Supplementary Information

Title: **Cardiac progenitor cell-derived extracellular vesicles promote angiogenesis through both associated- and co-isolated proteins**

Marieke Theodora Roefs¹, Julia Bauzá-Martinez^{2,*}, Simonides Immanuel van de Wakker^{1,*}, Jiabin Qin¹, Willem Theodoor Olijve¹, Robin Tuinte¹, Marjolein Rozeboom¹, Christian Snijders Blok¹, Emma Alise Mol¹, Wei Wu^{2,3,*}, Pieter Vader^{1,4,*}, Joost Petrus Gerardus Sluijter^{1,*}

[1] Department of Experimental Cardiology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, The Netherlands.

[2] Biomolecular Mass Spectrometry and Proteomics, Bijvoet Center for Biomolecular Research and Utrecht Institute for Pharmaceutical Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

[3] Singapore Immunology Network (SIgN), ASTAR (Agency for Science, Technology and Research),

Singapore, Singapore.

[4] CDL Research, University Medical Center Utrecht, The Netherlands.

Supplementary Table 1

Sequences of all guide RNAs for each CRISPR

Primer sequences of genomic DNA amplification for T7 endonuclease assay

Supplementary Table 2

Top-20 proteins (of n=105) enriched in veh-EVs compared with Ca ion-EVs and SKOV-3-EVs. Ranked by the mean protein abundance (log2) in veh-EVs, the top 20 significantly enriched (q-value of Student's Ttest) proteins are displayed.

Supplementary Figures

ز

Supplementary Figure 1. SKOV-3 EVs do not activate intracellular signaling in HMEC-1. (a) Total protein content of HMEC-1 lysates collected 0 or 6 hrs after stimulation with PBS, 2*10¹⁰ or 6*10¹⁰ EVs. Data are displayed as mean $\pm SD$ (n=3). (b) Representative NTA plot showing the size distribution and particle concentration of SKOV-3-EVs. (c) Western blot analysis showing the presence of CD81, CD63, βactin (β-ACT), and absence of Calnexin (CNX) in Ca ion-EVs and SKOV-3-EVs. β-ACT and CNX were present in Ca ion-CPC lysate (CL). (d, e) Representative western blot analysis of phosphorylated AKT (pAKT), total AKT (tAKT), phosphorylated ERK1/2 (pERK1/2) and total ERK1/2 (tERK1/2) in HMEC-1 treated with veh-, Ca ion- and SKOV-3-EVs normalized on two doses of EV particle numbers, and with 1 nM and 0.1 nM calcium ionophore A23187 in PBS. β-ACT was included as housekeeping protein. (e) Quantification of pAKT, tAKT, pERK1/2 and tERK1/2 expression levels using densitometry expressed as pAKT/AKT and pERK/ERK ratios. (f, g) Biological replicate of (d) and (e). Data are presented as mean ± SD.

Supplementary Figure 2. Workflow used for phosphoproteomic analysis. Schematic of workflow used for phosphoproteomic analysis by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). EV stimulated HMEC-1 were lysed and extracted proteins were digested into peptides and loaded into Fe(III)-Immobilized Metal Affinity Chromatography (Fe(III)-IMAC) cartridges for phosphopeptide enrichment. Fe(III)- IMAC flowthrough containing the non-phosphorylated subset of proteome, and elutions containing the phosphorylated subset of the proteome were analysed by (LC-MS/MS).

Supplementary Figure 3. Influence of iodixanol concentration on EV-mediated HMEC-1 activation. (a) Silver stain of fractions (F1-8) collected after ultracentrifugation of SEC-EVs loaded in the bottom of a discontinuous OptiprepTM gradient. Equal volumes of each sample were analysed, and 1 µg CPC lysate (CL) was included as control. (b) Representative wound healing assay showing effects of PBS and 1 µg CPC-EVs simultaneous with different concentrations of iodixanol on HMEC-1 migration, analysed both as absolute migration distance (top) and % wound closure (bottom) (n=3, technical replicates. Data are

representative of three biologically independent experiments). Data are presented as mean ± SD. (c) Representative western blot analysis of phosphorylated AKT (pAKT), total AKT (tAKT), phosphorylated ERK1/2 (pERK1/2) and total ERK1/2 (tERK1/2) in HMEC-1 treated with PBS and 2x10¹⁰ EVs. simultaneous with different concentrations of iodixanol (0-5%). β-ACT was included as housekeeping protein.

 $\boldsymbol{9}$

Supplementary Figure 4. Generation of PAPPA knock-out CPC lines. (a) Boxplots representing protein abundance (log₂) of PAPP-A and NID1 in veh-, Ca ion- and SKOV-3-EVs, as measured with by LC-MS/MS. Corrected P-values were calculated using student's T-test. $** = q<0.01$. $*** = q<0.001$. Boxes represent the 25%, 50% (median) and 75% quartiles and whiskers represent the ±1.5 interquartile range. (b) T7 endonuclease assay confirming a double-stranded break at the CRISPR/Cas9 target site in exon 3 of *PAPPA* in CPCs transduced with three different gRNAs (1-3). (c) Sanger sequencing results confirming frameshift in *PAPPA* exon 3 at the CRISPR/Cas9 target site of gRNA1 and gRNA2, compared with wildtype (WT) CPC genomic DNA. (d) Genomic DNA sequence surrounding two gRNA target sites in exon 3 of *PAPPA* of three individual PAPPA KO clones. Clones had homozygous mutations on both alleles. (e) Growth curves of NTgRNA- and PAPPA KO-CPC lines selected for functional studies, as visualized as cumulative cell growth. (f) Cell viability of PAPPA KO- and NTgRNA-CPC clones.

