Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2018

1 Electronic Supplementary Information

2

3 Development of a Hydrophilic Interaction Liquid Chromatography (HILIC) Method for the

- 4 Chemical Characterization of Water-Soluble Isoprene Epoxydiol (IEPOX)-Derived 5 Secondary Organic Aerosol
- 6 Tiangu Cui^{1,a}, Zhexi Zeng^{1,a}, Erickson O. dos Santos² Zhenfa Zhang¹, Yuzhi Chen¹, Yue Zhang^{1,3},
- 7 Caitlin A. Rose¹, Sri H. Budisulistiorini^{1,b}, Leonard B. Collins¹, Wanda M. Bodnar¹, Rodrigo A.

8 F. de Souza⁴, Scot T. Martin^{5,6}, Cristine M. D. Machado², Barbara J. Turpin¹, Avram Gold¹,

- 9 Andrew P. Ault^{7,8}, Jason D. Surratt^{1,*}
- ¹ Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Gillings School of Global Public
 ¹¹ Health, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA
- 12 ² Department of Chemistry, Federal University of Amazonas, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil
- 13 ³ Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, Massachusetts, USA
- 14 ⁴Superior School of Technology, University of the State of Amazonas, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil
- 15 ⁵ School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts,
- 16 USA
- ⁶ Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts,
 USA
- ⁷ Department of Environmental Health Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan,
 USA
- ⁸ Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA
- 22
- 23
- ²⁴ ^a These authors contributed equally to the work presented here.
- 25 ^bCurrent address: Earth Observatory of Singapore, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore
- 26
- 27
- 28 *To whom correspondence should be addressed.
- 29 Tel: (919)-966-0470. Fax: (919)-966-7911. Email: <u>surratt@unc.edu</u> (J.D.S.)
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34 For Submission to: Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts
- 35
- 1



37 Figure S1. Structure and ¹H NMR (D₂O, 400 MHz) of 2-methytetrol sulfate diastereomer standard

38 (ammonium 1, 3, 4-trihydroxy-2-methylbutan-2-yl sulfate; optical configurations indicated below

³⁹ in Figure S2).



Figure S2. The EICs in Figure 1 (b1-b2) are reproduced here for the 2- and 3-methyltetrol sulfate 41 standards. The tertiary methyltetrol sulfate esters with correct optical configurations at the 42 asymmetric centers are enclosed in dashed grey boxes. The top row of structures shows all possible 43 the structural isomers without optical configurations indicated. From left to right: 1,3,4-trihydroxy-44 3-methylbutan-2-yl sulfate, 1,3,4-trihydroxy-2-methylbutan-2-yl sulfate (2-methyltetrol sulfate, in 45 dashed orange box), 2,3,4-trihydroxy-3-methylbutyl sulfate (3-methyltetrol sulfate in solid red 46 box), and 2,3,4-trihydroxy-2-methylbutyl sulfate. In the insets at the right side of the figure, EICs 47 at a reduced scale (10%) indicate a trace peak(s) at ~6.8 min, which may represent the primary 48 sulfate ester derived from δ -IEPOX (2,3,4-trihydroxy-2-methylbutyl sulfate). 49



Figure S3. Total ion chromatograms (TICs) of a laboratory-generated β -IEPOX-derived SOA sample separated on a) RPLC C₁₈ column, and b) HILIC BEH amide column.





57 Figure S4. Mass spectra from HILIC/ESI-HR-QTOFMS of the chromatographic peak of m/z

58 215.023 from **a**) 10 μ g mL⁻¹ standard of 3-methyltetrol sulfate, **b**) laboratory-generated δ -IEPOX

59 SOA, and c) PM_{2.5} sample collected at Look Rock during 2013 SOAS campaign, at RT at: 1) 2.2

60 min; 2) 4.5 min; and 3) 9.6 min. Note that the chromatographic peak in "c3" has significantly

61 smaller response rate compared to the other chromatographic peaks.

