Supplementary Figure 1. (A) Sequence alignment of Fusion-F_{D12} and Fusion-R_{D12} chimeric proteins. The RON2L sequence in Fusion-F_{D12} and Fusion-R_{D12} are placed in the forward or reverse direction with respect to the AMA1 sequence and joined by linkers that connect them to the DII loop of AMA1. (B) Sequence alignment of HP41 and 3D7 AMA1-RON2L fusion proteins. Differences between the two proteins are highlighted red. The residues comprising the 1a, 1bcd, 1e, and 1f binding loops and the inserted RON2L sequence are highlighted.

7

8 Supplementary Figure 2. Biochemical and structural characterization of chimera Fusion-9 F_{D12} . (A) Coomassie stained SDS-PAGE gel of AMA1_{D12} and Fusion- F_{D12} recombinant proteins. Red: reduced, NR: non-reduced. (B) Western blot analysis of AMA1_{D12} and Fusion-F_{D12} proteins 10 11 using conformation-dependent AMA1 mAbs 1F9 and 4G2 that bind AMA1 loop 1d and DII 12 respectively. Removing DII loop of AMA1 to generate the fusion chimera negates mAb 4G2 13 binding while 1F9 epitope is still preserved. (C) Coomassie stained SDS-PAGE gel of AMA1_{D12} 14 and Fusion-R_{D12} recombinant proteins. Red: reduced, NR: non-reduced. (D) Western blot analysis of AMA1_{D12} and Fusion-R_{D12} proteins using conformation-dependent AMA1 mAbs 1F9 15 16 and 4G2. Both antibodies did not bind Fusion- R_{D12} . (E) RON2L-Fc (1µg/mL) was used to test 17 binding to recombinant 3D7AMA1_{D12}, Fusion-F_{D12HP41} and Fusion-R_{D12HP41} proteins. 18 3D7AMA1_{D12} and Fusion-R_{D12HP41} but not Fusion-F_{D12HP41} bound to free RON2L. Data shown 19 are mean±SEM of OD405 performed in three technical replicates. (F) RON2L-Fc binding to 20 3D7AMA1_{D12} but not Fusion-F_{D123D7}. Data shown are mean±SEM of OD405 performed in three 21 technical replicates. (G) Right: Secondary structure view of Fusion-F_{D12} with boxes indicating 22 the regions where the chimeric PfRON2L peptide begins and terminates. Left: Zoomed in 23 orthogonal views of the PfAMA1-PfRON2L contacts showing the successful fusion of the

1

PfAMA1 with PfRON2L. Few residues of both PfAMA1 and PfRON2L are indicated in ball andsticks for clarity.

26

27 Supplementary Figure 3. Antibody titer in purified IgG or serum of apoAMA1,

28 AMA1+RON2L binary complex and Fusion-F_{D12} immunized animals. (A) Antibody titer

against 3D7AMA1, Fusion-F_{D12}, or FVOAMA1 antibody in the purified IgG from animals

30 immunized with the indicated antigens in AddaVax and Freund's adjuvants. Data are shown for

31 individual animals (n=4-5 per group) and each data point is the average of three replicates.

32 Horizontal lines show the mean titer within each group. (B) Antibody titer against 3D7AMA1,

33 Fusion-F_{D12}, or FVOAMA1 in the serum of animals immunized with the indicated antigens in

34 AddaVax and Freund's adjuvants. Data are shown for individual animals (n=5 per group) and

35 each data point is the average of three replicates. Horizontal lines show the mean titer within

36 each group. (C, D) Anti-3D7 AMA1 titer in the purified IgG from the three independent

37 immunization studies using Freunds or AddaVax adjuvants. Log10 transformed titer was used to

38 compare IgG between apoAMA1 D12 (C) and Fusion-F D12 (D) immunized animals. Brown-

39 Forsythe and Welch ANOVA test was performed to compare differences between groups.

40 Horizontal lines show the mean antibody titer in each group. (E, F) Anti-3D7 AMA1 titer in the

41 serum from two independent immunization studies using Freunds or AddaVax adjuvants. Log10

42 transformed titer was used to compare antibody titer between apoAMA1 D12 (E) and Fusion-FD12

43 (F) immunized animals. Brown-Forsythe and Welch ANOVA test was performed to compare

44 differences between groups. Horizontal lines show the mean antibody titer in each group.

