Supplementary Materials for

Mixed strain pathogen populations accelerate the evolution of antibiotic resistance in patients

Supplementary Table 1. Comparison of *P. aeruginosa* positivity in first enrolment sample between single and mixed strain patients.

		First enrolment sample <i>P. aeruginosa</i>
Patient	Mixed or single strain?	positive?
1	Single strain	Negative
3	Single strain	Positive
4	Single strain	Positive
5	Single strain	Positive
6	Single strain	Positive
7	Single strain	Positive
12	Single strain	Positive
13	Single strain	Negative
19	Single strain	Negative
21	Single strain	Negative
22	Single strain	Negative
23	Single strain	Negative
24	Single strain	Negative
25	Single strain	Negative
26	Single strain	Negative
27	Single strain	Positive
29	Single strain	Positive
30	Single strain	Positive
31	Single strain	Negative
32	Single strain	Positive
33	Single strain	Positive
34	Single strain	Negative
35	Single strain	Positive
2	Mixed strain	Negative
8	Mixed strain	Positive
9	Mixed strain	Negative
10	Mixed strain	Positive
11	Mixed strain	Negative
14	Mixed strain	Negative
15	Mixed strain	Negative
16	Mixed strain	Positive
17	Mixed strain	Negative
18	Mixed strain	Positive
20	Mixed strain	Positive
28	Mixed strain	Positive

The first enrolment was *P. aeruginosa* positive in a total of 12/23 (52.17%) single strain patients and 6/12 (50.00%) mixed strain patients. Whether first enrolment sample was *P. aeruginosa* positive did not differ significantly between single strain and mixed strain patients (unpaired two tailed t-test; p=0.9073).

Supplementary Table 2: Time (days) between first and last sample for antibiotic-treated single strain and mixed strain patients.

Patient number	Mixed or single strain?	Time (days) between first and last sample
8	Mixed strain	4
15	Mixed strain	7
17	Mixed strain	4
10	Mixed strain	7
16	Mixed strain	20
18	Mixed strain	16
29	Single strain	7
32	Single strain	4
34	Single strain	4
6	Single strain	21
35	Single strain	22
5	Single strain	30
4	Single strain	14

Time (days) between first and last sample did not differ significantly between antibiotic-treated single and mixed strain patients (unpaired two tailed t-test; p=0.3211).

Supplementary Table 3: ANOVA testing responses to antibiotic treatment.

Response variable: $P_{R(F),x^-} P_{R(I),x}$ where $P_{R(F),x}$ is the proportion of isolates resistant from patient y to antibiotic *x* at the final time point and $P_{R(I),x}$ is the proportion of isolates from patient y resistant to antibiotic *x* at the initial time point

Pathogen diversity: A categorical variable reflecting patients that were colonized by single strain and mixed strain populations.

Patient: We nested patient within pathogen diversity as patients were colonized by either a single strain or mixed strain population of *Pseudomonas*.

Antibiotic: Resistance was measured to six different antibiotics (meropenem, ceftazidime, gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, aztreonam, tazobactam)

Initial Resistance: $P_{R(I),x}$ is the proportion of isolates from patient y resistant to antibiotic x at the initial time point

Response type: A binary variable reflecting whether or not antibiotics were used to treat a given patient during the sampling interval (ie direct responses) or not (collateral responses)

A) Full model					
Term	DF	SS	F	Р	
Pathogen diversity	1	8456	15.03	.0003	
Patient [Pathogen diversity]	11	24244	3.91	.0004	
Antibiotic	5	2391	.85	.52	
Initial resistance	1	17391	30.9	<.0001	
Response type	1	16.13	.029	.866	
Initial resistance*pathogen diversity	1	9.98	.0177	.894	
Initial resistance*Antibiotic	5	2515	.894	.49	
Error	52	29253			

B) Reduced model – constructed by sequentially removing non-significant terms, beginning with interaction terms.

Term	DF	SS	F	Р
Pathogen diversity	1	8393	15.98	.0002
Patient [Pathogen diversity]	11	28919	5.00	<.0001
Initial resistance	1	17180	32.70	<.0001
Error	64	33626		

C) Full model excluding the data from patient 8

Term	DF	SS	F	Р
Pathogen diversity	1	6505	12.17	.0011
Patient [Pathogen diversity]	10	24365	4.55	.0002
Antibiotic	5	1727	.64	.66
Initial resistance	1	16910	31.6	<.0001
Response type	1	24.47	.045	.83
Initial resistance*pathogen diversity	1	24.76	.046	.83
Initial resistance*Antibiotic	5	4202	1.57	.18
Error	47	25121		

Supplementary Table 4: Summary of negative binomial regression models for the number of *de novo* variants detected. Coefficients and p-values (two-sided Wald test) are given for resistance variants (a) and other variants (b), including rerunning analysis with an outlier which did not change the overall conclusion (c, d).