63 Aerosol Volume Measurement, Mass Calculation, and Mass Closure for Laboratory-

64 Generated SOA

65 Chamber aerosol number distributions, which were subsequently converted to total aerosol surface area and volume concentrations, were measured by a scanning electrical mobility system 66 (SEMS v5.0, Brechtel Manufacturing Inc. - BMI) containing a differential mobility analyzer 67 (DMA, BMI) coupled to a mixing condensation particle counter (MCPC Model 1710, BMI). The 68 SEMS system has an internal Nafion dryer connected inline between its inlet and neutralizer. Total 69 aerosol mass concentration was calculated using the total volume concentration multiplied by the 70 density of 1.42 g mL⁻¹ of the particles formed after reaction (for the seed aerosol of acidified 71 ammonium sulfate, a density of 1.77 g mL⁻¹ was used). The densities used above were reported 72 by Riva et al. based on single-particle characterizations from experiments conducted with trans-73 β -IEPOX and acidified ammonium sulfate aerosols under similar conditions.¹ However, the 74 volume growth was found to be lower for δ -IEPOX-derived SOA, which means the resulting 75 density was higher than 1.42 g mL⁻¹. Therefore, assuming the widely reported organic density of 76 1.2 g mL⁻¹ and volume additivity, the resulting density for total aerosol has been corrected to 1.55 77 g mL⁻¹, which was used to calculate the mass of total aerosols generated from δ -IEPOX and 78 79 acidified ammonium sulfate. It is also reasonable to assume that sulfates, either in inorganic or organic forms, remained in the aerosol phase and the change in ammonium equilibrium between 80 81 the gas and aerosol phase after IEPOX uptake was small as indicated by Aerosol Chemical 82 Speciation Monitor (ACSM, Aerodyne Research Inc.) measurements. Therefore, the calculated aerosol mass concentration includes both the inorganic sulfate group and the sulfate groups that 83 are covalently bonded to the organic residue. The averaged aerosol masses during the PILS 84 85 collection periods for the two chamber experiments are shown in Table S1 below.

IEPOX Isomer	Seed Aerosols	Aerosol Mass (µg m ⁻³)	Temp (°C)	RH (%)
<i>trans</i> -β-IEPOX	$(\mathrm{NH}_4)_2\mathrm{SO}_4 + \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{SO}_4$	188.51	21-23	50-50
δ-ΙΕΡΟΧ	$(\mathrm{NH}_4)_2\mathrm{SO}_4 + \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{SO}_4$	150.13	21-23	50-55

86 Table S1. Experimental Conditions and Calculated Aerosol Mass for Laboratory-Generated
 87 IEPOX SOA

89 Figure S5 shows the aerosol volume concentration and the seed volume decay during the experiments. For the laboratory-generated SOA from trans-\beta-IEPOX (top), the PILS sample 90 selected was collected near the end of the experiment at time 3:27 with 2-methyltetrols measured 91 to be ~64 μ g m⁻³ and methyltetrol sulfates measured to be ~110 μ g m⁻³. At the same time, the 92 SEMS-MCPC system measured 133 µm³ cm⁻³ total particle volume concentration, which was 93 converted to a total mass concentration of 189 μ g m⁻³ (density = 1.42 g mL⁻¹); and 42 μ m³ cm⁻³ 94 seed particle volume concentration (assuming first-order decay rate), which was converted to a 95 total seed mass concentration of 75 μ g m⁻³ (density = 1.77 g mL⁻¹). Thus, the two quantified 96 IEPOX SOA components accounted for ~92% of the total aerosol mass, which suggests that the 97 inorganic sulfate in the seed aerosol might be substantially converted into organosulfates. This was 98 supported by measurements using ion chromatography for the PILS samples collected during the 99 100 course of the experiment. These details are being expanded upon in another manuscript just 101 submitted. A similar mass-closure situation was observed for the laboratory-generated SOA from δ -IEPOX (Figure S5, bottom). 102



