**Article**

Commensal bacteria promote azathioprine therapy failure in inflammatory bowel disease via decreasing 6-mercaptopurine bioavailability

Graphical abstract

Authors

Yuqing Yan, Zhenhua Wang, Yi-Lu Zhou, ..., Zhe Cui, Haoyan Chen, Jie Hong

Correspondence

drcui1568@163.com (Z.C.), haoyanchen@sjtu.edu.cn (H.C.), jiehong97@sjtu.edu.cn (J.H.)

In brief

Identification of *B. wexlerae* by Yan et al. provides potential biomarkers for predicting response to azathioprine (AZA) therapy in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). *B. wexlerae* inhibits 6-mercaptopurine biotransformation and attenuates the inhibition of AZA on inflammatory macrophages. Supplementation of HPRT-enriched probiotics shows potential in mitigating the intestinal colitis of mice.

Highlights

- *B. wexlerae* could predict AZA therapy failure in patients with inflammatory bowel disease

- *B. wexlerae* weakened AZA's effect on macrophages in DSS-induced colitis mice

- The *sd-XDH* gene harbored by *B.wexlerae* may impact 6-mercaptopurine biotransformation

- *B. subtilis* reverses *B. wexlerae*-induced AZA failure in colitis mice

CellPress

Cell Reports Medicine

Article

Commensal bacteria promote azathioprine therapy failure in inflammatory bowel disease via decreasing 6-mercaptopurine bioavailability

Yuqing Yan,[1,3] Zhenhua Wang,[1,3] Yi-Lu Zhou,[1,3] Ziyun Gao,[1,3] Lijun Ning,[1] Ying Zhao,[1] Baoqin Xuan,[1] Yanru Ma,[1] Tianying Tong,[1] Xiaowen Huang,[1] Muni Hu,[1] Jing-Yuan Fang,[1] Zhe Cui,[2,*] Haoyan Chen,[1,*] and Jie Hong[1,4,*]

[1]State Key Laboratory for Oncogenes and Related Genes, NHC Key Laboratory of Digestive Diseases, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Shanghai Institute of Digestive Disease, Shanghai Cancer Institute, Renji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 145 Middle Shandong Road, Shanghai 200001, China
[2]Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Renji Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, 145 Middle Shandong Road, Shanghai 200001, China
[3]These authors contributed equally
[4]Lead contact
*Correspondence: drcui1568@163.com (Z.C.), haoyanchen@sjtu.edu.cn (H.C.), jiehong97@sjtu.edu.cn (J.H.)
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2023.101153

SUMMARY

Azathioprine (AZA) therapy failure, though not the primary cause, contributes to disease relapse and progression in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). However, the role of gut microbiota in AZA therapy failure remains poorly understood. We found a high prevalence of *Blautia wexlerae* in patients with IBD with AZA therapy failure, associated with shorter disease flare survival time. Colonization of *B. wexlerae* increased inflammatory macrophages and compromised AZA's therapeutic efficacy in mice with intestinal colitis. *B. wexlerae* colonization reduced 6-mercaptopurine (6-MP) bioavailability by enhancing selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase (sd-XDH) activity. The enzyme sd-XDH converts 6-MP into its inactive metabolite, 6-thioxanthine (6-TX), thereby impairing its ability to inhibit inflammation in mice. Supplementation with *Bacillus* (*B.*) *subtilis* enriched in hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase (HPRT) effectively mitigated *B. wexlerae*-induced AZA treatment failure in mice with intestinal colitis. These findings emphasize the need for tailored management strategies based on *B. wexlerae* levels in patients with IBD.

INTRODUCTION

Azathioprine (AZA) is one of many therapy options in adult inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and has been used as the first line of therapy for other medical conditions including autoimmune hepatitis and rheumatological and other disease treatment.[1,2] Meanwhile, AZA has its advantages, which are that it is economical and sustainable.[3,4] However, the overall remission rates were only 45% in patients with Crohn's disease (CD) and 58% in patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) after AZA treatment.[5] The genotypes of host metabolic enzymes, which include glutathione S-transferase (GST), thiopurine S-methyltransferase (TPMT), and nucleoside diphosphate-linked moiety X-type motif 15 (NUDT15), and the concentration of 6-thioguanine nucleotide (6-TGN) metabolites were routinely examined to evaluate the efficacy and pharmacokinetics of AZA in patients with IBD.[6] However, the non-remission rate remains highly in patients with IBD after screening of these biomarkers.

The composition of the gut microbiota could affect different physiological functions of the host, even the uptake and utilization of drugs by the host.[7,8] The interaction between microbiota and non-antibiotic drugs is bidirectional, including drug-induced alterations in microbiome composition and microbiota-mediated modulation of the pharmaceutical effect.[9,10] The biochemical transformation of the oral drugs to more or less active metabolites were identified and validated by microbiome-encoded enzymes, with consequences for interpersonal variation in drug efficacy and toxicity.[11–13] In addition, bacteria can bioaccumulate the therapeutic drug intracellularly and modulate the availability and efficacy of it in the gut.[14] As an oral immunosuppressant, whether gut microbes affect the metabolism and the curative effect of AZA remains elusive.

This study was performed to reveal the mechanism of AZA therapy failure at the level of intestinal bacteria. We used 16S rDNA sequencing technology to screen out *Blautia* bacteria, which were associated with ineffective AZA treatment, and verified the bioinformatic data in another independent clinical cohort. The molecular mechanism of *Blautia wexlerae* (*B. wexlerae*)-induced AZA therapeutic failure was further explored in *in vitro* and *in vivo* models.

(legend on next page)

RESULTS

Gut microbes were closely associated with therapeutic failure of AZA in patients with IBD

To evaluate the impact of gut microbiota on the efficacy of AZA treatment in patients with IBD, we collected the fecal samples of 49 patients with newly diagnosed IBD, containing 9 patients with UC and 40 patients with CD, before receiving AZA treatment (cohort 1). Patients in remission (R; n = 27) and non-remission (NR; n = 22) were divided based on their endoscopic and imaging evaluations after the initial administration of AZA for 6 months (Figure 1A; Table S1).[15] Quantitative PCR analysis of fecal pellets showed that antibiotic-treated mice had a significantly reduced gut bacterial community[16,17] (Figure S1A). To address the effect of gut microbiota on AZA treatment in patients with IBD, we performed fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) experiments with feces from patients in R or NR into C57BL6 mice recipients with dextran sulfate sodium (DSS) treatment (Figure 1B). At sacrifice, AZA treatment failure was observed in DSS-treated mice with transplantation of NR-patient-derived fecal samples as indicated by decreased body weight (Figure 1C), shortened colonic length (Figures 1D and S1B), and increased inflammation pathological score (Figures 1E and 1F) as compared with those mice with transplantation of R-patient-derived fecal samples. These data suggested that the fecal microbiota, which were from NR patients with IBD with AZA treatment, exerted a significant resistant effect on AZA treatment in DSS-induced colitis in mice.

To further evaluate the effect of fecal microbiota-induced AZA treatment failure on colitis, we used another mouse model of 2,4,6-trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS)-induced colitis (Figure 1G). Phenotype data showed that transplantation of NR-patient-derived fecal microbiota, but not R-patient-derived fecal microbiota, significantly reduced the therapeutic effect of AZA in the TNBS-induced mice colitis model by decreasing the body weight (Figure 1H) and colon length (Figures 1I and S1C) and exacerbating the inflammatory response (Figures 1J and 1K).

We also investigated the AZA treatment effect on DSS-induced colitis in germ-free mice after transplantation of fecal microbiota derived from different patients with IBD. Transplantation of NR-patient-derived microbiota dramatically weakened the AZA treatment effect in DSS-treated germ-free mice as characterized by body weight loss (Figure 1M), shortened colonic length (Figures 1N and S1D), and increased inflammation score (Figures 1O and 1P). Together, these data demonstrated that the fecal microbiota from NR patients with IBD might participate in AZA treatment failure in different mice with intestinal colitis.

Blautia bacteria could predict the effect of AZA therapy in patients with IBD

To identify the differentially abundant taxa of microbiota, 16S rDNA sequencing and Linear discriminant analysis Effect Size (LefSe) analysis were then performed between the fecal samples of R and NR patients with IBD in cohort 1 (Figure 2A). The abundances of four bacterial taxa were increased in NR patient groups (linear discriminant analysis [LDA] score > 2, $P < 0.05$), including *B. wexlerae/luti, Roseburia inulinivorans, Gemmiger formicilis*, and *Clostridum clostridioforme* (Figure 2B). Noticeably, the amount of *B. wexlerae* and *B. luti* was significantly enriched in the fecal samples (Figures 2C and S2A–S2C) and ileum tissues (Figures S2D–S2F) of the NR patient group compared with that of the R patient group in cohort 1. To validate the association between *B. wexlerae* and *B. luti* with the therapeutic efficacy of AZA, we analyzed the intestinal mucosa microbiota and AZA therapeutic efficacy in another independent cohort incorporating 91 patients with newly diagnosed IBD with AZA administration (cohort 2; Table S2). Real-time PCR data showed that

Figure 1. Gut microbes were closely associated with therapeutic failure of azathioprine (AZA) in patients with inflammatory bowel disease

(A) Flow chart of fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) experiments with feces from patients in remission (R) or patients in non-remission (NR).

(B) Schematic overview of treatments in conventional DSS-induced colitis model. n = 6 for each group.

(C) The alteration of body weight in conventional DSS-induced colitis mouse. n = 6/group, non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.

(D) Gross morphology of the colon was shown in different treatment groups of conventional DSS-induced colitis mice.

(E) Mouse colonic sections were stained by hematoxylin and eosin in conventional DSS-induced colitis mice. Original magnification, ×5 (top row) and ×20 (bottom row).

(F) The histology scores of colonic sections in conventional DSS-induced colitis. n = 6/group, non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(G) Schematic overview of treatments in conventional TNBS-induced colitis model. n = 6 for each group.

(H) The alteration of body weight in conventional TNBS-induced colitis mouse. n = 6/group, non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.

(I) Gross morphology of the colon was shown in different treatment group of conventional TNBS-induced colitis mice.

(J) Mouse colonic sections were stained by hematoxylin and eosin in conventional TNBS-induced colitis. Original magnification, ×5 (top row) and ×20 (bottom row).

(K) The histology scores of colonic sections in conventional TNBS-induced colitis. n = 6/group, non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(L) Schematic overview of treatments in germ-free DSS-induced colitis model. n = 6 for each group.

(M) The alteration of body weight in germ-free DSS-induced colitis mouse. n = 6/group, non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.

(N) Gross morphology of the colon was shown in different treatment group of germ-free DSS-induced colitis mice.

(O) Mouse colonic sections were stained by hematoxylin and eosin in germ-free DSS-induced colitis mice. Original magnification, ×5 (top row) and ×20 (bottom row).

(P) The histology scores of colonic sections in germ-free DSS-induced colitis. n = 6/group, non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

Figure 2. *Blautia* bacteria could predict the effect of AZA therapy in patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)

(A) Flow chart in cohort 1 of Renji hospital.

(B) LDA effect size analysis in the fecal samples of patients with IBD in NR (n = 22) and in R (n = 27) after performing 16S DNA sequencing.

(C) The amount of *B. wexlerae/B. luti* species in the feces of patients with IBD in cohort 1. Significance was determined using a non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test (R, n = 27; NR, n = 22).

(D and E) The amount of *B. wexlerae/B. luti* species was significantly increased in the feces of NR group (D, R, n = 57; NR, n = 34), both in patients with ulcerative colitis (UC; R, n = 21; NR, n = 11) and patients with Crohn's disease (CD; R, n = 36; NR, n = 23) (E). Non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

(F) Classification performance of multivariable logistic regression model using relative abundance of *B. wexlerae/B. luti* was assessed by area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) in cohort 2 (R: n = 57, NR: n = 34, AUC: 77.22%, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 67.32%–87.12%), respectively.

(G) Analysis of the flare-free survival in patients with high (n = 41) versus low (n = 50) *B. wexlerae/B. luti* abundance in cohort 2.

(H) Multivariable analysis was performed in cohort 2. The bars correspond to 95% CIs.

the amount of *B. wexlerae* and *B. luti* was significantly increased in those patients with IBD (including 11 cases of UC and 23 cases of CD) in NR compared with other patients with IBD in R (Figures 2D, 2E, and S2G–S2J). These data indicated that high

levels of *B. wexlerae* and *B. luti* were closely associated with the therapeutic failure of AZA in patients with IBD.

We next assessed the potential value of predicting AZA efficacy using gut microbiota as biomarkers. We observed that

(legend on next page)

the area under curve (AUC) value of *B. wexlerae/B. luti*-based prediction was 77.22%. Youden index was used to determine the optimal cut-off point, and −10.71 (−delta CT value) was selected based on the abundance of *B. wexlerae/B. luti* that provided the best balance between the sensitivity and the specificity to predict AZA treatment failure in patients with IBD (Figure 2F; Table S3). To assess how the variability in the amount of *B. wexlerae* and *B. luti* affected disease outcomes, we assessed the clinical flare-free time of patients with IBD with AZA administration categorized as high and low abundance of *B. wexlerae/ B. luti* in cohort 2. A remarkable decrease in flare-free time was observed in patients with IBD with higher levels of *B. wexlerae/ B. luti* compared with those patients with lower levels of *B. wexlerae/B. luti* (Figure 2G). In addition, univariate (Figure S2K) and multivariable (Figure 2H) regression analyses demonstrated that the amount of *B. wexlerae/B. luti* was an independent predictor of patients with IBD to predict the clinical outcome of cohort 2. Thus, the data in cohort 2 not only confirmed our observation in cohort 1 but also defined the potential value of the amount of *B. wexlerae/B. luti* to predict AZA therapy failure in patients with IBD.

