# Occupational exposure and cancer of the pancreas: a review

## Françoise Pietri, Françoise Clavel

## Abstract

Many hypotheses have been proposed about the actiology of cancer of the pancreas, especially concerning the effects of tobacco, coffee, alcohol, diet, and pancreatic pathology. Results of numerous epidemiological studies are, however, inconsistent. Chemical carcinogens have been implicated as possible risk factors. Animal studies have been carried out to determine the role of these chemical factors but, except for nitrosamines and their derivatives (components of tobacco), chemicals have not been proved carcinogenic for the pancreas. Many studies have also been conducted occupational among groups. Several of them showed an excess risk of cancer of the pancreas, especially in the chemical and petroleum industries. The lack of accuracy about the nature of products used, however, does not permit a definitive conclusion as to their carcinogenic role.

This paper is a review of publications about occupational exposures and cancer of the pancreas.

Among various hypotheses, it has been postulated that chemical carcinogens play a part in pancreatic carcinogenesis. This hypothesis led to many animal studies, especially in rodents.

Among the various chemical products investigated nitrosamines and their derivatives, mainly found in tobacco, have been shown to exhibit carcinogenic properties. Other chemical products have not been proved to be carcinogenic for the pancreas. Although results from animal studies cannot be extrapolated with impunity to man, several chemical agents could be implicated in cancer of the human pancreas.

The potential carcinogenic effects of these agents have been studied in occupational epidemiological cohort and case-control studies.

## **Cohort studies**

Most cohort studies (table 1) have been carried out among cohorts of workers, alive or dead, who were employed in various occupational branches. Many of them concerned people who had died after working in various industries. They compared proportions of deaths from different causes in these industries with those of a reference population (proportionate mortality ratio method). Others concerned a group of workers followed up during a specific period and compared the number of deaths from different causes in this group with the number expected from a reference population (standardised mortality ratio method).

#### CHEMICAL AND PETROLEUM INDUSTRIES

Most studies have been carried out in the chemical and petroleum industries. Many show an excess of cancer of the pancreas among exposed workers, but the results are significant in only four of them:

Mancuso and El-Attar<sup>1</sup> showed an excess of deaths from cancer of the pancreas among workers exposed to  $\beta$ -naphthylamine and benzidine. Li *et al*<sup>2</sup> found an over-representation of deaths from cancer of the pancreas among a cohort of chemical industry workers but this result was significant among 20–64 year olds only. It was confirmed by Thomas *et al*<sup>3</sup> among workers employed in petroleum refining, but only in the petroleum refining and production of petrochemicals group with less than 10 years of exposure. The authors confirmed these results in a later study<sup>4</sup> that concerned active and retired workers of the three petroleum refineries where an excess risk of cancer of the pancreas had been shown in the first study.

Others, however, did not confirm these findings. Rushton and Alderson<sup>5</sup> did not find any excess risk among workers in oil refineries in Britain. Norell *et al*<sup>6</sup> found a significant excess risk among petrol station workers, but no significant excess among workers in refineries. According to Bond *et al*<sup>7</sup> and Hanis *et al*,<sup>8</sup> the excess risk associated with exposure in the chemical industry was not significant.

#### EXPOSURE TO METALS

Redmond *et al* in two studies,<sup>9 10</sup> found an increased risk among coke byproduct workers in the steel

Unité de Recherches en Epidémiologie des Cancers de l'Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (U.287), Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France F Pietri, F Clavel

