

Primary supplemental PDF

Supplemental tables

Chemical name	Example ant taxa using the compound as alarm pheromone	Major behavior in <i>O. biroi</i> (Fig. 1E)	Extracted from <i>O. biroi</i> ? [S1]
4-methyl-3-heptanone	<i>Atta texana</i> [S2]; <i>Pogonomyrmex</i> sp. [S3]; <i>Neoponera villosa</i> [S4]; Myrmicinae subfamily [S5]; <i>Atta</i> sp. [S6]; <i>Eciton burchellii</i> & <i>E. hamatum</i> [S7]	Immediate panic alarm	Yes
4-methyl-3-heptanol	<i>Pogonomyrmex barbatus</i> [S8]; <i>Atta sexdens</i> [S9]; Dolichoderinae subfamily [S10]; <i>Harpegnathos saltator</i> [S11]	Immediate panic alarm	Yes
4-methyl-3-hexanol	<i>Tetramorium impurum</i> [S12-14]	Immediate panic alarm	No
6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one	<i>Formica</i> sp. [S15]; <i>Iridomyrmex purpureus</i> [S16]; <i>Aenictus rotundatus</i> [S17]; <i>Eciton burchellii</i> [S18]; <i>Pogonomyrmex barbatus</i> [S8]; <i>Lasius fuliginosus</i> [S19]	Ants leave nest	No

Table S1. Background information on the four ant alarm pheromones used in this study, related to Fig. 1.

Šidák's multiple comparisons test	Mean diff.	95% CI of diff.	Adjusted P value
4-methyl-3-hexanol (n=10) vs. 4-methyl-3-heptanone (n=17)	-2.049	-22.87 to 18.78	>0.99 (ns)
4-methyl-3-hexanol (n=10) vs. 4-methyl-3-heptanol (n=11)	7.805	-15.03 to 30.64	0.97 (ns)
4-methyl-3-hexanol (n=10) vs. blend (n=9)	8.724	-15.29 to 32.73	0.96 (ns)
6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one (n=10) vs. 4-methyl-3-heptanone (n=17)	20.92	0.096 to 41.75	0.048 (*)
6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one (n=10) vs. 4-methyl-3-heptanol (n=11)	30.77	7.94 to 53.61	0.0025 (**)
6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one (n=10) vs. blend (n=9)	31.69	7.69 to 55.70	0.0032 (**)
vehicle control (n=8) vs. 4-methyl-3-heptanone (n=17)	78.87	56.47 to 101.3	<0.0001 (****)
vehicle control (n=8) vs. 4-methyl-3-heptanol (n=11)	88.72	64.44 to 113.0	<0.0001 (****)
vehicle control (n=8) vs. blend (n=9)	89.4	64.25 to 115.0	<0.0001 (****)

Table S2. Statistical comparisons of behavioral effects, related to Fig. 1F. Detailed statistical comparisons for the effects of alarm pheromones on the length of time that the original nest pile remained intact in the alarm behavior colony bioassay.

Odorant	Category	Generated robust AL responses?	Vapor pressure (mmHg) at RT
ethanol	General odorant	Yes	40
isopropanol	General odorant	Yes	33
3-hexanone	General odorant	Yes	13.9
4-methyl-3-heptanone	Ant alarm pheromone	Yes	5.03 (predicted)
propionic acid	General odorant	Yes	2.9
6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one	Ant alarm pheromone	Yes	1.78 (predicted)
ethylpyrazine	General odorant	Yes	1.67
4-methyl-3-hexanol	Ant alarm pheromone	Yes	1.55 (predicted)
4-methyl-3-heptanol	Ant alarm pheromone	Yes	0.43 (predicted)
butyric acid	General odorant	No	0.43
undecane	Ant alarm pheromone	No	0.41
linalool	General odorant	No	0.16
terpineol	General odorant	No	0.04
(+)-valencene	General odorant	No	0.033 (predicted)
geranyl acetate	General odorant	No	0.02
dodecyl acetate	General odorant	No	0.00047

Table S3. Vapor pressures of odorant stimuli, related to Figs. 3-4. Odors are listed according to vapor pressure in descending order. Vapor pressure values were obtained from the PubChem database (National Institute for Biotechnology Information: <https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>). For records with missing values from PubChem, predicted values are given instead, generated from EPISuite [S20] and obtained from the ChemSpider database (Royal Society for Chemistry: <https://www.chemspider.com>).

