> = 0.060
polySia-positive	47	10	
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup> polySia <sup>-</sup>	8	4	<i>P</i> = 0.015 $\left. \begin{array}{l} P = 0.004^b \\ q = 0.019 \\ P = 0.017 \\ q = 0.045 \end{array} \right\}$
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup> polySia <sup>-</sup>	2	16.5	
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup> polySia <sup>+</sup>	30 <sup>a</sup>	11.5	
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup> polySia <sup>+</sup>	17	10	

<sup>a</sup> One value censored because OS was zero

<sup>b</sup> Differences with *P* < 0.05 and respective FDR adjusted *q* values are shown

**Supplementary Table S6.**

OS analysis of GB cases receiving (A) or not receiving chemotherapy (B) stratified for *SIGLEC16* genotype and polySia on tumor cells (MHH cohort only)

**A. Combined radio- and chemotherapy (n=45)**

GB status	n	median OS [months]	statistics (log-rank test)
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	28	11	P = 0.010
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup>	17	15	
polySia-negative	4	7	P = 0.005
polySia-positive	41	13	
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup> polySia <sup>-</sup>	3	7	P = 0.003
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup> polySia <sup>-</sup>	1	7	
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup> polySia <sup>+</sup>	25	11	
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup> polySia <sup>+</sup>	16	16	

$\left. \begin{array}{l} P = 0.0006^a \\ q = 0.002 \\ P = 0.006 \\ q = 0.010 \\ P = 0.012 \\ q = 0.013 \end{array} \right\}$

<sup>a</sup> Differences with  $P < 0.05$  and respective FDR adjusted  $q$  values are shown

**B. Radiotherapy or no adjuvant therapy (n=25)<sup>a</sup>**

GB status	n	median OS [months]	statistics (log-rank test)
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	16 <sup>a</sup>	4	P = 0.059
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup>	8	7.5	
polySia-negative	6	4.5	P = 0.63
polySia-positive	18 <sup>a</sup>	4	
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup> polySia <sup>-</sup>	4	4.5	P = 0.27
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup> polySia <sup>-</sup>	2	9	
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup> polySia <sup>+</sup>	12 <sup>a</sup>	4	
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup> polySia <sup>+</sup>	6	7.5	

<sup>a</sup> One value censored because OS was zero

**Supplementary Table S7.**

OS analysis of GB cases receiving gross total resection and chemotherapy stratified for *SIGLEC16* genotype and polySia on tumor cells (MHH and UMG cohorts combined, n=101)

GB status	n	median OS [months]	statistics (log-rank test)
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	49	11	P = 0.002
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup>	52	15	
polySia-negative	15	9	P = 0.020
polySia-positive	86	14	
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup> polySia <sup>-</sup>	10	10	P = 0.003 P = 0.001 <sup>a</sup> q = 0.006 P = 0.004 q = 0.007
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup> polySia <sup>-</sup>	5	5	
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup> polySia <sup>+</sup>	39	12	
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup> polySia <sup>+</sup>	47	16	

<sup>a</sup> Differences with *P* < 0.05 and respective FDR adjusted *q* values are shown

**Supplementary Table S8.**

OS analysis of IDH-wildtype GB cases (UMG cohort) stratified for *SIGLEC16* genotype and polySia on tumor cells

GB status	n	median OS [months]	statistics (log-rank test)
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	49	12	P = 0.014
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup>	48	14.5	
polySia-negative	17	11	P = 0.136
polySia-positive	80	13	
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup> polySia <sup>-</sup>	11	11	P = 0.031 P = 0.005 <sup>a</sup> q = 0.024 P = 0.048 q = 0.125
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup> polySia <sup>-</sup>	6	9.5	
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup> polySia <sup>+</sup>	38	12	
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup> polySia <sup>+</sup>	42	15	

<sup>a</sup> Differences with *P* < 0.05 and respective FDR adjusted *q* values are shown