B. wexlerae reduced the therapeutic effect of AZA in a DSS-induced acute colitis model

To explore whether *B. wexlerae* or *B. luti* is responsible for AZA therapy failure in patients with IBD, we used a DSS-induced acute colitis mice model with AZA treatment for further validation. To exclude the possibility that *B. wexlerae* and *B. luti* would aggravate colitis, mice were administrated *B. wexlerae* and *B. luti*, respectively, in an acute DSS-treated mice model (Figures S3A–S3C). No significant differences of weight loss (Figure S3D), disease activity index (DAI) score (Figure S3E), colon length (Figure S3F and S3G), pathological score (Figures S3H and S3I), inflammatory factors (Figures S3J–S3N), and immune cells of intestinal mucosa (Figures S3O–S3R) were detected among DSS, (*B. wexlerae*+DSS), and (*B. luti*+DSS) treatment mice groups, indicating that *B. wexlerae* and *B. luti* have no significant effect on DSS-induced acute colitis in mice.

We next investigated the causal relationship between *B. wexlerae* and *B. luti* with AZA therapeutic effect on conventional acute DSS-treated mice (Figure 3A). Phenotype data showed that *B. wexlerae*, but not *B. luti*, administration significantly reduced the therapeutic effect of AZA characterized by

aggravated weight loss (Figure 3B), increased DAI (Figure 3C), shortened colons (Figures 3D and S3S), and increased histology scores (Figures 3E and 3F) in the DSS-induced intestinal colitis mice model. Real-time PCR data showed that the expression levels of intestinal inflammatory factors, including tumor necrosis factor α (*TNF-α*), interleukin-1β (*IL-1β*), and *IL-6*, as well as the markers of monocyte and granulocyte cells, were significantly increased after AZA treatment in mice with *B. wexlerae* inoculation (Figures 3G–3I and S3T) compared with those in control mice. Flow cytometry validated that the percentages of monocyte and granulocyte cells, but not CD4+T and CD8+T cells, were significantly increased in the intestinal mucosa of the (DSS+*B. wexlerae*+AZA) treatment mice group (Figures 3J, 3K, and S3U). Further flow cytometry analysis data showed that the proportions of macrophages and neutrophils were also significantly increased in the (DSS+*B wexlerae*+AZA) treatment mice group compared with the (DSS+AZA) group (Figures 3L and 3M). These data indicated that *B. wexlerae* might reduce the therapeutic effect of AZA and might increase the amount of macrophage and neutrophil cells in the DSS-induced acute colitis model. Since the *B. wexlerae*-induced effect of AZA treatment failure is more significant than *B. luti in vivo* validation, we next focused on *B. wexlerae* for further validation.

B. wexlerae reduced the therapeutic effect of AZA and elevated the amount IL1b+S100a8+ inflammatory macrophages in a chronic mice colitis model

Next, we constructed a conventional chronic DSS-treated mice model, which mimicked the chronic inflammatory progression of patients with IBD more closely. Similarly, the role of *B. wexlerae* in aggravating colitis was first excluded, and a chronic DSS model was established after the mice were administrated *B. wexlerae* by gavage as described before (Figures S4A–S4G). *B. wexlerae* and heat-killed *B. wexlerae* were then respectively fed to the conventional DSS-induced chronic colitis mice with AZA treatment in different groups (Figure 4A). Phenotype data showed that *B. wexlerae*, but not heat-killed *B. wexlerae*, significantly reduced the therapeutic effect of AZA, characterized by aggravated weight loss (Figure 4B), increased DAI (Figure 4C), shortened colons (Figures 4D and S4H), and increased histology scores (Figures 4E and 4F), in the chronic colitis mouse model. These data indicated that *B. wexlerae* is responsible for

Figure 3. *B. wexlerae* reduced the therapeutic effect of AZA in a dextran sulfate sodium-induced acute colitis model
(A) Schematic diagram of the dextran sulfate sodium (DSS)-induced acute colitis model treated with *B. wexlerae* and *B. luti* in C57BL/6 mice with AZA treatment including control group (n = 10), DSS group (n = 10), (DSS+AZA) group (n = 10), (DSS+*E. coli*+AZA) group (n = 10), (DSS+*B. luti*+AZA) group (n = 10), and (DSS+*B. wexlerae*+AZA) group (n = 10).
(B and C) The alterations in mouse body weight (B) and DAI evaluation (C). n = 10/group, non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.
(D) Gross morphology of the colon was shown in different treatment mice group.
(E) Mouse colonic sections were stained by hematoxylin and eosin. Original magnification, ×5 (top row) and ×20 (bottom row).
(F) The histology scores of mice in different mice groups. n = 10/group, non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.
(G–I) Real-time PCR was performed to detect *Tnf* (G), *Il6* (H), and *Il1b* (I) in different treatment of mice groups. n = 10/group, non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.
(J and K) The flow cytometry analysis of monocyte (J) and granulocyte (K) in different mice groups. n = 10/group, non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.
(L and M) The flow cytometry analysis of macrophage (L) and neutrophil (M) in different mice groups. Representative images (left) and statistical proportion (right, n = 10/group, non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test). Data are represented as mean ± SD.

AZA therapy invalidation in the DSS-induced chronic colitis mouse model as well.

In addition to the gut microbiome, immune system disorder and the imbalance of immune cell proportions are regarded as the main pathogenesis factors in IBD.[18,19] To discriminate which immune cells participated in *B. wexlerae*-induced AZA therapy failure in IBD, we collected CD45^{+} cells from intestinal tissue of the chronic colitis mouse. The transcriptomes of individual cells were obtained by single-cell RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) (Figure 4G). After data pre-processing and quality control, we obtained 16,204 transcriptomes of single cells. These cells were partitioned into four groups using unsupervised graph clustering (Figure 4H), visualized by uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) and labeled according to the marker gene expression (Figure S4I). Considering that the proportion of myeloid cells was the most significantly increased after AZA treatment in acute colitis mice with high *B. wexlerae* abundance, we firstly verified the functions of myeloid cells in chronic colitis. Higher expression of the proinflammatory genes were observed in all myeloid cell populations of the (DSS+*B. wexlerae*+AZA) treatment mice groups compared with other groups (Figure 4I). Then, these 3,114 myeloid cells were subgrouped into five subsets (Figures 4J and S4J) to unveil the exact subgroup that promoted intestinal colitis in mice. We found that the amount of Il1b^{+}S100a8[+] macrophages, which were reported to be associated with intestinal inflammation,[20] was increased in chronic colitis compared with control and that it was rescued after AZA treatment in mice (Figure 4J). Moreover, *B. wexlerae* treatment significantly increased the amount of Il1b^{+}S100a8^{+} macrophage cells in the (DSS+AZA)-treatment mice compared with other groups (Figure 4J). Further gene set-enriched score analysis revealed a strong enrichment of inflammation-related pathways in Il1b^{+}S100a8^{+} macrophage cells of (DSS+AZA)-treatment mice with *B. wexlera* high abundance compared with those mice with *B. wexlerae* low abundance (Figure 4K), suggesting that *B. wexlerae*-induced AZA

treatment failure might depend on increasing the proportion of Il1b^{+}S100a8[+] inflammatory macrophage cells in the DSS-induced colitis mouse.

Meanwhile, we analyzed another cohort of patients with IBD (n = 7, cohort 3; Table S4) with standard AZA treatment and obtained 28,611 single-cell profiles from their colon biopsies. Patients were divided into responders (n = 4) and non-responders (n = 3) based on 6-month follow-up after the initial AZA administration (Figure 4L). Four clusters of cells were identified according to marker gene expression (Figures S4K–4M). Proportion analysis showed that the percentage of IL1B^{+}S100A8^{+} macrophage cells was significantly increased in the non-responders group (Figures 4M, S4N, and S4O) compared with the responder group in patients with IBD. Moreover, the expression of the proinflammatory cytokine was higher in Il1B^{+}S100A8[+] macrophages of non-responders than in those of responders in patients with IBD (Figure 4N), which is consistent with the data in the chronic colitis mouse model. Further Gene Ontology (GO) analysis data showed that a strong enrichment of immune response pathways was detected in Il1B^{+}S100A8^{+} macrophage cells of the NR group (Figure S4P). These data suggested that the anti-inflammatory effect of AZA might rely on inhibiting the proportion of IL1B^{+}S100A8[+] inflammatory macrophages and that *B. wexlerae* inoculation significantly impaired the AZA-mediated inhibitory effect on IL1B^{+}S100A8[+] macrophages in patients with IBD.

B. wexlerae was closely associated with the biotransformation of 6-mercaptopurine

Mass spectrometry analysis and real-time PCR data showed that AZA, the intermediated metabolites of AZA, and *B. wexlerae* were detectable in the upper, middle, and lower segments of the small intestine in *B. wexlerae*-enriched mice, respectively (Figures S5A and S5B). Therefore, we considered that *B. wexlerae* and AZA might meet in the small intestine of mouse. To explore the mechanism of *B. wexlerae*-induced therapeutic failure of AZA in the intestinal colitis of mouse,

Figure 4. *B. wexlerae* reduced the therapeutic effect of AZA and elevated the amount IL1B^{+}S100A8[+] macrophages in chronic mice colitis model

(A) Schematic diagram of the DSS-induced chronic colitis model treated with *B. wexlerae* and heat-killed *B. wexlerae* in C57BL/6 mice including control group (n = 9), DSS group (n = 10), (DSS+AZA) group (n = 10), (DSS+heat-killed *B. wexlerae*+AZA) group (n = 10), and (DSS+*B. wexlerae*+AZA) group (n = 10).

(B and C) The alterations in mouse body weight (B) and DAI evaluation (C) in the control group (n = 9), DSS group (n = 10), (DSS+AZA) group (n = 10), (DSS+heat-killed *B. wexlerae*+AZA) group (n = 10), and (DSS+*B. wexlerae*+AZA) group (n = 10). Non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.

(D) Gross morphology of the colon.

(E) Mouse colonic sections were stained by hematoxylin and eosin. Original magnification, ×5 (top row) and ×20 (bottom row).

(F) The histology scores of mice in different mice groups: control group (n = 9), DSS group (n = 10), (DSS+AZA) group (n = 10), (DSS+heat-killed *B. wexlerae*+AZA) group (n = 10), and (DSS+*B. wexlerae*+AZA) group (n = 10). Non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(G) Experimental design for scRNA-seq analysis of CD45^{+} immune cells from different groups of mice.

(H) UMAP of major immune cell clusters in mice.

(I) Heatmap showing the expression of proinflammatory genes in myeloid cells of mice.

(J) UMAP plot displaying 3,672 myeloid cells separated into 5 subtypes in mice (left), and bar plots exhibiting the cellular sources for mice myeloid cell subtypes (right). Blocks represent different subtypes and are color coded by their derived types. Block heights are proportional to the number of detected cells.

(K) Violin plots showing the activated pathways in macrophage (M)-Il1b^{+}S100a8[+] in (DSS+AZA)-treated mice with high *B. wexlerae* abundance by gene set-enriched score analysis. Non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

(L) Flow chart in cohort 3.

(M) Bar plots exhibiting the myeloid cell subtypes in colon mucosa of patients with IBD. Blocks represent different subtypes and are color coded by their derived types (R, n = 4; NR, n = 3).

(N) Dot plot showing the expression of proinflammatory genes in IL1b^{+}S100A8[+] macrophages of patients with IBD with different responses to AZA (R, n = 4; NR, n = 3).

A

6-MMP ← TPMT
GST — HPRT — IMPDH — GMPS
AZA → 6-MP → 6-TIMP → 6-TXMP → 6-TGMP → 6-TGDP → 6-TGTP
XDH
6-TX

6-TGN level

B

Oral administration
AZA (1.5 mg/kg)

Sample collection
Luminal contents
Plasma

5 weeks old

PBS (n=6) or *B. wexlerae* (n=6)

Antibiotic

Time -21d   -14d   -7d   0h   1h

C Luminal contents
○ PBS ○ *B. wexlerae*
Relative abundance
AZA 6-MP 6-TX (P<0.01) 6-MMP 6-TIMP (P<0.05)

D Plasma
○ PBS ○ *B. wexlerae*
Relative abundance
AZA 6-MP 6-TX (P<0.01) 6-MMP 6-TIMP (P<0.01)

E

Samples — Bacterial culture — Assay

Control / *B. wexlerae* gavaged
Feces → OD=0.3 → Azathioprine-containing (50µM) TSB → Supernatant

Vanquish UHPLC/Q Exactive plus
Quantification of
6-Thioxanthine,
6-Thioinosine monophosphate.
6-Thioguanine nucleotides

Xanthine dehydrogenase assay

Anaerobic, 37℃   Supernatant

F 6-TIMP level (µg/ml)
Time (h) 2.5 (P<0.001) 5 (P<0.001) 7.5 (P<0.001)

G 6-TGN level (ng/ml)
Time (h) 2.5 (P<0.001) 5 (P<0.001) 7.5 (P<0.05)

H 6-TX level (µg/mL)
Time (h) 2.5 5 (P<0.001) 7.5 (P<0.001)

■ AZA+Bacteria from Control ■ AZA+Bacteria from *B. wexlerae*-gavaged

I Relative XDH activity
P<0.001 P<0.001 P<0.01
Bacteria from Control: + − − −
Bacteria from *B. wexlerae*-gavaged: − + + +
Allopurinol: − − + −
Febuxostat: − − − +

J

firmicutes | 8 leaves
selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase [Ruminococcus sp. AM34-9LB]
selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase [Eubacteriales]
selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase [Eubacteriales]
selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase [Blautia wexlerae]
selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase [Ruminococcus sp. AM29-12LB]
selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase [Blautia wexlerae]
selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase [Blautia wexlerae]
selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase [Ruminococcus sp. OM02-16LB]
firmicutes | 2 leaves
selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase [Blautia wexlerae]
selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase [Ruminococcus sp. TF11-2AC]
selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase [Blautia wexlerae]
selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase [Blautia wexlerae]
selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase [Blautia]
selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase [Eubacteriales]
selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase [Blautia wexlerae]
selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase [Blautia wexlerae]
selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase [Blautia wexlerae]
selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase [Eubacteriales]
selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase [Blautia wexlerae]
WP_022380732.1 MULTISPECIES: selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase [Eubacteriales]
firmicutes | 3 leaves
0.004

Blautia wexlerae DSM 19850: xdhB xdhA NQ550_RS1092 sd-xdh
Ruminococcus sp. TF11-2AC: sd-xdh DXD14_RS03135 xdhA xdhB
Ruminococcus sp. AM29-12LB: sd-xdh DW759_RS0868 xdhA xdhB
1 kb

K

rho=0.38
P<0.001

Log10 (Sd-XDH abundance+1E-06)
Log10 (*B.wexlerae* abundance+1E-06)

(legend on next page)

we first evaluated whether this bacterium could directly degrade or bioaccumulate AZA. Vanquish ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC)/Q Exactive plus analysis showed that *B. wexlerae* did not alter the AZA level in the bacterial culture tryptic soy broth (TSB) medium (Figure S5C), indicating that *B. wexlerae* could not degrade AZA.

