

6 <u>Supplementary Figures</u>

7 Supplementary Figure 1



Supplementary Figure 1: Administration of ARVAC CG as booster increases the Neutralizing antibody
 titers against the Ancestral, Gamma, Delta, Omicron BA.1 and Omicron BA.5 variants of SARS-CoV-2
 irrespective of the previous history of COVID19 or anti-N serology of the study participants. Study
 participants were classified according to their previous story of COVID19 and/or their anti-N serology into two

- 13 groups: in the graphs are shown with open circles those who were seronegative for anti-N IgG (at day 1 and at
- day 28) and have no previous diagnostic of COVID19 (N = 20 in Group A and N = 8 in group B) and shown with filled circles are those who were seropositive for anti-N IgG (at day 1 or at day 28) or have had COVID19
- previous to the study (N = 40 in Group A and N = 12 in group B). The neutralizing antibody titers against the
- 17 Ancestral, Gamma, Delta and Omicron BA.1 and Omicron BA.5 variants of SARS-CoV-2 in plasma samples
- of individuals boosted with ARVAC CG $25\mu g$ (a) or $50\mu g$ (b) prior to the vaccine administration (d1) or after
- 19 14 days of booster administration (d14) are shown. Each point represents the nAb titer of a volunteer at the
- 20 indicated time point and against the depicted viral variant. The nAb geometric mean titers (GMTs) with 95%
- 21 CIs are shown as horizontal and error bars, respectively. The numbers depicted above the individual points for
- 22 each specified subgroup, time point and viral variant represent the GMT. The fold increases in the GMT from
- 23 day 1 to day 14 (GMFR) for each specified subgroup of participants and variant are shown with a number
- followed by a \times with the 95% CI written below between brackets. The number of participants included in each
- data set analyzed is depicted in the bottom of the bar (N = number of individuals in each data set). Statistical
- 26 differences were analyzed using the two-tailed Mann Whitney test. ns: P>0.05.
- 27

28 Supplementary Figure 2

29 Neutralizing antibody responses in individuals with BBIBP-CorV primary vaccination stratified by





Supplementary Figure 2: Administration of ARVAC CG as booster to individuals previously vaccinated with BBIBP-CorV vaccine increases the neutralizing antibody titers against the Ancestral, Gamma, Delta, Omicron BA.1 and Omicron BA.5 variants of SARS-CoV-2 irrespective of the previous history of COVID19 or anti-N serology of the study participants. Study participants with a primary vaccination scheme with the BBIBP-CorV vaccine were classified according to their previous story of COVID-19 and/or their anti-N serology into two groups: in the graphs are shown with open circles those who were seronegative for anti-N IgG (at day 1 and at day 28) and have no previous diagnostic of COVID-19 (N = 5 in Group A and N = 4 in

- 39 group B) and shown with filled circles are those who were seropositive for anti-N IgG (at day 1 or at day 28) or have had COVID19 previous to the study (N = 15 in Group A and N = 10 in group B). The neutralizing antibody 40 titers against the Ancestral, Gamma, Delta and Omicron BA.1 and Omicron BA.5 variants of SARS-CoV-2 in 41 42 plasma samples of individuals boosted with ARVAC CG 25µg (a) or 50 µg (b) prior to the vaccine administration (d1) or after 14 days of booster administration (d14) are shown. Each point represents the nAb 43 44 titer of a volunteer at the indicated time point and against the depicted viral variant. The nAb geometric mean 45 titers (GMTs) with 95% CIs are shown as horizontal and error bars, respectively. The numbers depicted above the individual points for each specified subgroup, time point and viral variant represent the GMT. The fold 46 47 increases in the GMT from day 1 to day 14 (GMFR) for each specified subgroup of participants and variant are shown with a number followed by a × with the 95% CI written below between brackets. The number of 48 participants included in each data set analyzed is depicted in the bottom of the bar (N =number of individuals 49 50 in each data set). Statistical differences were analyzed using the two-tailed Mann Whitney test. ns: P>0.05.
- 51



54 Supplementary Figure 3: Administration of ARVAC CG as booster increases the Neutralizing antibody titers against the Ancestral, Gamma, Delta, Omicron BA.1 and Omicron BA.5 variants of SARS-CoV-2 55 irrespective of the time since primary vaccination series completion. Study participants were classified 56 57 according to the time since primary vaccination series completion in two groups: i) those with time since primary vaccination completion less than 180 days (N = 13 in Group A and N = 8 in group B) and ii) those with a time 58 equal or greater than 180 days (N = 47 in Group A and N = 12 in group B). The neutralizing antibody titers 59 against the Ancestral (a), Gamma (b), Delta (c) and Omicron BA.1 (d) and Omicron BA.5 (e) variants of SARS-60 61 CoV-2 in plasma samples of individuals boosted with ARVAC CG 25µg or 50 µg prior to the vaccine administration (1d) or after 14 days of booster administration (14d) are shown. Each point represents the nAb 62 63 titer of a volunteer at the indicated time point and against the depicted viral variant. The nAb geometric mean titers (GMTs) with 95% CIs are shown as horizontal and error bars, respectively. The number of participants 64 65 included in each data set analyzed is depicted in the bottom of the bar (N = number of individuals in each data set). Statistical differences were analyzed using the two-tailed Mann Whitney test. ns: P>0.05. 66 67



Supplementary Figure 4: Administration of ARVAC CG as booster increases after 28 days the nAb titers 70 against the Ancestral, Gamma and Omicron BA.1 variants of SARS-CoV-2. Neutralizing antibody titers 71 72 against the Ancestral, Gamma, and Omicron BA.1 variants of SARS-CoV-2 in plasma samples of individuals 73 boosted with ARVAC CG 25µg (N = 58) (A) or 50 µg (N = 18) (B) prior to the vaccine administration (d1) or after 28 days of booster administration (d28). Each point represents the nAb titer of a volunteer at the indicated 74 time point and against the depicted viral variant. The nAb geometric mean titers (GMT) with 95% CIs are shown 75 as horizontal and error bars, respectively. The numbers depicted above the individual points for each specified 76 77 time point and viral variant represent the GMT. The fold increases in the GMT from day 1 to day 28 (GMFR) for each specified variant are shown with a number followed by a ×. The dashed line represents the positivity 78 threshold on the neutralization assay. The number of participants included in each data set analyzed is depicted 79 80 in the bottom of the bar (N = number of individuals in each data set). Statistical differences were analyzed using the two-tailed Wilcoxon pair-matched test. P values are depicted above the data sets that were compared. P 81 82 (b) P=0.0006 (Ancestral), P=0.0008 (Gamma), P=0.0020 (Omicron BA.1). 83



Supplementary Figure 5. Analysis of binding antibody response induced by ARVAC CG booster dose. 87 88 (A) Serum anti-spike IgG (A) or anti-RBD IgG (B) were analyzed by ELISA. Antibody levels are expressed in 89 BAU/ml according to the WHO International Antibody Standard. Graphs display violin plots showing the frequency distribution of the data and dots show individual values for each volunteer at a specified time point 90 $(N = 58 \text{ for ARVAC CG } 25 \mu \text{g cohort and } N = 18 \text{ for ARVAC CG } 50 \mu \text{g cohort})$. The geometric means are 91 92 shown above the violin plots. The fold raise in GM (GMFR) after 28 days of booster (d28) respect to baseline 93 (d1) is shown above a line connecting both time points. The two-tailed Wilcoxon matched pairs test was used for statistical analysis. P values are depicted on the graph. P values: (a) P<10e-15 (ARVAC CG 25µg), 94 95 P=0.0034 (ARVAC CG 50μg); (b) P<10e-15 (ARVAC CG 25μg), P=0.000015 (ARVAC CG 50μg).