**Supplementary Table S9.**

OS analysis of UMG cohort stratified for *MGMT* gene promoter methylation and *SIGLEC16* genotype, polySia on tumor cells, CD163 and CD74 status

GB status	n	median OS [months]	statistics (log-rank test)
<i>MGMT</i> unmethylated <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	35	12	$P = 0.033$ $P = 0.012^a$ $q = 0.024$ $P = 0.010$ $q = 0.024$
<i>MGMT</i> unmethylated <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup>	30	13	
<i>MGMT</i> methylated <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	16	7	
<i>MGMT</i> methylated <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup>	19	19	
<hr/>			
<i>MGMT</i> unmethylated polySia <sup>-</sup>	11	11	$P = 0.366$
<i>MGMT</i> unmethylated polySia <sup>+</sup>	54	13	
<i>MGMT</i> methylated polySia <sup>-</sup>	7	9	
<i>MGMT</i> methylated polySia <sup>+</sup>	28	13.5	
<hr/>			
<i>MGMT</i> unmethylated CD163 <sup>high</sup>	48	12.5	$P = 0.227$
<i>MGMT</i> unmethylated CD163 <sup>low</sup>	17	12	
<i>MGMT</i> methylated CD163 <sup>high</sup>	22	12	
<i>MGMT</i> methylated CD163 <sup>low</sup>	13	20	
<hr/>			
<i>MGMT</i> unmethylated CD74 <sup>low</sup>	40	11	$P = 0.242$
<i>MGMT</i> unmethylated CD74 <sup>high</sup>	25	14	
<i>MGMT</i> methylated CD74 <sup>low</sup>	20	12.5	
<i>MGMT</i> methylated CD74 <sup>high</sup>	15	18	

<sup>a</sup> Differences with  $P < 0.05$  and respective FDR adjusted  $q$  values are shown

### Supplementary Table S10.

Characteristics of the two cohorts of n = 70 (MHH) and n = 100 (UMG) GB patients stratified for CD74<sup>low</sup> and CD74<sup>high</sup>

		CD74 <sup>low</sup>	CD74 <sup>high</sup>	Statistics	
Distribution n (%)	MHH <sup>f</sup>	56 (82%)	12 (18%)	<i>P</i> = 0.002 (MHH versus UMG)	Fisher's exact test
	UMG	60 (60%)	40 (40%)		
	MHH+UMG	116 (69%)	52 (31%)		
Age [years] <sup>a</sup> median ± SD (range)	MHH	60 ± 13 (35-85)	56 ± 12.5 (32-69)	<i>P</i> = 0.357	Mann-Whitney test
	UMG	63 ± 11.5 (32-80)	64 ± 11.5 (25-18)	<i>P</i> = 0.427	
Sex female /male (ratio)	MHH	27 / 29 (1 : 1.07)	3 / 9 (1 : 3)	<i>P</i> = 0.204	Fisher's exact test
	UMG	23 / 37 (1 : 1.61)	20 / 20 (1 : 1)	<i>P</i> = 0.304	
Gross total resection n (%) <sup>c</sup>	MHH	29 (52%)	7 (58%)	<i>P</i> = 0.761	Fisher's exact test
	UMG	44 (73%)	31 (78%)	<i>P</i> = 0.814	
Chemotherapy <sup>b</sup> n (%) <sup>c</sup>	MHH	37 (66%)	8 (67%)	<i>P</i> > 0.999	Fisher's exact test
	UMG	60 (100%)	40 (100%)	<i>P</i> > 0.999	
<i>MGMT</i> promotor methylated <sup>d</sup> n (%) <sup>c</sup>	UMG	20 (33%)	15 (38%)	<i>P</i> = 0.675	Fisher's exact test
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+P</sup> cases n (%) <sup>c</sup>	MHH	17 (30%)	7 (58%)	<i>P</i> = 0.096	Fisher's exact test
	UMG	27 (45%)	22 (55%)	<i>P</i> = 0.41	
	MHH+UMG	44 (38%)	29 (56%)	<b><i>P</i> = 0.042<sup>e</sup></b>	
<i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+P</sup> with polySia-positive tumor cells n (%) <sup>c</sup>	MHH	15 (27%)	6 (50%)	<i>P</i> = 0.168	Fisher's exact test
	UMG	24 (40%)	19 (47%)	<i>P</i> = 0.54	
	MHH+UMG	39 (34)	25 (48%)	<b><i>P</i> = 0.028</b>	