Next, we examined whether *B. wexlerae* affected the drug metabolism of AZA. AZA belongs to a prodrug, which is converted to 6-mercaptopurine (6-MP).[21,22] 6-MP is then further metabolized by downstream enzymes and is ultimately metabolized to 6-TGNs, consisting of 6-thioguanine-monophosphate (6-TGMP), 6-diphosphate (6-TGDP), and 6-triphosphate (6-TGTP), which are considered to contribute to the immunosuppressive effects in IBD treatment[21,22] (Figure 5A). We next evaluated the amount of AZA and its downstream metabolites in mice with or without *B. wexlerae* treatment. Antibiotic-treated mice were firstly administered *B. wexlerae*, followed by oral administration of AZA (Figure 5B). Vanquish UHPLC/Q Exactive plus analysis showed that the intermediate metabolite 6-TX (6-thioxanthine), which is the precursor of the non-active form 6-thiouric acid (6-TU), was significantly increased, and another active intermediate, metabolite 6-thioinosine-monophosphate (6-TIMP), which is the precursor of the pharmaceutical active form of 6-TGN (6-TGMP, 6-TGDP, 6-TGTP), is significantly decreased in mice after *B. wexlerae* inoculation in the luminal contents (Figure 5C) and in the serum samples (Figure 5D). Since xanthine dehydrogenase (XDH) is responsible for 6-MP transforming into 6-TX and hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase (HPRT) is responsible for 6-MP transforming into 6-TIMP in AZA metabolism, we first detected the activity of these two enzymes in mouse intestinal tissue. ELISA data showed that the activity of XDH and HPRT has no significantly alteration in mouse intestinal tissue after *B. wexlerae* administration compared with control mice (Figure S5D), which suggested that the *B. wexlerae*-induced therapeutic failure of AZA might depend on decreasing the bioavailability of AZA by the bacteria but not by the host.

We next verified this hypothesis *in vitro*. Fecal samples from *B. wexlerae*-gavaged and control mice were cultured in AZA-containing TSB medium under anaerobic conditions, following by examination of the intermediate metabolites of AZA in the medium (Figure 5E). We found that the production of 6-TIMP and 6-TGN (Figures 5F and 5G) was significantly impaired in the medium exposed to fecal bacteria from *B. wexlerae*-gavaged mice compared with that from control mice, while the production of 6-TX was significantly increased in the same treatment mice groups (Figure 5H). These data collectively supported that *B. wexlerae*-enriched feces promoted the conversion of 6-MP into its non-active form, 6-TX, but not its active form, 6-TGN.

Enzymatic modification of drugs by gut bacteria (biotransformation or metabolization) is a well-known and widely recognized interaction mode.[9] Further ELISA data showed that XDH activity, but not HPRT activity, was significantly increased in the medium exposed to fecal bacteria from *B. wexlerae*-administrated, antibiotic-treated mice than that from control mice under anaerobic culture conditions (Figures 5I and S5E). Since experiments to knockout selenium-dependent (sd)-XDH in *B.wexlerae* are not feasible currently, we next used the inhibitors of XDH to explore the effect of *B.wexlerae*-induced AZA therapeutic failure *in vitro*. Allopurinol or febuxostat (the inhibitors of XDH) treatment significantly reduced the *B. wexlerae*-induced increase in XDH activity (Figure 5I) and 6-TX levels (Figure S5F) in fecal bacteria from mice. We next analyzed the DNA sequence of *B. wexlerae* on the NCBI website. The bioinformatic analysis data showed that *B. wexlere* might encode an sd-XDH (Figures 5J and S5G). Further correlation analysis of the sd-XDH gene abundance in different taxonomic groups across the (74 control and 185 IBD) metagenomes (PRJNA385949) showed that the number of sd-XDH reads per metagenome (rho = 0.38, p < 0.0001) was positively associated with the relative abundance of *B. wexlere* (Figure 5K). Consistently, these data illustrated that the *sd-XDH* gene harbored by *B. wexlerae* might mediate the biotransformation of 6-MP.

B. subtilis supplementation reversed *B. wexlerae*-induced AZA therapeutic failure in an intestinal colitis mouse model

The drug interaction between XDH inhibitors and AZA can result in clinically significant adverse events.[23,24] Thereby, we next explored whether *B. subtilis,* which has been reported to encode the HPRT gene before,[25] could rescue *B. wexlerae*-induced AZA treatment failure. Real-time PCR data showed that the *B. subtilis* capsule, which contained *B. subtilis* bacteria, could enhance the abundance of *B. subtilis* in the fecal samples of mice

Figure 5. *B. wexlerae* was closely associated with the biotransformation of AZA

(A) Proposed thiopurine metabolism. AZA, azathioprine; 6-MP, 6-mercaptopurine; 6-TIMP, 6-thioinosine monophosphate; 6-TXMP, 6-thioxanthosine monophosphate; 6-TGMP, 6-thioguanine monophosphate; 6-TGDP, 6-thioguanine diphosphate; 6-TGTP, 6-thioguanine triphosphate; 6-TGN, 6-thioguanine; GST, glutathione S-transferase; HPRT, hypoxanthine phosphoribosyl transferase; IMPDH, inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase; GMPS, guanosine monophosphate synthetase. In therapeutic drug monitoring, a 6-TGN level consists of the sum of 6-TGMP, 6-TGDP, and 6-TGTP levels.

(B) Schematic overview of experimental design for studying the effect of *B. wexlerae* and *B. luti* on AZA bioavailability in C57BL/6 mice. n = 6 for each group.

(C and D) Quantitative analysis of AZA and its metabolite levels in luminal contents (C) and plasma (D). n = 6/group, non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(E) Schematic overview of experimental design for studying the impact of *B. wexlerae* on AZA *in vitro*. The green tube contains fecal bacterial suspension (OD = 0.3). The yellow tube contains fecal bacterial suspension (OD = 0.3) with AZA supplement. Control mice (blue block). *B. wexlerae*-gavaged mice (purple block).

(F–H) Quantitative levels of 6-TIMP (F), 6-TGN (G), and 6-TX (H) in AZA-containing culture medium post-anaerobic incubation with fecal samples. n = 3/group, Student's t test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(I) XDH activity in the medium exposed to fecal samples under anaerobic conditions. n = 3/group, Student's T test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(J) Bioinformatic analysis showing *B. wexlere* might encode a selenium-dependent xanthine dehydrogenase (*sd-XDH*) gene.

(K) Correlation analysis of bacterial sd-XDH and *B. wexlerae* in PRJNA385949.

(Figure S6A). Functional validation data showed that *B. subtilis* treatment significantly rescued *B. wexlerae*-mediated AZA therapy failure (Figure 6A), characterized by alleviated weight loss (Figure S6B), decreased DAI (Figure 6B), longer colons (Figures 6C and S6C), and decreased histology scores (Figures 6D and 6E), in the intestinal colitis mouse model. In addition, supplementation of *B. subtilis* significantly reduced the *B. wexlerae*-induced increase in the percentage of monocytes (Figure S6D) and macrophages (Figure S6E) in colitis mice with AZA treatment. Multi-immunofluorescent staining showed that the signals of Il1b and S100a8 were strongly enriched in macrophages in the intestinal tissues of the *B. wexlerae*-enriched mice group compared with control mice groups with DSS and AZA treatment (Figures 6F and 6G). *B. subtilis* treatment dramatically decreased this bacteria-induced upregulation of Il1b and S100a8 in macrophages *in vivo* (Figures 6F and 6G) and effectively blocked *B. wexlerae*-induced biotransformation of 6-MP into 6-TX (Figure 6H). These data indicated that *B. subtilis* treatment effectively reduced *B. wexlerae*-induced activation of inflammatory macrophage cells in intestinal colitis mice with AZA treatment. These data indicated that supplementation of *B. subtilis* might effectively and specifically restore *B. wexlerae*-induced AZA therapy failure in patients with IBD.

We also explored whether *B. wexlere* treatment could alter the composition of gut microbiota in DSS-plus-AZA-treated mice. Shotgun metagenomics analysis was performed in fecal samples of DSS-plus-AZA-treated mice with or without *B. wexlere* inoculation. Principal-component analysis showed that the gut microbiota composition of DSS-plus-AZA-treated mice was significantly different in *B. wexlere*-inoculated and control mice (Figure S6F). LDA with effect size revealed that the pathogenic bacteria *Oscillibacter* sp. *57_20* and *Oscillibacter* sp. *KLE 1728*[26] were enriched and that the amount of probiotic bacteria, including *Eubacterium* sp. *3_1_31* [C] and *Lactobacillus animalis*,[27,28] were reduced in *B. wexlere*-treated mice compared with control mice (Figure S6G). These data suggested that *B. wexlere*-induced AZA treatment failure might depend on increasing the amount of pathogenic bacteria in intestinal colitis mice.

DISCUSSION

The gut microorganism is a recognized player in host fitness,[29] modulating numerous bioactive molecules, and may affect many drugs' potency via different mechanisms.[9–14] We currently bridged the knowledge gap between the gut microbes and AZA efficacy by using different mouse models. Functional assay showed that AZA-mediated immunosuppressive function was weakened after transplantation of NR-patient-derived feces, but not R-patient-derived feces, into antibiotic-treated and germ-free intestinal colitis mice. We further demonstrated that *B. wexlerae* was involved in AZA therapy failure in patients with IBD via blocking the biotransformation of 6-MP and increasing the amount of Il1b⁺S100a8⁺ inflammatory macrophages by using a combination of genomic, bioinformatics, and biological approaches, *in vivo* models, and clinical studies (Figure 6I).

The gut microbiota has been identified as an essential factor in driving inflammation and the development of mucosal lesions in IBD,[30,31] so we first explored whether *B. luti* and *B. wexlerae* could promote inflammation in DSS-treated mice. Flow cytometry data showed that both *B. luti* and *B. wexlerae* could not aggravate colitis by decreasing the amount of CD8⁺ T cells in mice under DSS-treatment conditions. The data are consistent that *B. luti* and *B. wexlerae* could exert an anti-inflammatory effect in peripheral blood mononuclear cell cultures *in vitro*[32] and that oral administration of *B. wexlerae* to mice induced metabolic changes and anti-inflammatory effects.[33] The mechanism of *B. wexlerae* and *B. luti* inducing anti-inflammatory effects or decreasing the amount of CD8⁺ T cells still needs to be further explored. Furthermore, our findings indicated that while *B. wexlerae* did not promote DSS-induced colitis in mice, it impaired the efficacy of AZA in treating intestinal inflammation. In addition, *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* was also reported to product a 15-kDa protein with anti-inflammatory properties preventing colitis.[34] However, the acyl-CoA N-acyltransferases encoded by *F. prausnitzii* are involved in the metabolism of 5-aminosalicylic acid, diminishing its clinical efficacy in patients with IBD.[35] Therefore, the same bacteria may have different effects depending on the environmental conditions.

Gut microbiota has been reported to be involved in the metabolism of a range of drugs, especially orally administered, small-molecule drugs.[9,36] In some individual mice, up to 70% of the drug transformation can be ascribed to microbial metabolism,[13] further emphasizing that intestinal bacteria may be the second largest reservoir of metabolic enzymes besides the liver. Bacterial levodopa metabolism by tyrosine decarboxylases has been identified, dominantly driven by Enterococcus and Lactobacillus

Figure 6. *B. subtilis* supplementation reversed *B. wexlerae*-induced AZA therapeutic failure in intestinal colitis mouse model
(A) Schematic diagram of the DSS-induced acute colitis model with different treatments including AZA, *B. wexlerae*, and *B. subtilis* capsules. Control group (n = 5), AZA group (n = 5), (*B. wexlerae*+AZA) group (n = 5), and (*B. wexlerae*+AZA+*B. subtilis* capsule) group (n = 5) in DSS-induced colitis model.
(B) The alterations in mouse DAI score. n = 5/group, Student's t test. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.
(C) Gross morphology of the colon in different treatment groups of DSS-induced colitis mice.
(D) Mouse colonic sections were stained by hematoxylin and eosin. Original magnification, ×5 (top row) and ×20 (bottom row).
(E) The histology scores of colonic sections in C57BL/6 intestinal colitis mice. n = 5/group, Student's t test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.
(F) Representative images for each marker of Il1b⁺S100a8⁺ macrophages were shown in mice colon of different groups.
(G) Relative odds ratios (OR) across samples of inflammatory macrophage markers Il1b and S100a8 co-staining with CD68 macrophage cells versus total cells are shown. n = 5/group, Student's t test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.
(H) Relative abundance of 6-TX and 6-TIMP in feces of mice. n = 5/group, Student's t test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.
(I) Schematic diagram of the relationship among *B. wexlerae*, XDH, and AZA treatment failure in patients with IBD. AZA, azathioprine; 6-MP, 6-mercaptopurine; 6-TIMP, 6-thioinosine-monophosphate; 6-TX, 6-thioxanthine; 6-TGN, 6-thioguanine nucleotide; XDH, xanthine dehydrogenase; HPRT, hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase.

species, which is problematic because dopamine generated in the periphery cannot cross the blood-brain barrier and causes unwanted side effects.[37,38] Lysinibacillus sphaericus showed high arylesterase activity, which depicted aspirin degradation and reduced the chemopreventive effects of aspirin in mice.[39] Microbiome-derived acarbose kinases from the human gut and oral microbiome encode kinases that selectively phosphorylate acarbose, resulting in its inactivation.[40] In this case, our studies demonstrated that *B. wexlerae* significantly reduced the 6-TIMP level (the active form of AZA metabolism), increased the 6-TX level (the non-active form of AZA metabolism), and further compromised the IBD anti-inflammatory effect of AZA. The *B. wexlerae*-induced increase in XDH activity and the 6-TX level were significantly decreased by the XDH inhibitors in fecal bacteria of mice. Thus, we supposed that one of the reasons for AZA therapy failure in patients with IBD with a high amount of *B. wexlerae* might account for increasing this bacteria-derived sd-XDH activity and decreasing the biotransformation efficiency of 6-MP in intestinal lumen. Drug interaction between XDH inhibitors and AZA can result in clinically significant adverse events,[23] and combination therapy with low-dose AZA and allopurinol is still under research.[24] We hence used another probiotics *B. subtilis*, which codes HPRT, for *in vivo* validation. Supplementation of *B. subtilis* effectively increased the amount of 6-TIMP and successfully rescued *B. wexlerae*-induced AZA treatment failure *in vivo*. These findings demonstrated the important role of *B. wexlerae* in reducing AZA bioavailability and dampening the treatment efficiency of AZA in IBD.

