

**Supplementary Information for:**  
**Structure and DNA bridging activity of the essential Rec114–Mei4 trimer interface**

Kaixian Liu, Emily M. Grasso, Stephen Pu, Mengyang Zou, Shixin Liu, David Eliezer, and Scott Keeney

**This pdf contains:**

Supplemental Tables 1 and 2.  
Supplemental Figures 1– 13

**Additional information, in separate .avi files:**

Supplemental Movies 1–5

**Supplemental Table S1. Plasmids**


<b>Name</b>	<b>Description</b>
pKL067	HisSUMO-Rec114(375-428):Mei4(1-43) in pETDuet1
pKL020	HisSUMO-Rec114(375-428):Mei4(1-43)-ybbR in pETDuet1
pSP63	HisSUMO-Rec114(325-428):Mei4(1-90) in pETDuet1
pKL080	Rec114(386-428):HisSUMO-Mei4(1-43) in pETDuet1
pKL086	Rec114(388-428):HisSUMO-Mei4(1-43) in pETDuet1
pKL077	Rec114(399-428):HisSUMO-Mei4(1-43) in pETDuet1
pKL088	Rec114(388-428):HisSUMO-Mei4(13-43) in pETDuet1
pKL110	HisSUMO-Rec114(375-428) in pSMT3
pKL075	mREC114(203-259):HisSUMO-mMEI4(1-42) in pETDuet1
pKL091	Rec114(388-428):HisSUMO-Mei4(1-43) Rec114 R395A/K396A in pETDuet1
pKL092	Rec114(388-428):HisSUMO-Mei4(1-43) Rec114 K399A/R400A in pETDuet1
pKL095	Rec114(388-428):HisSUMO-Mei4(1-43) Rec114 K417A/K424A in pETDuet1
pKL097	Rec114(388-428):HisSUMO-Mei4(1-43) Rec114 K403A/K407A in pETDuet1
pSK304	GAL4AD-Rec114
pSK281	LexA-Mei4
pSK282	LexA-Rec102
pSK283	LexA-Rec104
pSK276	GAL4AD-empty
pKLy326	GAL4AD-rec114 N416A/E419A/N423A
pKLy327	GAL4AD-rec114 K405A
pKLy328	GAL4AD-rec114 K405E
pKLy356	GAL4AD-rec114 F411A
pKLy357	GAL4AD-rec114 W414A
pKLy360	GAL4AD-rec114 V418A/L422A
pKLy362	GAL4AD-rec114 V415A
pKLy368	GAL4AD-rec114 I402A
pKLy369	GAL4AD-rec114 L406A
pKLy370	GAL4AD-rec114 I402A/L406A
pKLy374	GAL4AD-rec114 R395A/K396A/K399A/R400A
pSP24	GAL4AD-rec114 K11A/Y12A/S13A
pSP26	GAL4AD-rec114 F48A/R49A
pSP29	GAL4AD-rec114 N65A/N66A

## Supplemental Table S2. Oligonucleotides

---



Name	Sequence
KL020	AAAGATGTCCTAGCAATGTA
KL021	TACATTGCTAGGACATCTTT
KL024	CTAGTATAGAGCCGGCGCGCCATGTCTAGATAGCGTTAGGTCTGCCGAATAGTAC TACTCGGATCCCGAGCGAACCACGC
KL025	GCGTGGTTCGCTCGGGATCCGAGTAGTACTATTCGGCAGACCTAACGCTATCTAG ACATGGCGCGCCGGCTCTATACTAG
KL010	/5Cy3/ATTTCCACACCCTGTTTCTCCAGCGCAGCACCGTAAT
KL001	ATATCGCTGCCGGGCTGGGTGT
KL003	ATCTGGCTCGCCTGACGGGATGC
KL004	ATTTCCACACCCTGTTTCTCCAGCGCAGCACCGTAAT

---





**Figure S1: AlphaFold2 model.** (A) The AlphaFold2 model from Fig. 1b is color-coded by predicted local distance difference test (pLDDT) score. Residues with scores below 70 are considered low confidence. (B) Secondary structure prediction (Pred) and pLDDT score of the two Rec114<sub>C</sub> chains (top) and Mei4<sub>N</sub> (bottom) from the AlphaFold2 model. H: helix; C: coil. (C) Superimposition of  $\alpha$ -helices 1–3 from the two copies of Rec114<sub>C</sub> in the AlphaFold2 model.