<sup>a</sup> Age at primary resection

<sup>b</sup> MHH: temozolomide, UMG: temozolomide, nimustine, or carmustine

<sup>c</sup> % of all CD74<sup>low</sup> or CD74<sup>high</sup>, respectively

<sup>d</sup> For MHH cohort not determined

<sup>e</sup> *P*-values < 0.05 are shown in bold

<sup>f</sup> For two specimen of the MHH cohort, the available FFPE tissue did not suffice for CD74/IBA1 immunostaining

**Supplementary Table S11.** Median age at resection of GB cases stratified for CD163 to CD68 (A) or CD74 to IBA1 ratios (B) alone or in combination with the *SIGLEC16* genotype

<b>A. CD163</b>		Median age [years] <sup>a</sup> ± SD (range), n		
	<b>CD163<sup>high</sup></b>	<b>CD163<sup>low</sup></b>	<b>Mann-Whitney test</b>	
MHH	59.0 ± 13.3 (32-85), 42	60.0 ± 11.6 (35-76), 28	<i>P</i> = 0.72	
UMG	65.0 ± 10.5 (35-79), 70	66.5 ± 13.8 (25-80), 30	<i>P</i> = 0.51	
MHH+UMG	63.0 ± 11.7 (32-85), 112	64.0 ± 12.9 (25-88), 58	<i>P</i> = 0.92	
		Median age [years] <sup>a</sup> ± SD (range), n		
		<b><i>SIGLEC16</i><sup>P/P</sup></b>	<b><i>SIGLEC16</i><sup>+/P</sup></b>	
<b>CD163<sup>high</sup></b>	MHH	58.0 ± 12.8 (40-80), 32	65.0 ± 15.1 (32-85), 10	
	UMG	66.0 ± 10.0 (37-79), 41	64.0 ± 11.2 (35-78), 29	
	MHH+UMG	62.0 ± 11.5 (37-80), 73	64.0 ± 12.1 (32-85), 39	
<b>CD163<sup>low</sup></b>	MHH	60.0 ± 11.9 (35-74), 13	60.0 ± 11.8 (43-76), 15	
	UMG	72.0 ± 11.0 (49-80), 10	66.5 ± 14.9 (25-78), 20	
	MHH+UMG	63.0 ± 12.1 (35-80), 23	64.0 ± 13.4 (25-78), 35	
<b>Statistics</b>		<b>Kruskal-Wallis test</b>	<b>Dunn's post test</b>	
	MHH	<i>P</i> = 0.76	<i>P</i> > 0.999 for all group comparisons	
	UMG	<i>P</i> = 0.63		
	MHH+UMG	<i>P</i> = 0.92		

  

