nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s):	Hervé Bourhy
Last updated by author(s):	Jun 28, 2023

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our Editorial Policies and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

~ .				
51	ta:	t١	c†	$I \cap S$

n/a	Confirmed
	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	🗴 A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
x	A description of all covariates tested
	🗶 A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
x	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
x	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
×	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i>), indicating how they were calculated
	Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection

qPCR: QuantStudio Real-time PCR System (v.1.2), Applied Biosystems Immunofluorescence: EVOS FL cell imaging system, Thermo Scientific LSIM: ImSpector software (v.7.0.127.0), Lavision Biotec GmbH Bioluminescence (in vivo): Living Image (v.4.7.4), PerkinElmer Histology: Zen software (v.2.6), Zeiss

Luminescence (in vitro): Victor Nivo control software (v.4.0.7), PerkinElmer

Data analysis

LSIM: Bitplane Imaris (v.9.2), Oxford instruments Statistical analysis: Prism 9 (v.9.5.1), GraphPad

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and $reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio <math display="block"> \underbrace{ \text{guidelines for submitting code \& software}}_{\text{code & software}} \text{ for further information}.$

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

All relevant data supporting the key findings of this study are available within the main manuscript and its Supplementary Information. The processed data generated in this study are provided in the Source Data file.

Human research participants

Policy information about studies involving human research participants and Sex and Gender in Research.

Reporting on sex and gender	n/a
Population characteristics	n/a
Recruitment	n/a
Ethics oversight	n/a

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one helow that i	to also because the factors of the contraction of t	I£		L - L
rease select the one below that	is the nest til for vollr research	it vou are not sure rea	ad the appropriate sections	neiore making volir selection

Life sciences		Behavioural & social sciences		Ecological, evolutionary & environmental science
	Life sciences	Life sciences	Life sciences Behavioural & social sciences	Life sciences Behavioural & social sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size

We use the minimum number of animals necessary to achieve statistical significance (n=8 for behavioral tests / n=4 for molecular tests) based on previous data observed using this model.

1. de Melo GD, Lazarini F, Levallois S, Hautefort C, Michel V, Larrous F, Verillaud B, Aparicio C, Wagner S, Gheusi G, Kergoat L, Kornobis E, Donati F, Cokelaer T, Hervochon R, Madec Y, Roze E, Salmon D, Bourhy H, Lecuit M, Lledo P-M. COVID-19-related anosmia is associated with viral persistence and inflammation in human olfactory epithelium and brain infection in hamsters. Science Translational Medicine. 2021;13 (596):eabf8396.

2. de Melo GD, Lazarini F, Larrous F, Feige L, Kornobis E, Levallois S, Marchio A, Kergoat L, Hardy D, Cokelaer T, Pineau P, Lecuit M, Lledo P-M, Changeux J-P, Bourhy H. Attenuation of clinical and immunological outcomes during SARS-CoV-2 infection by ivermectin. EMBO Mol Med. 2021;13:e14122.

Data exclusions

No data were excluded.

Replication

In vivo experiments: 8 animals in each group (2 independent replicates with 4 animals each; all attempts at replication were successful) in vitro experiments: 3 independent replicates (all attempts at replication were successful)

Randomization

In vivo: there was no randomization due to pre-defined housing conditions (separeted isolators between infected and non-infeted animals). In vitro: cell culture plates and chambers were randomly assigned at the start of each experiment.

Blinding

Blinding was not possible during in vivo experiments because each experimental group of animals was housed in dedicated isolators. However, ex vivo and in vitro analysis were blinded (an alphanumeric code was assigned to the samples).

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems			ethods			
n/a	/a Involved in the study		Involved in the study			
	✗ Antibodies	x	ChIP-seq			
	✗ Eukaryotic cell lines	x	Flow cytometry			
x	Palaeontology and archaeology	×	MRI-based neuroimaging			
	Animals and other organisms					
X	Clinical data					
x	Dual use research of concern					
Ant	tibodies					
Antibodies used Rabbit anti-SARS CoV2 nucleocapsid antibody (GTX135357, GeneTex) 1:100						
	Goat anti-CD31 (AF3628, R&D Systems) 1:300 Rat anti-Podocalyxin (MAB1556, R&D Systems) 1:1000					
	Donkey anti-Rabbit Alexa 555 (A-31572, Thermo Fisher Scientific) 1:500					
	Donkey anti-Goat Alexa 647 (A-21447, Thermo Fisher Scientific) 1:500					
	Chicken anti-Rat Alexa 647 (A-21472, Thermo Fisher Scientific) 1:500					
SARS Nucleocapsid Protein antibody (NB100-56576, Novus Biologicals) 1:500			ody (NB100-56576, Novus Biologicals) 1:500			

Eukaryotic cell lines

Validation

Policy information about <u>cell lines and Sex and Gender in Research</u>

Cell line source(s) Vero E6 (ATCC #CRL-1586) A549 cells expressing ACE2 and TMPRSS2 (kindly provided by Pr. Olivier Schwartz, Institut Pasteur) Human neural stem cells (hNSC, ENStem-A, SCC003, EMD-Millipore) for neuron differentiation Authentication Cell lines were not authenticated. Neurons were identified by immunofluorescence with b3-tubulin marker after 14 days of differantiation from hNSCs. Mycoplasma contamination Cell lines were not tested Commonly misidentified lines No commonly misidentified cell lines were used in the study

All antibodies are commercially available and validated by the manufacturers or by refereed articles cited on each supplier's website.

(See ICLAC register)

Goat anti-rabbit Ig secondary antibody (E0432, Dako, Agilent) 1:600 Mouse anti-β-Tubulin III (neuronal) antibody (T8578, Sigma-Aldrich) 1:1000

Goat anti-mouse AlexaFluor 647 (A21235, Invitrogen) 1:1000 Goat anti-rabbit AlexaFluor 546 (A11035, Invitrogen) 1:1000

Animals and other research organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research, and Sex and Gender in Research

Laboratory animals Golden Syrian hamsters (Mesocricetus auratus; RjHan:AURA) of 5-6 weeks of age (average weight 60-80 grams) Wild animals No wild animals were used in the study. Males were used as they are more sensitive to the disease and to olfaction impairments (https://www.embopress.org/doi/ Reporting on sex full/10.15252/emmm.202114122). Exceptionally, three female hamsters were added (in addition to three males) in the light-sheet imaging experiments to visualize the infection in the olfactory pathway. Field-collected samples No field collected samples were used in the study. Ethics oversight All animal experiments were performed according to the French legislation and in compliance with the European Communities Council Directives (2010/63/UE, French Law 2013–118, February 6, 2013) and according to the regulations of Institut Pasteur Animal Care Committees. The Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee (CETEA 89) of the Institut Pasteur approved this study (200023;

APAFIS#25326-2020050617114340 v2) before experiments were initiated. Hamsters were housed by groups of 4 animals in isolators and manipulated in class III safety cabinets in the Pasteur Institute animal facilities accredited by the French Ministry of Agriculture for performing experiments on live rodents. All animals were handled in strict accordance with good animal practice.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.