105 Figure S5. Time profile of total aerosol volume concentration and aerosol mass breakdown for the experiments from (top) trans-β-IEPOX; and (bottom) δ-IEPOX. Ammonium bisulfate particles 106 were injected into the chamber to reach ~ 75 μ m³ cm⁻³. After seed injection, the chamber was left 107 108 static for at least 30 min to ensure that the seed aerosol was stable and uniformly mixed. Then, 30 mg of *trans*- β - or δ -IEPOX was injected into the chamber. PILS collection was performed at the 109 end of each experiment, as indicated by the arrow. The bars on the right side show the mass 110 111 concentrations of the total particles, the initial seed particles measured by the SEMS. 2-Methyltetrols and methyltetrol sulfates concentrations were measured by the HILIC/ESI-HR-112 QTOFMS protocol and indicated by the blue and green bars as well. 113



116 Figure S6. GC/EI-MS EICs of m/z 219 corresponding to 2-methyltetrols (RT = 34.0, 34.8 min)

117 from: **a**) 50 μg mL⁻¹ standard of 2-methyltetrol; **b**) 50 μg mL⁻¹ standard of 2-methyltetrol sulfate; 118 **c**) 50 μg mL⁻¹ standard of 3-methyltetrol sulfate; **d**) laboratory-generated β-IEPOX SOA; **e**)

118 c) 50 μ g mL⁻¹ standard of 3-methyltetrol sulfate; d) laboratory-generated β -IEPOX SOA; e) 119 laboratory-generated δ -IEPOX SOA; f) PM_{2.5} sample at Look Rock during 2013 SOAS campaign;

120 g) $PM_{2.5}$ sample at Manaus in Nov. 2016. Note that the y-axis scale was adjusted to the highest

121 peak in each panel, with the labelled abundance in percentage relative to that in Panel (a).



123 Figure S7. GC/EI-MS EICs of m/z 262 corresponding to 3-MeTHF-3,4-diols (RT = 22.0, 22.6

124 min) from: **a**) 50 μ g mL⁻¹ standard of 2-methyltetrol; **b**) 50 μ g mL⁻¹ standard of 2-methyltetrol 125 sulfate; **c**) 50 μ g mL⁻¹ standard of 3-methyltetrol sulfate; **d**) laboratory-generated β -IEPOX SOA;

125 surface, **c**) so µg mL standard of 5-methylettol surface, **d**) laboratory-generated β -IEPOX SOA; 126 **e**) laboratory-generated δ -IEPOX SOA; **f**) PM_{2.5} sample at Look Rock during 2013 SOAS

120 c) habitatory-generated b-herox SOA, i) $PM_{2.5}$ sample at Look Rock during 2013 SOAS 127 campaign; g) $PM_{2.5}$ sample at Manaus in Nov. 2016. Note that the y-axis scale was adjusted to the

highest peak in each panel, with the labelled abundance in percentage relative to that in Panel (c).

129 Estimation of C₅-Alkene Triols, 2-Methyltetrols, and 3-MeTHF-3,4-diols Potentially 130 Resulting from Thermal Degradation of Methyltetrol Sulfates

As shown in Figure 5, a large amount of C₅-alkene triols was observed from the 2-131 methyltetrol sulfate standard using GC/EI-MS, while 2-methyltetrols (Figure S6) and 3-MeTHF-132 3,4-diols (Figure S7) were observed from the 3-methyltetrol sulfate standard. By running both 133 134 methyltetrol sulfate standards from 0.25-50 μ g mL⁻¹, we established a semi-quantitative relationship between the response of C₅-alkene triols (as well as 2-methyltetrols and 3-MeTHF-135 3,4-diols) produced and the concentrations of 2- (or 3-) methyltetrol sulfate standards prepared. 136 For example, the response factor of C₅-alkene triols was determined to be 15301 peak area (in EIC 137 of m/z 231 at 26.9, 27.9, and 28.3 min, see Figure 5) per 1 µg mL⁻¹ of 2-methyltetrol sulfate 138 standard. In Table S2, 2-methyltetrol sulfate from the laboratory-generated SOA from β -IEPOX 139 was measured using HILIC/ESI-HR-QTOFMS to be 1.773 µg mL⁻¹ in the 150 µL of solution after 140 reconstitution. The solvent of reconstitution was 95:5 ACN/water for HILIC/ESI-HR-QTOFMS 141 142 or 2:1 BSTFA (N,O-bis (trimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide + trimethylchlorosilane, 99:1, Supelco) /pyridine for GC/EI-MS. After correction for the 200-fold dilution, 2-methyltetrol sulfate was 143 determined to be 355 µg mL⁻¹ as listed in the table. In another aliquot of the same filter extract, 144 145 the response of C₅-alkene triols was back-calculated as a result of 1183 μ g mL⁻¹ 2-methyltetrol sulfate, according to the semi-quantitative relationship described above, assuming the same yield 146 147 of C₅-alkene triols from the 2-methyltetrol sulfate standard, since the standards and samples were 148 analyzed in one GC/EI-MS sequence.

By doing this, as shown in Table S2, we attribute 30.0% (355 µg mL⁻¹/1183 µg mL⁻¹ = 150 30.0%), 42.8%, and 14.7% of the C₅-alkene triols to the potential thermal degradation of 2-

- 151 methyltetrol sulfate from the laboratory-generated SOA from β -IEPOX, the Look Rock, and the
- 152 Manaus samples, respectively.

	2-Methyltetrol sulfate measured	C ₅ -Alkene triols attributed to 2- methyltetrol sulfate	
	μg mL-1	μg mL-1	%
Lab. SOA from β-IEPOX	355 a	1183	30.0%
Look Rock, TN, USA	58	136	42.8%
Manaus, Brazil	175	1185	14.7%

^a The concentrations are converted to those in the 150-µL solution after reconstitution for the dried filter extract.

155

156 Similarly, the response factors of 2-methyltetrols and 3-MeTHF-3,4-diols were determined

to be 5659 and 2169 peak area per 1 μ g mL⁻¹ of 3-methyltetrol sulfate standard, respectively. Thus, 157

as shown in Table S3, thermal degradation of 3-methyltetrol sulfate might result in 11.1% and over 158

100% (112.3%) of the 2-methyltetrols and 3-MeTHF-3,4-diols observed in the laboratory-159

- generated SOA from δ -IEPOX. 160
- 161

162 Table S3. Estimation of 2-Methyltetrols and 3-MeTHF-3,4-diols due to Thermal Degradation of 163 3-Methyltetrol Sulfate

	3-Methyltetrol sulfate measured	2-Methyltetrols attributed to 3-methyltetrol sulfate		3-MeTHF-3,4-diols attributed to 3-methyltetrol sulfate	
	μg mL ⁻¹	μg mL ⁻¹	%	μg mL ⁻¹	%
Lab. SOA from δ-IEPOX	361 a	3247	11.1%	322	112.3%

 a The concentrations are converted to those in the 150-µL solution after reconstitution for the dried filter extracts. 165

166

168 **Reference**

- 169 (1) Riva, M.; Bell, D. M.; Hansen, A.-M.; Drozd, G. T.; Zhang, Z.; Gold, A.; Imre, D.; Surratt, J.
- 170 D.; Glasius, M.; Zelenyuk, A. Effect of Organic Coatings, Humidity and Aerosol Acidity on
- 171 Multiphase Chemistry of Isoprene Epoxydiols. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, 5580–8, 50 (11).
- 172 (2) A. P. S. Hettiyadura, T. Jayarathne, K. Baumann, A. H. Goldstein, J. A. de Gouw, A. Koss, F.
- 173 N. Keutsch, K. Skog, and E. A. Stone, Qualitative and quantitative analysis of atmospheric
- 174 organosulfates in Centreville, Alabama, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2017, 17, 1343-1359.