<b>B. CD74</b>		Median age [years] <sup>a</sup> ± SD (range), n <sup>b</sup>		
	<b>CD74<sup>low</sup></b>	<b>CD74<sup>high</sup></b>	<b>Mann-Whitney test</b>	
MHH	59.8 ± 12.6 (35-85), 56	56.3 ± 12.5 (32-69), 12	<i>P</i> = 0.36	
UMG	62.7 ± 11.5 (32-80), 60	64.3 ± 11.5 (25-18), 40	<i>P</i> = 0.43	
MHH+UMG	61.3 ± 12.1 (32-85), 116	62.4 ± 12.1 (25-78), 52	<i>P</i> = 0.44	
		Median age [years] <sup>a</sup> ± SD (range), n		
		<b><i>SIGLEC16</i><sup>P/P</sup></b>	<b><i>SIGLEC16</i><sup>+/P</sup></b>	
<b>CD74<sup>low</sup></b>	MHH	59.1 ± 12.6 (35-80), 39	61.7 ± 12.7 (43-85), 17	
	UMG	63.9 ± 10.5 (37-80), 33	61.2 ± 12.7 (32-78), 27	
	MHH+UMG	61.3 ± 11.9 (35-80), 72	61.4 ± 12.6 (32-85), 44	
<b>CD74<sup>high</sup></b>	MHH	55.8 ± 12.3 (43-69), 5	56.6 ± 13.7 (32-69), 7	
	UMG	66.6 ± 9.4 (45-77), 18	62.4 ± 12.9 (25-78), 22	
	MHH+UMG	64.3 ± 10.8 (43-77), 23	61.0 ± 12.6 (32-85), 29	
<b>Statistics</b>		<b>Kruskal-Wallis test</b>	<b>Dunn's post test</b>	
	MHH	<i>P</i> = 0.67	<i>P</i> > 0.90 for all group comparisons	
	UMG	<i>P</i> = 0.54		
	MHH+UMG	<i>P</i> = 0.92		

<sup>a</sup> Age at primary resection

<sup>b</sup> For two specimen of the MHH cohort, the available FFPE tissue did not suffice for CD74/IBA1 immunostaining

**Supplementary Table S12.** OS analysis of GB cases receiving (A) or not receiving gross total resection (B) stratified for CD163 to CD68 or CD74 to IBA1 ratios alone or in combination with the *SIGLEC16* genotype (MHH and UMG cohorts combined)

**A. Gross total resection (n=112)**

GB status	n	median OS [months]	statistics (log-rank test)
CD163 <sup>high</sup>	74	12	$P = 0.001$
CD163 <sup>low</sup>	38	15	
CD163 <sup>high</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	43	8	$P = 0.0001$ $P = 0.005^a$ $q = 0.010$ $P < 0.0001$ $q < 0.0004$
CD163 <sup>high</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+P</sup>	30	14	
CD163 <sup>low</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	14	12	
CD163 <sup>low</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+P</sup>	25	16	
CD74 <sup>low</sup>	73 <sup>b</sup>	12	$P = 0.006$
CD74 <sup>high</sup>	38	15.5	
CD74 <sup>low</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	43	9	$P = 0.0003$ $P < 0.0001^a$ $q < 0.0003$ $P = 0.0088$ $q = 0.0092$ $P = 0.0007$ $q = 0.0011$
CD74 <sup>low</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+P</sup>	30	13	
CD74 <sup>high</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	14	9.5	
CD74 <sup>high</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+P</sup>	24	17.5	

**B. Subtotal resection or biopsy (n=58)<sup>a</sup>**

GB status	n	median OS [months]	statistics (log-rank test)
CD163 <sup>high</sup>	37 <sup>a</sup>	10	$P = 0.53$
CD163 <sup>low</sup>	20	10.5	
CD163 <sup>high</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	29 <sup>c</sup>	11	$P = 0.55$
CD163 <sup>high</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+P</sup>	9	10	
CD163 <sup>low</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	9	7	
CD163 <sup>low</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+P</sup>	10	13	
CD74 <sup>low</sup>	42 <sup>c</sup>	10	$P = 0.26$
CD74 <sup>high</sup>	14	12	
CD74 <sup>low</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	28 <sup>c</sup>	9.5	$P = 0.37$
CD74 <sup>low</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+P</sup>	14	10	
CD74 <sup>high</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	9	12	
CD74 <sup>high</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+P</sup>	5	10	

<sup>a</sup> Differences with  $P < 0.05$  and respective FDR adjusted  $q$  values are shown

<sup>b</sup> For two specimen of the MHH cohort, the available FFPE tissue did not suffice for CD74/IBA1 immunostaining.