45

46 Supplementary Figure 4: Antibody titer and neutralizing activity of purified IgG from apoAMA1, binary complex (AMA1+RON2L) and Fusion-F_{D12} immunized animals. (A) In 47 48 *vitro* neutralization (1-cycle) assay against vaccine-type Pf3D7 parasites using 2mg/mL of total 49 IgG from each animal. Data are shown for individual animals (n=4 per group) and each data 50 point is the average of three replicates. Horizontal lines show the mean neutralizing activity in 51 each group. Brown-Forsythe and Welch ANOVA test was performed to compare differences between groups. (B) AMA1-specific antibody titers in purified IgG from animals immunized 52 53 with 3D7apoAMA1_{D12} (blue square), 3D7AMA1_{D12}+RON2L binary complex (green circle), and 54 Fusion-F_{D12HP41} (purple triangle) immunized rats in Freund's adjuvant. Data are shown for 55 individual animals (n=4 per group) and each data point is the average of three replicates. 56 Horizontal lines show the mean antibody titer in each group. Brown-Forsythe and Welch 57 ANOVA test was performed to compare differences between groups. (C) Proportion of IgG 58 binding to non-vaccine type FVOAMA1 to vaccine-type 3D7AMA1. Data are shown for 59 individual animals (n=4 per group) and each data point is the average of three replicates. Horizontal line marks the mean for each group. One-way ANOVA was performed to compare 60 61 differences between groups.

62

63 Supplementary Figure 5. Parasite neutralization assay using IgG and serum. (A)

64 Relationship between anti-AMA1 antibody titer in serum (x-axis) and neutralizing (2-cycle)

activity in 2% serum (y-axis) between Fusion-F_{D12} (purple triangle) and apoAMA1_{D12} (blue

66 square) antigens in Freund's adjuvant. Each data point is the average of two replicates. (B) Left

67 panel: proportion of IgG1 to IgG2a AMA1-specific antibodies in rat sera of Fusion-F_{D12} (purple)

relative to apoAMA1_{D12} (blue) immunized animals in the AddaVax groups. Data shown are

69 mean \pm SEM (n = 5 per group) performed in duplicate. Right panel: proportion of IgG1 to IgG2a 70 Fusion-F_{D12}-specific antibodies in rat sera of Fusion-F_{D12} (purple) relative to apoAMA1_{D12} (blue) 71 immunized animals in the AddaVax group. Data are mean \pm SEM (n = 4 per group). Welch's t-72 test was performed to compare differences between groups. (C) Left panel: Proportion of IgG1 to 73 IgG2a AMA1-specific antibodies in rat sera of Fusion-F_{D12} (purple) relative to apoAMA1_{D12} 74 (blue) immunized animals in the Freund's group. Data shown are mean \pm SEM (n = 5 per group). 75 Right panel: Proportion of Fusion-F_{D12} vs apoAMA1_{D12} specific IgM type antibodies in the sera 76 of Fusion- F_{D12} (purple) relative to apoAMA1_{D12} (blue) immunized animals in the Freund's 77 group. Data are mean \pm SEM (n = 5 per group). Welch's t-test was performed to compare 78 differences between groups. (D) Anti-RON2L-specific IgG titer in serum of apoAMA1_{D12} (blue) 79 or Fusion- F_{D12} (purple) antigen immunized animals in Freund's adjuvant. Data shown are 80 mean \pm SEM (n = 5 per group. In all experiments the average of technical replicates for each 81 animal was used for analysis. Welch's t-test was performed to compare differences between 82 groups. (E) IgA titer in serum of apoAMA1_{D12} (blue) or Fusion-F_{D12} (purple) antigen immunized 83 animals in Freund's adjuvant. Data shown are mean \pm SEM (n = 5 per group. In all experiments 84 the average of two technical replicates for each animal was used for analysis. Welch's t-test was 85 performed to compare differences between groups.

86

Supplementary Figure 6. Antibody type and isotype differences between apoAMA1_{D12} and
Fusion-F_{D12} immunized rat serum and parasite neutralizing activity. (A) ELISA OD₄₅₀ after
AMA1 titer normalization of IgG from apoAMA1_{D12} and Fusion-F_{D12} groups (n=5 per group)
used in Fig 3B, Fig 4A-4C, 4F. (B) Comparison of 1-cycle vs 2-cycle parasite neutralization
assay. Spearman's rank test (rS) was performed to analyze correlation between the two assays.