It has been shown that immune cells exposed to specific gut microbes can infiltrate the tumor microenvironment and produce chemotactic factors affecting response to checkpoint inhibitors.[10] Since *B. wexlerae* has been validated to slightly alleviate colitis, we hypothesized that *B. wexlerae* might affect the composition of intestinal microbiota in colitis mice under AZA treatment. Metagenomic sequencing analysis showed that *B. wexlerae* treatment resulted in the accumulation of pathogenic bacteria, including *Oscillibacter*. It is becoming evident that an unbalanced gut microbial structure may trigger or promote inflammation in intestine.[41] It is plausible that the enhanced enrichment of pathogenic species by *B. wexlerae* might contribute to the activation of intestinal inflammation, including IL1B+S100A8+ inflammatory macrophages in IBD with AZA treatment failure. Besides the degrading effect of *B. wexlerae* on AZA, additional explorations are needed to evaluate the impact of intestinal inflammation activation by *B. wexlerae* in AZA treatment failure of patients with IBD in the future.

In addition to its biological importance, our data raised an important question: whether regular therapeutic regimens including AZA treatment are suitable for patients with IBD with a high amount of *B. wexlerae*. Since it is challenging to obtain tissue samples from different parts of the small intestine in clinical settings, this study had a limitation in investigating the distribution of AZA and *B. wexlerae* in the mucosal tissue of different segments of the human small intestine. Therefore, the impact of *B. wexlerae* on the effectiveness of AZA in patients with IBD needs to be reevaluated. While *B. wexlerae* may be considered a beneficial bacterium in other disease models, in our animal model, it was found to inhibit the therapeutic effects of AZA in mouse colitis. Further studies with a larger number of clinical samples are necessary to explore the role of *B. wexlerae* in inducing treatment failure of AZA in patients with IBD.

Limitations of the study

While this study discovered the impact of gut microbiota on the efficacy of AZA in patients with IBD and identified the key bacterium *B. wexlerae*, there are several limitations to consider. Firstly, *B. wexlerae* was screened from the fecal samples of patients with IBD, and although its presence was also found in the terminal ileum tissue, the exact location of *B. wexlerae* in the upper gastrointestinal tract of patients with IBD and its role in disrupting AZA efficacy cannot be determined due to limitations in sampling the proximal small intestine of patients with IBD. Additionally, although extensive animal and *in vitro* experiments validated the inhibitory effect of *B. wexlerae* on AZA biotransformation, this study does not provide sufficient evidence to lead to significant changes in clinical practice, such as predicting the response to AZA in patients with IBD based on microbial composition in different segments of the intestine. In conclusion, the limitations of this study suggest the need for further research in patients with IBD to elucidate the interaction between *B. wexlerae* and AZA biotransformation.

STAR★METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- RESOURCE AVAILABILITY
  - Lead contact
  - Materials availability
  - Data and code availability
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND STUDY PARTICIPANT DETAILS
  - Study design and clinical specimen collection
  - Bacterial strain and culture conditions
  - Animal experiments and treatments
- METHOD DETAILS
  - Clinical assessment
  - Animal experiments and treatments
  - Histology and immunohistochemical analysis
  - DNA extraction and target microbiota quantification
  - Semiquantitative RT-PCR and real-time PCR assays
  - Flow cytometric analysis
  - AZA, 6-MP, 6-TX, 6-MMP, 6-TIMP and 6-TGN detection
  - Xanthine dehydrogenase and hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1 activity assay
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
  - 16S rDNA gene sequencing and analysis
  - Single cell sequencing and analysis
  - Shotgun metagenomic sequencing and analysis
  - Statistical analysis

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.H. and Y.Y. conceived the study. Z.W., Z.C., Y.Y., Z.G., and L.N. enrolled patients and managed patient sample collection. Y.Y., Y. Zhou, Z.G., L.N., Y.Z., B.X., and M.H. conducted experiments and analyzed data. H.C. and Y.Y. performed independent statistical analyses and visualization. J.H. and Y.Y. wrote the original draft. J.H., H.C., and J.-Y.F. carried out review and editing. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. Mieli-Vergani, G., Vergani, D., Czaja, A.J., Manns, M.P., Krawitt, E.L., Vierling, J.M., Lohse, A.W., and Montano-Loza, A.J. (2018). Autoimmune hepatitis. Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers *4*, 18017. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2018.17.

2. Fanouriakis, A., Kostopoulou, M., Alunno, A., Aringer, M., Bajema, I., Boletis, J.N., Cervera, R., Doria, A., Gordon, C., Govoni, M., et al. (2019). 2019 update of the EULAR recommendations for the management of systemic lupus erythematosus. Ann. Rheum. Dis. *78*, 736–745. https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-215089.

3. Ng, S.C., Mak, J.W.Y., Pal, P., and Banerjee, R. (2020). Optimising management strategies of inflammatory bowel disease in resource-limited settings in Asia. Lancet. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. *5*, 1089–1100. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2468-1253(20)30298-3.

4. Mak, L.Y., Ng, S.C., Wong, I.O.L., Li, M.K.K., Lo, F.H., Wong, M.T.L., Leung, C.M., Tsang, S.W.C., Chan, K.H., Sze, S.F., et al. (2018). Direct health-care cost utilization in Hong Kong inflammatory bowel disease patients in the initial 2 years following diagnosis. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. *33*, 141–149. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgh.13817.

5. Fraser, A.G., Orchard, T.R., and Jewell, D.P. (2002). The efficacy of azathioprine for the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease: a 30 year review. Gut *50*, 485–489. https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.50.4.485.

6. Lucafò, M., Stocco, G., Martelossi, S., Favretto, D., Franca, R., Malusà, N., Lora, A., Bramuzzo, M., Naviglio, S., Cecchin, E., et al. (2019). Azathioprine biotransformation in young patients with inflammatory Bowel disease: contribution of glutathione-S transferase M1 and A1 variants. Genes *10*, 277. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes10040277.

7. Tremaroli, V., and Bäckhed, F. (2012). Functional interactions between the gut microbiota and host metabolism. Nature *489*, 242–249. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11552.

8. Nicholson, J.K., Holmes, E., Kinross, J., Burcelin, R., Gibson, G., Jia, W., and Pettersson, S. (2012). Host-gut microbiota metabolic interactions. Science *336*, 1262–1267. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1223813.

9. Lindell, A.E., Zimmermann-Kogadeeva, M., and Patil, K.R. (2022). Multimodal interactions of drugs, natural compounds and pollutants with the gut microbiota. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. *20*, 431–443. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-022-00681-5.

10. Weersma, R.K., Zhernakova, A., and Fu, J. (2020). Interaction between drugs and the gut microbiome. Gut *69*, 1510–1519. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2019-320204.

11. Zimmermann, M., Zimmermann-Kogadeeva, M., Wegmann, R., and Goodman, A.L. (2019). Mapping human microbiome drug metabolism by gut bacteria and their genes. Nature *570*, 462–467. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1291-3.

12. Javdan, B., Lopez, J.G., Chankhamjon, P., Lee, Y.C.J., Hull, R., Wu, Q., Wang, X., Chatterjee, S., and Donia, M.S. (2020). Personalized mapping of drug metabolism by the human gut microbiome. Cell *181*, 1661–1679.e22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.05.001.

13. Zimmermann, M., Zimmermann-Kogadeeva, M., Wegmann, R., and Goodman, A.L. (2019). Separating host and microbiome contributions to drug pharmacokinetics and toxicity. Science *363*, eaat9931. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat9931.

14. Klünemann, M., Andrejev, S., Blasche, S., Mateus, A., Phapale, P., Devendran, S., Vappiani, J., Simon, B., Scott, T.A., Kafkia, E., et al. (2021). Bioaccumulation of therapeutic drugs by human gut bacteria. Nature *597*, 533–538. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03891-8.

15. Peyrin-Biroulet, L., Sandborn, W., Sands, B.E., Reinisch, W., Bemelman, W., Bryant, R.V., D'Haens, G., Dotan, I., Dubinsky, M., Feagan, B., et al. (2015). Selecting therapeutic targets in inflammatory Bowel disease (STRIDE): determining therapeutic goals for treat-to-target. Am. J. Gastroenterol. *110*, 1324–1338. https://doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2015.233.

16. Erttmann, S.F., Swacha, P., Aung, K.M., Brindefalk, B., Jiang, H., Härtlova, A., Uhlin, B.E., Wai, S.N., and Gekara, N.O. (2022). The gut microbiota prime systemic antiviral immunity via the cGAS-STING-IFN-I axis. Immunity *55*, 847–861.e10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2022.04.006.

17. Lynn, M.A., Tumes, D.J., Choo, J.M., Sribnaia, A., Blake, S.J., Leong, L.E.X., Young, G.P., Marshall, H.S., Wesselingh, S.L., Rogers, G.B., and Lynn, D.J. (2018). Early-life antibiotic-driven dysbiosis leads to dysregulated vaccine immune responses in mice. Cell Host Microbe *23*, 653–660.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2018.04.009.

18. Chang, J.T. (2020). Pathophysiology of inflammatory Bowel diseases. N. Engl. J. Med. *383*, 2652–2664. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra2002697.

19. Xavier, R.J., and Podolsky, D.K. (2007). Unravelling the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. Nature *448*, 427–434. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06005.

20. Huang, B., Chen, Z., Geng, L., Wang, J., Liang, H., Cao, Y., Chen, H., Huang, W., Su, M., Wang, H., et al. (2019). Mucosal profiling of pediatric-onset colitis and IBD reveals common pathogenics and therapeutic pathways. Cell *179*, 1160–1176.e24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.10.027.

21. Derijks, L.J.J., Gilissen, L.P.L., Hooymans, P.M., and Hommes, D.W. (2006). Review article: thiopurines in inflammatory bowel disease. Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther. *24*, 715–729. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2036.2006.02980.x.

22. Zhang, W., Modén, O., and Mannervik, B. (2010). Differences among allelic variants of human glutathione transferase A2-2 in the activation of azathioprine. Chem. Biol. Interact. *186*, 110–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2010.04.028.

23. Logan, J.K., Wickramaratne Senarath Yapa, S., Harinstein, L., Saluja, B., Muñoz, M., Sahajwalla, C., Neuner, R., and Seymour, S. (2020). Drug

interaction between febuxostat and thiopurine antimetabolites: a review of the FDA adverse event reporting system and medical literature. Pharmacotherapy 40, 125–132. https://doi.org/10.1002/phar.2362.

24. Kiszka-Kanowitz, M., Theede, K., Thomsen, S.B., Bjerrum, J.T., Brynskov, J., Gottschalck, I.B., Akimenko, E., Hilsted, K.L., Neumann, A., Wildt, S., et al. (2022). Low-dose azathioprine and allopurinol versus azathioprine monotherapy in patients with ulcerative colitis (AAUC): An investigator-initiated, open, multicenter, parallel-arm, randomised controlled trial. EClinicalMedicine 45, 101332. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101332.

25. Christiansen, L.C., Schou, S., Nygaard, P., and Saxild, H.H. (1997). Xanthine metabolism in Bacillus subtilis: characterization of the xpt-pbuX operon and evidence for purine- and nitrogen-controlled expression of genes involved in xanthine salvage and catabolism. J. Bacteriol. 179, 2540–2550. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.179.8.2540-2550.1997.

26. Kim, Y., Hwang, S.W., Kim, S., Lee, Y.S., Kim, T.Y., Lee, S.H., Kim, S.J., Yoo, H.J., Kim, E.N., and Kweon, M.N. (2020). Dietary cellulose prevents gut inflammation by modulating lipid metabolism and gut microbiota. Gut Microb. 11, 944–961. https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2020.1730149.

27. Wang, Y., Guo, Y., Chen, H., Wei, H., and Wan, C. (2018). Potential of Lactobacillus plantarum ZDY2013 and Bifidobacterium bifidum WBIN03 in relieving colitis by gut microbiota, immune, and anti-oxidative stress. Can. J. Microbiol. 64, 327–337. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjm-2017-0716.

28. Chemmugil, P., Lakshmi, P.T.V., and Annamalai, A. (2019). Exploring Morin as an anti-quorum sensing agent (anti-QSA) against resistant strains of Staphylococcus aureus. Microb. Pathog. 127, 304–315. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2018.12.007.

