| Study & design | Aim | Programme / intervention | Impact of emotional support programmes and interventions |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| 0 | studies (n=6) | mervention | and interventions |
| | To evaluate the | The 2 min mideo | Outcome. Treatment analing intentions |
| Amsalem | | The 3 min video | Outcome: <u>Treatment-seeking intentions</u> |
| et al. 2022, | efficacy of a brief, | to increase | The brief video-based intervention yielded |
| USA | social contact-based | treatment- | greater increases in treatment-seeking intentions |
| DCT | video intervention in | seeking by healthcare | than the control condition, particularly among |
| RCT | increasing | | participants in the repeat-video group. |
| | treatment-seeking | workers during the COVID-19 | Exploratory analysis revealed that in both video |
| | intentions among | pandemic | groups, greater effect among nurses than non- nurses were found. |
| <u>Classication</u> | healthcare workers | 1 | |
| Chen et al. | To determine the | Face-to-face in- | Outcomes: self-rating anxiety, self-rating |
| 2006, Teimer | anxiety, depression, | service training, | depression, sleep quality. |
| Taiwan | and sleep quality a | manpower | Nursing staff's anxiety and depression along |
| O | SARS nursing staff | allocation, | with sleep quality started to improve 2 weeks |
| Quasi- | experienced before and after a SARS | gathering sufficient | after the initiation of SARS prevention controls. |
| experiment al | | | |
| al | prevention program. | protective | |
| | | equipment, and establishment of | |
| | | a mental health | |
| | | team | |
| Chochol et | To enhance | A brief | Outcome: Well-being Index (well-being and |
| al. 2021, | professional | emotional | likelihood of burnout), Stanford Professional |
| USA | fulfillment and | awareness | Fulfilment Index (professional fulfillment and |
| USA | support while | enhancing | presence of burnout), survey questions to assess |
| Quasi- | decreasing risk and | module with a | trainees' sense of professional support |
| experiment | prevalence of | virtual Balint- | and screen for burnout. |
| al | burnout in Child and | based approach | Trainees found the curriculum feasible and |
| ai | Adolescent | using Zoom | useful. Surveys showed a reduction in burnout |
| | Psychiatry (CAP) | application | from three to zero participants ($p=0.03$) and |
| | trainees | appiroution | specific improvements in enthusiasm ($p=0.013$), |
| | trunices | | empathy with colleagues ($p=0.093$), and |
| | | | connectedness with colleagues ($p=0.007$) and |
| | | | patients ($p=0.042$) at work. There were also |
| | | | improvements in happiness ($p=0.042$) and |
| | | | valued contributions at work (p=0.004). |
| Coifman et | To test the efficacy | Each daily | Outcome: intensity of specific negative (disgust, |
| al. 2021, | of a brief and novel | intervention with | anger, sadness, fear, distress) and positive |
| USA | online ambulatory | smartphone | (happiness, amusement, affection, contentment, |
| | intervention aimed | application | relief) emotions. |
| RCT | at supporting | included | The results indicated a 13% increase in positive |
| | psychological health | expressive | emotion, t(25)=2.01, p=0.056; and decrease in |
| | and well-being for | writing, adaptive | negative emotion by 44% , t(25)=-4.00, |
| | medical personnel | emotion | p=0.001. However, there was a clear advantage |
| | and first responders | regulation | for individuals in the high-dose condition as |
| | Î Î | activity and | daily boosts in positive emotion were |
| | | positive emotion | significantly greater (an additional 9.4%) |
| | | activities, lasting | B=0.47, p=0.018. Overall, compliance was |
| | | 3–6 min a day. | good. Acceptability ratings were good for those |
| | | - | who completed the follow-up assessment. |

Online only material 6. Impact of emotional support programs and interventions (n=19) for healthcare professionals, and students following pandemics

