

Figure S1. Atg44 is specifically required for mitophagy, related to Figure 1

(A) Protein sequence alignment of Atg44-like proteins from different species. *S. cerevisiae*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*; *S. pombe*, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*; *K. phaffii*, *Komagataella phaffii/Pichia pastoris*; *K. lactis*, *Kluyveromyces lactis*; *A. nidulans*, *Aspergillus nidulans*; *C. reinhardtii*, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*; *M. polymorpha*, *Marchantia polymorpha*. Asterisk, fully conserved residue; colon, conservation between groups of strongly similar properties; period, conservation between groups of weakly similar properties.

(B–E) The indicated *S. pombe* strains expressing Sdh2-GFP, Pgk1-GFP, Tdh1-RFP, or Yop1-GFP were grown in EMM and shifted to EMM-N. Cells were collected at the indicated time points and Sdh2-GFP, Pgk1-GFP, Tdh1-RFP, or Yop1-GFP processing was monitored by immunoblotting to observe mitophagy (B), macroautophagy (C, D), and reticulophagy (E).

(F, H, and I) The indicated *S. cerevisiae* strains expressing GFP-Atg8, Sec63-GFP, or Pex14-GFP were grown in YPD (F, H) or YTO (I) and shifted to SD-N. Cells were collected at the indicated time and GFP-Atg8, Sec63-GFP, or Pex14-GFP processing was monitored by immunoblotting to observe macroautophagy (F), reticulophagy (H), and pexophagy (I).

(G) The indicated *S. cerevisiae* strains were grown in YPD until the early log growth phase. Cells were collected and analyzed by immunoblotting using an anti-Ape1 antibody. The positions of precursor and mature Ape1 are indicated.

The results represent the mean and SD of three (B-E, G, and I) or four (F and H) experiments.

(J) Mitophagy in *S. cerevisiae atg44* Δ cells exogenously expressing *Sc-ATG44* or *Sc-ATG44*-FLAG under the *CUP1* promoter was monitored by the Idh1-GFP processing assay as shown in Figure 1C.

(K) Isolated mitochondria (Mito) from *S. cerevisiae* cells were treated with 0.1 M sodium carbonate (pH 11.0) and separated into pellet and supernatant fractions by ultracentrifugation. Samples were TCA precipitated and subjected to immunoblotting.

(L and M) Isolated mitochondria from *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing *Sc*-Atg44-FLAG and Mia40-GFP or *S. pombe* expressing Tom70-GFP, Mic60-FLAG, and Tuf1-RFP were treated with ProK with or without hypotonic treatment or Triton X-100 (TritonX). Samples were TCA precipitated and subjected to immunoblotting.

Histone H3 (B–E) and Pgk1 (F–I, and J) were used as loading controls.



Figure S2. Atg44 is required for mitophagosome formation, but not for Atg32 phosphorylation

and Atg32-Atg11 interaction, related to Figure 2

(A) The indicated *S. cerevisiae* strains were cultured in YPL medium until mid-log growth phase and shifted to SD-N for 4 h. The phosphorylation status of Atg32 was analyzed by immunoblotting using an anti-Atg32 antibody. The position of phosphorylated Atg32 was indicated. Pgk1 was used as a loading control. The ratio of phosphorylated Atg32 per total Atg32 was quantified. The results represent the mean and SD of four experiments.

(B) *S. cerevisiae* strains expressing HA-Atg11 and PA only or PA-Atg32 were cultured in SMD until early log growth phase and shifted to SD-N medium for 1 h. PA or PA-Atg32 was precipitated using immunoglobulin G (IgG)-Sepharose from cell lysates. Immunoblots of total cell lysates (input) and the IgG precipitates (IP) were probed with anti-PA and anti-HA antibodies.

