**Appendix Figure 1.** Relative risk ratios, adjusted for individual health related indicators, per 1s increase in balance with eyes open at age A. 53 and recurrent falls at age 60-64 (n=2052); B. age 53 and recurrent falls at age 68 (n=2110); C. 60-64 and recurrent falls at age 68 (n=1920) [corresponding to Table 2, Model 5]



**Appendix Figure 2.** Relative risk ratios per 1s increase in balance with eyes open at age A. 53 and recurrent falls at age 60-64 (n=1793); B. age 53 and recurrent falls at age 68 (n=1855); C. 60-64 and recurrent falls at age 68 (n=1420) **in complete cases only** 



**Appendix Figure 3.** Relative risk ratios per 1s increase in balance with eyes open at age A. 53 and falls at age 60-64 (n=2061); B. 53 and falls at age 68 (n=2123); C. 60-64 and falls at age 68 (n=1866) excluding those unable to perform the balance test due to health reasons who were assigned a time of 0s in main analyses



**Appendix Figure 4.** Relative risk ratios per 1s increase in balance with eyes open at age A. 53 and falls at age 60-64 (n=1975); B. 53 and falls at age 68 (n=2029); C. 60-64 and falls at age 68 (n=1549) excluding those with a history of falling within the previous 12 months of balance assessment



**Appendix Table 1.** Relative risk ratio indicating the association between change in balance (eyes open) from age 53 to 60-64 and single falls<sup>a</sup> at age 68

Group	Defined	Sex-adjusted model	Fully-adjusted
Stable high	Achieved 30s at ages 53 and 60-64	Reference group	Reference group
(n=821;			
44.9%)			
Improved	Improved from 0-14.99s to 15-30s or	0.73 (0.40, 1.31)	0.79 (0.43, 1.44)
(n=157;	from 15-29.99s to 30s	p= 0.29	p= 0.44
8.6%)			
Declined	Declined from 30s to 0-29.99s or from	1.00 (0.73, 1.38)	1.07 (0.76, 1.48)
(n=638;	15-29.99s to 0-14.99s	p=0.98	p=0.71
34.9%)			
Stable middle	Recorded 15-29.99s at both ages	0.87 (0.39, 1.99)	1.00 (0.43, 2.33)
(n=70; 3.8%)		p= 0.75	p= 0.99
Stable low	Stayed in 0-14.99s group	1.09 (0.63, 1.92)	1.22 (0.67, 2.21)
(n=141;		p= 0.76	p=0.52
7.7%)			
<sup>a</sup> Estimatas from multinomial la sistia respossion responted in Table 2			

<sup>a</sup>Estimates from multinomial logistic regression presented in Table 2

[Estimates greater than 1 suggest an increased risk, while estimates less than 1 suggest a reduced risk; statistical significance is indicated if 95% Confidence intervals do not cross 1.]