A




B




C



**Figure S2: Resonance assignments and NOEs for trimers of Rec114<sub>C</sub> plus Mei4<sub>N</sub>. (A, B)**  
Top, assigned amide resonances for Rec114<sub>C</sub> chains A and B, respectively. Note: we do not know which chain corresponds to which in the AlphaFold2 model. Bottom, i+1 (black) and i-1 (red) NH-NH NOEs for the assigned amides (residues 400–428) in each Rec114<sub>C</sub> chain. **(C)**  
Top, assigned chemical shifts for Mei4<sub>N</sub>. Bottom, NH-NH NOEs for the amides of residues 17–40 in Mei4<sub>N</sub>.




**Figure S3: NMR studies of truncated Rec114<sub>c</sub>-Mei4<sub>N</sub> complexes at varying pH values. (A)** Two-dimensional  $\{^1\text{H}-^{15}\text{N}\}$  HSQC spectra of complexes of Mei4<sub>N</sub> with Rec114 fragments Rec114<sub>c</sub> (red, 600  $\mu\text{M}$  uniformly  $^{15}\text{N}^{13}\text{C}$ -labeled protein collected at 800 MHz ( $^1\text{H}$ )), Rec114<sub>388-428</sub> (black, 45  $\mu\text{M}$  uniformly  $^{15}\text{N}$ -labeled protein collected at 500 MHz ( $^1\text{H}$ , Weill Cornell Medicine)), and Rec114<sub>399-428</sub> (blue, 500  $\mu\text{M}$  uniformly  $^{15}\text{N}^{13}\text{C}$ -labeled protein collected at 800 MHz ( $^1\text{H}$ )). Removing residues 375–387 resulted in minimal changes other than the elimination of peaks corresponding to the removed residues. In contrast, removing residues 375–398 resulted in significant chemical shift perturbations and line broadening, suggestive of partial unfolding and indicating that residues 388–398 are important for the stability of the complex. **(B)** Two-dimensional  $\{^1\text{H}-^{15}\text{N}\}$  HSQC spectra of complexes of Rec114<sub>388-428</sub> with Mei4<sub>N</sub> (black, 45  $\mu\text{M}$  uniformly  $^{15}\text{N}$ -labeled protein collected at 500 MHz ( $^1\text{H}$ , Weill Cornell Medicine)) or Mei4<sub>13-43</sub> (pink, 35  $\mu\text{M}$  uniformly  $^{15}\text{N}$ -labeled protein collected at 500 MHz ( $^1\text{H}$ , Weill Cornell Medicine)). Minimal differences were observed other than elimination of signals from the removed residues. **(C)** Two-dimensional  $\{^1\text{H}-^{15}\text{N}\}$  HSQC spectra of 30  $\mu\text{M}$  of Rec114<sub>388-428</sub> and Mei4<sub>N</sub> at pH 7.4 (magenta), 6.9 (blue) and 6.5 (gold). Additional peaks, highlighted in dashed boxes, appear at the lower pH values. Samples with varied pH values were prepared in 25 mM NaHPO<sub>4</sub>, 100 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM TCEP, 0.05% NaN<sub>3</sub>, 5% D<sub>2</sub>O. Spectra were collected at 25 °C at 500 MHz ( $^1\text{H}$ , Weill Cornell Medicine).




**Figure S4: NMR signals revealed at pH 6.1 indicate that the N-terminal region of Rec114<sub>c</sub> is not structured. (A, C, E)** Assigned amide resonances for Mei4<sub>13-43</sub> (panel a) and the two Rec114<sub>388-428</sub> chains (panels c and e) within the Rec114<sub>388-428</sub>–Mei4<sub>13-43</sub> complex. **(B, D, F)** TALOS-N secondary structure assessment for these residues. Stable helical structure was retained with the N-terminal truncation of Mei4. For both Rec114 chains, the previously seen helical structure was retained in this shorter construct, but the newly assigned residues 389–399 lack regular secondary structure.