(C) The indicated *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing GFP-Atg32 were grown in YPD and shifted to SD-N for 1 h in the presence of MitoTracker Red CMXRos for 30 min and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. Arrowheads indicate accumulation of GFP-Atg32 on mitochondria. The percentage of cells showing GFP-Atg32 accumulation on the mitochondria was quantified. The results represent the mean and SD of three experiments. The value of n represents the number of observed cells.

(D) The indicated *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing Idh1-GFP and RFP-Atg8 were grown in SML and shifted to SD-N medium for 6 h and then analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. Arrowheads indicate presumed mitophagosomes. The results represent the mean and SD of the number of RFP-Atg8 puncta that colocalized with or without Idh1-GFP puncta per cell. 176 *Sc-ypt7* Δ cells and 122 *Sc-ypt7* Δ *Sc-atg44* Δ cells were analyzed.

(E) S. cerevisiae $atg44\Delta$ cells expressing Idh1-GFP or Om14-RFP were grown in YPL and shifted to SD-N medium for 6 h and then analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. A 3D-deconvoluted series of Z-axis section images is shown. The punctate image of Idh1-GFP or Om14-RFP at a single Z-section connected with the main body of mitochondria in different Z-sections (arrowheads). Scale bars and their lengths are provided in each panel.

(F) *S. cerevisiae atg44* Δ cells were grown in YPL and shifted to SD-N medium for 6 h and then analyzed by super-resolution microscopy. Cells were stained with MitoTracker Red CMXRos for 1 h. Deconvolution images are shown.

(G) *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing Atg44 and protein A (PA) or PA-Atg32 were cultured in SMD medium until the early log growth phase and shifted to SD-N medium for 1 h. PA or PA-Atg32 was precipitated using immunoglobulin G (IgG)-Sepharose from cell lysates. Immunoblots of total cell lysates (input) and the IgG precipitates (IP) were probed with anti-PA and anti-Atg44 antibodies.



Figure S3. Atg44 and Dnm1 have different roles in mitochondrial fission, related to Figures 3

and 4

(A) *S. cerevisiae* cells expressing Idh1-GFP were grown in YPL and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. Cells were classified according to their mitochondrial morphology (fragmented, enlarged, tubular in shape), and their ratio was quantified.

(B) Isolated mitochondria from HeLa cells expressing *Sc*-Atg44-FLAG or LACTB_N68-FLAG were treated with ProK with or without hypotonic treatment (Hypo) or Triton X-100 (TritonX). Samples were TCA precipitated and subjected to immunoblotting with anti-FLAG, anti-Tom20 (OMM), anti-CHCHD4 (IMS), anti-Tim23 (IMM), and anti-HSPD1 (matrix) antibodies.

(C) Wild-type HeLa cells were transfected with the *Sc*-Atg44 or LACTB_N68-FLAG expression IRES-GFP-NLS vectors and the mitochondrial morphology was analyzed by immunofluorescence microscopy using an anti-HSPD1/HSP60 antibody. Nuclear GFP-expressing cells were classified according to whether their mitochondria were fragmented or swollen/tubular in shape, and their ratio was quantified. The results represent the mean and SD of three experiments. More than 60 cells were analyzed for each experiment.

(D and E) Mitophagy in the indicated *S. cerevisiae* (D) or *S. pombe* (E) strains was monitored by the Idh1-GFP or Tuf1-RFP processing assay as shown in Figure 1C or 1A, respectively.

(F and G) The indicated *S. pombe* (F) or *S. cerevisiae* (G) cells expressing Tuf1-RFP or Idh1-GFP were grown in EMM or YPD, respectively, and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. Cells were classified according to their mitochondrial morphology (fragmented, enlarged, tubular, or a mixture of tubular and enlarged in shape), and their ratio was quantified.

The value of n represents the number of observed cells. Scale bars and their lengths are provided in each panel.



Figure S4. Recombinant Atg44 mediates fission of lipid nanotubes, related to Figure 5

(A and B) SDS-PAGE (A) and SEC-MALS (B) analyses of prepared Sp-Atg44.

