iScience, Volume 25

Supplemental information

Musculoskeletal modeling of sprawling

and parasagittal forelimbs provides

insight into synapsid postural transition

Robert J. Brocklehurst, Philip Fahn-Lai, Sophie Regnault, and Stephanie E. Pierce

Table S1. Scan parameters for the tegu, echidna and opossum, related to STAR Methods.

Figure S1. Global coordinate system used to determine the reference pose, related to STAR

Methods and Figure 1.

Top row shows forelimb in dorsal view. **Bottom row** shows forelimb in cranial view. The blue Z-axis = retractionprotraction, the green Y-axis = depression-elevation and red X-axis = long-axis rotation or pronation-supination. See main text for a full description of the reference pose.

Figure S2. Anatomical coordinate system used to determine neutral pose, related to STAR

Methods and Figure 1.

Top row shows scapulae and proximal joint coordinate systems; view axis set perpendicular to the glenoid. For the proximal shoulder joint, plane primitives were fit to the glenoid and the X-axis (red) was oriented normal to the fitted plane. The Y and Z-axes (green and blue, respectively) were then aligned so that, when articulated, the flexor surface of humerus faced dorsally. **Middle row** shows humeri in reference pose with distal shoulder joint coordinate systems; view axis set perpendicular to the humeral head. For the distal shoulder joint, convex hulls were fit to the articular surface of the humeral head, which approximated hemi-spheres or hemi-ellipsoids and the X-axis (red) was oriented such that it passed through the 'pole'. The Y-axis (green) was then aligned with the cranio-caudal axis of the humeral head, and the Z-axis (blue) was perpendicular to both. **Bottom row** shows scapulae and humeri in neutral pose after the two joint coordinate systems were superimposed. Black anatomical axes indicate global orientations. These anatomical axes were used solely to align the humerus to the glenoid and create the neutral pose; all rotation angles were calculated using the globally aligned reference coordinate system.

Figure S3. Example of Boolean intersection to determine viable poses, related to STAR Methods.

Left: Viable poses have the joints in articulation and no bony intersections. Joint articulation was assessed via a Boolean mesh intersection on the articular surface of the humeral head and a convex hull generated from the concave articular facet of the glenoid joint (purple). **Middle**: Joint disarticulation, where the humeral head no longer articulates with the glenoid, is recorded is an inviable pose. **Right**: Bone-to-bone interpenetration, where the bones intersect one another (bones rendered transparent, intersection in red), is recorded as an inviable pose. Bone-to-bone interpenetration was assessed via a Boolean intersection mesh operation on the humerus and scapula.

Articulated, no intersections Disarticulated, no intersections Articulated, bony intersections

Figure S4. 2D Projections of 3D Range-of-motion envelopes, related to Figure 2.

Range of motion (ROM) envelopes for the glenohumeral joint in the tegu, echidna, and opossum, projected onto 2D planes. ROM envelopes are shown plotted in both uncorrected and cosine-corrected Euler space. Projected points are color coded according to the two axes of rotation which make up the plane (cyan on the ZY plane, magenta on the ZX plane, gold on the XY plane).

Figure S5. Echidna range of motion sensitivity analysis, related to Figure 2.

3D Range of motion (ROM) envelopes and 2D projections of ROM envelopes for the glenohumeral joint in the echidna base model, without epiphyses, and with a narrowed humerus (humerus scaled to 75% width). ROM envelopes are plotted in cosine-corrected Euler space. Projected points are color coded according to the two axes of rotation which make up the plane (cyan on the ZY plane, magenta on the ZX plane, gold on the XY plane).

Figure S6. Change in m. pectoralis action with shoulder joint retraction angle, related to Figure

4.

Pose space projected onto the ZY plane (retraction-protraction and depression-elevation), with points color coded according to the MMA values for the m. pectoralis in the tegu (top row) and opossum (bottom row). Points are color coded according to values. Note how particularly in the m. pectoralis (M + P) the tegu has a similar change in function to the opossum from depression MMAs (blue, -ve) to elevation MMAs (red, +ve) with more retracted (-ve) and depressed (-ve) shoulder joint angles.

Figure S7. Muscle moment arms of the tegu and echidna in shared pose-space, related to Figure

4.

Individual muscle moment arm results for the tegu and echidna, but only those muscles and shoulder joint poses shared by both taxa. For muscle abbreviations see Figure 1 of the main text.

Methods S1. Locally running the accompaying shiny app to view results as interactive 3D plots,

related to Figures 2, 3 and 4.

Please download and install RStudio onto your computer, as well as the following R packages – shiny, tidyverse, png and plotly. Then download the .7zip file called "ROM and MMA App" from Harvard Dataverse (link in the main text of the paper) and unzip it on your computer. Open RStudio, and type the following lines of code to open the app in an RStudio window:

library(shiny) setwd("File path to the unzipped folder") runApp()