# Table 1 Cohort studies

Authors, date	Industry	Duration of follow up	No exposed	Exposure	Risk of CP	p Value
Mancuso and El-Attar 1967 <sup>1</sup>	Chemical	1938–65	216	$\beta$ -Naphthylamine/benzidine	Three observed deaths; No expected deaths	
Li et al 1969 <sup>2</sup>	Chemical	1948-67	3637	Death cases; various	1.6 (men aged 20-64)	<0.01
Bond <i>et al</i> 1985 <sup>7</sup>	Chemical	After 1977	1666	Various	2.3	NS
Thomas et al 1980 <sup>3</sup>	Petroleum	1947-77	3105	Death cases; petrol	empl < 10 years $3.4$	<0.02
				refining and production of petrochemicals	$empl > 10$ years $1 \cdot 1$	NS
Rushton et al 1981 <sup>5</sup>	Petroleum	Before 1975	34781	At least 1 year between 1950–76; refinery workers	0.9	NS
Thomas et al 1982 <sup>4</sup>	Petroleum	1943-79	2509	Death cases; refinery workers	1.4	<0.05
Hanis et al 1982 <sup>8</sup>	Chemical and petroleum	Before 1977	8666	At least 1 year between 1970–77; various	1.5	NS
Vena et al 1985 <sup>18</sup>	Car	1970–9	769	Death cases; at least 10 years	1.9 (engine plant)	<0.05
Redmond et al 1976 <sup>9</sup>	Steel	195366	2543	Coke byproduct workers; hired before 1953	4.5 (non-coke oven workers)	<0.01
Redmond 1983 <sup>10</sup>	Steel	1953-70			3.7	<0.01
		Former study extended to 1970			(non-coke oven workers)	
Rockette and Arena 1983 <sup>12</sup>	Aluminium	Before 1977	21829	Al reduction; at least 5 years between 1946–77	1.7 (potroom workers)	<0.01
Milham 1979 <sup>11</sup>	Aluminium	Before 1976	2103	Al reduction; at least 3 years with	1.8	NS
Sheffet et al 1982 <sup>13</sup>	Pigment plant (lead and zinc chromates)	Before 1979	1946	l year between 1946–62 Hired between 1940–69; at least I month	Increased	NS
Selikoff and Seidman 1981 <sup>17</sup>	Asbestos	1967–76	17800	Hired before 1967	1.3	NS
Newhouse et al 1988 <sup>16</sup>	Acetylene	1935-84	981	Crocidolite	Bilston $(n = 370)$	
				Crotuante	4.70	<0.01
					Expo > 20 years 6.7	< 0.005
					Other plants $(n = 611)$	NS
Milham 1976 <sup>14</sup>	Metallurgy	1950-71	2697	Death cases;	Copper/tin 1.3	NS
				10 groups of	Al 2-0	<0.02
				type of metal		
Silverstein et al 1988 <sup>19</sup>	<sup>5</sup> Metallurgy	1950-82	1532	Died 1950–1982;	1.43	NS
				at least 5 years	expo > 10 years to oil	
	<b>D</b> 11	1040 54			cutting 4.67	0.06
Monson and Fine	Rubber	1940-76	13570	Hired before 1971;	3.0	NR
Delzell <i>et al</i> 1981 <sup>20</sup>	Tyre	1954–77	1792	at least 5 years Hired between 1947–54; At least 2 years	(tyre curing) 1·9	NR
Delzell and Monson 1985 <sup>21</sup>	Rubber tyre curing	1940–71	1150	At least 2 years At least 2 years	1.5	NS
Lloyd et al 1977 <sup>22</sup>	Printing	1966-8	2604	Died 1966–1968;	Increased risk all	<0.05
		1,00 0	2004	newspaper printing, commercial, handling	categories and commercial 20–54 years old	0.05
Zoloth <i>et al</i> 1986 <sup>23</sup>	Printing Commercial	1958–81	1401	Died 1958–1981; at least 5 years	1.6 (expo > 20 years)	<0.05
Sparks and	pressmen Jewellery	1956–75	931	Died 1956-1975;	1.8	<0.05
<sup>°</sup> Wegman 1980 <sup>24</sup> Costantini <i>et al</i> 1989 <sup>25</sup>	Lasthan	1050 92	2026	various Work in terrorised	1 46	NC
Costantini <i>et al</i> 1989 <sup>25</sup>	Leather	1950-83	2926	Work in tanneries; at least 6 months	1.46 No trend with duration	NS

