	Item No	Recommendation	~
Title and abstract	1	(<i>a</i>) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or	
		the abstract	Pg 1-2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what	
		was done and what was found	Pg 2-3
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being	
-		reported	Pg 4-5
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Pg 5
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Pg 5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of	8 -
		recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Pg 5-6
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of	
1		participants. Describe methods of follow-up	Pg 5-7
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and	8
		unexposed	n/a
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders,	
		and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Pg 6-8
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of	0
measurement	Ũ	assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods	
incus ai ciniciti		if there is more than one group	Pg 5-9
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Pg 7-11
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Pg 5-6
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If	Pg 9,
Qualificative variables	11	applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	Pg 6-8
Statistical methods	12	(<i>a</i>) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for	1500
Statistical methods	12	confounding	Pg 9-11
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	Pg 10-
			12
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	Pg 10
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	Pg 10
		(<i>a</i>) It applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed (<i>e</i>) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Pg 10-
		(<u>e)</u> Describe any sensitivity analyses	1g 10-
Doculta			11
Results Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers	
	10	potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in	Pg 11,
		the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	Fig 1
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Fig 1
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Fig 1
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical,	Pg 11-
Descriptive data	14	social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	12,
		sociary and mormation on exposures and potential comounders	Table 1,
			Fig 2
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of	Pg 9,

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cohort studies

		interest	Table
			S 1
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	Pg 6-7
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Pg 11,
			Table 1
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted	Pg 12,
		estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear	Table 2,
		which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	Fig 3
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were	Pg 6-7,
		categorized	9, 11,
			Table 1
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute	n/a
		risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions,	Pg 12-
		and sensitivity analyses	13,
			Tables
			S3-7,
			Figures
			S4-5

Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Pg 13
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential	
		bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential	Pg 14-
		bias	15
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives,	
		limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other	
		relevant evidence	Pg 15
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Pg 14
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study	
		and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is	
		based	Pg 16

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.