(C and D) SDS-PAGE (C) and SEC-MALS (D) analyses of MBP-Sc-Atg44.

(E) Correlation factor between fluorescence intensity in the region of interest (ROI) and ROI area. Liss Rhod PE in the membrane was observed. The fluorescence intensity of the supported lipid bilayer spilled out from excess membrane reservoir was measured and plotted against ROI area to calculate the correlation factor for the estimation of tube radius. a.u., arbitrary units.

(F) Confocal imaging of tube fission by *Sp*-Atg44. AF647-*Sp*-Atg44 and liss Rhod PE in lipid nanotubes were observed. Fission parts are labeled by blue arrowheads.

(G) Time-averaged fluorescence intensity of AF647-Sp-Atg44 on lipid nanotubes in (F).

Scale bars and their lengths are provided in each panel.



Figure S5. Membrane binding and structure of Sp-Atg44, related to Figures 5 and 6

(A) Flotation assay to evaluate the membrane binding of Sp-Atg44.

(B) The effect of membrane curvature and electrostatic interaction on the membrane binding of *Sp*-Atg44. The top and bottom fractions in flotation assay were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Small liposomes were prepared by sonication. Large liposomes were prepared by extrusion through the pore size of 200 nm. The gel was stained with oriole. The ratio of *Sp*-Atg44 in top fraction to total *Sp*-Atg44 (top and bottom) were quantified. Data were mean with plots of three independent experiments.

(C) Lipid selectivity in the membrane binding of *Sp*-Atg44. The top fractions in the flotation assay were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The gel was stained with oriole. The ratio of *Sp*-Atg44 in top fraction to total *Sp*-Atg44 (top and bottom) were quantified. Data were mean with plots of three independent experiments.

(D) Surface model of *Sp*-Atg44 octamer. Four cavities are organized in the octameric architecture. Two phospholipids are accommodated per cavity. Surface in the cross-section panel is colored according to hydrophilicity. 2Fo-Fc electron density map around a phospholipid modeled as DOPE is shown as blue mesh.

(E) Residues around phospholipid.

(F) Electrostatic potential surface. Negative and positive charges are colored in red and blue, respectively.

(G) Hydrophobicity surface. Hydrophobic and hydrophilic properties are colored in brown and sea green, respectively.



Hydrophobic

Hydrophilic

Figure S6. Model of Sp-Atg44 on the membrane, related to Figure 6

(A–D) *Sp*-Atg44 octamer (A), tetramer (B), dimer (C), and monomer (D) on the membrane. The model structures are obtained from the PPM server. The hydrophobic surface of *Sp*-Atg44 is colored according to degree of hydrophobicity or hydrophilicity.



Figure S7. Atg44 accumulates and exerts its function mainly on the IMM in HeLa cells, related to Figure 7

DNM1L/Drp1-knockout HeLa cells were transfected with the *Sc*-Atg44 expression IRES-GFP-NLS vector. The expressed *Sc*-Atg44 was analyzed by immuno-electron microscopy using anti-*Sc*-Atg44 antibody. The localization of *Sc*-Atg44 was classified (on the cytosolic side of the OMM, on the IMS side of the OMM, in the IMS, on the IMS side of the IMM, on the matrix side of the IMM, and in the matrix), and their ratio was quantified. Arrowheads indicate accumulation of *Sc*-Atg44 in IMS. Scale bars and their lengths are provided in each panel.