<sup>c</sup> One value censored because OS was zero

**Supplementary Table S13.** OS analysis of GB cases receiving (A) or not receiving chemotherapy (B) stratified for CD163 to CD68 or CD74 to IBA1 ratios alone or in combination with the *SIGLEC16* genotype (MHH cohort only)

**A.** Combined radio- and chemotherapy (n=45)

GB status	n	median OS [months]	statistics (log-rank test)
CD163 <sup>high</sup>	23	10	$P = 0.009$
CD163 <sup>low</sup>	22	15	
CD163 <sup>high</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	19	10	$P = 0.020$
CD163 <sup>high</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup>	4	10	
CD163 <sup>low</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	9	12	
CD163 <sup>low</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup>	13	17	
CD74 <sup>low</sup>	37	12	$P = 0.036$
CD74 <sup>high</sup>	8	14.5	
CD74 <sup>low</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	24	11	$P = 0.002$
CD74 <sup>low</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup>	13	14	
CD74 <sup>high</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	4	10.5	
CD74 <sup>high</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup>	4	51	

<sup>a</sup> Differences with  $P < 0.05$  and respective FDR adjusted  $q$  values are shown

**B.** Radiotherapy or no adjuvant therapy (n=25)<sup>a</sup>

GB status	n	median OS [months]	statistics (log-rank test)
CD163 <sup>high</sup>	18 <sup>a</sup>	4	$P = 0.33$
CD163 <sup>low</sup>	6	4.5	
CD163 <sup>high</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	12 <sup>a</sup>	4	$P = 0.19$
CD163 <sup>high</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup>	6	9	
CD163 <sup>low</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	4	4.5	
CD163 <sup>low</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup>	2	5	
CD74 <sup>low</sup>	18 <sup>a, b</sup>	4	$P = 0.71$
CD74 <sup>high</sup>	4	3.5	
CD74 <sup>low</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	14 <sup>a</sup>	4	$P = 0.12$
CD74 <sup>low</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup>	4	9	
CD74 <sup>high</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	1	3	
CD74 <sup>high</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+/P</sup>	3	4	

<sup>a</sup> One value censored because OS was zero

<sup>b</sup> For two specimen of the MHH cohort, the available FFPE tissue did not suffice for CD74/IBA1 immunostaining

**Supplementary Table S14.**

OS analysis of GB cases receiving both gross total resection and chemotherapy stratified for CD163 to CD68 or CD74 to IBA1 ratios alone or in combination with the *SIGLEC16* genotype (MHH and UMG cohorts combined, n=101)

GB status	n	median OS [months]	statistics (log-rank test)
CD163 <sup>high</sup>	65	13	$P = 0.002$
CD163 <sup>low</sup>	36	15	
CD163 <sup>high</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	36	11	$P = 0.0008$ <span style="font-size: 2em; vertical-align: middle;">}</span> $P = 0.0002^a$ $q = 0.001$
CD163 <sup>high</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+P</sup>	28	14	
CD163 <sup>low</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	13	13	
CD163 <sup>low</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+P</sup>	24	17	
CD74 <sup>low</sup>	65	12	$P = 0.009$
CD74 <sup>high</sup>	36	16	
CD74 <sup>low</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	36	11.5	$P = 0.0009$ <span style="font-size: 2em; vertical-align: middle;">}</span> $P = 0.0002^a$ $q = 0.0006$ $P = 0.0013$ $q = 0.0020$ $P = 0.0061$ $q = 0.0064$
CD74 <sup>low</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+P</sup>	29	13	
CD74 <sup>high</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>P/P</sup>	13	11	
CD74 <sup>high</sup> <i>SIGLEC16</i> <sup>+P</sup>	23	18	

<sup>a</sup> Differences with  $P < 0.05$  and respective FDR adjusted  $q$  values are shown