| Fiol- DeRoque et al. 2021, Spain RCT | To evaluate the effectiveness of a psychoeducational, mindfulness-based mHealth intervention to reduce mental health problems in HCWs during the COVID- 19 pandemic. | PsyCovidApp intervention (a mobile phone- based app targeting emotional skills, healthy lifestyle behavior, burnout, and social support) | Outcome: <u>an overall index of depression</u> , <u>anxiety, and stress</u> . No significant differences were observed between the groups at 2 weeks in the primary outcome (standardized mean difference -0.04; 95% CI -0.11 to 0.04; P=.15) or in the other outcomes. The mean usability score of PsyCovidApp was high (87.21/100, SD 12.65). After the trial, 208/221 participants in the intervention group (94.1%) asked to regain access to PsyCovidApp, indicating high acceptability. |
|--|---|---|---|
| Procaccia et al. 2021, Italy RCT | To investigate the efficacy of an expressive writing intervention, and to analyze if outcomes of EW vary in function of individual differences | Expressive writing (EW) intervention. | Outcome: ptsd, depression and global psychopathology's symptoms (somatization, obsessive-compulsive disorder, interpersonal sensitivity, depression, anxiety, hostility, phobic anxiety, paranoid ideation, psychoticism, and sleep disturbances), perceived social support (significant other, family, and friend), and resilience. EW intervention showed higher improvements in ptsd, depression, and global psychopathology symptoms. Improvements in EW group varied in function of age, gender, marital status, and baseline values: young, men, married participants and those who had higher baseline scores showed a higher reduction of psychological distress symptoms while women, single and those who had lower baseline value showed increased social support, and resilience |
| Cross-sectio | nal studies (n=6) | | showed increased social support, and resinence |
| Blake et al. 2020, UK | To determine Supported Wellbeing Centres usage and gather insight into employee wellbeing and the views of employees towards this provision. | Supported Wellbeing Centres | Outcome: working in COVID-19 high or low risk area, centre access, buddy contact, and perceived benefits and barriers. Facilities were highly valued, but the service model was resource intensive. Wellbeing was higher in those that accessed a wellbeing centre. The centres were described as 'very tranquil', 'a supportive place for staff' and 'a great space to come and sit away from the stress of the hospital'. Buddies were described as 'very friendly', 'approachable' and 'easy to talk to'. |
| Geoffroy et al., 2020, France | To rapidly design and implement a psychological support system for all hospital workers in Paris during the Covid-19 outbreak. | The psychological assistance hotline team | Outcome: e.g. <u>reasons for hotline calls</u> . Reasons for calling were anxiety symptoms (n=73, 49%), request for hotline information (n=31, 20.8%), worries about Covid-19 (n=23, 15.44%), exhaustion (n=17, 11.41%), trauma reactivation (n=10, 6.11%), insomnia (n=9, 6.0%), anger (n=8, 5.37%), depressive (n=6, 4.02%), and psychotic symptoms (n=3, 2.01%). This psychological support system can be easily duplicated and seems to benefit all hospital professions. |

| Monette et al. 2020, USA Petrella et al. 2021, UK | To describe the program and its acceptability and initial impact on emergency clinicians providing care To assess HCW psychological welfare and their use of supportive services | A video-based (Zoom) debriefing program to support emergency clinician well- being Supportive services during the acute phase of the COVID- 19 pandemic | Outcome: experience with the program Emergency clinicians participating in a video- based debriefing program during the coronavirus pandemic found it to be an acceptable and useful approach to support emotional well-being. The program provided participants with a platform to support each other and maintain a sense of community and connection. Outcomes: well-being (self-rated health, moral distress exposure, symptoms of burnout and psychological distress) and use of available supportive services (awareness of, use and perceived helpfulness). HCWs experienced high levels of psychological distress. Although HCWs were aware of supportive services, uptake varied. |
|--|---|--|---|
| | | | Majority of staff used at least one service and |
| Sockalinga m et al. 2020, Canada | To describe the psychological needs of HCPs during COVID-19 and the implementation of Project Extension for Community Healthcare Outcomes (ECHO) Coping with COVID (ECHO-CWC) to help HCPs manage COVID-19 distress | ECHO-CWC included e.g a mindfulness, COVID-19 information, a didactic presentation, case-based discussions, and a closing section based on health humanities education | rated it as helpful. Outcomes : participants' needs (participants' perceived risk of COVID-19 and self-efficacy), participant engagement and session <u>satisfaction scores</u> . Most participants reported feeling increased stress at work (84.5%), fear of infecting others (75.2%), and fear of falling ill (70.5%) from COVID-19, yet most participants accepted the risk associated with work during this time (59.7%). Participants were highly satisfied with the initial five sessions (mean = 4.26). Using an iterative curriculum design approach and existing implementation frameworks, the ECHO tele-education model can be rapidly mobilized to address HCPs' mental health needs during the COVID-19 pandemic |
| Teall et al. 2021, USA | To enhance the health and well- being of nurses on the front lines during the COVID-19 | The wellness support program | Outcome: to review wellness sup- port implementation and the impact of uncertainty, challenges of behavior change, coping strategies for stress and burnout, use of skillful questioning, and the importance of self- care, self-efficacy, and resilience. 98% of nurses shared that the wellness support program helped them engage in self-care and wellness, and 94.7% agreed or strongly agreed that The Wellness Partner Program helped them improve their mental and physical health. |
| | nterview studies (n=3) |) | |
| Blake et al. 2021, UK | To explore the views of wellbeing centre visitors and operational staff towards this COVID-19 workforce wellbeing provision. | Supported wellbeing centres | Outcome: the emotional impact of the pandemic on participants and participants' views towards the wellbeing centres and wellbeing buddies. Wellbeing centres were viewed as critical for the wellbeing of HCWs during the COVID-19. Wellbeing initiatives require managerial advocacy. Job-related barriers were work breaks and accessing staff wellbeing provisions. High quality rest spaces and access to peer-to-peer |