Strains	Genotype	Source
BY4742	MATα his $3\Delta 1$ leu $2\Delta 0$ lys $2\Delta 0$ ura $3\Delta 0$	(Brachmann et
		al., 1998) ^{S1}
BY4742 $atgl\Delta$	BY4742 $atg1\Delta$:: $kanMX$	Horizon
SEY6210	MATa leu2-3,112 ura3-52 his3- $\Delta 200$ trp1- $\Delta 901$ suc2- $\Delta 9$ lys2-	(Robinson et al.,
5210210	801 GAL	1988) ^{S2}
		(Kanki and
TKYM67	SEY6210 PEX14-GFP::kanMX	Klionsky,
		2008) ^{S3}
	SEY6210 PEX14-GFP::kanMX atg1\[]:HIS3	(Kanki and
TKYM72		Klionsky,
		2008) ^{S3}
TKYM236	SEV 6210 pho $8\Delta 60$ ··HIS3 pho 13Δ ··LEU2	(Aoki et al.,
1101250	SE10210 ph0820011155 ph0152LE02	2011) ^{S4}
ТКҮМ256	SEY6210 pho8 Δ 60::HIS3 pho13 Δ ::LEU2 atg1 Δ ::URA3	(Aoki et al.,
11111220		2011) ^{\$4}
TKYM307	SEY6210 IDH1-GFP··TRP1	(Furukawa et al.,
		2018) ^{\$5}
TKYM365	SEY6210 GFP-ATG8::LEU2	(Furukawa et al.,
		2018) ⁸⁵
TKYM502	SEY6210 IDH1-GFP::TRP1	This study
TKYM513	SEY6210 IDH1-GFP::TRP1 atg44∆::HIS3	This study
TKYM566	SEY6210 atg44 Δ ::kanMX MIA40-GFP::natNT	This study
TKYM586	SEY6210 <i>IDH1-GFP::TRP1 fzo1</i> Δ::LEU2	This study
TKYM588	SEY6210 $IDH1$ -GFP::TRP1 atg44 Δ ::HIS3 fzo1 Δ ::LEU2	This study
TKYM590	SEY6210 $IDH1$ - GFP :: $TRP1$ atg44 Δ ::kanMX mgm1 Δ ::HIS3	This study
TKYM593	SEY6210 <i>IDH1-GFP::TRP1 mgm1</i> Δ::HIS3	This study
TKYM614	SEY6210 $OM14$ -RFP::HIS3 $atg44\Delta$::kanMX	This study
TKYM616	SEY6210 OM14-mCherry::kanMX	This study
TKYM626	SEY6210 atg44 Δ ::kanMX OM14-mCherry::hphNT	This study
TKYM630	SEY6210 dnm1A::kanMX OM14-mCherry::hphNT	This study
YKF28	SEY6210 IDH1-GFP::TRP1 atg1A::HIS3	(Furukawa et al.,
		2018)85
YKF82	SEY6210 <i>GFP-ATG8::LEU2 atg1∆::kanMX</i>	(Furukawa et al.,
		2018)\$5
YKF91	SEY6210 $atg44\Delta$::kanMX	This study
YKF92	SEY6210 IDH1-GFP::TRP1 atg44 <i>A</i> ::kanMX	This study

Table S1. S. cerevisiae strains used in this study, related to STAR★METHODS

YKF95	$SEY6210 \ pho8\Delta60::HIS3 \ pho13\Delta::LEU2 \ atg44\Delta::kanMX$	This study
YKF97	SEY6210 PEX14-GFP::kanMX atg44A::HIS3	This study
VVE100		(Yamashita et
I K F 100	BY4/42 IDH1-GFP::HIS5 ypt/\(\):LEU2	al., 2016) ⁸⁶
YKF143	SEY6210 SEC63-GFP::TRP1	This study
YKF148	SEY6210 SEC63-GFP::TRP1 atg1 Δ ::kanMX	This study
YKF156	SEY6210 atg11 Δ ::LEU2 atg32 Δ ::HIS3 atg44 Δ ::kanMX	This study
YKF247	SEY6210 <i>GFP-ATG8::LEU2</i> atg44∆::kanMX	This study
YKF249	SEY6210 SEC63-GFP::TRP1 atg44∆::kanMX	This study
YKF250	BY4742 IDH1-GFP::hphNT	This study
YKF251	BY4742 IDH1-GFP::hphNT atg44 Δ ::kanMX	This study
YKF252	BY4742 IDH1-GFP::hphNT mgm1A::kanMX	This study
YKF253	BY4742 IDH1-GFP::hphNT dnm1A::kanMX	This study
YKF254	BY4742 IDH1-GFP::hphNT dnm1A::kanMX mgm1A::HIS3	This study
YKF255	BY4742 $IDH1$ -GFP:: $hphNT$ $atg44\Delta$:: $kanMX$ $mgm1\Delta$:: $HIS3$	This study
YKF258	BY4742 IDH1-GFP::HIS3 ypt7∆::LEU2 atg44∆::kanMX	This study
YKF259	BY4742 $OM45$ - GFP :: $HIS3$ $ypt7\Delta$:: $LEU2$ $atg44\Delta$:: $kanMX$	This study
YKF269	BY4742 $IDH1$ -GFP::hphNT atg44 Δ ::kanMX atg1 Δ ::natNT	This study
YKF270	BY4742 $IDH1$ - GFP :: $hphNT$ $atg44\Delta$:: $kanMX$ $atg8\Delta$:: $natNT$	This study
YKF271	BY4742 $IDH1$ -GFP:: $hphNT$ $atg44\Delta$:: $kanMX$ $atg11\Delta$:: $natNT$	This study
YKF272	BY4742 $IDH1$ - GFP :: $hphNT$ $atg44\Delta$:: $kanMX$ $atg32\Delta$:: $natNT$	This study
YKF276	BY4742 $atg44\Delta$:: $kanMX$	This study
YKF289	BY4742 natNT::CUP1p-GFP-ATG32	This study
YKF290	BY4742 $atg44\Delta$:: $kanMX$ $natNT$:: $CUP1p$ - GFP - $ATG32$	This study
YKF291	BY4742 atg44∆::kanMX OM14-RFP-HIS natNT::CUP1p- GFP-ATG32	This study
YKF292	BY4742 atg44∆∷kanMX OM14-RFP-HIS natNT::CUP1p- GFP-ATG8	This study
YKF293	BY4742 atg44∆∷kanMX OM14-RFP-HIS natNT∷CUP1p- GFP-ATG11	This study
YKYM30	SEY6210 atg11Δ::LEU2 atg32Δ::HIS3	(Furukawa et al., 2018) ⁸⁵

Strains	Genotype	Source
072	7	Laboratory
972	<i>n</i> -	stock
TFSP407	h- ura4-D18 tuf1-mRFP::ura4	(Fukuda et al., 2020) ⁸⁷
TFSP519	h- yop1-mEGFP::kan	This study
TFSP523	h- yop1-mEGFP::kan atg1 Δ ::nat	This study
TFSP667	h- ura4-D18 tdh1-mRFP::ura4 sdh2-mEGFP::nat	This study
TFSP669	h- ura4-D18 tuf1-mRFP::ura4 sdh2-mEGFP::nat	(Fukuda et al., 2020) ^{s7}
TFSP743	h-pgk1-mEGFP::hph	(Fukuda et al., 2020) ^{s7}
TFSP785	h - $pgk1$ -mEGFP::kan atg1 Δ ::nat	This study
TFSP1001	h- ura4-D18 tdh1-mRFP∷ura4 sdh2-mEGFP∷nat atg44∆::kanMX4	This study
TFSP1005	h - $pgk1$ -mEGFP:: hph atg44 Δ :: $kanMX4$	This study
TFSP1031	h- yop1-mEGFP::kan atg44 Δ ::nat	This study
TFSP1085	h- ura4-D18 tuf1-mRFP∷ura4 atg44∆∷kanMX4	This study
TFSP1209	h- ura4-D18 tuf1-mRFP::ura4 sdh2-mEGFP::nat dnm1∆::hph	This study
TFSP1213	h- ura4-D18 tuf1-mRFP::ura4 dnm1 Δ ::nat	This study
TFSP1217	h- ura4-D18 tuf1-mRFP::ura4 atg44 Δ ::kanMX4 dnm1 Δ ::nat	This study
TFSP1277	h- ura4-D18 tuf1-mRFP::ura4 sdh2-mEGFP::nat mgm1∆::hph	This study
TFSP1283	h- ura4-D18 tuf1-mRFP::ura4 sdh2-mEGFP::nat atg44∆::kanMX4 mgm1∆::hph	This study
TFSP1345	h- ura4-D18 tuf1-mRFP::ura4 sdh2-mEGFP::nat dnm1∆::hph msp1∆::kan	This study
TFSP1391	h- ura4-D18 tuf1-mRFP::ura4 sdh2-mEGFP::nat hph::P3nmt1:atg44	This study
TFSP1485	h- ura4-D18 tuf1-mRFP::ura4 sdh2-mEGFP::nat dnm1∆::hph kan::P3nmt1:atg44	This study
TFSP3177	h- tom70-mEGFP::hph mic60-FLAG::kan tuf1-mRFP::nat	This study
TFSP3331	h- ura4-D18 tuf1-mRFP::ura4 atg44∆::hph	This study
TFSP3833	h- ura4-D18 tuf1-mRFP∷ura4 sdh2-mEGFP∷nat atg44∆::kanMX4	This study