29. Eberl, G. (2010). A new vision of immunity: homeostasis of the superorganism. Mucosal Immunol. 3, 450–460. https://doi.org/10.1038/mi.2010.20.

30. Ni, J., Wu, G.D., Albenberg, L., and Tomov, V.T. (2017). Gut microbiota and IBD: causation or correlation? Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 14, 573–584. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2017.88.

31. Manichanh, C., Borruel, N., Casellas, F., and Guarner, F. (2012). The gut microbiota in IBD. Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 9, 599–608. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2012.152.

32. Benitez-Paez, A., Gomez Del Pugar, E.M., Lopez-Almela, I., Moya-Perez, A., Codoner-Franch, P., and Sanz, Y. (2020). Depletion of Blautia species in the microbiota of obese children relates to intestinal inflammation and metabolic phenotype worsening. mSystems 5. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00857-19.

33. Hosomi, K., Saito, M., Park, J., Murakami, H., Shibata, N., Ando, M., Nagatake, T., Konishi, K., Ohno, H., Tanisawa, K., et al. (2022). Oral administration of Blautia wexlerae ameliorates obesity and type 2 diabetes via metabolic remodeling of the gut microbiota. Nat. Commun. 13, 4477. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32015-7.

34. Quévrain, E., Maubert, M.A., Michon, C., Chain, F., Marquant, R., Tailhades, J., Miquel, S., Carlier, L., Bermúdez-Humarán, L.G., Pigneur, B., et al. (2016). Identification of an anti-inflammatory protein from Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, a commensal bacterium deficient in Crohn's disease. Gut 65, 415–425. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2014-307649.

35. Mehta, R.S., Mayers, J.R., Zhang, Y., Bhosle, A., Glasser, N.R., Nguyen, L.H., Ma, W., Bae, S., Branck, T., Song, K., et al. (2023). Gut microbial metabolism of 5-ASA diminishes its clinical efficacy in inflammatory bowel disease. Nat. Med. 29, 700–709. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-023-02217-7.

36. Oancea, I., Movva, R., Das, I., Aguirre de Cárcer, D., Schreiber, V., Yang, Y., Purdon, A., Harrington, B., Proctor, M., Wang, R., et al. (2017). Colonic microbiota can promote rapid local improvement of murine colitis by thioguanine independently of T lymphocytes and host metabolism. Gut 66, 59–69. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2015-310874.

37. Maini Rekdal, V., Bess, E.N., Bisanz, J.E., Turnbaugh, P.J., and Balskus, E.P. (2019). Discovery and inhibition of an interspecies gut bacterial pathway for Levodopa metabolism. Science 364, eaau6323. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau6323.

38. van Kessel, S.P., Frye, A.K., El-Gendy, A.O., Castejon, M., Keshavarzian, A., van Dijk, G., and El Aidy, S. (2019). Gut bacterial tyrosine decarboxylases restrict levels of levodopa in the treatment of Parkinson's disease. Nat. Commun. 10, 310. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08294-y.

39. Zhao, R., Coker, O.O., Wu, J., Zhou, Y., Zhao, L., Nakatsu, G., Bian, X., Wei, H., Chan, A.W.H., Sung, J.J.Y., et al. (2020). Aspirin reduces colorectal tumor development in mice and gut microbes reduce its bioavailability and chemopreventive effects. Gastroenterology 159, 969–983.e4. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2020.05.004.

40. Balaich, J., Estrella, M., Wu, G., Jeffrey, P.D., Biswas, A., Zhao, L., Korennykh, A., and Donia, M.S. (2021). The human microbiome encodes resistance to the antidiabetic drug acarbose. Nature 600, 110–115. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04091-0.

41. Caruso, R., Mathes, T., Martens, E.C., Kamada, N., Nusrat, A., Inohara, N., and Núñez, G. (2019). A specific gene-microbe interaction drives the development of Crohn's disease-like colitis in mice. Sci. Immunol. 4, eaaw4341.

42. Hall, A.B., Yassour, M., Sauk, J., Garner, A., Jiang, X., Arthur, T., Lagoudas, G.K., Vatanen, T., Fornelos, N., Wilson, R., et al. (2017). A novel Ruminococcus gnavus clade enriched in inflammatory bowel disease patients. Genome Med. 9, 103. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-017-0490-5.

43. Touyama, M., Jin, J.S., Kibe, R., Hayashi, H., and Benno, Y. (2015). Quantification of Blautia wexlerae and Blautia luti in human faeces by real-time PCR using specific primers. Benef. Microbes 6, 583–590. https://doi.org/10.3920/BM2014.0133.

44. Lee, G., Heo, S., Kim, T., Na, H.E., Park, J., Lee, E., Lee, J.H., and Jeong, D.W. (2022). Discrimination of Bacillus subtilis from other Bacillus species using specific oligonucleotide primers for the pyruvate carboxylase and shikimate dehydrogenase genes. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 32, 1011–1016. https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.2205.05014.

45. Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., and Mahé, F. (2016). VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics. PeerJ 4, e2584. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2584.

46. Wolf, F.A., Angerer, P., and Theis, F.J. (2018). SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene expression data analysis. Genome Biol. 19, 15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-017-1382-0.

47. Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., and Gu, J. (2018). fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. Bioinformatics 34, i884–i890. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560.

48. Pasolli, E., Schiffer, L., Manghi, P., Renson, A., Obenchain, V., Truong, D.T., Beghini, F., Malik, F., Ramos, M., Dowd, J.B., et al. (2017). Accessible, curated metagenomic data through ExperimentHub. Nat. Methods 14, 1023–1024. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4468.

49. Milanese, A., Mende, D.R., Paoli, L., Salazar, G., Ruscheweyh, H.J., Cuenca, M., Hingamp, P., Alves, R., Costea, P.I., Coelho, L.P., et al. (2019). Microbial abundance, activity and population genomic profiling with mOTUs2. Nat. Commun. 10, 1014. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08844-4.

50. Inflammatory Bowel Disease Group, Chinese Society of Gastroenterology, Chinese Medical Association (2021). Chinese consensus on diagnosis and treatment in inflammatory bowel disease (2018, Beijing). J. Dig. Dis. 22, 298–317. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-2980.12994.

51. Rutgeerts, P., Sandborn, W.J., Feagan, B.G., Reinisch, W., Olson, A., Johanns, J., Travers, S., Rachmilewitz, D., Hanauer, S.B., Lichtenstein, G.R., et al. (2005). Infliximab for induction and maintenance therapy for ulcerative colitis. N. Engl. J. Med. 353, 2462–2476. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa050516.

52. Gomollón, F., Dignass, A., Annese, V., Tilg, H., Van Assche, G., Lindsay, J.O., Peyrin-Biroulet, L., Cullen, G.J., Daperno, M., Kucharzik, T., et al. (2017). 3rd European evidence-based consensus on the diagnosis and management of Crohn's disease 2016: part 1: diagnosis and medical management. J. Crohns Colitis 11, 3–25. https://doi.org/10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjw168.

53. Cui, M., Fang, Z., Song, M., Zhou, T., Wang, Y., and Liu, K. (2022). Phragmites rhizoma polysaccharide-based nanocarriers for synergistic treatment of ulcerative colitis. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. *220*, 22–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2022.07.245.

54. Gassmann, A.E., and van Furth, R. (1975). The effect of azathioprine (Imuran) on the kinetics of monocytes and macrophages during the normal steady state and an acute inflammatory reaction. Blood *46*, 51–64. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.V46.1.51.51.

55. Cooper, H.S., Murthy, S.N., Shah, R.S., and Sedergran, D.J. (1993). Clinicopathologic study of dextran sulfate sodium experimental murine colitis. Lab. Invest. *69*, 238–249.

56. Ai, L., Ren, Y., Zhu, M., Lu, S., Qian, Y., Chen, Z., and Xu, A. (2021). Synbindin restrains proinflammatory macrophage activation against microbiota and mucosal inflammation during colitis. Gut *70*, 2261–2272. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2020-321094.

57. Wirtz, S., Neufert, C., Weigmann, B., and Neurath, M.F. (2007). Chemically induced mouse models of intestinal inflammation. Nat. Protoc. *2*, 541–546. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2007.41.

58. Pernigoni, N., Zagato, E., Calcinotto, A., Troiani, M., Mestre, R.P., Calì, B., Attanasio, G., Troisi, J., Minini, M., Mosole, S., et al. (2021). Commensal bacteria promote endocrine resistance in prostate cancer through androgen biosynthesis. Science *374*, 216–224. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abf8403.

59. Zhang, G., Wang, Q., Tao, W., Jiang, W., Elinav, E., Wang, Y., and Zhu, S. (2022). Glucosylated nanoparticles for the oral delivery of antibiotics to the proximal small intestine protect mice from gut dysbiosis. Nat. Biomed. Eng. *6*, 867–881. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-022-00903-4.

60. Erben, U., Loddenkemper, C., Doerfel, K., Spieckermann, S., Haller, D., Heimesaat, M.M., Zeitz, M., Siegmund, B., and Kühl, A.A. (2014). A guide to histomorphological evaluation of intestinal inflammation in mouse models. Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol. *7*, 4557–4576.

61. Vétizou, M., Pitt, J.M., Daillère, R., Lepage, P., Waldschmitt, N., Flament, C., Rusakiewicz, S., Routy, B., Roberti, M.P., Duong, C.P.M., et al. (2015). Anticancer immunotherapy by CTLA-4 blockade relies on the gut microbiota. Science *350*, 1079–1084. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad1329.

62. Llorente, C., Jepsen, P., Inamine, T., Wang, L., Bluemel, S., Wang, H.J., Loomba, R., Bajaj, J.S., Schubert, M.L., Sikaroodi, M., et al. (2017). Gastric acid suppression promotes alcoholic liver disease by inducing overgrowth of intestinal Enterococcus. Nat. Commun. *8*, 837. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00796-x.

63. Baris, O.R., Ederer, S., Neuhaus, J.F.G., von Kleist-Retzow, J.C., Wunderlich, C.M., Pal, M., Wunderlich, F.T., Peeva, V., Zsurka, G., Kunz, W.S., et al. (2015). Mosaic deficiency in mitochondrial oxidative metabolism promotes cardiac arrhythmia during aging. Cell Metab. *21*, 667–677. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2015.04.005.

STAR★METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Ms CD45 APC-Cy7 30-F11	BD Pharmingen	Cat# 557659; RRID:AB_396774
Ms CD3e PerCP-Cy5.5 145-2C11	BD Pharmingen	Cat# 551163; RRID: AB_394082
Ms CD4 FITC RM4-5	BD Pharmingen	Cat# 553046; RRID: AB_394582
Ms CD8a PE 53-6.7	BD Pharmingen	Cat# 553032; RRID: AB_394570
Ms Ly-6C BV605 AL-21	BD Pharmingen	Cat# 563011; RRID: AB_2737949
ANTI-MO CD11B M1/70 EF450	Thermo	Cat# 48-0112-82; RRID: AB_1582236
Ms Ly-6G/Ly-6C APC RB6-8C5	BD Pharmingen	Cat# 553129; RRID: AB_398532
Ms F4/80 APC-R700 T45-2342	BD Pharmingen	Cat# 565787; RRID: AB_2869711
Brilliant Violet 785 anti-mouse Ly-6G	BioLegend	Cat# 127645; RRID: AB_2566317
Fixable Viability Stain 510	BD Pharmingen	Cat# 564406; RRID: AB_2869572
CD68 (E3O7V) Rabbit mAb	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat# 97778; RRID: AB_2928056
Anti-IL-1 beta antibody	Abcam	Cat# ab9722; RRID:AB_308765
Anti-S100A8 + S100A9 antibody	Abcam	Cat# ab17050; RRID: AB_443607
Bacterial and virus strains		
Blautia wexlerae	ATCC	14507
Blautia luti	DSM	14534
Biological samples		
Human stool specimens	Renji Hospital affiliated to the School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University	2021-skt-004
Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins		
DSS	MP	216011090
Vancomycin	Meilunbio	MB1260
Ampicillin	Meilunbio	MB1507
Neomycin	Meilunbio	MB1716
Metronidazole	Meilunbio	MB2200
Critical commercial assays		
Mouse CD45 Positive Selection Kit	StemCell	#18945
Xanthine Oxidase (XOD) activity detection Kit	Solarbio	BC1095
QIAamp DNA FFPE Tissue Kit	QIAGEN	56404
QIAamp PowerFecal Pro DNA Kit	QIAGEN	51804
Four-color multiplex fluorescent immunohistochemical staining kit	Absin	abs50028
Deposited data		
16S rDNA sequencing data	This paper	China National Center for Bioinformation/Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Accession Number: PRJCA015633)
scRNA-seq data	This paper	*Zenodo* (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7754718, https://zenodo.org/record/7754718#.ZBIHz1IBz9s; https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7754741, https://zenodo.org/record/7754741#.ZBIJ0VIBz9s)
Metagenome sequencing raw data of longitudinal stool study of patients with IBD and controls	Hall et al.[42]	SRA database: PRJNA385949

(Continued on next page)

Continued

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Experimental models: Organisms/strains		
C57BL/6J mice	Shanghai Jihui Laboratory Animal Care	N/A
Oligonucleotides		
Bacterial universal 16S rDNA gene primers: F: 5′-GGTGAATACGTTCCCGG-3′ R: 5′-TACGGCTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3′	This paper	N/A
Primers for *Blautia wexlerae/Blautia luti*: F: 5′- GCATAAGCGCACAGAGCT-3′ R: 5′- CACATCAGACTTGCCACA-3′	Touyama et al.[43]	N/A
Primer for mouse β-actin, Tnf-α, Ifn-γ, Il-1b, Il-17, Il-6. More details see Table S6.	Oancea et al.[36]	N/A
Primer for *Blautia wexlerae*, *Blautia luti* and mouse Cd4, Cd8a, Ptprc, Cd19, Sdc1, Tnfrsf17, Ly6c, Ly6g, Itgax, Ncam1, Itga2. More details see Table S6.	This paper	N/A
Primer for pycA. More details see Table S6.	Lee et al.[44]	N/A
Software and algorithms		
Prism V9.0	Graph Pad	https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/
FlowJo	FlowJo LLChttps	https://www.flowjo.com/
R v4.1.2	R	https://www.r-project.org/
VSEARCH v2.15.0	Rognes et al.[45]	https://github.com/torognes/vsearch
Scanpy Package v1.8.1	Wolf et al.[46]	https://github.com/theislab/Scanpy
fastp 0.23.2	Chen et al.[47]	https://github.com/OpenGene/fastp
curatedMetagenomicData v3.6.2	Pasolli et al.[48]	https://github.com/waldronlab/curatedMetagenomicData
mOTUs v2.0.1	Milanese et al.[49]	https://github.com/motu-tool/mOTUs

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Jie Hong, PhD (jiehong97@sjtu.edu.cn).

