

## **Supplementary Text 1**

Correlation statistics using the maximum observed chlorophyll-a based growth rate (i.e., the growth rate for treatment with strongest positive response) across all experiments as the response variable showed significant correlations with chlorophyll-a (Chl),  $\log_{10}(\text{Chl})$ , Temperature, and Latitude (Table S1). Correlations with Chl,  $\log_{10}(\text{Chl})$  were furthermore stronger for data where at least 2 nutrients were added as response variable (i.e., where the potential for remaining nutrient limitation to be suppressing the observed maximum growth rate is reduced) (Table S2).

**Table S1.** Correlation statistics using the maximum observed chlorophyll-a based growth rate (i.e., the growth rate for treatment with strongest positive response) across all experiments as response variable.

	<b>Spearman r</b>	<b>Pearson r</b>	<b>p</b>	<b>n</b>
<b>Chl</b>	-0.405	-0.285	0.0036	103
<b>Log<sub>10</sub>(Chl)</b>	-0.405	-0.621	$2.6 \times 10^{-12}$	103
<b>Temperature</b>	0.445	0.506	$5.0 \times 10^{-8}$	103
<b>Latitude</b>	-0.308	-0.411	$1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	103

**Table S2.** Correlation statistics using maximum observed chlorophyll-a based growth rate in all experiments where at least 2 nutrients were added as response variable (i.e., where the potential for remaining nutrient limitation to be suppressing the observed maximum growth rate is reduced).

	<b>Spearman r</b>	<b>Pearson r</b>	<b>p</b>	<b>n</b>
<b>Chl</b>	-0.472	-0.292	0.0136	71
<b>Log<sub>10</sub>(Chl)</b>	-0.472	-0.650	$8.6 \times 10^{-10}$	71
<b>Temperature</b>	0.413	0.445	$1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	71
<b>Latitude</b>	-0.199	-0.320	0.0065	71

Stepwise linear regression with  $\log_{10}(\text{Chl})$ , Temperature and Latitude as predictors only returned  $\log_{10}(\text{Chl})$  as a significant predictor (i.e., no more variance was explained at  $p < 0.05$  by adding in temperature or latitude). Stepwise linear regression with Chl, Temperature and Latitude as predictors only returned Temperature as significant predictor (i.e., no more variance explained at  $p < 0.05$  by adding in Chl or latitude).

When the temperature normalised maximum growth rate observed in each experiment was used as the response variable (see Methods), neither Chl (or  $\log_{10}(\text{Chl})$ ) or Latitude were significantly correlated (i.e., residuals following temperature normalisation were not correlated with Chl or  $\log_{10}(\text{Chl})$ ).