## Corrections

Vol. 80: 752-759, 1986

Edwin L. Fiscus. Diurnal changes in volume and solute transport coefficients of *Phaseolus* roots.

There is a mistake in equation 6 due to an arithmetic error during rearrangement of equation 5. When the " $2\sigma\pi^0$  +" is removed, the equation will read correctly. Subsequent changes in the appendix are:

$$b = \omega RT - L_{\rho} (\Delta P - \sigma^{2} \pi^{0} - \pi^{*}),$$
  

$$h = \Delta P - \sigma^{2} \pi^{0} - \pi^{*} \text{ and}$$
  

$$\left(\frac{\partial J_{\nu}}{\partial \sigma}\right)_{\omega,J_{s},\pi^{*},L_{p}} = L_{p} \left[\frac{RTJ_{s}^{*} + b\sigma\pi^{0}}{\sqrt{d}} - \sigma\pi^{0}\right].$$
(12)

The consequences of these changes are as follows, and are fortunately negligible in the present case:

- 1. The value of  $\sigma$  will remain unchanged since it was obtained by fitting the data to equation 2.
- 2. The only other parameters in Table I to change as a result of fitting the data to the corrected equation 6 are  $J_s^*$  (increased by 1.7%) and  $\pi^*$  (increased by 2.2%).

- 3. The volume flux changes will not exceed a few percent.
- 4. The value of the partial differential coefficient given in equation 12 is substantially unchanged.

Although the error is regrettable, none of the conclusions of the paper are changed because  $\sigma$  is high. When  $\sigma$  is close to  $1, -2\sigma\pi^0 + \sigma^2\pi^0 \sim -\sigma^2\pi^0$  and the analysis is relatively unaffected. However, for the future, the corrected form of the equations should be used regardless of the value of  $\sigma$ .

Vol. 85: 662-666, 1987

- Steven J. Crafts-Brandner and Dennis B. Egli. Sink Removal and Leaf Senescence in Soybean. Cultivar Effects.
- Page 663, Figure 1, and page 664, Figure 3, values on the y axis are incorrect and need to be multiplied by  $10^4$  in order to be correctly expressed as  $\mu$  m<sup>-2</sup>.