Materials availability
All reagents generated in this study are available from the lead contact with a completed Materials Transfer Agreement.

Data and code availability
- Data: 16S rDNA sequencing and scRNA sequencing data generated during this study are available at the China National Center for Bioinformation/Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (https://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn): PRJCA015633, PRJCA018136. The metagenome sequencing raw data of longitudinal stool study of patients with IBD and controls are available at the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database: PRJNA385949.
- Code: This study does not report original code.
- General Statement: Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this work paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND STUDY PARTICIPANT DETAILS

Study design and clinical specimen collection
A total of 227 IBD patients receiving AZA treatment were divided into 4 cohorts. Their basic information was collected before AZA treatment (Tables S1, S3 and S4). In Cohort 1 (n = 49), fecal samples from all the enrolled patients were obtained before standard

AZA treatment and 16S rDNA sequencing was conducted on their feces samples for the identification of AZA-treatment-response-related microbe. In Cohort 2 (n = 91), colonoscopy paraffin-embedded mucosa was collected from newly diagnosed patients with IBD before AZA treatment. The 72-month follow-up results in Cohort 2 were acquired for external validation and cutoff value determination. Single-cell RNA sequencing was performed in 7 IBD patients in Cohort 3 in order to illuminate the relationship between immune microenvironment and the response to AZA treatment. The origins of Cohort 1–3 were identified in a summary table (Table S5).

Bacterial strain and culture conditions

Blautia wexlerae (ATCC 14507) and *Blautia luti* (DSM 14534) were cultured at 37°C under anaerobic conditions (DG 250, Don Whitley Scientific, West Yorkshire, UK) in Tryptic Soy Broth supplemented with Yeast Extract, L-cysteine hydrochloride, Hemin Stock, and Vitamin K1 Stock.

Animal experiments and treatments

Male C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Shanghai Jihui Laboratory Animal Care Co.Ltd. All mice were housed and reared at the animal center of Renji Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine in specific pathogen free (SPF) conditions.

To enhance *B. wexlerae* and *B. luti* species colonization, 5-week-old mice were administered with a cocktail of vancomycin (0.5 g/L, MB1260, Meilunbio, Dalian, China), ampicillin (1 g/L, MB1507, Meilunbio, Dalian, China), neomycin (1 g/L, MB1716, Meilunbio, Dalian, China), and metronidazole (1 g/L, MB2200, Meilunbio, Dalian, China) 7 days before peroral bacterial inoculations. Afterward, the mice were gavaged with bacterial inoculations twice a week for 2 weeks. *B. wexlerae* and *B. luti* colonization in fecal were examined regularly. Heat-killed *B. wexlerae* was sterilized by high pressure steam (120°C at 30MPa, maintained for 30 min). *B. subtilis* capsule was administered intragastrically according to the instruction (60 mg/kg or 6×10^6 CFU/kg). Two weeks after inoculation, mice were randomly divided into indicated groups for sets of experiments. The mice were then treated differently according to the purpose.

Additional methods are provided in online supplemental information.

METHOD DETAILS

Clinical assessment

Every participant has received standard therapy including 7-day induction therapy and the subsequent maintenance therapy in accordance with Chinese expert consensus.[50] During the induction therapy, patients were treated with 40 mg/day methylprednisolone intravenously. Patients diagnosed with UC or CD followed our inclusion and exclusion criteria were recruited in Renji Hospital affiliated to the School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University from 2012 to 2022. The patient cohorts were approved by the ethics committee of Renji Hospital affiliated to the School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, and the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of 2021-skt-004.

Inclusion criteria
  (1) Participants who have been newly diagnosed with IBD
  (2) Participants who have received standard therapy including steroid induction remission and AZA maintenance therapy.
  (3) Participants who have given written informed consent and willingly cooperate with the collection of biological samples as well as basic and clinical information.

Exclusion criteria
  (1) Participants with cancer history.
  (2) Participants with TPMT or NUDT mutation.
  (3) Participants who could not tolerate AZA due to side effects.
  (4) Participants who have taken antibiotics or probiotics within 3 months before clinical sample collection.

Patients were assessed for outcomes based on histologic findings, clinical and endoscopic examination 6 months after the initial AZA administration.[15] Clinical response of UC was defined as a decrease in Mayo score of at least 3 points and at least 30 percent, with an accompanying decrease in the subscore for rectal bleeding of at least 1 point or an absolute subscore for rectal bleeding of 0 or 1.[51] Clinical response of CD was defined as a decrease in Crohn's Disease Activity Index (CDAI) $\geq$ 100 points.[52]

Animal experiments and treatments

For Dextran sodium sulfate (DSS)-induced acute colitis model, mice were administrated with 3.5% DSS (molecular weight (MW), 36,000–50,000 Da; MP Biomedicals, LLC, Solon, OH) in drinking water for 6 days and DSS-free water for the next 2 days. Mice were intragastrically gavaged daily with either vehicle control or AZA (1.5 mg/kg, MW, 277.26 Da; MB1758, Meilunbio, Dalian, China) drugs for periods between 21 and 30 days.[53,54] The clinical signs of disease activity index (DAI) were scored daily, including of body weight, diarrhea, and bloody stools.[55,56]

For 2,4,6-trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS)-induced acute colitis model, mice were treated by a previous protocol.[57] Acetone and olive oil was mixed in a 4:1 volume ratio by rigorous vertexing, and 1 volume of 5% (w/v) TNBS (p2297, Sigma, USA) solution was mixed with these 4 volumes of acetone/olive oil by vertexing rigorously to make TNBS pre-sensitization solution. Mice were immunized with 150 μL of the TNBS presensitization solution on the shaved abdominal skin of mice. After one week, 100 μL of 2.5% (w/v) TNBS (diluted in 50% ethanol) was administered intrarectally through a catheter inserted into the colon 4 cm proximal to the anus. The clinical signs were monitored daily. Colon histology were examined until day 4 after TNBS treatment.

For DSS-induced chronic colitis model, DSS was administered in the drinking water chronically for three cycles (2.5% DSS for 7 days on, followed by 14 days off; 3% DSS for 7 days on, followed by 14 days off; 3.5% DSS for 7 days on, followed by 7 days off). Meanwhile, we intragastrically gavaged mice daily with either vehicle control or AZA (1.5 mg/kg) for periods between 42 and 77 days.[36,52] The clinical signs of DAI were scored as described above.

Fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) was performed according to a reported protocol.[58,59] Briefly, feces containing bacteria was mixed and centrifuged at 3200g. We resuspended the pellet containing bacteria in Columbia broth (BD Difco, USA) 25% glycerol pre-incubated in anaerobic atmosphere. Bacterial suspensions were aliquoted and frozen at −80°C in cryo-vials (Cat.430488, Corning, USA). For human FMT administration, frozen aliquots were thawed on ice, centrifuged at 3200g, and resuspended in sterile PBS pre-incubated in anaerobic atmosphere.

For the plasma level of AZA and its downstream metabolites examination model, Mice were intragastrically gavaged daily with either vehicle control or AZA drugs (1.5 mg/kg) and the plasma and cecal contents were collected at 1 h.

The animal experiments were approved by the ethics committee of Renji Hospital affiliated to the School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, and the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of 21-E−05.

Histology and immunohistochemical analysis

Mouse colon tissues were fixed in 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin, and cut into 4-μm sections. Hematoxylin and eosin staining was performed according to the standard protocol. Then histological assessment was performed blinded to the mouse genotypes based on inflammatory cell infiltrate and intestinal architecture, as previously described.[60]

For immunohistochemical staining, endogenous peroxidase of the paraffin-embedded tissue sections was blocked by all-purpose antigen retrieval solution and non-specific binding was blocked by 10% goat serum albumin blocking buffer. The slides were incubated with primary antibodies for 1h, followed by incubation with the secondary antibodies. The color development was performed using a Four-color multiple fluorescent immunohistochemical staining kit (Absin, Shanghai, China) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Slides were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), stained with 2-(4- amidinophenyl)-1H-indole-6-carbox-amidine (DAPI) and immunofluorescence images were obtained using Olympus Fluorescence Microscopy.

All histological scorings and quantifications were performed in a blinded fashion.

DNA extraction and target microbiota quantification

Genomic DNAs from fecal were extracted using QIAamp PowerFecal DNA Kit (Qiagen, GmbH, Hilden, Germany). Genomic DNAs from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue were extracted using QIAamp DNA FFPE Tissue Kit (Qiagen, GmbH, Hilden, Germany). Real-time PCR was performed to detect *B.wexlerae/B.luti*, *B.wexlerae*, *B.luti* and *B.subtilis* species using StepOnePlus real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Results are represented as $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$, normalized to 16S rDNA and to NR group.[61,62] Each reaction contained 500 ng of DNA and was assayed in triplicate.

Semiquantitative RT-PCR and real-time PCR assays

Total RNA from cells and colon tissues was isolated with Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). NanoVue spectrophotometer (GE Healthcare) was used to assess the quantity and quality. mRNA was subjected to reverse transcription with 5′All-In-One RT MasterMix kit (TaKaRa). For quantitative analysis of mRNA expression, real-time PCR was performed using StepOnePlus real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). β-actin was used as an internal control for mRNA expression. $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method was used to quantify the relative expression levels. The primers used for the real-time PCR analysis were summarized in Table S6.

Flow cytometric analysis

Single cells were isolated from colon mucosa and cell suspensions were stained. Fixable viability dye and surface markers was stained using fluorescently labeled antibodies for 30 min at 4°C. Flow cytometer data were acquired on FACS Celesta (BD Biosciences, Schwechat, Austria) and analyzed with FlowJo software (FlowJo, LLC, Ashland, OR).

AZA, 6-MP, 6-TX, 6-MMP, 6-TIMP and 6-TGN detection

The bacterial culture supernatant was deposited with 50% methanol and the supernatant was sucked out and tested after centrifugation. The plasma was prepared by methanol and acetonitrile (1:1). After centrifugation, the supernatant was concentrated and centrifuged, and redissolved in 10% methanol to be tested. The levels of AZA, 6-MP, 6-TX, 6-MMP, 6-TIMP and 6-TGN from bacterial culture supernatant, plasma and gut luminal contents were determined by Vanquish ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography-quadrupole (UHPLC/Q) Exactive plus.

Xanthine dehydrogenase and hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1 activity assay

Xanthine Dehydrogenase (XDH) and hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1 (HPRT1), depicting the metabolism of AZA, in bacterial culture medium was determined by a commercial XDH activity assay kit (SEC608Mu, Cloud-Clone, Wuhan, China) and HPRT1 ELISA kit (SEA717Hu, Cloud-Clone, Wuhan, China). Briefly, the bacterial culture medium was centrifugated (12, 000 r/min) for 2min, and the supernation was detected as described in the kit instruction.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

16S rDNA gene sequencing and analysis

Total microbial genomic DNA was extracted from fecal samples using the E.Z.N.A. soil DNA Kit (Omega Bio-tek, Norcross, GA, U.S.) according to manufacturer's instructions. The DNA were then determined by 1.0% agarose gel electrophoresis and a NanoDrop ND-2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific Inc., USA) for quality and concentration. Prior to further use, the DNA were kept at $-80°C$. The hypervariable region V3-V4 of the bacterial 16S rDNA gene were amplified with primer pairs 338F (5′-ACTCCTACGG GAGGCAGCAG-3′) and 806R(5′-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3′) by an ABI GeneAmp 9700 PCR thermocycler (ABI, CA, USA). PCR amplification cycling conditions were performed according to manufacturer's instructions. All samples were amplified in triplicate. The PCR product was extracted from 2% agarose gel and purified using the AxyPrep DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Axygen Biosciences, Union City, CA, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions and quantified using Quantus Fluorometer (Promega, USA). Purified amplicons were pooled in equimolar amounts and paired-end sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq PE300 platform/NovaSeq PE250 platform (Illumina, San Diego,USA) according to the standard protocols by NEO-bio Bio-technology Co.Ltd.

The open-source software VSEARCH v2.15.0 was used for initial bioinformatic analysis of the sequencing data.[45] Sequences were trimmed and those with ambiguous bases were discarded. Suspected chimeric sequences were identified using VSEARCH and removed. Phylogenetic identification of each OTU was achieved by aligning sequences to the alignment database rdp_16s_v16_sp (https://www.drive5.com/sintax/rdp_16s_v16_sp.fa.gz). OTU values generated by VSEARCH were further analyzed using R-studio (phyloseq).