industry compared with other steel workers; however, this result was significant in non-coke oven workers only. Milham<sup>11</sup> showed an increased risk among aluminium reduction plant workers. In the study by Rockette and Arena,<sup>12</sup> the increased risk was significant only in potroom workers with more than five years of exposure. Sheffet *et al*<sup>13</sup> found a nonsignificant excess risk in a pigment plant utilising lead and zinc chromates. Milham,<sup>14</sup> in a study of patterns of cancer mortality associated with exposure to metals, found an excess risk among sheet metal workers, including coppersmiths and tinsmiths, and among aluminium workers. In their study of mortality among workers exposed to metal working fluids and abrasives, Silverstein *et al*<sup>15</sup> found an excess of deaths from cancer of the pancreas with borderline significance among workers with exposure of 10 or more years of oil cutting.

#### ASBESTOS

Newhouse *et al*<sup>16</sup> found a significant excess of deaths from cancer of the pancreas among workers at acetylene production plants exposed to crocidolite asbestos (used to top off cylinders containing acetylene). Most of the deaths were seen among workers employed as cylinder examiners, who handled crocidolite asbestos, after at least 20 years of follow up. The number of cases were too small, however, to allow definite conclusions. According to Selikoff and Seidman<sup>17</sup> the risk of cancer of the pancreas associated with exposure to asbestos is slightly increased but non-significant.

## AUTOMOBILE INDUSTRY

Vena et al<sup>18</sup> found a significant excess risk among

workers in the engine plant of an automobile engine and parts manufacturing complex but only for those with 20 or more years of employment. The risk was not increased in the other plants.

## RUBBER INDUSTRY

In a cohort study of rubber workers, Monson and Fine<sup>19</sup> found an excess risk of pancreatic cancer among elevators and tyre curers with five or more years of exposure. Delzell *et al* confirmed this result in the rubber tyre industry,<sup>20</sup> especially in curing workers.<sup>21</sup>

# PRINTING

Lloyd et al<sup>22</sup> showed an increased risk among printing pressmen. This was only significant, however, for

# Table 2Case control studies

Authors	Industry	Cases	Controls	No cases/ controls	Pairing factors	Risk of CP	p Value
Edling et al 1986	Leather tanning (Sweden)	Deaths from 6 cancer sites	Deaths from non-malignant disease	464/464 (men)	Stratified on age at death and parish	3.1	p < 0·05
Norell et al 1986 <sup>6</sup> (case-control study)	(Sweden) (Sweden)	CP	Hospital controls (inguinal hernia) + population controls	99/301	Sex, age, parish	Welding 2.0 Petrochemical 2.0 Exp to paint thinners, detergents, floor cleaning agents, polish, refuse	NS NS NS excess risk
Norell <i>et al</i> 1986 <sup>6</sup> (cohort study)	Various	Employed men, Swedish cancer to between obs and various occupation	register; comparison exp cases in			Petroleum refineries/ petrol stations SMR = 1·3/1·6 Painting, paint and varnish factories, floor polishing, window cleaning, refuse disposal works increased SMR	NS
Magnani <i>et al</i> 1987 <sup>26</sup>	49 various (England)	Deaths from 5 cancer sites 1959–79	Death from non-malignant disease	343/1315 (men)	Age at death, county of residence	Paper, printing 2.4 Food, tobacco 2.0	NS NS
Maruchi <i>et al</i> 1979 <sup>28</sup>	Various	116 cases of CP 1935–74 Comparison with Minnesota population for occupations				Overrepresentation of metal workers (incl sheetmetal workers, welders, tinners, plumbers) under risk of CP	
Pickle and Gottleib 1980 <sup>29</sup>	Various	Death from CP Louisiana 1960–75	Death from non-malignant disease	876/876	Age, sex, race, year of death, parish	Paper industry 1.77 Oil refining 2.11	NS NS
Mack et al 1985 <sup>30</sup>	46 professional occupations and industries	CP 1976-81 residents	Neighbours of residence	490/490	Age, sex, race, neighbourhood of residence		NS (all)
Mallin et al 1989 <sup>27</sup>	Various (Illinois)	Deaths from 7 cancer sites	Non-cancer deaths	7861/2099 (white men)	Adj on age at death, Stratified by race and socioeconomic group	White men Photoengravers and lithographers 4-2 Brick and stonemaso Material moving equ Sheetmetal workers	iip 3·8
Pietri <i>et al</i> 1990 <sup>32</sup>	Various (France)	CP 1982–85 in 7 hospitals	Non-cancer control + 1 cancer control	171/317	Adj on age, sex, origin, education, coffee, tobacco, alcohol	Food 1.86 Textile 1.87 Leather 1.63 Subgroup of manual workers: food 4.83 Subgroup of French nationals: textile 2.3	$\mathbf{p} = 0.08$