98 Supplementary Figure 6: Comparison of nAb GMT and GMFR after booster with ARVAC CG or 99 booster with BNT162b2 in individuals whose time since completion of primary vaccination series was less than 180 days. Neutralizing antibody titers against the Ancestral (a), Gamma (b), Delta (c), Omicron BA.1 (d) 100 101 and Omicron BA.5 (e) variants of SARS-CoV-2 in plasma samples of individuals whose time since completion 102 of primary vaccination series to booster was less than 180 days boosted with the indicated vaccine (ARVAC CG 25µg, ARVAC CG 50 µg or BNT162b2) prior to the booster administration (d1) or at the indicated days 103 after booster (d14, d21 or d28). Each point represents the nAb titer of a volunteer boosted with the indicated 104 105 vaccine, at the indicated time point and against the depicted viral variant. The nAb GMTs and 95% CIs are shown as horizontal and error bars, respectively. The numbers depicted above the individual points for each 106 107 specified time point and viral variant represent the GMTs. The number of participants included in each data set analyzed is depicted in the bottom of the bar (N = number of individuals in each data set). Data are from 108 participants whose time since completion of primary vaccination series to booster was less than 180 days and 109 110 with no missing data at baseline and at all time points analyzed (ARVAC CG $25\mu g$ (N = 13), ARVAC CG 50 $\mu g (N = 10)$ or BNT162b2 (N = 18). Statistical differences were analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis test followed 111 by the Dunn's multiple comparison test. Exact P values are depicted above the data sets that were compared. 112 ns: P>0.05 (F) Fold increases in the GMT from day 1 to day 21 or 28 (GMFR) for each specified variant 113 represented by a point and written with a number followed by a ×. The horizontal lines represent the 95% CIs. 114 Data are from participants whose time since completion of primary vaccination series to booster was less than 115 180 days and with no missing data at baseline and at all time points analyzed (ARVAC CG 25µg (N = 13), 116 ARVAC CG 50 μ g (N = 10) or BNT162b2 (N = 18). Statistical differences were analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis 117 test followed by the Dunn's multiple comparison test. ns: P > 0.05; $\star \star$: P < 0.01. In Omicron BA.5 VOCs panel 118 (f) P values are VOC: ARVAC CG 25µg vs. BNT162b2, P=0.003; ARVAC CG 50µg vs. BNT162b2, P=0.004. 119

121 Supplementary Figure 7



122

123 Supplementary Figure 7: Comparison of nAb GMT and GMFR after booster with ARVAC CG or 124 booster with BNT162b2 in individuals whose primary vaccination was rAd26/rAd5. Neutralizing antibody 125 titers against the Ancestral (a), Gamma (b), Delta (c), Omicron BA.1 (d) and Omicron BA.5 (e) variants of SARS-CoV-2 in plasma samples of individuals whose primary vaccination was rAd26/rAd5 (Sputnik V 126 127 vaccine) boosted with the indicated vaccine (ARVAC CG 25µg, ARVAC CG 50 µg or BNT162b2) prior to the 128 booster administration (d1) or at the indicated days after booster (d14, d21 or d28). Each point represents the nAb titer of a volunteer boosted with the indicated vaccine, at the indicated time point and against the depicted 129 viral variant. Data are from participants whose primary vaccination series was rAd26/rAd5 (ARVAC CG 25µg 130 (N=21), ARVAC CG 50 µg (N=1) or BNT162b2 (N=16). The nAb GMTs and 95% CIs are shown as horizontal 131 and error bars, respectively. The numbers depicted above the individual points for each specified time point and 132 133 viral variant represent the GMTs. The number of participants included in each data set analyzed is depicted in the bottom of the bar (N = number of individuals in each data set). Statistical differences were analyzed using 134 the Kruskal-Wallis test followed by the Dunn's multiple comparison test. Exact P values are depicted above the 135 data sets that were compared. ns: P>0.05 (F) Fold increases in the GMT from day 1 to day 21 or 28 (GMFR) 136 for each specified variant is represented by a point and written with a number followed by a ×. The horizontal 137 lines represent the 95% CIs. Data are from participants whose primary vaccination series was rAd26/rAd5 138 (ARVAC CG 25µg (N=21), ARVAC CG 50 µg (N=1) or BNT162b2 (N = 16). Statistical differences were 139 analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis test followed by the Dunn's multiple comparison test. ns: P>0.05, $\star \star$: P=140 0.0011. 141

142 Supplementary Figure 8



143

Supplementary Figure 8: Administration of a booster dose of ARVAC CG increases the frequency of 144 individuals with nAb levels that correlate with high VE. The nAb titers prior and after the booster were 145 146 transformed to international units per ml (IU/ml) by the inclusion in each plate of a secondary standard that was calibrated with the WHO international standard (NIBSC code: 20/268). A cut off value 949 IU/ml was used to 147 148 determine the proportion of individuals with nAb levels \geq 949 IU/ml (levels associated with 80-90% VE) prior to the booster administration (d1) or 14 days after administration (d14). Each point represents the nAb level 149 (IU/ml) of a volunteer at the indicated time point and against the depicted viral variant (N = 60 for ARVAC CG 150 151 $25\mu g$ and N = 20 for ARVAC CG 50 μg cohorts. The geometric mean of nAb levels with 95% CIs are shown 152 as horizontal and error bars, respectively. The numbers depicted above the individual points for each specified time point and viral variant represent the percentage of individuals with nAb levels \geq 949 IU/ml and the 153 respective 95% CI. The dashed line represents the positivity threshold on the Neutralization assay (LLOD). 154 Statistical differences were analyzed using the two-sided Fisher's exact test. P Values are depicted above the 155 data sets that were compared. Exact P values are: (a) P=0.0000000005 (Ancestral), P<10e-15 (Gamma and 156 157 Omicron BA.1), P=0.0000000003 (Delta); (b) P=0.00009 (Ancestral), P=0.000003 (Gamma), P=0.0084 (Delta), P=0.00000006 (Omicron BA.1). 158



162 Supplementary Figure 9. ARVAC CG booster induces significant increase of Th1-predominant cell response measured by IFN-y and IL-4 ELISpot after restimulation of PBMCs with RBD spanning peptide 163 pool in individuals previously vaccinated with different primary vaccination schemes. Before booster 164 165 administration (1d) and after 28 days (d28) of administration of ARVAC CG 25 µg (A, C) or 50 µg (B, D) dose, RBD-specific cellular responses were measured by IFN-y (A, B) and IL-4 (C, D) ELISpot in PBMCs. Shown 166 167 are spot-forming units (SFU) per 1×10^6 PBMCs producing IFN- γ and IL-4 after stimulation with-RBD peptide pool from samples with viable cells. Participants in each cohort were grouped according the received primary 168 vaccination scheme. Group A: BBIBP-CorV (N = 18), ChAdOx1-S (N = 15), rAd26/rAd5 (N = 20), 169 rAd26/ChAdOx1-S (heterologous vaccination, N = 1) and Ad5-nCoV (N = 1). Group B: BBIBP-CorV (N = 1) 170 14), ChAdOx1-S (N = 1), rAd26/rAd5 (N = 1), heterologous vaccination regimens (ChAdOx1-S / mRNA1273 171 or BBIBP-CorV / BNT162b2; N = 3). And Ad26.CoV2.S (N = 1), . Each point represents the cytokine spot 172 forming units (SFU) at the indicated time point. The SFU mean is represented by bars and SEM by error bars, 173 respectively. Statistical differences were analyzed using the two-tailed Wilcoxon pair-matched test. ns: P>0.05; 174 *: P<0.05; **: P<0.01, ***: P<0.001. Exact P values are: (a) P=0.0093 (BBIBP-CorV), P=0.0130 (ChAdOx1-175 S), P=0.0041 (rAd26/rAd5); (b) P>0.05 (BBIBP-CorV); (c) P=0.0004 (BBIBP-CorV), P>0.05 (ChAdOx1-S), 176 *P*=0.0014 (rAd26/rAd5); (d) *P*>0.05 (BBIBP-CorV). 177





180 Supplementary Figure 10: The nAb titers against the Ancestral, Gamma and Omicron BA.1 variants of 181 SARS-CoV-2 after the first and the second dose of the study. Neutralizing antibody titers against the 182 Ancestral, Gamma, and Omicron BA.1 variants of SARS-CoV-2 in plasma samples of individuals boosted with 183 184 ARVAC CG 25µg (A) or 50 µg (B) prior to the vaccine administration (d1) or after 14 or 28 days of first booster administration (d14 and d28) or second booster administration (d42 and d56). Violin plots represent the 185 186 frequency distribution of data of the volunteers at the indicated time point and against the depicted viral variant. Lines inside each violin plot indicate the median (solid line) and quartiles (dashed lines). The geometric mean 187 188 of nAb titers (GMT) are written above the violin points and represented as points connected by a line along different time points. The dashed line represents the positivity threshold on the neutralization assay. Statistical 189 190 differences were analyzed using the Friedman test (non-parametric paired ANOVA) followed by two-sided Dunn's multiple comparison test. P Values are depicted above the data sets that were compared. Exact P values 191 192 0.000000000055 (d56); Gamma VOC: P<10e-15 (d14), P=0.000000000004 (d28), P=0.00007 (d42), 193 194 *P*=0.000000000011 (d56): Omicron BA.1: *P*<10e-15 (d14). *P*=0.00000000000011 (d28). P=0.00000000004 (d42), P=0.00000006 (d56). (b) Ancestral strain: P=0.00000001 (d14), P=0.0011 (d28), 195 196 P=0.0007 (d42), P=0.0107 (d56); Gamma VOC: P=0.0000000020 (d14), P=0.0030 (d28), P=0.0089 (d42),P=0.0336 (d56); Omicron BA.1: P=0.000000003 (d14), P=0.0002 (d28), P=0.0456 (d42), P=0.0245 (d56). 197 198 Arrows indicate the days of first booster (1d) and second booster administration (28d).

199	Supplementary Figure 11.		
200		KDa	a MWM Clone Poly-clones
		250 148	
201			
202		98	
202		64	
203			
		50	
204		36	

205 Supplementary Figure 11. Unpurified cell culture supernatants. Comparison of antigen/total protein ratio

206 between polyclones and selected clone. SDS-PAGE, Coomassie Blue staining. MWM: Protein Molecular 207 weight marker. Representative and qualitative figure obtained during clone screening and clone isolation by the

end point dilution method. Uncropped and unprocessed scans of this image is provided in the Source Data file.

210 Supplementary Figure 12:



216 Supplementary Figure 12. Purity of the RBD Gamma antigen. SDS-PAGE, Silver stain. Each lane indicates 217 the relative percentage of protein loads to estimate purity. A. Non-reducing conditions (to determine higher molecular weight aggregates); B. Reducing conditions (to determine impurities of lower molecular weight). 218 More than three batches of antigen have been prepared with similar results. These results are representative of 219 the purity of a final intermediate of the process, obtained during the development of the Downstream process. 220 All batches of RBD Gamma antigen produced up to the date of this publication (N=5) have been released with 221 a purity greater than 95% using, among other purity methods, SDS-PAGE stained with silver or sensitive 222 223 Coomassie. Uncropped and unprocessed scans of this image is provided in the Source Data file.

224

Supplementary tables

Supplementary Table 1. Local and systemic adverse events: Incidence, frequency and severity by vaccine group and doses.										
Doso		ARVAC CG	25-μg		ARVAC CG 50-µg					
Group A						Group B				
A	First or	T:	Second		First or	F :4	Coord			
Administration	(N = 60)	F = 60	Second (N = 59)	pA	(N = 20)	F = 20	Second $(N = 18)$	pA		
	Participa	nts with at lea	ast one local a	dverse ev	vent, incidence	(frequency)	(11 - 10)	1		
Any grade	46 (76.7)	41 (68.3)	28(47.5)	0.026	12 (60.0)	12 (60.0)	5 (27.8)	ns		
P ^B					ns	ns	ns			
Grade 1	45 (75.0)	41 (68.3)	28 (47.5)	0.026	12 (60.0)	12 (60.0)	4 (22.2)	0.025		
P ^B					ns	ns	ns			
Grade 2	7 (11.7)	5 (8.3)	2 (3.4)	ns	2 (10)	1 (5.0)	1 (5.6)	ns		
РВ					ns	ns	ns			
Local adverse events overall frequency, N (%) ^C										
Any	129 (100)	71 (100)	58 (100)		34 (100)	25 (100)	9 (100)			
Grade 1	117 (90.7)	62 (87.3)	55 (94.8)		31 (91.2)	24 (96)	7 (77.8)			
Grade 2	12 (9.3)	9 (12.7)	3 (5.2)		3 (8.8)	1 (4)	2 (22.2)			
Grade 3	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)		0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
Grade 4	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)		0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
	Participants with at least one systemic adverse event, N (%)									
Any Grade	28 (46.7)	20 (33.3)	21 (35.6)	ns	8 (40.0)	8 (40.0)	4 (22.2)	ns		
P^{B}					ns	ns	ns			
Grade 1	28 (46.7)	19 (31.7)	21 (35.6)	ns	8 (40)	7 (35)	4 (22.2)	ns		
P ^B					ns	ns	ns			
Grade 2	6 (10)	4 (6.7)	4 (6.8)	ns	1 (5)	1 (5)	0 (0)	ns		
P ^B					ns	ns	ns			
		Systemic adv	verse events o	verall fre	quency. N (%)	С				
Any	101 (100)	53 (100)	48 (100)		37 (100)	25 (100)	12 (100)			
Grade 1	90 (89.1)	48 (90.6)	42 (87.5)		34 (91.9)	22 (88)	12 (100)			
Grade 2	11 (10.9)	5 (9.4)	6 (12.5)		3 (8.1)	3 (12)	0 (0)			
Grade 3	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)		0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
Grade 4	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)		0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
Data are expressed	l as number of i	ndividuals, N	(percentage, %	6) or medi	an (interquartil	e range, IQR).				
A Exact <i>P</i> Value. F	First vs. Second	dose. Two-sid	ed Fisher's ex	act test. ns	s: P>0.05.					
⁻ Exact <i>P</i> value. 2: ^C Overall adverse	ο-μg vs ου-μg. events in the sat	i wo-sided Fis	ner's exact tes	ι. ns: <i>P></i> 0.	.05.					
overan adverse events in the same study group.										

Supplementary Table 2: Neutralizing antibody and seroconversion analysis against Ancestral SARS-CoV-2 (Wuhan), Gamma, Delta, Omicron BA.1 and Omicron BA.5 after 25 or 50 µg of ARVAC CG as Booster Dose in Participants.

SARS-CoV-2 variant	Ancestral (Wuhan)	Gamı	na	Delta		Omicron BA.1		Omicron BA.5	
ARVAC CG Dose	25 µg	50 µg	25 µg	50 µg	25 µg	50 µg	25 μg	50 µg	25 µg	50 µg
No. of participants evaluated ^a	(N=60)	(N=20)	(N=60)	(N=20)	(N=60)	(N=20)	(N=60)	(N=20)	(N=60)	(N=20)
				Befor	e booster					
GMT ^b	67.81	25.99	50.8	24.25	38.05	21.11	32.75	23.43	8.574	7.21
(95% CI) ^c	(46.88- 98.07)	(13.46- 50.2)	(34.6-74.58)	(12.32 - 47.74)	(26.57- 54.49)	(10.81 - 41.22)	(23.63- 45.38)	(11.26-48.75)	(6.895- 10.66)	(4.778- 10.88)
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<i>c</i> o. <u></u>)	I	D	av 14)		10170)	10.000)	10100)
GMT ^b	851.2	776	841.4	749.6	430.5	388	420.7	699.4	73.52	93.7
(95% CD°	(569.3-	(370.4-	(568.8-	(397.0-	(288.4-	(200.4-	(273.3-	(391.2-	(52.0-	(46.4-
()5/0 CI)	1273.0)	1626.0)	1245.0)	1415.0)	642.6)	751.2)	647.5)	1250.0)	104.0)	189.1)
GMFR ^d	12.6	29.9	16.6	30.9	11.3	18.4	12.8	29.9	8.6	13.0
(95% CI) ^c	(8.8-17.9)	(12.6- 70.6)	(11.8-23.4)	(13.4- 71.5)	(7.8-16.5)	(8.2-41.1)	(9.2-18.0)	(13.0- 68.3)	(6.1- 12.0)	(6.0- 28.4)
Two-sided Mann Whitney test P	t 0.0448		ns		ns		0.0297		ns	
			4>	< Seroconv	ersion at da	y 14 ^e				
Percentage	88.3	90.0	90.0	85.0	80.0	85.0	93.3	85.0	80.0	80.0
of participants (95% CI)	(77.8-94.2)	(64.0- 94.8)	(79.9-95.3)	(64.0- 94.8)	(68.2- 88.2)	(64.0- 94.8)	(84.1-97.4)	(64.0- 94.8)	(68.2- 88.2)	(58.4- 91.9)
Two-sided Fisher's exact	ns		ns		r	ns ns			ns	
test, P Two-sided										
Chi-square	ns		ns		r	18	ns		n	S
	I		10	× Seroconv	ersion at da	ay 14 ^f			I	
Percentage	45.0	70.0	61.7	70.0	40.0	65.0	48.3	75.0	38.3	55.0
of participants (95% CI)	(33.1-57.5)	(48.1- 85.5)	(49.0-72.9)	(48.1- 85.5)	(28.6- 52.6)	(43.3- 81.9)	(36.2-60.7)	(53.1- 88.8)	(27.1- 51.0)	(34.2- 74.2)
Fisher's exact test, P	ns		ns		ns		0.0427		ns	
Chi-square test, P	ns		ns		ns		0.0379		ns	

^a The number of participants with non-missing data at baseline or at 28 days in shown.

^b GMT: Geometric Mean titer of nAb against the specified virus variant. Antibody values assessed by means of live virus neutralizing antibody assay that were reported as being below the lower limit of detection (LLOD; 8 for Ancestral SARS-CoV-2, Gamma, Delta, Omicron BA.1 and Omicron BA.5) were replaced by 0.5 times the LLOD.

^c The 95% confidence intervals were calculated on the basis of the t-distribution of log-transformed values or difference in the logtransformed values for geometric mean titer and factor change in geometric mean titer, respectively, then back-transformed to the original scale

^d GMFR: Fold change in the geometric mean titer respect to before booster antibody titers.

 e 4× Seroconversion was defined as a change from below the LLOD to at least 4 times the LLOD, or an increase by a factor of at least four if the baseline value was greater than or equal to the LLOD; the comparison was with the baseline value. Percentages were based on the number of participants with non-missing data at baseline and the corresponding time point; 95% confidence intervals were calculated with the use of the Wilson/Brown method.

 $^{\rm f}$ 10× Seroconversion was defined as a change from below the LLOD to at least 10 times the LLOQ, or an increase by a factor of at least ten if the baseline value was greater than or equal to the LLOQ; the comparison was with the baseline value. Percentages were based on the number of participants with non-missing data at baseline and the corresponding time point; 95% confidence intervals were calculated with the use of the Wilson/Brown method.

ns: P>0.05

Supplementary Table 3: Neutralizing antibody and seroconversion analysis against Ancestral SARS-CoV-2 (Wuhan), Gamma, Delta, Omicron BA.1 and Omicron BA.5 after 25 or 50 µg of ARVAC CG as Booster Dose in Participants with BBIBP-CorV primary vaccination scheme.

SARS-CoV-2 variant	Ancestral (Wuhan)		Gamma		Delta		Omicron BA.1		Omicron BA.5	
ARVAC CG Dose	25 µg	50 µg	25 µg	50 µg	25 µg	50 µg	25 µg	50 µg	25 µg	50 µg
No. of participants evaluated ^a	(N=20)	(N=14)	(N=20)	(N=14)	(N=20)	(N=14)	(N=20)	(N=14)	(N=20)	(N=14)
e vuruuted	I		1	Befor	e booster		<u> </u>			
GMT ^b	33.1	15.2	29.9	16.0	17.8	13.8	19.7	15.2	7.5	5.9
(95% CI) ^c	(16.4-66.8)	(8.7-26.5)	(14.8- 60.4)	(7.4-34.5)	(9.5- 33.2)	(7.3-25.9)	(10.3-37.7)	(6.3-36.7)	(4.9- 11.4)	(4.2- 8.4)
				Da	ay 14				, í	, i
GMT ^b	477.7 (210.1-	927.5 (353.0-	512 (245.1-	974.5 (434.6-	294.1 (129.6-	463.7 (212.0-	247.3 (100.9-	760.8 (354.2-	48.5 (25.1-	110.3 (44.7-
(95% CI) ^e	1086.0)	2436.0)	1070.0)	2185.0)	667.2)	1014.0)	605.8)	1634.0)	93.9)	272.5)
GMFR ^d	14.4	60.9	25.3	60.9	16.6	33.6	21.7	50.0	15.7	18.6
(95% CI) ^c	(7.1-29.3)	(22.7- 163.3)	(8.7-33.9)	(23.9- 155.1)	(8.2- 33.4)	(13.9- 81.1)	(6.3-25.1)	(18.4- 135.9)	(3.5- 12.0)	(7.1- 48.3)
Two-sided Mann Whitney test P	0.0	154	0.0118		ns		0.0160		0.0459	
			4	× Seroconve	ersion at da	ay 14°	1			
Percentage of participants (95% CI)	85.0 (64.0-94.8)	92.9 (68.5-99.6)	85.0 (64.0- 94.8)	92.9 (68.5- 99.6)	85.0 (64.0- 94.8)	92.9 (68.5- 99.6)	95.0 (76.4-99.7)	92.9 (68.5- 99.6)	70.0 (48.1- 85.5)	85.7 (60.1- 97.5)
Two-sided Fisher's exact test. P	n	S	ns ns		ns		ns			
Two-sided Chi-square test. <i>P</i>	n	S	n	S	1	ns	ns		ns	
			1()× Seroconv	ersion at d	ay 14 ^f				
Percentage of participants (95% CI)	50.0 (29.9-70.1)	92.9 (68.5-99.6)	7 0.0 (48.1- 85.5)	92.9 (68.5- 99.6)	50.0 (29.9- 70.1)	7 8.6 (52.4- 92.4)	45.0 (25.8-65.8)	85.7 (60.1- 97.5)	30.0 (14.5- 51.9)	64.3 (38.8- 83.7)
Fisher's exact test. P	0.0110		n	S	ns		0.0302		ns	
Two sided Chi-square test. P	0.0086		n	S	ns		0.0162		0.0475	

^a The number of participants with non-missing data at baseline or at 28 days in shown.

^b GMT: Geometric Mean titer of nAb against the specified virus variant. Antibody values assessed by means of live virus neutralizing antibody assay that were reported as being below the lower limit of detection (LLOD; 8 for Ancestral SARS-CoV-2. Gamma. Delta. Omicron BA.1 and Omicron BA.5) were replaced by 0.5 times the LLOD.

^c The 95% confidence intervals were calculated on the basis of the t-distribution of log-transformed values or difference in the logtransformed values for geometric mean titer and factor change in geometric mean titer. respectively. then back-transformed to the original scale

^d GMFR: Fold change in the geometric mean titer respect to before booster antibody titers.

 e 4× Seroconversion was defined as a change from below the LLOD to at least 4 times the LLOD. or an increase by a factor of at least four if the baseline value was greater than or equal to the LLOD; the comparison was with the baseline value. Percentages were based on

the number of participants with non-missing data at baseline and the corresponding time point; 95% confidence intervals were calculated with the use of the Wilson/Brown method.

 $^{\rm f}$ 10× Seroconversion was defined as a change from below the LLOD to at least 10 times the LLOQ. or an increase by a factor of at least ten if the baseline value was greater than or equal to the LLOQ; the comparison was with the baseline value. Percentages were based on the number of participants with non-missing data at baseline and the corresponding time point; 95% confidence intervals were calculated with the use of the Wilson/Brown method.

ns: P>0.05

232

233

		Sex			nAl	o Titer (GMT (CI 95%) ^c			4×	seroconversio	n ^d
Variant	Dose	а	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{b}}$		d1		d14	Pe	Pf	%	95% CI	P^{g}
al	25 ца	Μ	29	65.6	(36.7-116.9)	682.1	(392.5-1185	0.0003	ng	90.3	75.1 - 96.7	ne
	F	31	70.0	(42.5-115.3)	1047	(572.7-1915	0.0001	IIS	86.2	69.4 - 94.5	115	
nce	50 u.g	Μ	8	26.9	(7.3-99.2)	861.1	(262.1-2829	0.0004	ng	83.3	55.2 - 97.0	20
V	50 µg	F	12	25.4	(10.7-60.5)	724.1	(240.3-2182	0.0002	115	100.0	67.6 - 100.0	115
a	25	Μ	29	45.8	(27.1-77.5)	750.5	(422.3-1334	0.0001	20	90.3	75.1 - 96.7	10
uu	23 µg	F	31	56.0	(31.2-100.5)	936.4	(532.9-1645	0.0001	IIS	89.7	73.6 - 96.4	115
Gan	50 u.a	М	8	19.0	(5.4-67.6)	664.0	(238.4-1849	0.0007	20	83.3	55.2 - 97.0	10
0	50 µg	F	12	28.5	(11.4-71.3)	812.7	(315.8-2091)	0.0001	IIS	87.5	52.9 - 99.4	115
	25	Μ	29	32.8	(19.7 - 54.6)	403.1	(238.7 - 680.8)	0.0001		93.1	78.0 - 98.8	0.0141
lta	23 µg	F	31	43.8	(25.8 - 74.3)	457.8	(243.8 - 859.6)	0.0001	ns	67.7	50.1 - 81.4	0.0141
De	50	Μ	8	19.0	(5.8 - 62.5)	394.8	(135.4 – 1151)	0.0004		87.5	52.9 - 99.4	
	50 µg	F	12	22.6	(8.8 - 58.4)	383.6	(143.4 - 1026)	0.0005	ns	83.3	55.2 - 97.0	ns
u	25.00	М	29	30.5	(19.0-48.9)	366.4	(193.1-695.2)	0.0001		93.5	79.3 - 98.9	
cr0 \.1	23 µg	F	31	35.0	(21.7-56.4)	478.8	(259.2-884.3)	0.0001	ns	93.1	78.0 - 98.8	ns
BA	50.00	Μ	8	19.0	(6.0-60.0)	430.1	(148.9-1245)	0.022		83.3	55.2 - 97.0	
0	50 µg	F	12	26.9	(9.0-80.6)	966.5	(461.9-2022)	0.0001	115	87.5	52.9 - 99.4	115
u	25.00	М	29	8.8	(6.3 - 12.3)	62.5	(39.3 - 99.5)	0.0001		79.3	61.6 - 90.2	
cr0 1.5	25 µg	F	31	8.4	(6.2 - 11.3)	85.6	(50.3 - 145.8)	0.0001	ns	80.6	63.7 - 90.8	ns
B∕B	50 u.a	М	8	6.2	(4.0 - 9.5)	58.7	(19.7 - 175.0)	0.0004	20	75.0	40.9 - 95.6	10
0	50 µg	F	12	8.0	(4.1 - 15.8)	128.0	(46.6 - 351.9)	0.0015	IIS	83.3	55.2 - 97.0	115
Variant	Dose	Sex	Ν		d1		d28	P^{d}	P ^b	%	95% CI	Pc
la:	25 110	Μ	29	65.6	(36.7-116.9)	698.6	(425.3-1147)	0.0001	ns	76.7	59.1 - 88.2	ns
estr	25 µg	F	30	67.0	(40.3-(111.1)	601.9	(344.1-1053)	0.003	115	89.7	73.6 - 96.4	115
DC	50 µ.g	Μ	7	26.3	(5.5-124.6)	512.0	(206.8-1268)	0.0034	ns	81.8	52.3 - 96.8	ns
A	50 µg	F	11	28.2	(11.2-71.29)	329.4	(120.6-899.5)	0.002	115	85.7	48.7 - 99.3	115
B	25	Μ	29	45.8	(27.1-77.46)	443.6	(269-731.5)	0.0001	nc	70.0	52.1 - 83.3	ne
uu	25 µg	F	30	54.4	(29.8-99.49)	456.1	(276.7-751.9)	0.0001	115	82.8	65.5 - 92.4	115
Jar	50 u.g	Μ	7	17.7	(3.9-79.18)	344.6	(130.7-908.2)	0.003	ne	81.8	52.3 - 96.8	nc
•	50 µg	F	11	32.0	(12.1-84.99)	309.3	(127.5-749.9)	0.0033	115	85.7	48.7 - 99.3	115
uc	25	Μ	29	68.8	(39.6-119.4)	288.5	(147.3-565.2)	0.0002	0.87	51.5	35.2 - 67.5	0 008
icre	25 µg	F	30	67.0	(40.3-111.4)	308.0	(178.1-532.6)	0.0001	76	50.0	32.1 - 67.9	0.900
- ΞΟ 50 μ	50 µ.g	Μ	7	23.8	(5.7-99.02)	231.9	(97.9-549.2)	0.0022	0.29	81.8	52.3 - 96.8	0.6052
	50 µg	F	11	30.5	(9.2-98.41)	423.8	(179.2-1002)	0.002	93	71.4	35.9 - 94.9	0.0052

Supplementary Table 4. Comparison of the nAb response in male or female individuals after a booster dose of ARVAC CG.

^a Sex. M, male; F: female.

^b Number of participants with non-missing data at the time point (or at baseline).

^c GMT: Geometric Mean titer of nAb against the specified virus variant. Antibody values assessed by means of live virus neutralizing antibody assay that were reported as being below the lower limit of detection (LLOD; 8 for Ancestral SARS-CoV-2, Gamma, Delta, Omicron BA.1 and Omicron BA.5) were replaced by 0.5 times the LLOD. The 95% confidence intervals were calculated on the basis of the t-distribution of log-transformed values or difference in the log-transformed values for geometric mean titer and factor change in geometric mean titer, respectively, then back-transformed to the original scale.

 d 4× Seroconversion was defined as a change from below the LLOD to at least 4 times the LLOD, or an increase by a factor of at least four if the baseline value was greater than or equal to the LLOD; the comparison was with the pre-vaccination baseline value. Percentages were based on the number of participants with non-missing data at baseline and the corresponding time point; 95% confidence intervals were calculated with the use of the Wilson/Brown method.