 $11\,$

Supplementary Figure 5. Generation of NID1 knock-out CPC lines. (a) T7 endonuclease assay confirming a double-stranded break at the CRISPR/Cas9 target site in exon 1 of *NID1* in CPCs transduced with three different gRNAs (1-3). (b) Sanger sequencing results confirming frameshift in *NID1* exon 1 at the CRISPR/Cas9 target site of gRNA3, compared with wild-type (WT) CPC genomic DNA. (c) Genomic DNA sequence surrounding the gRNA3 target site in exon 1 of *NID1* of five individual NID1 KO clones. Clones had homozygous mutations on both alleles. (d) Sanger sequencing results confirming 8 bp deletion in exon 1 of *NID1* at the CRISPR/Cas9 target site of the NID1 KO-CPC clone, compared with the NTgRNA polyclonal CPC line. (e) Growth curves of NTgRNA- and NID1 KO-CPC lines selected for functional studies, as visualized as cumulative cell growth. (f) Cell viability of NID1 KO- and NTgRNA-CPC clones. (g) Western blot analysis showing the absence of NID1 in NID1 KO-EVs compared with NTgRNA-EVs; the presence of CD81, Syntenin-1 (SYNT), β-actin (β-ACT), and absence of Calnexin (CNX) in both EV populations. β-ACT and CNX were present in CPC lysate (CL). (h) Representative NTA plot showing the size distribution and particle concentration of NID1 KO- and NTgRNA-CPC-EVs. (i) Protein content per 1x10¹⁰ NID1 KO- and NTgRNA-EVs of two representative experiments.

Supplementary Figure 6. NID1 KO-EVs were as potent as NTgRNA-EVs in activating intracellular signalling in HMEC-1 and in inducing HMEC-1 migration. (a) Representative western blot analysis of phosphorylated AKT (pAKT), total AKT (tAKT), phosphorylated ERK1/2 (pERK1/2) and total ERK1/2 (tERK1/2) in HMEC-1 treated with NID1 KO- and NTgRNA-EVs normalized on two doses of EV particle numbers or EV total protein content. β-actin (β-ACT) was included as housekeeping protein. (b) Quantification of pAKT, tAKT, pERK1/2 and tERK1/2 expression levels using densitometry expressed as pAKT/AKT and pERK/ERK ratios. (c, d) Biological replicate of (a) and (b). (e) Representative wound healing experiment showing effects of $2x10^{10}$ and 1 µg NTgRNA- and NID1 KO-EVs on HMEC-1 migration, analysed both as % wound closure and absolute migration distance (n=3, technical replicates. Data are representative of biologically independent experiments). Data are presented as mean ± SD. **= p < 0.0021. ***= p < 0.0002.

Supplementary Figure 7. Influence of IntegrinαVβ3 blocking on HMEC-1 activation and migration. (a) Representative western blot analysis of phosphorylated AKT (pAKT), total AKT (tAKT), phosphorylated ERK1/2 (pERK1/2) and total ERK1/2 (tERK1/2) in HMEC-1 treated with 2x10¹⁰ CPC-EVs, or with 5 µg/mL or 1 μg/mL recombinant IGF-1 after pre-incubation with (+) or without (-) 10 μg/mL IntegrinαVβ3 antibody. β-actin (β-ACT) was included as housekeeping protein. (b) Representative wound healing assay showing effects of 10 µg/mL IntegrinαVβ3 antibody addition to CPC-EV-induced HMEC-1 migration, analysed both as % wound closure and absolute migration distance. 5 μ g/mL and 1 μ g/mL recombinant NID1 (recNID1) were included as control (n=3, technical replicates. Data are representative of biologically independent experiments). (c, d) Biological replicates of (a). Data are presented as mean ± SD. ns= not-significant.

Supplementary Figure 8. PAPP-A presence in iodixanol gradient fractions.

SEC-EVs were separated in a discontinuous Optiprep™ gradient and resulting fractions (F1-8) were analysed for the presence of EV-marker proteins CD81, CD63, Syntenin-1 (SYNT), β-actin (β-ACT) and PAPP-A and absence of Calenexin (CALN) by western blotting. Equal volumes of each sample were analysed. Cell lysate (CL) and Opti-EVs, retrieved by pooling and concentration of fractions 1-5 using a 100 kDa cut-off spin filter, were included. CD81, CD63 and SYNT blots are also displayed in *Figure 8b.*

Supplementary Figure 9. Biological replicates of western blot analysis and quantification of pAKT, AKT, pERK and ERK expression levels. Biological replicates of Figure: (a, b) 2e; (c) 2g; (d) 4d; (e-f) 8g; (g, h) 6b; (i-k) 7a, c.

Supplementary Figure 10. Unedited western blots. Unedited western blots of *Figure 1b, 1e, 2c, 2e* (=overlay of protein blots with markers); *Figure 2g, 4c, 4d, 8b, 8e, 5c, 8g, 6b, 6d, 7a, 7b, S1c, S1d, S1f, S3c, S5g, S6a, S6c, S7a, S7c, S7d, S9a-S9k.* Blots are displayed with marker and with the selected area with specific proteins.