1	Supplementary Information
2	
3	Accurate haplotype construction and detection of selection signatures
4	enabled by high quality pig genome sequences
5	Authors: Xinkai Tong ^{1,2} , Dong Chen ¹ , Jianchao Hu ¹ , Shiyao Lin ¹ , Ziqi Ling ¹ , Huashui
6	Ai ¹ , Zhiyan Zhang ^{1*} & Lusheng Huang ^{1*}
7	¹ National Key Laboratory for Swine genetic improvement and production technology,
8	Ministry of Science and Technology of China, Jiangxi Agricultural University,
9	NanChang, Jiangxi Province, PR China.
10	² College of Life Sciences, Jiangxi Normal University, NanChang, Jiangxi Province, PR
11	China.
12	*Corresponding authors: Prof. Dr. Zhiyan Zhang, Prof. Dr. Lusheng Huang
13	



16 Supplementary Fig. 1 The frequency distribution of average sequencing depth of

17samples. A histogram is used to show the sequencing depth of samples. The x-axis18denotes average sequencing depth (\times) for each sample. The y-axis denotes the number19of samples. Each bin is plotted as a bar whose height represents the sample size in that20bin.



Supplementary Fig. 2 Geographic distribution (i.e., sampling sites or country of 24 origin of breeds) of the samples analyzed in this study. Color codes of triangles 25 26 correspond to the seven groups obtained in the phylogenetic tree. ISEA, islands of southeast Asia. ARSW, Asian Russia wild; ERSW, European Russia wild; BAM, Bamei; 27 BAS, Baoshan; BEK, Berkshire; BMX, Bamaxiang; CRO, Creole; DU, Duroc; EHL, 28 Erhualian; CEC or F1/F2/F3, Eurasian Crossbred; GOS, Gloucester old Spot; GST, 29 Gansu Tibetan; HAM, Hampshire; HT, Hetao; IBR, Iberian pig; ITAW, Italian wild; JH, 30 Jinhua; KRW, Korean wild; LA, Lean spotted pig; LB, Large Black; LCM, Leicoma; 31 32 LR, Landrace; LUC, Luchuan; LW, LargeWhite; LWU, Laiwu; MGC, Mangalica; MS, Meishan; MW, Middle White; NCW, Northern chinese wild pig; NJ, Neijiang; NTLW, 33 Netherland wild; PT, Pietrain; SCT, Sichuan Tibetan; SCW, Sourthern chinese wild; 34 SDB, British Saddleback; SPAW, Spanish wild; SUT, Sutai; TAW, Tamworth; TT, 35 Tibetan Tibetan; UKRW, Ukraine wild; VIE, Vietnam wild; WA, Wanan spotted; WDU, 36 White Duroc; WZS, Wuzhishan; YCT, Yucatan; YNT, Yunnan Tibetan. The map is 37

38	generated	through	the	ggplot2	package
39	(https://ggplot2.tidyv	erse.org/reference	ce/borders.html)) in the R program	n. The ggplot2
40	package invokes	the maps	package to	generate a	world map
41	(https://rdrr.io/cran/m	naps/man/world.	html). This worl	d map is imported	from the public
42	domain Natural Earth	n project (https://	www.naturalea	rthdata.com).	



44 Supplementary Fig. 3 Neighbor-Joining phylogenetic tree, using *Sus vertucosus*

45 (Javan warty pig) and *Sus celebensis* (Celebes warty pig) as outgroup. Each

46 branch denotes an individual. All samples are used to construct the phylogenetic tree.



48

49 Supplementary Fig. 4 The minor allele frequency (MAF) distribution of SNPs and

Indels. A histogram is used to show the frequency of variant under different MAF. The
x-axis denotes minor allele frequency. The y-axis denotes the number of variants. Each
bin is plotted as a bar whose height represents the number of variants in that bin.



56 **Supplementary Fig. 5 Validation the accuracy of variant genotyping. (a)** The 57 concordance rate between sequence genotypes and array genotypes. The x-axis shows 58 average sequencing depth. **(b)** The Mendel Error rate estimated by summarizing error 59 inherited variants in the 179 parent-offspring trios (44) or duos (135). Each triangle 60 represents an individual.