Single cell sequencing and analysis

The samples were dissociated to single-cell suspensions and the libraries were generated on a 10x Genomics platform using Chromium Single Cell 3′ library and gel bead kit v3. The single-cell libraries were sequenced on the NovaSeq 6000 System (Illumina) at NEO-bio Bio-technology Co.Ltd with 150 bp paired-end sequencing of reads. Scanpy Package v1.4.4 was used for downstream data analysis. Cells with more than 200 genes, and genes detected in more than 3 cells were retained for further analysis. After quality control, we obtained datasets containing a total of 21,721 cells with 19,252 genes in mice tissues and 32,425 cells with 33,538 genes in IBD patient mucosa. Harmony was used to adjust PCs by sample ID and used to generate the neighborhood graph and embedded using UMAP. In mice samples, clustering was performed using the louvain algorithm with an initial resolution of 0.3. In IBD patient tissues, clustering was performed using the leiden algorithm with an initial resolution of 0.2. For initial clustering, differentially expressed genes were calculated using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test (via 'tl.rank_genes_groups' in scanpy).

Shotgun metagenomic sequencing and analysis

The Illumina Nova-seq 6000 paired-end shotgun sequencing was used. Raw data was filtered by fastp with default parameters followed by the quantification of microbial composition based on marker gene based operational taxonomic units (mOTUs, https://github.com/motu-tool/mOTUs).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using the program R (www.r-project.org). Experiments were performed at least in triplicate and all of the data were showed as mean ± SD or mean ± SEM in this study. Data were examined to determine whether they were normally distributed with the One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. If the data were normally distributed, comparisons of measurement data between two groups were performed using independent sample t test and the comparisons among three or more groups were first performed by one-way ANOVA test. If the results showed significant difference, when the data were skewed distribution, comparisons were performed by nonparametric test. To account for the small sample sizes in certain groups (n = 3–5), we were unable to assess normality of the distribution for all samples. As a result, statistical analysis was performed under the assumption that the data were normally distributed.[63] Statistical tests were two-tailed and a p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Supplemental information

Commensal bacteria promote azathioprine therapy

failure in inflammatory bowel disease

via decreasing 6-mercaptopurine bioavailability

Yuqing Yan, Zhenhua Wang, Yi-Lu Zhou, Ziyun Gao, Lijun Ning, Ying Zhao, Baoqin Xuan, Yanru Ma, Tianying Tong, Xiaowen Huang, Muni Hu, Jing-Yuan Fang, Zhe Cui, Haoyan Chen, and Jie Hong

Supplementary Figure 1

A

B Conventional DSS-treated mice

C Conventional TNBS-treated mice

D Germ-free DSS-treated mice

Figure S1. Gut microbes were closely associated with therapeutic failure of azathioprine (AZA) in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases. Related to Figure 1.

(**A**) The length of colon of colonic sections in conventional DSS-induced colitis, n=6/group, nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**B**) The length of colon of colonic sections in conventional TNBS-induced colitis, n=6/group, nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**C**) The length of colon of colonic sections in germ-free DSS-induced colitis, n=6/group, nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

Supplementary Figure 2

Figure S2. *Blautia* bacteria could predict the effect of Azathioprine (AZA) therapy in IBD patients. Related to Figure 2.

(**A**) Top 10 strains were blasted to the sequence of *B.wexlerae/B.luti* in national library of medicine database.

(**B-C**) The amount of *B.wexlerae* (**B**) and *B.luti* (**C**) species in the feces of IBD patients of Cohort 1, R, n=27; NR, n=22, nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

(**D**) The amount of *B.wexlerae/B.luti* species in the illum tissue of IBD patients in Cohort 1, R, n=25; NR, n=20, nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

(**E-F**) The amount of *B.wexlerae* (**E**) and *B.luti* (**F**) in the illum tissue of IBD patients in Cohort 1, R, n=25; NR, n=20, nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

(**G-H**) The amount of *B.wexlerae* (**G**) and *B.luti* (**H**) in the colonoscopy paraffin-embedded mucosa of IBD patients in Cohort 2, R, n=57; NR, n=34, nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

(**I-J**) The amount of *B.wexlerae* (**I**) and *B.luti* (**J**) species in the colonoscopy paraffin-embedded mucosa of UC (R, n=36; NR, n=23) and CD (R, n=21; NR, n=11) patients in Cohort 2, nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

(**K**) Univariate analysis was performed in Cohort 2. The bars correspond to 95% confidence intervals.

Supplementray Figure 3

Figure S3. *B.wexlerae* reduced the therapeutic effect of AZA in a dextran sulfate sodium–induced acute colitis model. Related to Figure 3.

(**A**) Schematic diagram of the DSS-induced acute colitis model treated with *B.wexlerae* and *B.luti* in C57BL/6 mice, control group (n=10), DSS group (n=10), (DSS+ *B.luti*) group (n=9), (DSS+ *B.wexlerae*) group (n=10).

(**B-C**) The relative abundance of *B.luti* (**B**) and *B.wexlerae* (**C**) in feces of *B.luti* or *B.wexlerae*-gavaged mice, n=6/group, nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**D and E**) The alterations in mouse body weight (**D**) and DAI (**E**) evaluation, control group (n=10), DSS group (n=10), (DSS+ *B.luti*) group (n=10), (DSS+ *B.wexlerae*) group (n=9), nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.

(**F and G**) Gross morphology (**F**) and length (**G**) of the colon in C57BL/6 mice with and without *B.wexlerae* and *B.luti* gavaged, control group (n=10), DSS group (n=10), (DSS+ *B.luti*) group (n=10), (DSS+ *B.wexlerae*) group (n=9), nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**H and I**) Representative images stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin staining (**H**) and the histology scores (**I**) of colonic sections in *B.wexlerae* and *B.luti*-gavaged C57BL/6 mice, control group (n=10), DSS group (n=10), (DSS+ *B.luti*) group (n=10), (DSS+ *B.wexlerae*) group (n=9), nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Original magnification, ×5 (*top row*) and ×20 (*bottom row*). Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**J-N**) Real-time PCR was performed to detect *Tnf* (**J**), *Ifng* (**K**), *Il1b* (**L**), *Il17* (**M**) and *Il6* (**N**) in different treatment of mice groups, control group (n=10), DSS group (n=10), (DSS+ *B.luti*) group (n=10), (DSS+ *B.wexlerae*) group (n=9), nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**O**) Statistical proportion of monocyte cells in different mice groups, control group (n=10), DSS group (n=10), (DSS+ *B.luti*) group (n=10), (DSS+ *B.wexlerae*) group (n=9), nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**P**) Statistical proportion of granulocyte in different mice groups, control group (n=10), DSS group (n=10), (DSS+ *B.luti*) group (n=10), (DSS+ *B.wexlerae*) group (n=9), nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**Q and R**) The statistical proportion of CD4+T (**Q**) and CD8+T (**R**) in mice groups with and without *B.wexlerae* and *B.luti* gavage, control group (n=10), DSS group (n=10), (DSS+ *B.luti*) group (n=10),

(DSS+ *B.wexlerae*) group (n=9), nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**S**) The colon length of mice in different mice groups, n=10/group, nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**T**) Heatmap plot showing the relative expression of immune cell markers in colon mucosae of mice.

(**U**) The statistical proportion of CD4$^+$T and CD8$^+$T in different mice groups, n=10/group, nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

Supplementray Figure 4

Figure S4. *B.wexlerae* **reduced the therapeutic effect of AZA and elevated the amount IL1B+S100A8+ macrophages in chronic mice colitis model. Related to Figure 4.**

(**A**) Schematic diagram of the DSS-induced chronic colitis model treated with *B.wexlerae* in C57BL/6 mice, control group (n=9), DSS group (n=10), (DSS+ *B.wexlerae*) group (n=10).

(**B and C**) The alterations in mouse body weight (**B**) and DAI evaluation (**C**), control group (n=9), DSS group (n=10), (DSS+ *B.wexlerae*) group (n=10), nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.

(**D and E**) Gross morphology (**D**) and length (**E**) of the colon in C57BL/6 mice with and without *B.wexlerae* gavaged, control group (n=9), DSS group (n=10), (DSS+ *B.wexlerae*) group (n=10), nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**F and G**) Representative images stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin staining (**F**) and the histology scores (**G**) of colonic sections in *B.wexlerae*-gavaged C57BL/6 mice. Original magnification, ×5 (*top row*) and ×20 (*bottom row*), control group (n=9), DSS group (n=10), (DSS+ *B.wexlerae*) group (n=10), nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**H**) The colon length was shown in heat-killed *B.wexlerae* or *B.wexlerae*-gavaged C57BL/6 mice with AZA treatment, control group (n=9), DSS group (n=10), (DSS+AZA) group (n=10), (DSS+heat-killed *B. wexlerae*+AZA) group (n=10) and (DSS+*B. wexlerae*+AZA) group (n=10), nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**I**) Heatmap showing specific marker genes for 5 major cell clusters in chronic colitis of mice. Relative expression was defined as the gene-wise (row) z-score of normalized UMI counts across cell types (column).

(**J**) Violin plots showing marker genes across myeloid subsets in DSS-induced chronic colitis.

(**K**) UMAP of major immune cell clusters in Cohort 3.

(**L**) Heatmap showing specific marker genes for 7 major cell clusters in Cohort 3. Relative expression was defined as the gene-wise (row) z-score of normalized UMI counts across cell types (column).

(**M**) Bar plots exhibiting the cellular sources for IBD patient cell subtypes. Blocks represent different subtype and are color-coded by their derived types. Block heights are proportional to the number of detected cells.

(**N**) UMAP of myeloid cell clusters in Cohort 3.

(**O**) Violin plots showing marker genes across myeloid subsets in IBD patients.

(**P**) Bar graph showing the enriched pathways in Il1B$^+$S100A8$^+$ macrophages (M-Il1B$^+$S100A8$^+$) in remission (n = 4) IBD patients compared with non-remission patients (n = 3) via gene oncology analysis.

Supplementary Figure 5

Figure S5. *B.wexlerae* was closely associated with the biotransformation of azathioprine. Related to Figure 5.

(**A**) The level of the metabolites of AZA in luminal contents. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**B**) The abundance of *B. wexlerae* in luminal contents. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**C**) The level of AZA detected in the bacterial culture Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB) medium with *B.wexlerae* incubation, n=3/group, Student's T Test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**D**) The relative activity of XDH and HPRT1 for AZA metabolism in mice intestinal tissue. XDH (left). HPRT (right), n=6/group, nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**E**) HPRT1 activity in the medium exposed to fecal samples under anaerobic condition, n=3/group, Student's T Test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**F**) Quantitative level of 6-TX in bacterial supernatant under different treatment, n=3/group, Student's T Test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**G**) The analysis of the DNA sequence data of *B.wexlerae* on NCBI Web site.

Supplementary Figure 6

Figure S6. *Bacillus Subtilis* **supplementation reversed** *B.wexlerae***-induced AZA therapeutic failure in intestinal colitis mouse model. Related to Figure 6.**

(**A**) The relative abundance of *B. subtilis* in feces of *B.subtilis*-gavaged mice, n=5/group, nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**B**) The alterations in mouse body weight in different mice, n=5/group, Student's T Test. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.

(**C**) The length of the colon in C57BL/6 mice in different mice, n=5/group, Student's T Test. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(**D and E**) The flow cytometry analysis of monocytes (**D**) and macrophage (**E**) was performed in DSS-induced colitis groups, n=5/group, Student's T Test.

(**F**) PCoA analysis showed that the overall fecal microbiota composition was different between PBS and *B. wexlerae* groups (n=8/group, *P* <0.05).

(**G**) Analysis of *B. wexlerae*-modulated gut bacteria at specie level in (DSS+AZA)-treated mice by Maaslin2 program, n=8/group.

Characteristics	Remission (n=27)		Non-Remission (n=22)		P
	CD (n=21)	UC (n=6)	CD (n=19)	UC (n=3)	
Demographis					
Gender, Male, n(%)	14 (66.67%)	4 (66.67%)	11 (57.89%)	2 (66.67%)	0.803
Age, years, median(min-max)	28.00 [22.00, 35.00]	44.00 [37.00, 54.00]	26.00 [18.50, 34.00]	24.00 [20.50, 29.00]	0.101
BMI, kg/m^2 ,median(min-max)	18.43 [17.50, 21.88]	21.70 [20.88, 22.45]	21.07 [19.08, 23.82]	17.19 [16.38, 19.32]	0.473
Disease activity, median(min-max)					
Duration of symptoms, months	2 (1-8)	3 (1-5)	3 (1-10)	2 (1-2)	0.285
CRP, mg/L	13.50 [9.10, 25.40]	8.45 [3.72, 12.65]	19.20 [5.45, 42.10]	5.50 [2.95, 34.70]	0.733
ESR, mm/h	34.00 [17.00, 44.00]	17.50 [16.00, 37.00]	33.00 [15.00, 44.00]	14.00 [8.00, 30.50]	0.748
Hb, g/L	123.00 [108.00, 132.00]	120.50 [109.75, 126.00]	113.00 [103.50, 129.00]	91.00 [83.00, 110.00]	0.087
CDAI	274.39 (183.4-356.64)		288.91 (224.79-353.02)		0.364
Mayo score		8 (4-9)		6 (6-8)	0.972
Disease characteristics					
Montreal L					0.060
L1 ileal, n (%)	9 (42.86%)		7 (36.84%)		
L2 colonic, n (%)	4 (19.05%)		5(15.79%)		
L3 ileocolonic, n (%)	8 (38.10%)		7 (47.36%)		
L4 isolated upper disease, n (%)	0 (0.00%)		0 (0.00%)		
Montreal B					0.577
B1 non-stricturing, non-penetrating, n (%)	15 (71.43%)		12 (63.16%)		
B2 stricturing, n (%)	6 (28.57%)		7 (36.84%)		
B3 penetrating, n (%)	0 (0.00%)		0 (0.00%)		
Montreal B perianal, n (%)					0.894
None	18 (85.71%)		16 (84.21%)		
Perianal disease	3 (14.29%)		3 (15.79%)		
Montreal E					0.487
E1 proctitis, n (%)		3 (50.00%)		2 (66.67%)	
E2 left sided colitis, n (%)		2 (33.33%)		0 (0.00%)	
E3 pancolitis, n (%)		1 (16.67%)		1 (33.33%)	

Table S1. Related to Figure 1. Demographic characteristics of Cohort 1.