**Figure S5: Dimers of Rec114<sub>c</sub> alone are poorly structured. (A)** Two-dimensional  $\{^1\text{H}-^{15}\text{N}\}$  HSQC spectra of Rec114<sub>c</sub> alone at 25 °C (top) and at 10 °C (bottom) show substantially less signal dispersion than for the trimeric complex, suggesting that Rec114<sub>c</sub> alone is substantially unfolded and/or aggregated. **(B)** Size exclusion chromatography comparing Rec114<sub>c</sub> alone with Rec114<sub>c</sub> plus Mei4<sub>N</sub>.



**Figure S6: Structure and conservation of Rec114–Mei4 interactions. (A)** Asymmetric interactions between Mei4<sub>N</sub> and one chain of Rec114<sub>C</sub>. The upper zoomed detail highlights hydrophobic contacts between W34 in Mei4  $\alpha$ -helix 2 and I412 and V415 in  $\alpha$ -helix 3 from one copy of Rec114. Also shown are predicted hydrogen bonds between K41 in Mei4  $\alpha$ -helix 2 and the carbonyl oxygens of L406 and K407 from one copy of Rec114. The lower zoomed detail highlights a predicted salt bridge between Mei4 R29 and E419 from one copy of Rec114. **(B)** Hydrophobic interfaces between the two copies of Rec114. **(C)** AlphaFold2 models for orthologous Rec114<sub>C</sub>–Mei4<sub>N</sub> complexes from the indicated species from **Fig. 3d**, color coded by pLDDT score. The starting and ending residue numbers are indicated.




**Figure S7: Effects of Rec114 mutations on interactions with Mei4.** Quantitative  $\beta$ -galactosidase assays were used to measure Y2H interactions between Gal4AD-Rec114 (wild type or the indicated substitutions) and wild-type LexA-Mei4 (mean and SD of at least 3 independent measurements).




**Figure S8: DNA binding properties of the RM-TDB domain. (A, B)** EMSAs testing binding of the RM-TDB domain to DNA substrates of varying lengths. Error bars indicate mean  $\pm$  range (from two replicate experiments) or mean  $\pm$  SD (from three replicates). Apparent affinities for the

different DNA lengths were: 20 bp,  $600 \pm 100$  nM (N = 2 experiments); 80 bp,  $100 \pm 20$  nM (N = 2); 150 bp,  $90 \pm 30$  nM (N = 3, reproduced from **Fig. 4b**); 1000 bp,  $80 \pm 20$  nM (N = 2). **(C)** EMSAs testing binding to a 150-bp DNA substrate for different RM-TDB constructs. Quantification is in **Fig. 4b**. **(D)** SDS PAGE of purified protein complexes of varying lengths. **(E)** Representative AFM images of 2  $\mu$ M RM-TDB domain in the absence of DNA. **(F)** Representative AFM images of condensates formed with 1 ng/ $\mu$ l 1000-bp DNA by Rec114<sub>388-428</sub> complexes with Mei4<sub>N</sub>. Left, 200 nM protein concentration; right, 1  $\mu$ M. **(G)** EMSA of Rec114<sub>C</sub> alone binding to 1000-bp DNA and its quantification (right). This experiment was conducted once; the apparent  $K_d$  is  $\sim 300$  nM. **(H)** Representative AFM images of 6  $\mu$ M Rec114<sub>C</sub> in the absence (left) or presence (right) of 1.7 ng/ $\mu$ l supercoiled pUC19 plasmid DNA. **(I)** Complexes of Rec114<sub>C</sub>-Mei4<sub>N</sub> (70 nM) bound to 1 ng/ $\mu$ l 1000-bp DNA substrate, categorized by the number and position of the DNA-bound protein particles at either the DNA end or internally (mid). **(J)** Lengths of individual protein-bound DNA segments, grouped according to position at the DNA end (N = 76) or internally (mid, N = 80). Lines represent mean  $\pm$  SD. **(K)** Comparison of total DNA or protein-DNA volumes in the absence (blue, N = 63) and presence (red, N = 80) of Rec114<sub>C</sub>-Mei4<sub>N</sub>. Median and quartiles are indicated by dashed and dotted lines, respectively. The p values in panels j and k are from unpaired two-tailed Student's t-tests.




