nature portfolio

| Corresponding author(s): | Yoshitaka Shirasaki, Sotaro Uemura |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Last updated by author(s): | Jul 27, 2023 |

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our Editorial Policies and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

| ~ . | | | |
|------------|----|------|----|
| St | at | ıctı | CS |

| For | all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section. |
|-------------|--|
| n/a | Confirmed |
| | The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement |
| | A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly |
| | The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section. |
| | A description of all covariates tested |
| | A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons |
| | A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals) |
| | For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i> |
| | For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings |
| | For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes |
| \boxtimes | Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i>), indicating how they were calculated |
| | Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above. |
| So | ftware and code |

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection

NIS-Elements AR (ver.4.50.00, Nikon)

Data analysis

For the real-time analysis of acquired data in TDCSS, we used custom software deposited in GitHub. For statistical test and clustering, we used Python3.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

RNA-sequencing data will be available through the GEO database. Source Data for Figs. 2-4 and suppl. Figs. 1-9 are provided with the paper. Other datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

| | : _ |
|---|-------|
| Research involving human participants, their data, or biological mate | arıa. |
| nescaren involving naman participants, then data, or biological mat | CI IU |

| | | ethnicity and racism. | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Reporting on sex a | and gender | In this manuscript, our main objective was to demonstrate a novel approach to detect activation of ILC2s at the single cell level and to study its gene expression status. Therefore, we did not conduct a sex and gender-based study. | |
| other socially relevant | | In this manuscript, our main objective was to demonstrate a novel approach to detect activation of ILC2s at the single cell level and to study its gene expression status. Therefore, we did not conduct a study on race, ethnicity, or other socially relevant groupings | |
| Population charac | teristics | Blood samples were corrected from three healthy men in their 30s. | |
| Recruitment | | Samples were obtained from healthy volunteers at Keio University School of Medicine. | |
| Ethics oversight | | Identify the organization(s) that approved the study protocol. | |
| Note that full informat | ion on the appr | oval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript. | |
| Field-spe | cific re | porting | |
| Please select the on- | e below that is | s the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection. | |
| X Life sciences | B | Behavioural & social sciences | |
| or a reference copy of th | ne document with | all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u> | |
| lifo scion | coc cti | idy docian | |
| The scient | ces sit | udy design | |
| All studies must disc | close on these | points even when the disclosure is negative. | |
| | No statistical methods were used to determine sample size. In the scRNA-seq experiments using mILC2s, we collected 10 (Pre-stimulation) or 11 (others) single-cells in each activation stage. In total, we collected about 60 cells. In the scRNA-seq experiments using hILC2s, we collected 5 to 8 cells in each activation stage from three individuals. | | |
| | | eq experiments, we exclude samples in which library preparation were failed. We checked the library quality of each sample zer and exclude samples whose cDNA was degraded or not amplified. | |
| | | the mILC2 experiments, we replicated four times to collect samples for RNA-seq. In the hILC2 experiments, we replicated three times using fferent individual samples. | |
| | In the mILC2 experiments, we used pooled ILC2s from 20 animals. In the hILC2 experiments, we randomly selected volunteers and used all data acquired from them. | | |
| Blinding | All human spec | human specimens used in this study were de-identified. | |
| We require informatio system or method liste | n from authors ed is relevant to | pecific materials, systems and methods about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response. | |
| Materials & exp | | · | |
| n/a Involved in the study | | n/a Involved in the study ☐ ChIP-seq | |
| Antibodies Eukaryotic cell lines | | Flow cytometry | |
| Palaeontology and archaeology MRI-based neuroimaging | | | |
| | d other organism | | |
| Clinical data | - | | |
| Dual use res | search of concer | rn | |
| Plants | | | |

Antibodies

Antibodies used

For cytokine secretion imaging by LCI-S, we used anti-human IL-13 (MAB213 and BAF 213) and IL-4 (MAB604 and BAF204), antimouse IL-13 (MAB413 and BAF413) and IL-5 (MAB405 and BAM705) antibodies (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). For cell sorting of human ILC2s, we used lineage antibody cocktails of human CD3 (UCHT1), CD14 (HCD14), CD16 (3G8), CD19 (HIB19), CD20 (2H7), CD56 (HCD56), PE-conjugated anti-human CD127 (A019D5), PE/Cy7-conjugated anti-human CD161 (HP-3G10) antibodies (BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA), and Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated anti-human CRTH2 (BM16) antibody (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA).