•	
$\mathbf{A}_{\text{Fusion}-F_{D12}}_{\text{Fusion}-R_{D12}}$	NYMGNPWTEYMAKYDIEEVHGSGIRVDLGEDAEVAGTQYRLPSGKCPVFGKGIIIENSKT NYMGNPWTEYMAKYDIEEVHGSGIRVDLGEDAEVAGTQYRLPSGKCPVFGKGIIIENSKT
$Fusion-F_{D12}$ Fusion-R _{D12}	TFLTPVATGNQYLKDGGFAFPPTEPLMSPMTLDDMRLLYKDNEDVKNLDELTLCSRHAGN TFLTPVATGNQYLKDGGFAFPPTEPLMSPMTLDDMRLLYKDNEDVKNLDELTLCSRHAGN
Fusion- F_{D12} Fusion- R_{D12}	MIPDNDKNSNYKYPAVYDDKDKKCHILYIAAQENNGPRYCNKDESKRNSMFCFRPAKDIS MIPDNDKNSNYKYPAVYDDKDKKCHILYIAAQENNGPRYCNKDESKRNSMFCFRPAKDIS
$Fusion-F_{D12}$ Fusion-R _{D12}	FQNLVYLSKNVVHNWEKVCPRKNLQNAKFGLWVDGNCEDIPHVNEFSANDLFECNKLVFE FQNLVYLSKNVVHNWEKVCPRKNLQNAKFGLWVDGNCEDIPHVNEFSANDLFECNKLVFE
Fusion-F _{D12} Fusion-R _{D12}	RON2L (Forward construct) Linker LSASDQPKQYEQHLTQQAKDIGAGPVASCFTTRMSPPQQICLNSVVNTALSGGSGGGNAA LSASDQPKQYEQHLGGSGGGGGSLATNVVSNLCIQQPPSMRTTFCSAVPGAG Linker RON2L (Reverse construct)
Fusion- F_{D12} Fusion- R_{D12}	MIKSAFLPTGAFKADRYKSHGKGYNWGNYNTETQKCEIFNVKPTCLINDKNYIATTALSH IDKAQQGGSGGGGGDRYKSHGKGYNWGNYNTETQKCEIFNVKPTCLINDKNYIATTALSH Linker
Fusion- F_{D12} Fusion- R_{D12}	PIEVEAAA PIEVEAAA
B HP41-RON2L 3D7-RON2L	Id NYMGNPWTEYMAKYDIEEVHGSGIRVDLGEDAEVAGTQYRL NYMGNPWTEYMAKYDIEEVHGSGIRVDLGEDAEVAGTQYRL
HP41-RON2L	PSGKCPVFGKGIIIENSKTTFLTPVATGNQYLKDGGFAFPPTEPLMSPMTLDDMRLLYKD
3D7-RON2L	1e loop
HP41-RON2L	NEDVKNLDELTLCSRHAGNMIPDNDKNSNYKYPAVYDDKDKKCHILYIAAQENNGPRYCN
3D7-RON2L	NKYVKNLDELTLCSRHAGNMIPDNDKNSNYKYPAVYDDKDKKCHILYIAAQENNGPRYCN
	1f loop
HP41-RON2L	KDESKRNSMFCFRPAKDISFQNLVYLSKNVV H NWEKVCPRKNLQNAKFGLWVDGNCEDIP
3D7-RON2L	KDESKRNSMFCFRPAKDISFQNLVYLSKNVVDNWEKVCPRKNLQNAKFGLWVDGNCEDIP
	RON2L
HP41-RON2L	HVNEF S ANDLFECNKLVFELSASDOPKOYEOHLTOOAKDIGAGPVASCFTTRMSPPOOIC
3D7-RON2L	HVNEF P AIDLFECNKLVFELSASDQPKQYEQHLTQQAKDIGAGPVASCFTTRMSPPQQIC
	RON2L Linker
HP41-RON2L	
3D7-RON2L	LNSVVNTALSGGSGGGNASMIKSAFLPTGAFKADRYKSHGKGYNWGNYNTETQKCEIFNV
HP41-RON2L 3D7-RON2L	KPTCLINDKNYIATTALSHPIEVE KPTCLINDKNYIATTALSHPIEVE