Oligonucleotide name	Sequence 5'-3'
Orco_F	tagttgtggttgttgtcgacaTATGTCACGTAATCAGCTTTGACG
Orco_R	gcgcttgggtggcatgttcaTCATATGTCTGCGAGCAAATGGAACG
ie1-A_F	ttatcgaattcctgcageccccggggatccaACTAGTTGTTGCCGAGCTCTACG CGC
ie1-A_R	ctcgaggaggccatCCGCAGCGAACAGGTCACTGGTTGTTACGGATC TTG
DsRed_F	acctgttcgcgcggATGGCCTCCTCCGAGAA
DsRed_R	ttattatataatatttcttgttatagatGGCGCGCCGAACACATATGCGAACAA CAAACCACAACTAGAATGCAAGTG
QF2_F	aaccaagtgacctgtcgccggACATATGCAACATGCCACCAA
QF2_R	acccagtgacacgtgaccgCGAGCGCTGGATCTAACGAGTTTTAACG
15xQUAS_F	cggtaacgtgtcaact
15xQUAS_R	tgagaacccatcgaaacaagcGTTAACAGATCTGTTAACGAATTGATC
GCaMP6s_F	gggccggcctgtcgAGCGCTTGTGATGGTTCTCATCATCATC
GCaMP6s_R	atatatttcttgttatagatggCGCGCCGTAGCCCTAACGATACATTGATGAGT TTG
Ie1-B_F	ctgcattctagttgtggttgttgtcgcaCATATGTGTTGCCGAGCTCTACGCG
Ie1-B_R	catcgaaacaagcgctcgaaacaggcccccAACAGGTCACTGGTTGTTCAC

Table S4. Oligonucleotides used in plasmid construction, related to STAR Methods. Gibson homology regions are shown as lowercase letters. The labels “F” and “R” denote forward and reverse orientation of oligonucleotides for a given target locus, respectively. All primers were designed for this study and purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA, USA.

Supplemental references

- [S1] Lopes, L.E., Frank, E.T., Kárpáti, Z., Schmitt, T., and Kronauer, D.J.C. (2023). The alarm pheromone and alarm response of the clonal raider ant. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 49, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-023-01407-4>.
- [S2] Moser, J.C., Brownlee, R.C., and Silverstein, R. (1968). Alarm pheromones of the ant *Atta texana*. *J. Insect Physiol.* 14, 529-535. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910\(68\)90068-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910(68)90068-1).
- [S3] McGurk, D.J., Frost, J., Eisenbraun, E.J., Vick, K., Drew, W.A., and Young, J. (1966). Volatile compounds in ants: identification of 4-methyl-3-heptanone from *Pogonomyrmex* ants. *J. Insect Physiol.* 12, 1435–1441. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910\(66\)90157-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910(66)90157-0).
- [S4] Duffield, R.M., and Blum, M.S. (1973). 4-Methyl-3-Heptanone: identification and function in *Neoponera villosa* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 66, 1357. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/66.6.1357>.
- [S5] Blum, M.S., and Brand, J.M. (1972). Social insect pheromones: their chemistry and function. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 12, 553–576. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/12.3.553>.
- [S6] Hughes, W.O.H., Howse, P.E., Vilela, E.F., and Goulson, D. (2001). The response of grass-cutting ants to natural and synthetic versions of their alarm pheromone. *Physiol. Entomol.* 26, 165–172. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3032.2001.00230.x>.
- [S7] Lalor, P.F., and Hughes, W.O.H. (2011). Alarm behaviour in *Eciton* army ants. *Physiol. Entomol.* 36, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3032.2010.00749.x>.
- [S8] McGurk, D.J. (1968). I. Studies of volatile compounds from ants II. Degradation studies and structure proof of cis, cis-nepetalactone. Oklahoma State University.

- [S9] Bento, J.M.S., Della Lucia, T.M.C., do Nascimento, R.R., Bergmann, J., and Morgan, E.D. (2007). Response of workers of *Atta sexdens rubropilosa* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) to mandibular gland compounds of virgin males and females. *Physiol. Entomol.* 32, 283–286. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3032.2007.00570.x>.
- [S10] Blum, S., and Hermann, H.R. (1978). Venoms and venom apparatuses of the Formicidae: Dolichoderinae and Aneuretinae. In *Arthropod Venoms: Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology*, S. Bettini, ed. (Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg), pp. 871–894.
- [S11] do Nascimento, R.R., Billen, J., and Morgan, E.D. (1993). The exocrine secretions of the jumping ant *Harpegnathos saltator*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 104B, 505–508. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-0491\(93\)90274-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-0491(93)90274-9).
- [S12] Morgan, E.D., Jackson, B.D., Keegans, S.J., Nicholls, D.J., Ali, M.F., and Cammaerts, R. (1992). Alkanols in the mandibular gland secretion of the ant *Tetramorium caespitum*. *Belg. J. Zool.* 122, 69–74. ISSN: 0777-6276.
- [S13] Pasteels, J.M., Verhaeghe, J.C., Braekman, J.C., Daloze, D., and Tursch, B. (1980). Caste-dependent pheromones in the head of the ant *Tetramorium caespitum*. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 6, 467–472. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01402923>.
- [S14] Pasteels, J.M., Verhaeghe, J.C., Ottinger, R., Braekman, J.C., and Daloze, D. (1981). Absolute configuration of (3R,4S)-4-methyl-3-hexanol- A pheromone from the head of the ant *Tetramorium impurum foerster*. *Insect Biochem.* 11, 675–678. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-1790\(81\)90057-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-1790(81)90057-3).
- [S15] Duffield, R.M., Brand, J.M., and Blum, M.S. (1977). 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one in *Formica* species: identification and function as an alarm pheromone (Hymenoptera:

- Formicidae). Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 70, 309–310.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/70.3.309>.
- [S16] Han, S., Chen, W., and Elgar, M.A. (2022). An ambiguous function of an alarm pheromone in the collective displays of the Australian meat ant, *Iridomyrmex purpureus*. Ethology 128, 70–76. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eth.13241>.
- [S17] Oldham, N.J., Morgan, E.D., Gobin, B., Schoeters, E., and Billen, J. (1994). Volatile secretions of old world army ant *Aenictus rotundatus* and chemotaxonomic implications of army ant dufour gland chemistry. J. Chem. Ecol. 20, 3297–3305.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02033727>.
- [S18] Keegans, S.J., Billen, J., Morgan, D.E., and Gökcen, O.A. (1993). Volatile glandular secretions of three species of new world army ants, *Eciton burchelli*, *Labidus coecus*, and *Labidus praedator*. J. Chem. Ecol. 19, 2705–2719.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00980702>.
- [S19] Bernardi, R., Cardani, C., Ghiringelli, D., Selva, A., Baggini, A., and Pavan, M. (1967). On the components of secretion of mandibular glands of the ant *Lasius (Dendrolasius) fuliginosus*. Tetrahedron Lett. 40, 3893–3896. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039\(01\)89747-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(01)89747-1).
- [S20] US EPA (2022). Estimation Programs Interface Suite™ for Microsoft® Windows, v 4.11. Washington, D.C.: United States Environmental Protection Agency.
- [S21] Li, K. (2008). The image stabilizer plugin for ImageJ.
http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~kangli/code/Image_Stabilizer.html.