For cell sorting of mouse ILC2s, we used antibodies described in [Moro, K., Ealey, K., Kabata, H. et al. Isolation and analysis of group 2 innate lymphoid cells in mice. Nat Protoc 10, 792-806 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2015.047].

Validation

All antibodies used have been obtained from commercial vendors. Validation information can be found on the respective Vendor websites.

Animals and other research organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research, and Sex and Gender in Research

Laboratory animals Wildtype C57BL/6 retired female breeder mice Wild animals The study did not involve wild animals. Reporting on sex In this manuscript, our main objective was to demonstrate a novel approach to detect activation of ILC2s at the single cell level and to study its gene expression status. Therefore, we did not conduct a sex and gender-based study. Field-collected samples The study did not involve samples collected from the field. Ethics oversight All experiments were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of RIKEN and performed according to institutional guidelines.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Flow Cytometry

Plots

Instrument

Software

Cell population abundance

Confirm that: The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC). The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers). All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots. \Box A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided. Methodology Sample preparation We obtained the mouse ILC2s as described in [Moro, K., Ealey, K., Kabata, H. et al. Isolation and analysis of group 2 innate lymphoid cells in mice. Nat Protoc 10, 792–806 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2015.047]. For human ILC2, we obtained peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from 20 mL of peripheral blood of volunteers

> ILC2s were sorted from PBMCs by flow cytometry. Mouse ILC2s were isolated by FACSAria™ IIIu (BD FACS Diva Software Version 9.0.1) (BD Bioscience). Human ILC2s were isolated by MoFlo™ XDP (Beckman Coulter).

Data were analysed by FlowJo software (v10.6.1 or v10.7.1) (BD Bioscience).

Gating strategies was confirmed by backgating, and post-sort fractions were not analyzed for checking the purity.

using lymphocyte separation medium Lymphoprep™ (Cosmo Bio) according to the manufacture's protocols. Then human

| Gating strategy | Gating strategies for mouse ILC2s are reported in method. |
|-----------------|---|
| raling Citalegy | traine strategies for mouse it C/s are reported in memou. |
| | |

FSC-A/SSC-A gates were used to exclude cell debris.

FSC-H/FSC-W and SSC-H/SSC-W, or FSC-A/FSC-H gates were used to exclude doublet cells.

 $Mouse\ ILC2s\ were\ defined\ as\ Lineage-(CD3\epsilon,\ CD4,\ CD8\alpha,\ CD11c,\ Fc\epsilon Rl\alpha,\ NK1.1,\ CD19,\ TER119,\ F4/80,\ Gr-1)\ c-Kit+Sca-1+Rlame(CD3\epsilon,\ CD4,\ CD8\alpha,\ CD11c,\ Fc\epsilon Rlame(CD3\epsilon,\ NK1.1,\ CD19,\ TER119,\ F4/80,\ Gr-1)\ c-Kit+Sca-1+Rlame(CD3\epsilon,\ CD3\epsilon,\ CD3\epsilon,\$

Gating strategies for human ILC2s are as below.

FSC-A/SSC-A gates were used to exclude cell debris.

PI low gate were used to exclude damaged cells.

human ILC2s were defined as Lineage-(CD3, CD14, CD16, CD19, CD20 and CD56) CD45+ CD127+ CRTH2+ CD161+ cells.

Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.