Suppl Fig 4







D

Ε







A. Data collection statistics Spacegroup $P3_12$ a, b, c (Å) 92.50, 92.50, 173.68 α, β, γ (deg.) 90, 90, 120 Wavelength 0.984 Resolution range (Å) 58.88 – 1.55 (1.58 – 1.55) Measured reflections 855,225 (38,498) Unique reflections 124,774 (6,114) Redundancy 6.9 (6.3) Completeness (%) 99.7 (100.0) $I/\sigma(I)$ 11.4 (2.1) Rmerge 0.075 (0.624) B. Refinement statistics 57.80 – 1.55
Spacegroup $P3_12$ a, b, c (Å) 92.50, 92.50, 173.68 α, β, γ (deg.) 90, 90, 120 Wavelength 0.984 Resolution range (Å) 58.88 – 1.55 (1.58 – 1.55) Measured reflections 855,225 (38,498) Unique reflections 124,774 (6,114) Redundancy 6.9 (6.3) Completeness (%) 99.7 (100.0) $I/\sigma(I)$ 11.4 (2.1) R _{merge} 0.075 (0.624) B. Refinement statistics 57.80 – 1.55
a, b, c (Å)92.50, 92.50, 173.68 α, β, γ (deg.)90, 90, 120Wavelength0.984Resolution range (Å)58.88 - 1.55 (1.58 - 1.55)Measured reflections855,225 (38,498)Unique reflections124,774 (6,114)Redundancy6.9 (6.3)Completeness (%)99.7 (100.0) $I/\sigma(I)$ 11.4 (2.1)R merge0.075 (0.624)
α, β, γ (deg.) 90, 90, 120 Wavelength 0.984 Resolution range (Å) 58.88 - 1.55 (1.58 - 1.55) Measured reflections 855,225 (38,498) Unique reflections 124,774 (6,114) Redundancy 6.9 (6.3) Completeness (%) 99.7 (100.0) $I/\sigma(I)$ 11.4 (2.1) R _{merge} 0.075 (0.624) B. Refinement statistics 57.80 - 1.55
Wavelength 0.984 Resolution range (Å) $58.88 - 1.55 (1.58 - 1.55)$ Measured reflections $855,225 (38,498)$ Unique reflections $124,774 (6,114)$ Redundancy $6.9 (6.3)$ Completeness (%) $99.7 (100.0)$ $I/\sigma(I)$ $11.4 (2.1)$ R _{merge} $0.075 (0.624)$ B. Refinement statistics $57.80 - 1.55$
Resolution range (Å) $58.88 - 1.55 (1.58 - 1.55)$ Measured reflections $855,225 (38,498)$ Unique reflections $124,774 (6,114)$ Redundancy $6.9 (6.3)$ Completeness (%) $99.7 (100.0)$ $I/\sigma(I)$ $11.4 (2.1)$ R _{merge} $0.075 (0.624)$ B. Refinement statistics $57.80 - 1.55$
Measured reflections 855,225 (38,498) Unique reflections 124,774 (6,114) Redundancy 6.9 (6.3) Completeness (%) 99.7 (100.0) $I/\sigma(I)$ 11.4 (2.1) R _{merge} 0.075 (0.624) B. Refinement statistics 57.80 1.55
Unique reflections $124,774 (6,114)$ Redundancy $6.9 (6.3)$ Completeness (%) $99.7 (100.0)$ $I/\sigma(I)$ $11.4 (2.1)$ R _{merge} $0.075 (0.624)$ B. Refinement statistics 57.80 1.55
Redundancy $6.9 (6.3)$ Completeness (%) $99.7 (100.0)$ $I/\sigma(I)$ $11.4 (2.1)$ R _{merge} $0.075 (0.624)$ B. Refinement statistics $57.80 - 1.55$
Completeness (%) 99.7 (100.0) $I/\sigma(I)$ 11.4 (2.1) Rmerge 0.075 (0.624) B. Refinement statistics 57.80 1.55
$I/\sigma(I)$ 11.4 (2.1) R_{merge} 0.075 (0.624) B. Refinement statistics 57.80 - 1.55
Rmerge0.075 (0.624)B. Refinement statisticsPasolution (Å)57.80
B. Refinement statistics Pasolution $\begin{pmatrix} \delta \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ 57.80 1.55
B. Refinement statistics Pasolution (\mathring{A}) 57.80 1.55
Posolution (\mathring{A}) 57.80 1.55
Kesolulloli (A) 57.09 – 1.33
R _{work} / R _{free} 0.190/0.219
No. of atoms
Protein (A/B) 2703/2791
Solvent/Sulfate 700/5
Average B-values (Å ²)
Protein (A/B) 25.5/28.9
Solvent/Sulfate 36.4/24.6
r.m.s. deviation from ideality
Bond lengths (Å) 0.008
Bond angles (deg.) 1.14
Ramachandran statistics (%)
Most favoured 98.0
Allowed 2.0
Disallowed 0.0
Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell

Supplementary Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics