New Phytologist Supporting Information

Article title: An important role of _L-fucose biosynthesis and protein fucosylation genes in Arabidopsis immunity

Authors: Li Zhang, Bradley C. Paasch, Jin Chen, Brad Day and Sheng Yang He

Article acceptance date: 1 December 2018

The following Supporting Information is available for this article:

Fig. S1: Bacterial populations 1 hour after infiltration-inoculation with Pst DC3118.

Fig. S2: Loss of Basta resistance in the scord6 mutant.

Fig. S3: SEM and TEM images of stomatal apertures.

Fig. S4: Mutations in the *MUR1* gene affect pathogen- and SA-induced stomatal closure in Arabidopsis.

Fig. S5: ABA levels in Col-7 and the scord6 mutant.

Fig. S6: Mutations in the MUR1 gene affect Arabidopsis apoplastic defense.

Fig. S7: Bacterial effector translocation in Col-7 and the *scord6* mutant plants.

Fig. S8: FLS2 and BAK1 abundance and sensitivity to PNGase F.

Fig. S9: Simplified diagrams of *N*-glycan processing and modification of *O*-glycan and xyloglucan.

Fig. S10: Disease assays of Arabidopsis mutants of fucosyltransferases and quintuple *della* mutant.

Table S1: List of primers.

Table S2: Summary of key assay results of the Arabidopsis mutants analyzed in this study.



Fig. S1: Bacterial populations 1 hour after infiltration-inoculation with Pst DC3118.

Bacterial populations in Arabidopsis mutants were examined 1 hour after infiltration-inoculation with 5×10^5 cfu ml⁻¹ *Pst* DC3118. Different letters above the columns indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) of bacterial populations, analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test (n = 4, error bars, ± SEM).



Fig. S2: Loss of Basta resistance in the *scord6* mutant.

Death of the Arabidopsis *scord6* mutant plants two weeks after sprayed with Basta solution (0.12 g l^{-1} , 0.025% Silwet-77). The *scord7* mutant (Zeng *et al.* 2011) was used as a Basta-resistant control.



Fig. S3: SEM and TEM images of stomatal apertures.

Left, SEM images of Arabidopsis stomata, scale bar = 5 μ m. Right, TEM images of the cross sections of Arabidopsis stomatal guard cells, scale bar = 2 μ m. Arrows indicate the merging point of the outer cuticular ledges of two guard cells.



Fig. S4: Mutations in the *MUR1* gene affect pathogen- and SA-induced stomatal closure in Arabidopsis.

(a, b) Stomatal apertures two hours after leaves were inoculated with 1×10^8 cfu ml⁻¹ *Pst* DC3118 or water (mock). Different letters above columns indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between stomatal apertures (n > 30, error bars, ± SEM), analyzed by two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test.

(c, d) Stomatal apertures one hour after leaves have been exposed to 100 μ M SA (c), 10 μ M ABA (d) or MES buffer (mock) treatments. Different letters above columns indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between stomatal apertures (n > 30, error bars, \pm SEM), analyzed by two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test.



Fig. S5: ABA levels in Col-7 and the *scord6* mutant.

Hormone levels of ABA in Arabidopsis plants Col-7 and the *scord6* mutant. No significant differences were detected between the hormone levels of Col-7 and the *scord6* mutant, via Student's t-test (n = 6, error bars, \pm SEM).



Fig. S6: Mutations in the MUR1 gene affect Arabidopsis apoplastic defense.

(a) Bacterial populations 1 hour (Day 0) or 3 days (Day 3) after infiltration-inoculation (into the leaf apoplast) with 1×10^5 cfu ml⁻¹ *Pst* DC3118. Different letters above the columns indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) of bacterial populations, analyzed by two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test (n = 4, error bars, ± SEM).

(b, c) Bacterial populations (b) and disease symptoms (c) three days after infiltration-inoculation (into the leaf apoplast) with 1×10^5 cfu ml⁻¹ *Pst* DC3118. Different letters above the columns indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) of bacterial populations between plant genotypes by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test (n = 4, error bars, ± SEM).



Fig. S7: Bacterial effector translocation in Col-7 and the *scord6* mutant plants.

(a) Pooled bacterial effector translocation data from three experimental repeats with total n = 12 (4 biological replicates [Arabidopsis plants] per experiment). Error bars, \pm SEM. No significant

differences were detected, as analyzed by Student's t-test. The bacterial effector translocation was examined seven hours post inoculation with *Pst* DC3000 carrying the P_{nptII}::avrPto-CyaA plasmid. Translocation of effector protein AvrPto was represented by the cAMP amount (pmol cAMP μ g⁻¹ protein) divided by the corresponding bacterial number (cfu cm⁻²) in each sample.

(b-g) Three different experimental repeats of the bacterial effector translocation in Col-7 and the *scord6* mutant (b, d, f), and the corresponding bacterial populations seven hours after infiltration-inoculation (c, e, g) (n = 4; error bars, \pm SEM). *0.01 < P < 0.05 indicates a significant difference of cAMP amounts between wild-type Col-7 and the *scord6* mutant, as analyzed by Student's t-test; ns: not significant. **0.001 < P < 0.01 indicates a significant difference of bacterial populations between wild-type Col-7 and the *scord6* mutant, as analyzed by Student's t-test; ns: not significant. **0.001 < P < 0.01 indicates a significant difference of bacterial populations between wild-type Col-7 and the *scord6* mutant, as analyzed by Student's t-test; ns: not significant.



Fig. S8: FLS2 and BAK1 abundance and sensitivity to PNGase F.

(a) The first two panels: Western blot of total Arabidopsis leaf proteins for FLS2 and BAK1 in wild-type, *scord6* and *mur1-1* mutant plants using α -FLS2 and α -BAK1 antibodies. Lower panel: Naphthol Blue Black staining of gel showing the Rubisco large subunit as a loading control.

(b) The first two panels: Western blot of total Arabidopsis leaf proteins for FLS2 and BAK1 after PNGase F. Lower panel: Naphthol Blue Black staining of gel showing the Rubisco large subunit as a loading control. Please note that FLS2 and BAK1 proteins showed a larger decrease in the MWs of FLS2 and BAK1 after PNGase F. In contrast, FLS2 and BAK1 in wild-type plants were less sensitive to PNGase F treatment, as indicated by a smaller decrease in the MWs of FLS2 and BAK1.



Fig. S9: Simplified diagrams of *N*-glycan processing and modification of *O*-glycan and xyloglucan.

(a) A simplified schematic diagram of *N*-glycan processing in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and Golgi (Strasser 2016). The OST complex catalyzes the transfer of a pre-assembled oligosaccharide from the lipid carrier dolichol pyrophosphate to a selected asparagine residue of the nascent polypeptide (Strasser 2016).

(b) A simplified schematic diagram of O-glycans attached to arabinogalactan proteins.

(c) A simplified schematic diagram of the XLFG (glucose/xylose/galactose/fucose) subunit of xyloglucan.

Arabidopsis mutants used for disease assays and stomatal assays are indicated in red with parentheses.





Bacterial populations three days after dip-inoculation with 1×10^8 cfu ml⁻¹ *Pst* DC3118 in fucosyltransferase single mutants for *N*-glycan (a) or *O*-glycan (b), or quintuple *della* mutant (c). Different letters above the columns indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) of bacterial

populations between genotypes by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test (n = 4, error bars, \pm SEM).

Table S1: List of primers.

name of primer	accession	sequence	purpose	reference for primer	ABRC
SCORD6 FP	AT3G51160		scord6	for primer	
SCORD6_PP	115051100	AGGTTGCTGCTTAGCATCCATGTAT	deletion		
SCORDO_M		Addition to the	confirmation		
SCORD6 BPF	AT3G51160	GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTCATGGCGTCAGAGAACAACGGAT	cloning		
SCORD6 BPR		GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGTCAGGTTGCTGCTTAGCATCCATGTAT	SCORD6		
~			gene		
PP2AA3_qF	AT1G13320	GGTTACAAGACAAGGTTCACTC	qPCR	Zhang <i>et</i>	
PP2AA3_qR		CATTCAGGACCAAACTCTTCAG	-	al. 2015	
CYP81F2_qF	AT5G57220	GCCCGAGAAGTTTATGCCTGAG	qPCR	J. Li et al.	
CYP81F2_qR		CAACGAACCTAAAGCCAACAATACC	-	2009	
FRK1_qF	AT2G19190	CATTAGATGCAGCGCAAGGAC	qPCR		
FRK1_qR		GGTTGGCCTGTAATCACTTC	_		
mur1-1_F	AT3G51160	ATGGCGTCAGAGAACAACGGAT	genomic		CS6243
mur1-1_R		AGGTTGCTGCTTAGCATCCATGTAT	PCR		
mur2-1_F	AT2G03220	TCGGTGAGTGACTTTAGAGTCT	genomic		CS8565
mur2-1_R		AATCATACTAGCTTAAGTCCCCA	PCR		
fut4_F	AT2G15390	CCATGTAGTTACATTCCCAACCG	genomic	Liang et	SAIL_284_B05
fut4_R		CCACGTCGATGGAGCCTTGTTT	PCR	al. 2013	
fut6_F	AT1G14080	CACATCTTTCAGATCTCCAGCG	genomic	Liang et	SALK_099500
fut6_R		CTTTCTTGTAAGCATCCGTGC	PCR	al. 2013	
fucTa_F	AT3G19280	TGCCACAACTTAGCATCTCCT	genomic	Kaulfurst-	SALK_087481
fucTa_R		TAGGACCTCGAAGATTGGAGA	PCR	Soboll et	
				al. 2011	
fucTb_F	AT1G49710	ATGAAGTATCTCGCAGCTAAC	genomic	Kaulfurst-	SALK_063355
fucTb_R		AATGTGACTACTTAGACTCGA	PCR	Soboll <i>et</i>	
A 10.0 E				<i>al.</i> 2011	A A B A A A A A A A A A A
fut13-2_F	AT1G/1990		genomic	Anderson	SALK_067444
fut13-2_R	454620240		PCR	<i>et al.</i> 2012	0 A L IZ 072650
cgl1-3_F	A14G38240		genomic	Frank <i>et</i>	SALK_0/3650
cgl1-3_R	ATEC10600		PCK	<i>al.</i> 2008	CALK 050014
stt3a-2_F	A15G19690		genomic	Koiwa et	SALK_058814
stt3a-2_R	451024120		PCR	<i>al.</i> 2003	GALK 022201
stt3b-1_F	ATIG34130		genomic	Koiwa et	SALK_033391
stt3b-1_R	452011540		PCR	<i>al.</i> 2003	00.000
spy-3_F	A13G11540		genomic		CS6268
spy-3_K	AT2C11540		PCK .		C00004
spy-5_F	A13G11540		genomic		CS8094
spy-5_K					
LB3		IAGUAIUIGAATITUATAAUUAATUTUGATACAC	T-DNA		