^e Exact *P* Value. d1 vs. d14 or d28, respectively. Two-tailed Wilcoxon pair-matched test. ns: *P*>0.05.

^fExact *P* value. D14 or d28 M vs d12 or d28 F. Two-sided Mann Whitney test t. ns: *P*>0.05.

^gExact *P* value. M vs F. Two-sided Fisher's exact test. ns: *P*>0.05.

235

Supplementary Table 5: Neutralizing antibody and seroconversion Analysis against Ancestral SARS-CoV-2 (Wuhan), Gamma, and Omicron BA.1 after 28 days of administration of 25 or 50 µg of ARVAC CG as Booster Dose in Participants.

Dose in 1 ai ticipants.									
SARS-CoV-2 variant	Ances	tral	Gan	nma	Omicron BA.1				
ARVAC CG Dose	25 μg	50 µg	25 μg	50 µg	25 μg	50 µg			
No. of participants evaluated ^a	(N=58)	(N=18)	(N=58)	(N=18)	(N=58)	(N=18)			
	Before booster								
$\mathrm{GMT}^{\mathrm{b}}$	69.6	27.4	52.2	25.4	33.6	23.5			
(95% CI) ^c	(48.25-100.4)	(13.3-56.6)	(35.5- 76.86)	(12.0-53.6)	(24.16-46.64)	(10.5-52.5)			
		Day	28						
GMT ^b	658.1	391.0 (204.3-	443.6 (313.3-	322.5 (178.6-	299.0	335.2 (187.5-			
(95% CI) ^c	(455.1-951.5)	748.3)	628.1)	582.5)	(195.2-458.1)	599.2)			
GMFR ^d	9.5	14.3	8.5	12.7	8.9	14.3			
(95% CI) ^c	(6.5-13.1)	(5.7-35.4)	(5.8-12.4)	(5.1-31.5)	(6.1-13.0)	(5.9-34.3)			
Mann Whitney test. P	ns		n	IS	ns				
	4>	< Seroconvers	ion at day 28°	e					
Percentage of participants	82.8	83.3	75.9	83.3	75.9	83.3			
(95% CI)	(71.1-90.4)	(60.8-94.2)	(63.5-85.0)	(60.8-94.2)	(63.5-85.0)	(60.8-94.2)			
Fisher's exact test, P	ns		n	IS	ns				
Chi-square test, P	ns		n	IS	ns				
10× Seroconversion at day 28 ^f									
Percentage of participants	39.7	72.2	43.1	61.1	37.9	61.1			
(95% CI)	(28.1-52.5)	(49.1-87.5)	(31.2-55.9)	(38.6-79.7)	(26.6-50.8)	(38.6-79.7)			
Fisher's exact test, P	0.02	89	n	18	ns				
Chi-square test, P	0.0156		r	IS	ns				

^a Shown is the number of participants with non-missing data at the time point (or at baseline).

^b Antibody values assessed by means of live virus neutralizing antibody assay that were reported as being below the lower limit of detection (LLOD; 8 for Ancestral SARS-CoV-2, Gamma, Delta, Omicron BA.1 and Omicron BA.5) were replaced by 0.5 times the LLOD.

^c The 95% confidence intervals were calculated on the basis of the t-distribution of log-transformed values or difference in the log-transformed values for geometric mean titer and factor change in geometric mean titer (GMT), respectively, then back-transformed to the original scale.

^d GMFR: Fold change in the GMT titer respect to baseline antibody titers.

^e 4× Seroconversion was defined as a change from below the LLOD to at least 4 times the LLOD, or an increase by a factor of at least four if the baseline value was greater than or equal to the LLOD; the comparison was with the baseline value. Percentages were based on the number of participants with no missing data at baseline and the corresponding time point; 95% confidence intervals were calculated with the use of the Wilson/Brown method.

 $^{\rm f}$ 10× Seroconversion was defined as a change from below the LLOD to at least 10 times the LLOD, or an increase by a factor of at least ten if the baseline value was greater than or equal to the LLOD; the comparison was with the baseline value. Percentages were based on the number of participants with no missing data at baseline and the corresponding time point; 95% confidence intervals were calculated with the use of the Wilson/Brown method.

ns: P>0.05.

239 Supplementary Methods

240 1- Vaccine manufacture, composition, and quality control.

241 **ARVAC Quality Summary**

- 242 ARVAC is a recombinant adjuvanted protein subunit vaccine candidate for intramuscular administration.
- Clinical lot used for this study was packaged in single dose pharmacopeial type I glass vials with rubber stoppersand aluminum seals.

245 Formulation and manufacturer

Each 0.5-mL dose of the vaccine candidate contains 25 µg or 50 µg of antigen, adsorbed on 0.5 mg of aluminum
hydroxide, in a vehicle composed of dibasic sodium phosphate, monobasic sodium phosphate, L-histidine,
sodium chloride, mannitol, and water for injection.

Both the antigen (active pharmaceutical ingredient) and the vaccine candidate (finished product) are
manufactured under GMP in plants of Laboratorio Pablo Cassará S.R.L located in Buenos Aires, Argentina.

251 Cell substrate

The cell substrate is a high-productivity clone generated from a CHO-S (Chinese Hamster Ovary) cell line, cultured in high-density suspension, using an animal component-free medium.

254 Genetic construction and expression of the recombinant antigen

The gene of interest contains the amino acids R319 to K537 corresponding to the receptor binding domain of the Spike protein, of the Variant of Concern (VOC) Gamma (includes the relevant mutations: K417T, E484K and N501Y).

The synthetic DNA cassette (GenScript Biotech Corporation) containing the open reading frame (ORF) was introduced in a proprietary plasmid vector for protein expression in mammalian cells. Expression is regulated under a Cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter. The synthetic cassette possesses the codon adaptation for expression in CHO cells. The genetic construct uses the signal peptide of the SARS CoV-2 Spike protein (amino acids M1 to Q14), so that the recombinant antigen can be processed and exported to the extracellular space.

- The genetic material was introduced into the host cell by lipofection, and highly productive stable clones were isolated by end point dilution and screened for productivity using ELISA (Enzyme-Linked Immuno-Sorbent Assay) and SDS-PAGE (sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis). Antigen identity was verified by SDS-PAGE Western Blot, and by functional ELISA for human ACE2 receptor affinity. The expected aminoacidic sequence was confirmed by Liquid Chromatography Electrospray Ionization Tandem Mass Spectrometric (LC/ESI-MS/MS) studies (Protagen, Germany).
- The nucleotide sequence (coding region) of the expression vector and of the specific messenger RNA (through complementary DNA, cDNA) were confirmed in the selected clone. Functionality of the signal peptide and productivity of the selected clone is illustrated in Supplementary Fig. 11, showing the results of an SDS PAGE

- run of unpurified culture supernatants comparing this clone against polyclones. The selected clone shows a
 much higher antigen / total protein ratio.
- 274 Master and working cell banks were prepared from the selected clone and controlled following the 275 recommendations of the ICH guidelines (International Council for Harmonization of Technical Requirements 276 for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use) to establish their identity, purity, and stability.

277 Antigen manufacturing process

278 Upstream

- 279 The upstream process is carried out in a single-use perfusion bioreactor (SUB).
- 280 Perfusion allows the renewal of the culture medium through a cell retention/separation system (in our case it is
- carried out by an alternate tangential flow filtration device). Conditioned cell-free medium containing the
- exported active ingredient is harvested and replaced by fresh medium, maintaining high viability and cell density
- for ca. 30 days. After a first growth phase, daily harvested material is filtered, controlled, and used as starting
- 284 material for the downstream process.