**Figure S9: Properties of Rec114<sub>325-428</sub>-Mei4<sub>1-90</sub> nucleoprotein condensates.** Effect of (A) protein concentration, (B) PEG-8000 concentration (% w/v), (C) NaCl concentration, and (D) 1,6-hexanediol concentration (% w/v) on condensate formation with 1000-bp linear DNA. Condensates were visualized by imaging the Cy3-labeled DNA, which was at 15 nM for all experiments. Example images are shown at the top and the quantifications are at the bottom. The imaging buffer was 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 60 mM NaCl, 1 mg/ml BSA, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM TCEP, 5% glycerol for (A), (B) and (D). The protein concentrations were 250 nM in (B) and 450 nM in (C) and (D). For experiments in (A), (C) and (D), 5% PEG-8000 was also included. The normalized intensity is the total integrated intensity of all foci in each image (133.12 μm × 133.12 μm) as a percentage of the average total intensity for the condition with the highest total intensity for that experiment. The focus count is the total number of foci in each 133.12 μm ×

133.12  $\mu\text{m}$  image. Error bars represent SD from 10 images at each condition. The minimum size cutoff for foci in the assembly-promotion conditions (**A,B**) was set to  $2 \mu\text{m}^2$  to better evaluate the growth of condensates. The size cutoff for inhibition conditions (**C,D**) was set to  $7.5 \mu\text{m}^2$  to better evaluate the shrinkage of larger condensates. The scale bar represents  $20 \mu\text{m}$  and applies to all micrographs.




**Figure S10: Fluorescent labeling of the RM-TDB domain. (A)** Purified complexes of Rec114<sub>C</sub> plus Mei4<sub>N</sub>-ybbR were covalently labeled with LD650 using Sfp and analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by ReadyBlue staining (left) or fluorescence scanning (right). After labeling, the sample was further purified by SEC to remove Sfp and unincorporated dye. First lane: labeling reaction; second and third lanes: SEC-purified protein. Mei4-ybbR covalently labeled with LD650 showed a shift to lower mobility. **(B)** EMSA comparing ability of the RM-TDB domain with or without the ybbR tag to bind to a 150-bp DNA substrate; the tagged protein was also tested before and after the fluorescent labeling reaction. Error bars indicate mean  $\pm$  range for two different experiments with the ybbR-tagged protein (apparent  $K_d$  of  $90 \pm 20$  nM), or mean  $\pm$  SD from three replicates for untagged (reproduced from **Fig. 4B** to aid comparison). The EMSA was conducted once for the labeled protein preparation (apparent  $K_d$  of  $\sim 90$  nM). **(C)** Comparison of the  $\{^1\text{H}-^{15}\text{N}\}$  HSQC spectrum of RM-TDB carrying the unlabeled ybbR tag with that of untagged RM-TDB shows additional signals, likely originating from the ybbR peptide, but otherwise no significant changes.






**Figure S11: DNA bridging by the RM-TDB domain. (A)** Preferential initiation of RM-TDB binding at a crossing point. A quadruple-trap setup was used to capture two tethered pairs of beads. The top pair is connected by multiple  $\lambda$  DNA tethers, while the bottom pair is connected by only a single DNA. The two sets of tethers were wrapped around each other to form a single

crossing point, then moved into the protein channel to allow RM-TDB to bind. The protein bound initially at or near the crossing point (red arrow), then spread over time to the regions where DNA could be coaligned. **(B)** Reversibility of RM-TDB bridges. To quantify the dissociation rate when beads with preassembled bridge-tether assemblies were moved to the protein-free channel, a region of interest (ROI) was drawn as indicated by the white dashed box for all the frames in the movie. The mean photon count per pixel was then determined for each frame and plotted as a function of time (below). The data was then fit to a single-exponential curve. For this example, the dissociation rate was  $0.032 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (95% confidence interval:  $[0.031 \text{ s}^{-1}, 0.033 \text{ s}^{-1}]$ ). See also Methods. **(C)** Force-dependent reversal of RM-TDB bridges. In contrast to the experiment shown in **Fig. 5F**, here the optical traps were held in the protein-containing channel at a fixed distance apart that placed an initial force of  $\sim 30 \text{ pN}$  on a preassembled bridge-tether assembly. Dissociation of the RM-TDB domain was accompanied by an increase in the DNA extension (distance between the beads themselves) and a concomitant decrease of the force.



