

Home About Us Publications Checklist FAQs Contact Us

**AMSTAR 2 Results** 

components of PICO?

Printer Friendly	Version
------------------	---------

Yes

Yes Yes Yes

Article Name: Robotic-assisted versus laparoscopic radical prostatectomy for prostate can

1. Did the research questions and inclusion criteria for the review include the

## Robotic-assisted versus laparoscopic radical prostatectomy for prostate can is a High quality review

	Yes
2. Did the report of the review contain an explicit statement that the review methods were established prior to the conduct of the review and did the repojustify any significant deviations from the protocol?	YesYesYesYesYesYesYes rt
3. Did the review authors explain their selection of the study designs for inclusion in the review?	Yes
	Yes
4. Did the review authors use a comprehensive literature search strategy?	Partial Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
	Yes Yes
5. Did the review authors perform study selection in duplicate?	Yes Yes
6. Did the review authors perform data extraction in duplicate?	Yes Yes
7. Did the review authors provide a list of excluded studies and justify the exclusions?	Yes Yes Yes
8. Did the review authors describe the included studies in adequate detail?	Yes

Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 9. Did the review authors use a satisfactory technique for assessing the risk of bias (RoB) in individual studies that were included in the review? **RCT** Yes NRSI Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 10. Did the review authors report on the sources of funding for the studies Yes included in the review? Yes 11. If meta-analysis was performed did the review authors use appropriate methods for statistical combination of results? RCT Yes **NRSI** Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 12. If meta-analysis was performed, did the review authors assess the potential Yes impact of RoB in individual studies on the results of the meta-analysis or other evidence synthesis? Yes Yes 13. Did the review authors account for RoB in individual studies when Yes interpreting/ discussing the results of the review? Yes 14. Did the review authors provide a satisfactory explanation for, and Yes discussion of, any heterogeneity observed in the results of the review? Yes 15. If they performed quantitative synthesis did the review authors carry out anYes adequate investigation of publication bias (small study bias) and discuss its likely impact on the results of the review? Yes 16. Did the review authors report any potential sources of conflict of interest, Yes including any funding they received for conducting the review? Yes

To cite this tool: Shea BJ, Reeves BC, Wells G, Thuku M, Hamel C, Moran J, Moher D, Tugwell P, Welch V, Kristjansson E, Henry DA. AMSTAR 2: a critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomised or non-randomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. BMJ. 2017 Sep 21;358:j4008.

<< Back
Copyright © 2021 AMSTAR All Rights Reserved |