Table S2. *S. pombe* strains used in this study, related to STAR★METHODS

SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCES

- S1. Brachmann, C.B., Davies, A., Cost, G.J., Caputo, E., Li, J., Hieter, P., and Boeke, J.D. (1998). Designer deletion strains derived from Saccharomyces cerevisiae S288C: a useful set of strains and plasmids for PCR-mediated gene disruption and other applications. Yeast 14, 115-132. 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0061(19980130)14:2<115::AID-YEA204>3.0.CO;2-2.
- S2. Robinson, J.S., Klionsky, D.J., Banta, L.M., and Emr, S.D. (1988). Protein sorting in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: isolation of mutants defective in the delivery and processing of multiple vacuolar hydrolases. Mol. Cell. Biol. 8, 4936-4948. 10.1128/mcb.8.11.4936-4948.1988.
- S3. Kanki, T., and Klionsky, D.J. (2008). Mitophagy in yeast occurs through a selective mechanism. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 32386-32393. 10.1074/jbc.M802403200.
- S4. Aoki, Y., Kanki, T., Hirota, Y., Kurihara, Y., Saigusa, T., Uchiumi, T., and Kang, D. (2011). Phosphorylation of Serine 114 on Atg32 mediates mitophagy. Mol. Biol. Cell 22, 3206-3217. 10.1091/mbc.E11-02-0145.
- S5. Furukawa, K., Fukuda, T., Yamashita, S.I., Saigusa, T., Kurihara, Y., Yoshida, Y., Kirisako, H., Nakatogawa, H., and Kanki, T. (2018). The PP2A-like Protein Phosphatase Ppg1 and the Far Complex Cooperatively Counteract CK2-Mediated Phosphorylation of Atg32 to Inhibit Mitophagy. Cell Rep. 23, 3579-3590. 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.05.064.
- S6. Yamashita, S.I., Jin, X., Furukawa, K., Hamasaki, M., Nezu, A., Otera, H., Saigusa, T., Yoshimori, T., Sakai, Y., Mihara, K., and Kanki, T. (2016). Mitochondrial division occurs concurrently with autophagosome formation but independently of Drp1 during mitophagy. J. Cell Biol. 215, 649-665. 10.1083/jcb.201605093.
- S7. Fukuda, T., Ebi, Y., Saigusa, T., Furukawa, K., Yamashita, S.I., Inoue, K., Kobayashi, D., Yoshida, Y., and Kanki, T. (2020). Atg43 tethers isolation membranes to mitochondria to promote starvation-induced mitophagy in fission yeast. eLife 9. 10.7554/eLife.61245.