**Figure S12: Characterization of focal DNA binding by the RM-TDB domain. (A)** Waiting times for appearance of foci of the RM-TDB domain binding to stretched DNA tethers. Each point shows the time from transfer of the bead-bound DNA into the protein channel to the time when a focal binding event was first detected. Error bars are mean  $\pm$  SD. **(B)** Kymograph showing a representative RM-TDB focus (asterisk) stably bound to a stretched DNA tether, held in the fluorescent protein-containing channel. The bead boundaries are indicated by white dashed lines. Each laser was turned off briefly to show that there is negligible crosstalk between the DNA and protein fluorescence channels. Double-stranded DNA regions were stained by SYTOX Orange (shown in cyan); the dark regions are single-stranded regions that formed when duplex DNA next to spontaneous nicks was denatured by the 65 pN force applied. The RM-TDB focus remained stably bound to the tether with little or no change in position until the tether broke (indicated by the red arrow at the end). The graph above the image for the LD650

channel shows the decrease in fluorescence intensity over time for the focal binding event shown in the kymograph. Because each labeled RM-TDB trimer has a single fluorophore, the smooth decay in fluorescence signal without obvious individual steps indicates that many copies of the RM-TDB domain are present in a single focus. The intensity immediately before and after the time when the 639 nm laser was off (red dashed line) was essentially identical. This contrasts with the decrease seen during illumination periods of the same duration (blue dashed lines), therefore the signal decay ( $\sim 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (range  $0.007\text{--}0.013 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , from fitting before and after 639 nm laser off signal decay)) is primarily due to photo bleaching. **(C)** Change in protein fluorescence intensity over time for focal binding events on stretched tethers (red,  $N = 4$ ) or on dangling DNA (blue,  $N = 9$ ). Each trace represents one binding event. These are the individual traces that are averaged in **Fig. 5H**. The protein fluorescence intensity at each time point was normalized to the signal in the first frame where binding of RM-TDB was detected (see Methods). **(D,E,F)** Evidence for force-dependent disruption of long-range interactions between distal DNA segments bound by RM-TDB foci. Panel d compares force-extension curves between naked and protein-bound  $\lambda$  DNA. Arrows indicate examples of abrupt transitions in the curve for protein-bound DNA. Panel e shows the variation of applied force and DNA extension distance for abrupt transitions in force-extension curves from 6 different molecules. Panel f shows the distribution of estimated DNA extension lengths from the transitions in panel e. Lines indicate mean  $\pm$  SD. The disruption forces ranged from 4–20 pN, with an average disrupted DNA length of  $\sim 2000$  bp. **(G)** A representative example showing stretches of RM-TDB binding on the coaligned arms of a dangling  $\lambda$  DNA molecule coincident with brighter signal at the tip (red arrow). Over time, the DNA was pulled upward against flow toward the bead surface. A cartoon is provided to aid illustration of the process on the right.



**Figure S13: Conservation and variation of DNA-binding properties of the RM-TDB domain.** **(A, B)** Quantitative  $\beta$ -galactosidase assays to measure Y2H interactions between Rec114 and either Rec102 **(A)** or Rec104 **(B)** (mean and SD of at least 3 independent assays). Rec114 mutants with alanine substitutions in the PH domain (F48/R49, N65/N66, or K111/Y12/S13) or the putative DNA interaction surface (R395/K396/K399/R400, a.k.a. Rec114-4KR) were tested with wild type Rec102 or Rec104. Interestingly, most of the Rec114 mutations affected interactions with Rec102 and Rec104 to similar relative extents. **(C)** Representative EMSAs of wild-type and mutant RM-TDB domains (complexes of Rec114<sub>388-428</sub> with Mei4<sub>N</sub>) binding to a 150-bp DNA substrate. Quantification is in **Fig. 6B**. **(D,E)** Comparison of the distributions of areas (panel B) and heights (panel C) of condensates formed by either wild type (N = 6 condensates) or the K417A/K424A mutant (N = 7) measured by AFM as in **Fig. 6C**. Error bars indicate SD. The p values are from two-sided Mann-Whitney tests. **(F)** Electrostatic surface potentials for orthologous Rec114<sub>C</sub>-Mei4<sub>N</sub> complexes from the indicated species. Views are the same as in **Fig. S6C**. **(G)** SEC purification of mouse RM-TDB domain (mREC114<sub>C</sub>-MEI4<sub>N</sub>). The input to the column and fractions 7 and 9 were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and ReadyBlue staining.