285 Downstream

- The antigen is soluble and constitutes ca. 50% of the total proteins in the harvest.
- 287 The downstream process consists of the following purification steps:
- Concentration and change of harvest buffer.
- Capture chromatography to reduce water content and residual DNA and host cell proteins.
- Specific ion exchange chromatography to eliminate antigen-related impurities (aggregates, misfolded protein)
- and further reduce residual DNA and host cell proteins.
- Ion exchange chromatography polishing step to reach target purity of the antigen.
- **293** Diafiltration and final filtration.
- Between each chromatographic step, tangential diafiltration is used to change the buffers and adjust pH andionic strength of intermediates for the next step.
- 296 The purified antigen solution contains similar soluble excipients of the vaccine candidate and is stored at -20°C.
- Supplementary Fig. 12 shows the high purity of the antigen in a silver stained SDS PAGE run of the purifiedantigen.
- 299 Vaccine candidate (finished product) manufacturing process

300 Soluble excipients are dissolved in water for injection and the solution is sterilized by filtration. Sterile 301 aluminum hydroxide is dispersed in the filtered solution and the antigen is added under aseptic conditions and 302 the suspension is stirred in a closed vessel to accelerate adsorption. The suspension is filled into the final containers and sealed under aseptic conditions. The clinical lot used in this study was filled into single-dose
 vials. Stability batches filled into multidose vials and ampoules are currently being studied for stability
 according to ICH guidelines.

306 Quality Control

Each clinical lot of antigen is released after being tested for: appearance (visual inspection), pH (potentiometry);
identity (ELISA, ACE2 receptor affinity, molecular weight), antigen-related impurities (reducing and nonreducing SDS, RP-HPLC and SEC), process – related impurities (host cell proteins by ELISA and residual DNA
by real time PCR); protein concentration (UV spectrophotometry), EC50 (ACE2 receptor binding functional
ELISA), microbial count and bacterial endotoxins (endpoint chromogenic LAL).

- Each clinical lot of vaccine candidate is released after being tested for: appearance (visual inspection), resuspendability (visual inspection), pH (potentiometry); identity (ELISA, ACE2 receptor affinity), extractable volume (gravimetry); aluminum content (atomic absorption); free antigen (non-reducing PAGE); EC50 (functional ACE2 receptor binding ELISA); sterility; bacterial endotoxins (endpoint chromogenic LAL) and safety (in vivo biological reactivity).
- 317 Specifications have been established for each parameter based on development data and pharmacopeial318 standards used for other recombinant protein vaccines.

319 Stability

- Antigen is stable for at least 15 months at -20°C and 1 week at 2 8 °C. It remains stable after at least three freeze - thaw cycles.
- Vaccine candidate is stable for at least 15 months at 2 8 °C and up to at least 1 week at 25 ± 2 °C in singledose vials. It should not be frozen.

324 2- Determination of SARS-CoV-2 Nucleoprotein (N)-specific antibody levels in serum.

Nucleoprotein (N)-specific antibody responses (IgG) were evaluated by indirect ELISA. Recombinant N protein 325 326 (0.25 µg/well) was used to coat plates and HRP conjugated anti-human IgG was used to detect Ab (Jackson 327 ImmunoResearch Laboratories Ink, Code: 109135-088, Lot number:135723, final dilution 1:8000). Results were read at 450 nm in an 800TS microplate reader with Gen5 software v3.10.06 (Biotek Instrument Ink.) to 328 329 collect endpoint ELISA data. End-point cut-off values for serum titer determination were calculated as the mean 330 specific optical density (OD) plus 3 standard deviations (SD) from pre-pandemic sera of healthy donors diluted 331 1:200 in assay diluent. Titers were established as the reciprocal of the last dilution yielding an OD higher than 332 the cut-off.

Study synopsis

Protocol N°:	ARVAC-F1-001
Sponsor:	Laboratorio Pablo Cassará Carhué 1096 Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires
Official study title:	Phase 1 study to evaluate safety, tolerability and immunogenicity of a new recombinant protein-based vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 (ARVAC CG), in a population of healthy adult volunteers previously vaccinated against SARS-CoV-2 virus.
Version N° and date:	V. 3.0 – February 25, 2022
Clinical pharmacology phase:	Phase I
Type of study:	Open-label, prospective, phase 1 study.
Primary objective:	To describe the safety and tolerability profile of ARVAC CG vaccine (recombinant protein vaccine against SARS-CoV-2) in a population of healthy adult volunteers, previously vaccinated against SARS-CoV-2 virus.
Secondary objectives:	- To compare the safety profile depending on the primary vaccination schedule received.
	- To compare the safety profile between volunteers who receive a 2-dose vaccination of 25 μg of antigen and those who receive a 2-dose vaccination of 50 μg of antigen.
	- To Describe the immune response triggered by the application of ARVAC CG vaccine, after each of the vaccine doses under study, and depending on the different variables involved.
Population:	A total of 80 healthy adult volunteers meeting all of the eligibility criteria named below will be included.
Vaccine under study:	Vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 virus based on a recombinant protein antigen containing the human ACE2 Receptor Binding Domain region of SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein, adjuvanted with aluminum hydroxide.
Inclusion criteria:	1. Male or female participants between 18 and 55 years of age.
	 With the ability and willingness to comply with the prohibitions and restrictions specified in the protocol.
	 Healthy volunteers, which will be determined by the history referred to interrogation, physical examination and principal investigator's criteria.
	4. In fertile female volunteers, negative pregnancy test at the beginning of the study and commitment to use a contraceptive method from the date of signing the consent form until 3 months after vaccine study application. Use of an hormonal

contraceptive method must begin at least 28 days prior to study vaccine application. The investigator should assess potential contraceptive method failure (e.g. non-compliance, recent onset) in relation to vaccination. Acceptable effective methods for this study include:
a) hormonal contraceptive method:
 i) combined (containing estrogen and progestin) associated with the inhibition of ovulation (oral, intravaginal or transdermal);
ii) with progestin only, associated with the inhibition of ovulation (oral, injectable or implantable);
b) intrauterine device;
c) intrauterine hormone release system;
d) bilateral tubal ligation/occlusion procedure;
e) single couple with vasectomy;
f) sexual abstinence, which will be considered effective only if it is defined as abstaining from heterosexual relations from the date of signing the consent until 3 months after receiving the study vaccine. The reliability of sexual abstinence should be assessed in relation to the duration of the study and the participant's usual and preferred lifestyle.
 Participant who agrees to do not donate bone marrow, blood or blood products until 3 months after the last dose of study vaccine;
 Must be able to read, understand, and complete electronic questionnaires about signs and symptoms of COVID-19 surveillance;
7. Negative PCR for the SARS-CoV-2 virus.
8. With laboratory analysis without clinically significant variations within the 30 days prior to receiving the first dose of the study vaccine, which must include:
a) complete blood count (hemoglobin (Hb), leukocyte count and leukocyte formula, platelet count;
b) complete liver test: total and direct bilirubin, transaminases (alanine aminotransferase [ALT or GPT] and aspartate aminotransferase [AST or GOT]), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), alkaline phosphatase (ALF).
c) biochemistry: glycemia, urea, creatinine;
d) Qualitative C-reactive protein (PCR);
e) Complete urine.
9. Capable of granting their informed consent signed and dated by the volunteer under study, and the authorized physician.