Patients	Age (years)	Gender	BMI (kg/m^2)	CRP (mg/L)	ESR (mm/h)	HB (g/L)
1	27	Male	23.37	1.61	6	139
2	55	Male	24.77	3.32	36	137
3	50	Male	19.95	12.6	14	120
4	37	Female	19.81	164	97	91
5	15	Female	20.07	20.92	69	100
6	58	Female	22.49	0.59	14	128
7	27	Male	20.07	41.4	33	132
8	45	Male	22.49	0.58	9	157
9	24	Male	15.94	0.5	29	84
10	30	Female	15.15	7.26	48	116
11	19	Female	28.80	1.69	11	101
12	16	Male	20.57	70.9	65	138
13	38	Male	17.30	4.1	60	118
14	43	Female	24.97	2.13	38	103
15	19	Male	15.92	5.31	8	139
16	21	Female	20.39	22.97	49	117
17	21	Male	19.38	0.81	4	154
18	32	Female	19.92	0.5	36	124
19	28	Female	15.06	10.5	29	92
20	20	Female	16.00	0.5	7	119
21	26	Male	19.59	0.5	6	140
22	58	Female	24.52	1.82	19	123
23	62	Male	24.22	1.75	7	153
24	21	Male	21.97	23.5	32	135
25	40	Male	22.60	22.1	40	85
26	22	Male	32.87	5.85	19	161
27	43	Female	21.80	37.44	67	74
28	36	Male	19.59	0.5	18	124
29	26	Male	18.78	0.75	14	150
30	18	Male	18.04	67.87	2	157
31	17	Male	20.76	0.5	5	134
32	50	Female	23.88	5	4	124
33	13	Female	15.40	17.8	20	106
34	35	Male	18.96	15.03	16	106
35	33	Female	24.22	16.49	67	110
36	40	Male	23.15	2.77	6	172
37	19	Male	19.69	0.5	4	154
38	17	Male	23.15	5.13	17	150
39	36	Male	22.15	23.31	13	136
40	37	Male	21.51	18.3	12	142
41	22	Female	15.22	0.5	4	132
42	23	Female	20.08	0.26	5	121
43	49	Male	23.09	0.63	5	142
44	57	Female	19.81	81.6	56	84
45	50	Female	17.50	9.27	11	120
46	19	Female	15.24	2.25	6	133
47	18	Female	18.07	0.15	13	97

48	37	Male	18.94	4.48	10	123
49	37	Male	19.82	2.65	32	107
50	21	Male	22.72	9.54	67	121
51	22	Male	16.98	3.05	20	145
52	25	Male	19.27	0.64	7	138
53	23	Female	16.61	2.32	25	107
54	34	Female	17.07	0.19	13	115
55	29	Male	19.05	11.2	12	127
56	45	Female	20.20	0.54	13	121
57	30	Male	17.16	5	2	141
58	37	Male	18.29	0.31	9	122
59	27	Female	24.09	3.31	2	129
60	54	Male	19.72	60.6	64	95
61	25	Male	16.53	0.78	19	107
62	22	Female	21.23	0.5	10	107
63	27	Male	18.93	5.47	5	144
64	58	Female	17.19	28.2	64	81
65	68	Female	20.40	2.25	30	134
66	22	Female	22.94	0.5	23	142
67	19	Male	26.83	2.5	2	144
68	18	Male	19.47	9.59	29	125
69	30	Male	19.49	11.9	16	132
70	20	Male	23.38	12.2	34	134
71	29	Male	24.00	107.1	66	103
72	20	Male	27.47	10.5	16	142
73	22	Female	16.53	4.7	17	106
74	31	Male	21.86	10.2	10	119
75	30	Male	26.42	4.5	12	156
76	45	Female	20.81	2.3	19	127
77	26	Male	18.72	1.4	16	141
78	24	Male	26.83	0.5	7	167
79	25	Male	23.18	0.1	2	142
80	58	Female	15.81	14.5	51	93
81	17	Female	16.97	0.1	4	137
82	18	Female	19.29	117.4	74	109
83	30	Male	19.49	15.6	16	136
84	25	Female	17.65	17.1	34	106
85	61	Male	23.51	3.9	16	127
86	32	Male	16.33	1.7	34	123
87	36	Male	24.51	1.3	6	117
88	57	Male	25.16	22.9	34	96
89	33	Male	23.38	2.7	23	129
90	23	Male	20.09	7.7	6	134
91	16	Male	19.76	22.3	32	112

Table S2. Related to Figure 2. Clinical information on Cohort 2.

B. Wexlerae/luti abundance	Sensitivity	Specificity	YoudenIndex
Inf	1	0	1
-4.252529463	1	0.03125	1.03125
-4.581509591	0.983050847	0.03125	1.014300847
-5.537878037	0.983050847	0.0625	1.045550847
-6.489592552	0.983050847	0.09375	1.076800847
-6.689202945	0.983050847	0.125	1.108050847
-6.792258899	0.983050847	0.15625	1.139300847
-6.895759901	0.983050847	0.1875	1.170550847
-6.950245222	0.966101695	0.1875	1.153601695
-7.128705979	0.966101695	0.21875	1.184851695
-7.294996103	0.966101695	0.25	1.216101695
-7.444566886	0.966101695	0.28125	1.247351695
-7.624618531	0.949152542	0.28125	1.230402542
-7.730538051	0.949152542	0.3125	1.261652542
-7.920929591	0.949152542	0.34375	1.292902542
-8.232744058	0.93220339	0.34375	1.27595339
-8.48333184	0.915254237	0.34375	1.259004237
-8.57785225	0.915254237	0.375	1.290254237
-8.719418526	0.915254237	0.40625	1.321504237
-8.884890239	0.898305085	0.40625	1.304555085
-8.928016981	0.881355932	0.40625	1.287605932
-9.073852539	0.881355932	0.4375	1.318855932
-9.224819819	0.86440678	0.4375	1.30190678
-9.255772591	0.847457627	0.4375	1.284957627
-9.300165812	0.847457627	0.46875	1.316207627
-9.414551417	0.847457627	0.5	1.347457627
-9.495992979	0.830508475	0.5	1.330508475
-9.617959659	0.813559322	0.5	1.313559322
-9.75630951	0.813559322	0.53125	1.344809322
-9.875935237	0.796610169	0.53125	1.327860169
-10.0481027	0.779661017	0.53125	1.310911017
-10.16509756	0.762711864	0.53125	1.293961864
-10.25254282	0.745762712	0.53125	1.277012712
-10.31744608	0.728813559	0.53125	1.260063559
-10.36336073	0.728813559	0.5625	1.291313559
-10.4015983	0.728813559	0.59375	1.322563559
-10.43828297	0.728813559	0.625	1.353813559
-10.48003419	0.711864407	0.625	1.336864407
-10.52584156	0.711864407	0.65625	1.368114407
-10.57453839	0.711864407	0.6875	1.399364407
-10.65204525	0.711864407	0.71875	1.430614407
-10.70736838	0.711864407	0.75	1.461864407
-10.71593968	0.694915254	0.75	1.444915254
-10.7439766	0.677966102	0.75	1.427966102
-10.78282611	0.677966102	0.78125	1.459216102
-10.86388143	0.661016949	0.78125	1.442266949
-10.94763867	0.644067797	0.78125	1.425317797
-11.01126019	0.627118644	0.78125	1.408368644
-11.05666669	0.610169492	0.78125	1.391419492
-11.1333774	0.593220339	0.78125	1.374470339
-11.23575338	0.576271186	0.78125	1.357521186
-11.27570375	0.576271186	0.8125	1.388771186

-11.30812264	0.559322034	0.8125	1.371822034
-11.35244719	0.559322034	0.84375	1.403072034
-11.47450034	0.542372881	0.84375	1.386122881
-11.62899621	0.542372881	0.875	1.417372881
-11.81309764	0.525423729	0.875	1.400423729
-11.96199799	0.508474576	0.875	1.383474576
-12.03289175	0.491525424	0.875	1.366525424
-12.11214876	0.474576271	0.875	1.349576271
-12.15377712	0.474576271	0.90625	1.380826271
-12.28552612	0.474576271	0.9375	1.412076271
-12.43162982	0.457627119	0.9375	1.395127119
-12.54346991	0.440677966	0.9375	1.378177966
-12.64722888	0.423728814	0.9375	1.361228814
-12.6719478	0.406779661	0.9375	1.344279661
-12.83525419	0.389830508	0.9375	1.327330508
-13.11038256	0.372881356	0.9375	1.310381356
-13.31171529	0.355932203	0.9375	1.293432203
-13.53095945	0.338983051	0.9375	1.276483051
-13.71156232	0.338983051	0.96875	1.307733051
-13.83276622	0.322033898	0.96875	1.290783898
-14.0099659	0.305084746	0.96875	1.273834746
-14.14986452	0.288135593	0.96875	1.256885593
-14.236794	0.271186441	0.96875	1.239936441
-14.29691951	0.254237288	0.96875	1.222987288
-14.503613	0.237288136	0.96875	1.206038136
-14.74765524	0.220338983	0.96875	1.189088983
-14.85139498	0.203389831	0.96875	1.172139831
-14.97098176	0.186440678	0.96875	1.155190678
-15.16064946	0.169491525	0.96875	1.138241525
-15.53315783	0.152542373	0.96875	1.121292373
-15.80603775	0.13559322	0.96875	1.10434322
-15.98606396	0.118644068	0.96875	1.087394068
-16.17940013	0.101694915	0.96875	1.070444915
-16.4491094	0.101694915	1	1.101694915
-16.79678091	0.084745763	1	1.084745763
-17.02734725	0.06779661	1	1.06779661
-17.17600854	0.050847458	1	1.050847458
-17.7639548	0.033898305	1	1.033898305
-18.96923224	0.016949153	1	1.016949153

Youden Index was used to determine the optimal cut-off point. The best cut-off that maximizes the sensitivity plus the specificity is -10.71. The patients with a higher amount of *B. wexlerae/luti* (-delta CT > - 10.71) are more likely to present inflammation progression in AZA administration.

Table S3. Related to Figure 2. The sensitivity and specificity of individual *B. wexlerae/luti* abundance.

Patient	Gender	Age	Group
Patient 1	Male	17	R
Patient 2	Male	14	R
Patient 3	Male	28	R
Patient 4	Male	20	R
Patient 5	Male	27	NR
Patient 6	Female	27	NR
Patient 7	Female	68	NR

Table S4. Related to Figure 4. Overview of patient charateristics in Cohort 3.

Cohort	Source	Patients	Sample	Management
Cohort 1	Renji hospital	49 IBD patients	Feces	16s rDNA sequencing
		47 IBD patients	Illeum tissue	qPCR detection
Cohort 2	Renji hospital	91 IBD patients	Colonoscopy paraffin-embedded mucosa	qPCR detection
Cohort 3	Renji hospital	7 IBD patients	Mucosa tissue	Single-cell RNA sequencing

Table S5. Related to STAR★METHODS. Summary table of Cohort 1~3.

Gene	Forward primer	Reverse primer
Blautia wexlerae/Blautia luti	GCATAAGCGCACAGAGCT	CACATCAGACTTGCCACA
Blautia wexlerae	GCCAAAAGAGAAACAAGTCAGAGAA	GACGCAAATACATCACGAAGGAATA
Blautia luti	CATAGCGTGTCCAGTTCCGAAAG	GACCATTTTTGCAAGCTGGTACTGT
16s	GGTGAATACGTTCCCGG	TACGGCTACCTTGTTACGACTT
Mouse β-actin	AGCACTGTGTTGGCATAGAGGTC	CTTCTTGGGTATGGAATCCTGTG
Mouse Tnf-α	CATCTTCTCAAAATTCGAGTGACAA	TGGGAGTAGACAAGGTACAACCC
Mouse Ifn-γ	AGCTCTTCCTCATGGCTGTTTC	ATGTTGTTGCTGATGGCCTGA
Mouse Il-1b	CAACCAACAAGTGATATTCTCCATG	GATCCACACTCTCCAGCTGCA
Mouse Il-17	CTCCAGAAGGCCCTCAGACTAC	AGCTTTCCCTCCGCATTGACACAG
Mouse Il-6	TCTATACCACTTCACAAGTCGGA	GAATTGCCATTGCACAACTCTTT
Mouse Cd4	CTCCTTCGGCTTTCTGGGTTTCC	GCACTGGCAGGTCTTCTTCTCAC
Mouse Cd8a	CTGTCGCTGAACCTGCTGCTG	TCGGAGTTCGGGTGCCTGTG
Mouse Ptprc	GTTATCCACGCTGCTGCCTCAC	TTGGCTGCTGAATGTCTGAGTGTC
Mouse Cd19	CCATCTCCTCTCCCTGTCTCCTTC	ATTGCCTCCCTCTTCTACCTCCAC
Mouse Sdc1	CTTTGTCACGGCAGACACCTT	GACAGAGGTAAAAGCAGTCTCG
Mouse Tnfrsf17	ACCGTGTCACTTGCGATGTTCC	TGTCGTCACCAGCCCTGATCC
Mouse Ly6c	GCCGCGCCTCTGATGGATTC	ACACCAGCAGGGCAGAAAGAAAG
Mouse Ly6g	CCACCTGAGACTTCCTGCAACAC	GGCAGATGGGAAGGCAGAGATTG
Mouse Itgax	GAGCCCATCTCCCTCCAGGTG	CACAGTAGGACCACAAGCCAACAG
Mouse Ncam1	CACCGTCTTCTCCATCCATTGACC	CAGCGACTTCCACTCAGCCTTG
Mouse Itga2	CTCCTGCTGCGGCTGCTAATG	AGTTGCCTTGTGGGTTCGTAAGC
pycA	GTCTTCCGTTCAGGAAAGGC	GATCTCCCGTTTGGATCGGCTC

Table S6. Related to STAR★METHODS. The sequences of primers used in this study.