| [| | | aumout want to be a fit in the last to |
|--------------|--|------------------|--|
| | | | support were seen to benefit individuals, teams, |
| Vers C- | To concert the | W/all lasters | organisations and care quality. |
| Vera San | To assess the | Well-being | Outcome: the applicability of well-being |
| Juan et al. | applicability of | guidelines | guidelines in practice, identify unaddressed |
| 2020, UK | well-being | | healthcare workers' needs and provide |
| | guidelines in | | recommendations for supporting staff. |
| | practice, identify | | The guidelines placed greater emphasis on |
| | unaddressed | | individual mental health and psychological |
| | healthcare workers' | | support, whereas HCWs placed greater emphasis |
| | needs and provide | | on structural conditions at work, responsibilities |
| | recommendations | | outside the hospital and the invaluable support |
| | for supporting front- | | of the community. The well-being support |
| | line staff. | | interventions proposed in the guidelines did not |
| | | | always respond to the lived experience of staff, |
| | | | as some reported not being able to participate in |
| | | | these interventions because of under-staffing, |
| | | | exhaustion or clashing schedules |
| Yoon et al. | To explore frontline | Mobile Health | Outcome: perceptions of the existing wellness |
| 2021, | workers' experience | Apps to Support | program available for frontline workers, |
| Singapore | of conventional | Psychosocial | perceived usefulness of mHealth apps for mental |
| | psychological | Well-being | well-being, features that might be valuable for |
| | wellness programs | | improving wellness, and factors affecting |
| | and their perceptions | | adoption of mHealth apps for wellness. |
| | of the usefulness of | | A personalized goal-setting feature (ie, tailoring) |
| | mHealth apps and | | and in-app resources were generally valued, |
| | features for | | while frequent coaching and messages (ie, |
| | promoting well- | | framing) were seen as a distraction. The |
| | being, as well as to | | majority of participants desired a built-in chat |
| | identify factors that | | function with a counselor (ie, guidance) for |
| | could influence | | reasons of accessibility and protection of |
| | uptake and retention | | privacy. Very few participants appreciated a |
| | of an mHealth-based | | gamification function. Frontline workers |
| | wellness program. | | commonly reported the need for ongoing social |
| | ······································ | | support and desired access to an in-app peer |
| | | | support community (ie, social influence). There |
| | | | were, however, concerns regarding potential |
| | | | risks from virtual peer interactions. Intrinsic |
| | | | motivational factors, mHealth app technicality, |
| | | | and tangible rewards were identified as critical |
| | | | for uptake and retention. |
| Reviews (n= | 4) | | |
| Ardekani et | To review the | Studies included | Outcome: student support system programs in |
| al. 2021 , | current literature | academic support | any stage of undergraduate medical education |
| Iran Iran | regarding medical | or mental health | and studies describing student support systems |
| 11 all | student support in | | explicitly used in response to the COVID-19 |
| | | support. | |
| | response to the COVID-19 | | pandemic. Students and faculty members seemed to be |
| | | | Students and faculty members seemed to be |
| | | | receptive to these new systems. Despite |
| | | | indicating outstanding program outcomes, most |
| | | | studies merely described the positive effects of |
| | | | the program rather than providing a precise |
| | | P | evaluation |
| Buselli et | To ascertain the | Programs | Outcome: the study reported a protocol of |
| al. 2021, | interventions put in | managed | intervention to address mental health of HCWs |
| Italy | 1 | psychosocial | during COVID-19 outbreak. |

| Drissi et al. 2021, United Arab Emirates, | place worldwide in reducing stress in HCWs during the COVID-19 outbreak. To identify e-mental health interventions, reported in the literature, that are developed for HCWs | challenges to HCW's in order to prevent mental health problems. The e-mental health interventions | Whether one program offers distinct benefit compared to the others cannot be known given the heterogeneity of the protocols and the lack of a rigorous protocol and clinical outcomes. Outcome: <u>e-mental health interventions for</u> <u>HCWs during the COVID-19 pandemic.</u> Only 27% of the studies included empirical evaluation of the reported interventions, 55% listed challenges and limitations related to the |
|---|--|---|--|
| Spain, Morocco | during the COVID- 19 pandemic | | adoption of the reported interventions. Feedback on the identified interventions was positive, yet a lack of empirical evaluation was identified, especially regarding qualitative evidence |
| Hooper et al. 2021, Australia | To research on early psychological programmes that aim to prevent or reduce mental health symptoms and that have been tested in frontline responders | Psychological interventions for individuals trained to provide services in emergency or disaster settings | Outcome : early psychological intervention programmes tested in frontline responders; and a healthcare service evaluation framework that reviewed the suitability of each programme for widespread implementation across healthcare workers based on the criteria of effectiveness, content applicability and feasibility of delivery. Although the evidence base is limited, psychological first aid, eye movement desensitisation and reprocessing, and trauma risk management showed effectiveness with frontline workers. Several interventions were identified as potentially suitable and useful for improving psychological functioning of healthcare workers across a variety of disaster situations. |
| HCW= Health care worker | | | |