LBb1.3	ATTTTGCCGATTTCGGAAC	T-DNA	

Mutant	Eurotion of gong	Arabidopsis defense Stomatal defense		Apoplastic defense		
Mutant	Function of gene	against Pst DC3118	against Pst DC3118	against Pst DC3118	PTI	ETI
scord6, mur1-1	GDP-D-mannose-4,6-dehydratase	-	-	-	-	-
fucTa fucTb	α 1,3-fucosyltransferases for N-glycan processing in Golgi	-	-	-		
fut13	α 1,4-fucosyltransferase for N-glycan processing in Golgi	+				
stt3a-2	putative subunits of OST complex in ER	-	-	-		
stt3b-1	putative subunits of OST complex in ER	-	-	-		
cgl1-3	GnTI in Golgi	-	-	-		
fut4 fut6	arabinogalactan-protein specific a1,2-fucosyltransferase	-	+	-		
spy-3, spy-5	O-fucosyltransferase for mono-fucosylation of DELLAs	-	_*	-		
mur2-1	XyG specific α1,2-fucosyltransferase	+				
della	repressors of GA signaling	+				

Table S2: Summary of key assay results of the Arabidopsis mutants analyzed in this study

+: Wild-type resistance -: Compromised resistance

*: Only tested in the *spy-3* mutant

References

Anderson CT, Wallace IS, Somerville CR. 2012. Metabolic click-labeling with a fucose analog reveals pectin delivery, architecture, and dynamics in *Arabidopsis* cell walls. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **109**: 1329-1334

Frank J, Kaulfurst-Soboll H, Rips S, Koiwa H, von Schaewen A. 2008. Comparative analyses of *Arabidopsis complex glycan1* mutants and genetic interaction with *staurosporin and temperature sensitive3a*. *Plant Physiology* **148**: 1354-1367

Kaulfurst-Soboll H, Rips S, Koiwa H, Kajiura H, Fujiyama K, von Schaewen. 2011. Reduced immunogenicity of *Arabidopsis hgl1* mutant *N*-glycans caused by altered accessibility of xylose and core fucose epitopes. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **286**: 22955-22964

Koiwa H, Li F, McCully MG, Mendoza I, Koizumi N, Manabe Y, Nakagawa Y, Zhu J, Rus
A, Pardo JM, *et al.* 2003. The STT3a subunit isoform of the *Arabidopsis*oligosaccharyltransferase controls adaptive responses to salt/osmotic stress. *The Plant Cell* 15: 2273-2284

Li J, Zhao-Hui C, Batoux M, Nekrasov V, Roux M, Chinchilla D, Zipfel C, Jones JD. 2009. Specific ER quality control components required for biogenesis of the plant innate immune receptor EFR. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 106: 15973-15978

Liang Y, Basu D, Pattathil S, Xu WL, Venetos A, Martin SL, Faik A, Hahn MG, Showalter AM. 2013. Biochemical and physiological characterization of *fut4* and *fut6* mutants defective in arabinogalactan-protein fucosylation in *Arabidopsis*. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **64**: 5537-5551

Strasser R. 2016. Plant protein glycosylation. Glycobiology 26: 926-939

Zeng W, Brutus A, Kremer JM, Withers JC, Gao X, Jones AD, He SY. 2011. A genetic screen reveals *Arabidopsis* stomatal and/or apoplastic defenses against *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000. *PLoS Pathogens* **7**: e1002291

Zhang L, Yao J, Withers J, Xin XF, Banerjee R, Fariduddin Q, Nakamura Y, Nomura K,

Howe GA, Boland W, *et al.* 2015. Host target modification as a strategy to counter pathogen hijacking of the jasmonate hormone receptor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences United States of America* 112: 14354–14359