Supplementary Fig. 7 Imputation accuracy using ten common Chinese indigenous pigs (Erhualian, N = 4, Bamaxiang, N = 3, Laiwu, N = 3) as targets and the remaining 1854 haplotypes as reference panel. The x-axis shows the minor allele frequency of imputed variants. The y-axis shows imputation accuracy measured by average R^2 (squared Pearson correlation). The colored triangles represent the R^2 under different minor allele frequencies. The 50k, 60k, 80k, 100k, and 300k denote the number of randomly selected polymorphism sites being used for imputation.



83	Supplementary Fig. 8 Genotypes imputation accuracy for each breed included in
84	the haplotype reference panel. The accuracy was evaluated as follows: 1) We sampled
85	ten individuals as imputation targets and the remaining 1854 haplotypes as reference
86	panel. The 50k, 60k, 80k, 100k, and 300k variants at autosomes were randomly selected
87	to mimic chips. The genotypes of unselected variants were imputed for sampled ten
88	individuals. 2) Step 1) was repeated until genotypes of all individuals were imputed
89	once. 3) The imputation accuracy was measured by average R^2 (squared Pearson
90	correlation) between sequenced genotypes and imputed genotypes. The letters on the
91	upper left indicates the number of variants selected randomly for imputation. The y-
92	axis represents imputation accuracy measured by R^2 (squared Pearson correlation). The
93	x-axis denotes abbreviation of breeds. Each point represents an individual. Boxplots
94	show median, 25th and 75th percentile, the whiskers indicate the minima and maxima,
95	and the points laying outside the whiskers of boxplots represent the outliers. ARSW,
96	Asian Russia wild; ERSW, European Russia wild; BAM, Bamei; BAS, Baoshan; BMX,
97	Bamaxiang; CRO, Creole; DU, Duroc; EHL, Erhualian; GOS, Gloucester old Spot;
98	GST, Gansu Tibetan; HT, Hetao; IBR, Iberian pig; ITAW, Italian wild; JH, Jinhua; KRW,
99	Korean wild; LA, Lean spotted pig; LB, Large Black; LCM, Leicoma; LR, Landrace;
100	LUC, Luchuan; LW, LargeWhite; LWU, Laiwu; MGC, Mangalica; NCW, Northern
101	chinese wild pig; NJ, Neijiang; NTLW, Netherland wild; PT, Pietrain; SCT, Sichuan
102	Tibetan; SCW, Sourthern chinese wild; SPAW, Spanish wild; SUT, Sutai; TT, Tibetan
103	Tibetan; VIE, Vietnam wild; WA, Wanan spotted; WDU, White Duroc; WZS,
104	Wuzhishan; YCT, Yucatan; YNT, Yunnan Tibetan.



Supplementary Fig. 9 The concordance rate between sequencing genotypes and imputed genotypes for samples being removed for low call rate. Boxplots show median, 25th and 75th percentile, the whiskers indicate the minima and maxima, and the points laying outside the whiskers of boxplots represent the outliers. EHL (Erhualian), n = 22; LargeWhite, n = 20; Landrace, n = 12; Duroc, n = 4; Pietrain, n =5; Hampshire, n = 3; Berkshire, n = 3; EUW (European wild pigs), n = 10; Tibetan, n =113 = 31; ASW (Asian wild pigs), n = 10.





117 Supplementary Fig. 10 Quantile-quantile plots of array and imputation GWASs.

Upper lambda denotes inflation factor of association test results. Each dot represents a
variant. Red lines are fitted by a simple linear regression of function lm() implemented
in R software.





124

Supplementary Fig. 11 The phenotypic prediction ability of EBV before and after 125 genotype imputation. Significant variants at the P < 0.01 level from GWAS were used 126 for estimating the breeding value of IMF by cross-validated BLUP (leave-one-out). The 127 x-axis shows the predicted EBV. The y-axis shows the phenotypic value of IMF. Each 128 dot represents an individual. Pearson's correlation is employed to evaluate the 129 phenotypic prediction ability of EBV, indicated by a R² (squared Pearson correlation) 130 between phenotypic value and predicted EBV. The P values of correlation coefficient 131 before and after imputation are 7.3×10^{-144} and 1.3×10^{-177} , respectively. 132



Supplementary Fig. 12 The principal component analysis using the first two
principle components based on genotypes of F2 population. Each dot represents an
individual.







152 Supplementary Fig. 14 Average Linkage Disequilibrium between all variant pairs.

153 The y-axis denotes the average LD degree measured by r^2 . The x-axis denotes the 154 number of variants at chromosomes between two variants. Dots represent average LD 155 under different distances measured by the number of variants between two variants.

151



158 Supplementary Fig. 15 The accuracy of SNPs that are within 1-50 bp of INDELs.

- 159 Each triangle in red represents a set of SNPs near Indels. The y-axis shows the
- 160 concordance rate between sequence genotypes and array genotypes.
- 161

162 Supplementary Table 1 Chip-based haplotype analysis for top SNPs at novel loci from

163 imputation GWAS.

Chr	Top SNP	MAF	No.Hap	Begin.Hap	End.Hap	Df	F_value	P value
3	3_106129949	0.025	25	105,756,806	106,275,479	24	3.88	6.9E-10
5	5_62861562	0.012	29	62,753,754	63,543,086	28	11.11	9.0E-47
8	8_66234703	0.319	25	65,489,709	66,846,245	24	9.87	2.6E-35
9	9_10302512	0.012	12	10,180,053	10,403,658	11	5.87	1.7E-09
13	13_162125842	0.027	18	160,745,027	162,777,523	17	7.95	6.1E-20

164 Chr, chromosome; MAF, minor allele frequency; No.Hap, the number of haplotype pattern; 165 Begin.Hap, start position of haplotype; End.Hap, end position of haplotype; Df, degree of freedom;

166 F value, F value in analysis of variance (ANOVA); P value, P value in ANOVA. The P values are

167 one-sided. Reported *P* values are nominal (i.e. not corrected for multiple testing).

169 Supplementary Table 2 The frequency of Hap1 of *MUC13* across breeds harboring at

170 least three individuals in populations EUD, NCD, SCD, and Cross. EUD, European

171 domestic pigs; NCD, Northwestern Chinese domestic pigs; SCD, Southeastern Chinese

Population	Breed	Sample size	Frequency of Hap1		
EUD	DU	29	0.52		
EUD	РТ	6	0.67	0.67	
EUD	LW1	62	0.69		
EUD	LW2	5	0.70	0.07	
EUD	LR	25	0.74		
EUD	YCT	11	0.86		
NCD	MIN	6	0.33		
NCD	SCT1	50	0.56		
NCD	BAM	6	0.58	0.77	
NCD	TT	12	0.67	0.77	
NCD	LWU	75	0.97		
NCD	HT	6	1.00		
SCD	LUC	6	0.33		
SCD	BMX	84	0.39		
SCD	EHL	132	0.40		
SCD	SCT2	12	0.42		
SCD	GST	14	0.50	0.44	
SCD	JH	6	0.50	0.44	
SCD	WZS	6	0.50		
SCD	WA	6	0.67		
SCD	YNT	12	0.79		
SCD	NJ	6	0.92		
Cross	F2	52	0.46		
Cross	F1	44	0.47		
Cross	F3	44	0.47		
Cross	SUT	63	0.48	0.51	
Cross	DLY	43	0.52		
Cross	WDU	10	0.65		
Cross	LA	9	0.83		

172 domestic pigs; Cross, European and Eurasian crossbred pigs.

173 BAM, Bamei; BAS, Baoshan; BMX, Bamaxiang; DU, Duroc; EHL, Erhualian; F1/F2/F3, Eurasian

174 Crossbred; GST, Gansu Tibetan; HT, Hetao; JH, Jinhua; LA, Lean spotted pig; LR, Landrace; LUC,

175 Luchuan; LW, LargeWhite; LWU, Laiwu; NJ, Neijiang; PT, Pietrain; SCT, Sichuan Tibetan; SUT,

176 Sutai; TT, Tibetan Tibetan; WA, Wanan spotted; WDU, White Duroc; WZS, Wuzhishan; YCT,

177 Yucatan; YNT, Yunnan Tibetan.