Exclusion criteria:	1. History of SARS-CoV-2 infection or known previous disease, within 60 days prior to study entry (at least 60 days from epidemiological discharge).
	2. Administration of any other commercial vaccine or not based on:
	a. Live attenuated virus within 28 days prior to study entry.
	b. Killed virus within 14 days prior to study entry.
	3. Individuals that have not received a complete primary vaccination schedule against SARS-CoV-2 virus (1 or 2 doses, depending on the vaccine used in the primary schedule).
	4. Administration of complete primary vaccination schedule against SARS-CoV-2 virus (1 or 2 doses, depending on the vaccine received), within 4 months prior to the start of the study.
	5. Administration of an additional or booster dose after a complete primary vaccination schedule against SARS-CoV-2 virus.
	6. Individuals that have scheduled to receive any other commercial vaccine in the following 3 months.
	 7. Individuals that have participated in a research study within 60 days prior to the start of the study.
	8. Present a history of known allergies or a history of anaphylaxis or any other serious adverse reaction with other vaccines or their excipients.
	9. History of alcoholism or substance abuse that prevents compliance with the characteristics of the protocol.
	10. Acute infectious disease at enrollment (this does not include minor conditions such as diarrhea or mild upper respiratory tract illness) or temperature \geq 38. 0°C within 24 hours prior to scheduled study vaccination; entry at a later date is permitted at the discretion of the investigator and after consultation with the Sponsor.
	11. Any laboratory determination alteration with a degree of severity > 1 according to the Common Toxicity Criteria (CTC version 5 – November 2017). Participants with any stable grade 1 abnormality may be considered eligible by the investigator. (grade 1 stable implies a repetition of the sample that persists with an alteration of one grade no greater than 1).
	12. Body Mass Index (BMI) greater than 30 kg/m2 or less than 18 kg/m2.
	 Individuals currently working in occupations with high exposure to SARS-CoV-2.
	14. History of any clinical condition that affects the function of the immune system, including, but not limited to:
	a. Clinical conditions (e.g. autoimmune disease or possibly immune-mediated disease or known or suspected immunodeficiency; diabetes mellitus type I or II, chronic kidney disease, etc.).

	-
	b. Chronic or recurrent use of systemic corticosteroids in the 6 months prior to study vaccine administration and during the study. A substantially immunosuppressive dose of steroids is considered ≥ 2 weeks of daily administration of 20 mg prednisone or equivalent.
	c. Administration of antineoplastic and immunomodulatory agents or radiation therapy within 6 months prior to study vaccine administration or during the study.
	15. The volunteer has received an investigational drug (including drugs related to COVID-19 prophylaxis) or used an investigational invasive medical device in the past 30 days, or has received investigational immunoglobulin or monoclonal antibodies within 3 months (participation in an observational study is allowed at the discretion of the investigator, previously informing the Sponsor about this decision).
	16. The participant is pregnant, plans to become pregnant within3 months after the administration of the vaccine, or is inpostpartum or lactation period.
	17. The volunteer has any contraindication to receive intramuscular injections and/or blood draws.
	18. The volunteer has a history of acute polyneuropathy (e.g. Guillan Barré syndrome).
	19. The volunteer underwent a surgical procedure that required hospitalization (defined as hospitalization for more than 24 hours or overnight hospitalization), in the 12 weeks prior to vaccination, or has not recovered completely from surgery that required hospitalization, or is scheduled for surgery that will require hospitalization during the time he/she is expected to participate in the study or within 6 months of study vaccine administration.
	20. Positive serology for hepatitis (HBsAg, Anti-HBc, Anti-HCV).
	21. Positive antibodies against HIV.
Discontinuation criteria:	The Investigator could discontinue the participation in the study of any of the participants for any of the following reasons:
	1. Voluntary withdrawal of the volunteer for any reason.
	Failure to comply with the administrative requirements of the protocol.
	3. Pregnancy.
	4. Severe allergic reactions.
	5. Any SAE that the participant develops and for which there is no other plausible possible alternative of cause attributable to the event.
	6. Any grade 4 event that the participant develops, both regarding local or systemic reactions, and including fever over 40°C after vaccination, which at the Investigator's discretion, is related to the vaccine

	under study and there is no other plausible cause that should be considered as cause of the event.
	7. If 2 or more participants present a grade 3 event, of similar characteristics, which, at the Investigator's discretion, is related to the vaccine under study and there is no other plausible cause that could be considered as the cause of the event.
Factors to consider:	Safety
	 Local reactions during the following 7 days after administration of each dose of the vaccine. Systemic reactions during the following 7 days after administration of each dose of the vaccine. Adverse events (AEs) that occur from the application of the first dose until 1 month after the last dose. Serious adverse events (SAEs) that occur from the application of the first dose until 6 months after the last dose. Changes in the laboratory results respect from a baseline control, at days 7, 28 and 56 after the first dose application of the first dose of vaccine. 365 days after the first dose of vaccine, an additional laboratory control will be carried out.
	Immunogenicity
	 Determination of neutralizing antibodies (geometric mean titer – GMT) at 14 and 28 days after each vaccine dose (days 0, 14, 28, 42 and 56).
	- Determination of total specific antibodies on day 1 (before the first vaccine dose) and 28 days after each vaccine dose (days 28 and 56).
	- Determination of cellular immune response, specific IFN gamma and IL-4 producing cells directed to RBD (Spike protein region) on day 1 (prior to the first dose) and 28 days after each vaccine dose (day 28 and 56).
	- Neutralizing antibody titer variation respect from baseline after each of the vaccine doses.
	As exploratory variables, antibodies variation in the different volunteers subgroups will be described:
	- Depending on the primary vaccination schedule received.
	- Depending on the vaccine platform used in the primary scheme.
	- Depending on the dose of the study vaccine received (2 doses of 25 μ g of antigen or 2 doses of 50 μ g of antigen).
	- Depending on the history of having had a previous SARS-CoV-2 infection or not.

	Throughout the study, cases of COVID-19 disease will be recorded, reporting their incidence and the difference between the different groups.
Study design:	The study will consist of 7 visits, each of which could last more than 1 day.
	Visit 1 – Signing of informed consent - Selection of volunteers (Day -30 to -1)
	Visit 2 – First dose of vaccine (Days 0 to 3).
	Visit 3 - First face-to-face control (day 7 \pm 1).
	Visit 4 – Second face-to-face control (day 14 ± 2).
	Visit 5 – Second dose of vaccine (Days 28 to 31 ± 2).
	Visit 6 - Clinical and immunogenicity control (day 42 ± 3).
	Visit 7 - Clinical and immunogenicity control (day 56 \pm 5).
	First safety follow-up visit (day 180 ± 15).
	Second safety and immunogenicity follow-up visit (day 365 \pm 20)
Statistical análisis:	
	Safety analysis
	Descriptive statistics for each variable of local, systemic and laboratory reactogenicity reported for each dose and vaccine group.
	AEs will be classified according to the Medical Dictionary for Terms of Regulatory Activities (MedDRA).
	Safety analysis will be based on the safety population. Participants will be summarized by group, based on the dose of study vaccine received and based on the type of vaccine received in the primary scheme.
	Missing data in the self-assessment cards completed by the participants will not be imputed.
	The comparison of frequencies between groups will be carried out by the chi-square test.
	Immunogenicity analysis
	The statistical analysis of the immunogenicity results will be based primarily on the analysis of the population defined as the immunogenicity population with 1 and 2 doses of vaccine.
	As previously mentioned, the antibody titer will be analyzed as geometric means calculated from the anti-log of the mean of logs of the antibody titers. Thus, the means and their respective confidence intervals will be obtained.
	They will also be tabulated based on the dose of study vaccine received, considering previous SARS-CoV-2 infection or not, and based on the vaccine platform received in the primary scheme. The difference between means will be evaluated using the

Student's t test. A level of 0.05 will be considered statistical significant.