	Estimat	Std.		
(a) glm.nb(resistance.variants ~ infection.type*isolate.count)	е	Error	z value	Pr(> z)
	-		-	
	2.87155	0.95946	2.99286	0.00276
(Intercept)	01	467	69	37
	-		-	
	2.26900	2.28450	0.99321	0.32060
Infection.type (mixed vs. single)	02	297	39	572
	0.13180	0.05133	2.56756	0.01024
Isolate.count (no. of isolates)	623	518	165	166
	0.04884	0.10804	0.45205	0.65123
Interaction between infection type and no. of isolates	186	483	175	17

(b) glm.nb(nonresistance.variants ~	Estimat	Std.		
infection.type*isolate.count)	е	Error	z value	Pr(> z)
	-		-	
	0.42021	0.44753	0.93896	0.34775
(Intercept)	8	492	13	063
	-		-	
	0.52385	0.57749	0.90712	0.36434
Infection.type (mixed vs. single)	72	448	06	3
	0.12915	0.03094	4.17416	2.99E-
Isolate.count (no. of isolates)	218	087	147	05
	-	0.04064	-	0.86984
Interaction between infection type and no. of isolates	0.00666	429	0.16386	136

(c) With 25-0925 outlier: glm.nb(resistance.variants ~	Estimat	Std.		
infection.type*isolate.count)	е	Error	z value	Pr(> z)
	-		-	
	3.36122	1.02884	3.26699	0.00108
(Intercept)	27	311	25	697
	-		-	
	1.78746	2.33750	0.76468	0.44445
Infection.type (mixed vs. single)	35	836	76	762
	0.18347	0.05340	3.43555	0.00059
Infection.type (mixed vs. single)	49	47	715	134
	-		-	
	0.00168	0.11209	0.01503	0.98800
Interaction between infection type and no. of isolates	54	265	59	352

(d) With 25-0925 outlier: glm.nb(nonresistance.variants ~	Estimat	Std.		
infection.type*isolate.count)	е	Error	z value	Pr(> z)
	-		-	
	0.28289	0.45670	0.61941	0.53564
(Intercept)	04	829	17	52
	-		-	
	0.68521	0.59202	1.15740	0.24710
Infection.type (mixed vs. single)	73	829	63	641
	0.11354	0.03077	3.68961	0.00022
Isolate.count (no. of isolates)	428	403	384	459
	0.01143	0.04144	0.27581	0.78268
Interaction between infection type and no. of isolates	137	573	529	994

Supplementary Table 5: ANOVA testing for a trade-off between growth rate and resistance.

Response variable: Growth rate, the mean growth rate of 8-10 (check) replicate cultures of each isolate in culture medium

Pathogen diversity: A categorical variable reflecting isolates from patients that were colonized by single strain or mixed strain populations.

Resistance phenotype: A categorical variable reflecting isolates that were MDR (resistant to 3 or more antibiotics) or non-MDR (resistant to 0-2 antibiotics)

Patient: We nested patient within pathogen diversity as patients were colonized by either a single strain or mixed strain population of Pseudomonas.

Term	DF	SS	F	Р
Pathogen diversity	1	.062	.126	.72
Resistance phenotype	1	2.66	5.42	.021
Pathogen diversity*Resista nce phenotype	1	5.52	11.24	.0010
Patient [Pathogen diversity]	8	14.4	3.66	.0006
Error	167	82		

Supplementary Table 6: Characteristics of study cohort.

		Included, n (%)
		(n = 35)
Female sex		13 (36.1)
Age	(median, IQR)	63 (49-72)
APACHE IV score	(median IOD)	45 (24 60)
at ICU admission	(median, IQR)	45 (34-68)
Body Mass Index, BMI	(median, IQR)	23 (26-31)
ICI Ladmission anagistry	Medical	15 (42.9)
ICO admission specially	Surgery/trauma	20 (57.1)
Origin prior to ICU	Home/community	16 (45.7)
	Healthcare	19 (54.3)
Prior antibiotic use		10 (27.8)
Diabetes		6 (17.1)
	North	3 (8.6)
	South	24 (68.6)
	East	3 (8.6)
	West	5 (14.3)

Supplementary Figure 1: Rarefaction analysis of mixed strain patient samples, showing the proportion of subsamples that contain at least 2 strains as sample size increase.



The median sample size of the single strain patient samples was 6 isolates, with a range between 3 and 12.



Supplementary Figure 2: Genetic diversity of isolates recovered from patient 15.

Phylogenetic reconstruction of ST654, ST348 and ST235 isolates from patient 15 indicating SNPs and indels.