CP = Cancer of the pancreas; NS = non significant.

#### JEWELLERY

Sparks and Wegman<sup>24</sup> found a significant excess risk in jewellery workers, but they did not pinpoint any specific exposure among the various categories found in this occupational branch.

#### LEATHER INDUSTRY

Costantini *et al*<sup>25</sup> studied cancer mortality among workers in the Tuscan tanning industry. They showed a non-significant excess risk of death from cancer of the pancreas.

#### **Case-control studies**

Case-control studies are less numerous than cohort studies (table 2). Most of them have attempted to show a risk factor among multiple occupational exposures in cases with pancreatic cancer compared with controls. Magnani et  $al^{26}$  found a borderline significant excess risk in the paper industry, printing, and food and tobacco industries. Mallin et al,<sup>27</sup> in a mortality study for seven cancer sites among workers in Illinois, found a significantly increased odds ratio in several occupations and several industrial branches; among white men, the risk was significantly increased for photoengravers and lithographers, brickmasons and stonemasons, operators of material moving equipment, and sheet metal workers. Blue collar workers in the electric power industry and in electrical machinery manufacturing also had a significant excess risk of cancer of the pancreas. For black workers, the limited usefulness of death certificates due to a high percentage of missing or erroneous information made findings difficult to interpret. Maruchi et al,28 in a study in Minnesota, found an over-representation of metal workers among cases of cancer of the pancreas, including sheet metal workers, welders, tinners, and plumbers. Conversely, in two other reports, Pickle and Gottlieb<sup>29</sup> and Mack et al<sup>30</sup> did not single out any occupational branch as a risk factor for this cancer, although Pickle and Gottlieb<sup>29</sup> found a non-significant excess risk in the paper industry and petroleum refineries. Edling et al<sup>31</sup> showed a significantly increased odds ratio among leather tanners.

In a case-control study, which we carried out on 171 cases of cancer of the pancreas in France,<sup>32</sup> we confirmed some of these findings. We found a borderline significant excess risk among manual workers in the food industry, but only four cases and two controls were concerned. We also found a nonsignificant excess risk among workers in the leather industry. Part of our analysis was carried out among French nationals only, and a significant excess risk was found in this subgroup among workers in the textile industry.

#### Discussion

From this review, it seems that the most consistent results are in the chemical and petroleum industries and in metallurgy, especially for aluminium workers.

A variety of exposures may exist, however, in one professional branch, and the results of the studies do not permit the incrimination of one chemical or physical factor in particular. The most frequently evoked are petroleum and petrochemicals in the petroleum industry, fluoride fumes, sulphur dioxide, carbon dioxide, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons next to potrooms in aluminium reduction plants, solvents in the tyre industry, pigments and solvents in printing, photoengraving, and lithography, abrasives and acid fumes in the jewellery industry, cutting oils, welding fumes, and solvents in metal working, lubrication oils in engine plants of the automobile industry, asbestos in acetylene production plants, dyes, pigments, and chromates in leather tanning, diesel fumes in material moving equipment operating, and textile dust in the textile industry. No particular compound has been singled out for the food industry.

Results based on death certificates may be difficult to interpret as the accuracy of these data varies according to countries, occupation, duration of employment, and other factors.<sup>27 33 34</sup> The accuracy of these data also varies according to the cause of death, particularly for cancers diagnosed at death or autopsy.<sup>35 36</sup> Cancer of the pancreas is a rapidly fatal disease, often diagnosed late during the course of the illness, and histological evidence may not be needed to confirm the diagnosis, so death certificates are particularly inaccurate for this disease.

Although most studies were carried out separately according to ethnic origin (especially among white and black people), other potential confounding factors such as consumption of tobacco were often not taken into account. This can bias the results. Indeed, consumption of tobacco is known to vary between socio-occupational groups<sup>37</sup> and smoking is the most consistent risk factor, with roughly a doubling of the risk for pancreatic cancer in heavy smokers.

Many studies have taken into account various causes of death in cohort studies and various occupational exposures in case-control studies. Because of this multiplicity of comparisons, some results can be expected to be significant by chance.

Cohort studies using the proportionate mortality ratio method may overestimate (or underestimate) one of the causes of death if an under-representation (or over-representation) of deaths from another cause occurs in the studied group.

Lastly, because cancer of the pancreas does not

occur often, only a few cases occur in cohort studies; this limits statistical power.

From this review, it is possible to identify a risk, and confirm the carcinogenic role, for the pancreas, of some groups of chemical agents found in various occupations. The simultaneous existence of a variety of agents in a single occupation makes it difficult, however, to attribute a carcinogenic role to any one chemical in particular. Further studies are warranted to clarify which of them are specifically involved in the aetiology of cancer of the pancreas.

# Requests for reprints to: Françoise Clavel, INSERM U.287, Institut Gustave Roussy, Rue Camille Desmoulins, 94805 Villejuif, France.

- 1 Mancuso TF, El-Attar AA. Cohort study of workers exposed to betanaphthylamine and benzidine. J Occup Med 1967;9: 277-85
- 2 Li FP, Fraumeni JF, Mantel N, Miller RW. Cancer mortality among chemists. J Natl Cancer Inst 1969;43:1159-64.
- 3 Thomas TL, Decoufle P, Moure-Eraso R. Mortality among workers employed in petroleum refining and petrochemical plants. J Occup Med 1980;22:97-103.
- 4 Thomas TL, Maxweiler RJ, Moure-Eraso R, Itaya S, Fraumeni JF. Mortality patterns among workers in three Texas oil refineries. J Occup Med 1982;24:135-41.
- 5 Rushton L, Alderson MR. An epidemiological survey of eight oil refineries in Britain. Br J Ind Med 1981;38:225-34
- 6 Norell SE, Ahlbom A, Olin R, et al. Occupational factors and pancreatic cancer. Br J Ind Med 1986;43:775-8.
- 7 Bond GG, Reeve GR, Ott MG, Maxweiler RJ. Mortality among a sample of chemical company employees. Am J Ind Med 1985;7:109-21
- 8 Hanis NM, Holmes TM, Shallenberger LG, Jones KE. Epidemiologic study of refinery and chemical plant workers. J Occup Med 1982;24:203-12.
- 9 Redmond CK, Strobino BR, Cypess RH. Cancer experience among coke by-product workers. Ann NY Acad Sci 1976;271:102-15.
- 10 Redmond CK. Cancer mortality among coke oven workers. Environ Health Perspect 1983;52:67-73.
- 11 Milham S. Mortality in aluminium reduction plant workers. J Occup Med 1979;21:475-80.
- 12 Rockette HE, Arena VC. Mortality studies of aluminium reduction plant workers: potroom and carbon department. J Occup Med 1983;25:549-57.
- 13 Sheffet A, Thind I, Miller AM, Louria DB. Cancer mortality in a pigment plant utilizing lead and zinc chromates. Arch Environ Health 1982;37:44-52
- 14 Milham S. Cancer mortality patterns associated with exposure to metals. Ann NY Acad Sci 1976;271:243-9.
- 15 Silverstein M, Park R, Marmor M, Maizlish N, Mirer F. Mortality among bearing plant workers exposed to metalworking fluids and abrasives. J Occup Med 1988;30:706-14. 16 Newhouse ML, Matthews G, Sheikh K, Knight KL, Oakes D,
- Sullivan KR. Mortality of workers at acetylene production

- plants. Br J Ind Med 1988;45:63-9. 17 Selikoff IJ, Seidman H. Cancer of the pancreas among asbestos insulation workers. Cancer 1981;47:1469-73
- 18 Vena JE, Sultz HA, Fiedler RC, Barnes RE. Mortality of workers in an automobile engine and parts manufacturing complex. Br J Ind Med 1985;42:85-93.
- 19 Monson RR, Fine LJ. Cancer mortality and morbidity among rubber workers. J Natl Cancer Inst 1978;61:1047-53.
- 20 Delzell E, Louik C, Lewis J, Monson RR. Mortality and cancer morbidity among workers in the rubber tyre industry. Am J Ind Med 1981;2:209-16.
- 21 Delzell E, Monson RR. Mortality among rubber workers: IX. Curing workers. Am J Ind Med 1985;8:537-44.
- 22 Lloyd JW, Decoufle P, Salvin LG. Unusual mortality experience of printing pressmen. J Occup Med 1977;19:543-50. 23 Zoloth SR, Michaels DM, Villalbi JR, Lacher M. Patterns of
- mortality among commercial pressmen. J Natl Cancer Inst 1986;76:1047-51
- 24 Sparks PJ, Wegman DH. Cause of death among jewelry workers. J Occup Med 1980;22:733-6.
- 25 Costantini A, Paci E, Miligi L, Buiatti E, Martelli C, Lenzi S. Cancer mortality among workers in the Tuscan tanning industry. Br J Ind Med 1989;46:384-8.
- 26 Magnani C, Coggon D, Osmond C, Acheson ED. Occupation and five cancers: a case-control study using death certificates. Br J Ind Med 1987;44:769-76.
- 27 Mallin K, Rubin M, Joo E. Occupational cancer mortality in Illinois white and black males, 1979-1984, for seven cancer sites. Am J Ind Med 1989;15:699-717.
- 28 Maruchi N, Brian D, Ludwig J, Elveback LR, Kurland LT. Cancer of the pancreas in Olmstead County, Minnesota, 1935-1974. Mayo Clin Proc 1979;54:245-9.
- 29 Pickle LW, Gottlieb MS. Pancreatic cancer mortality in Louisiana. Am J Public Health 1980;70:256-9
- 30 Mack TM, Peters JM, Yu MC, Hanisch R, Wright WE, Henderson BE. Pancreas cancer is unrelated to the workplace in Los Angeles. Am J Ind Med 1985;7:253-66.
- 31 Edling C, Kling H, Flodin U, Axelson O. Cancer mortality among leather tanners. Br J Ind Med 1986;43:494-6.
- 32 Pietri F, Clavel F, Auquier A, Flamant R. Occupational risk factors for cancer of the pancreas: a case-control study. *Br J Ind Med* 1990;47:425–8. 33 Steenland K, Beaumont J. The accuracy of occupation and
- industry data on death certificates. J Occup Med 1984;26: 288-96
- 34 Schade WJ, Swanson GM. Comparison of death certificate occupation and industry with lifetime occupational histories obtained by interview: Variations in the accuracy of death certificate entries. Am J Ind Med 1988;14:121-36.
- 35 Engel LW, Strauchen JA, Chiazze L, Herd M. Accuracy of death certification in an autopsied population with specific attention to malignant neoplasms and vascular diseases. Am J Epidemiol 1980;111:99-112.
- 36 Nelson DE, Swanson M, Grossbart-Schwartz A, Brix K, Fine LJ. Occupation and industry data obtained from death certificates: The effect and influence of case selection. J Occup Med 1987;29:52-6.
- 37 Lelaidier S. Quelques résultats sur les consommateurs de boissons alcooliques et de tabac en France en 1980. Enquête auprès des ménages sur la santé et les soins médicaux 1980-1981. Service des Statistiques, des Etudes et des Systèmes d'Information: Info rapides 1983;20:1-12.

Accepted 14 January 1991