Su

uppl	eme	entary Ta	ble I. Data collection sheet						
Mate	rnal his	story / data							
1)	Histo	ory of mate	ernal MG (Y/N) any details?						
2) 3)	Mate	ins of mate	near prior to suspicion						
4)	Mate	rnal sympt	oms pre during post pregnancy						
5) History of previous pregnancy/miscarriages and details?									
6)	Deci	sion not to	have further children						
7)	(Fam	ily) history	r of other autoimmune disorders						
8) 9)	Fotal		amnios when etc ts/ in utero AMC contractures etc etc.						
10)	ACh	R results							
Infant									
	I)	Demogra	phics						
		a.	Gender						
	2)	D. Porinatal I	Age at last follow-up						
	2)	a	II IGR						
		а. b.	Birth measurements height, weight and head size						
		с.	Apgar indices						
		d.	Details of resuscitation						
		e.	Details of neonatal examination						
			i. "Dysmorphism" (low set ears, ptosis, small chin, high arch palate, etc etc						
	3)	Developm	II. INCONTRAL NEUROLOGICAL EXAM						
	5)	a.	Motor development						
		ь.	Speech development						
		с.	Hearing						
	0	d.	Vision						
	4)	Respirato	ry involvement						
		а. Ь	ventilatory support (details and length of) Pulmonary complications (e.g. diaphragmatic hernia, pulmonary hypoplasia)						
	5)	Bulbar inv	olvement						
	-)	а.	NG tube feeding (details and length of)						
		b.	Gastrostomy feeding (details and length of)						
		с.	Dysarthria						
	()	d. Other me	Dysphagia diad a nablama						
	0)	Other me	Pyloric stenosis						
		а. b.	Diaphragmatic hernia						
		с.	Hearing loss (conductive, sensorineural)						
		d.	Learning difficulties, autism						
	-	e.	Others						
	/)	Examinati	On findings Weakness (facial avial limbs)						
		a. b.	Ptosis						
		с.	EOM involvement						
		d.	Contractures (distribution and course)						
		e.	Scoliosis						
		t.	Details of surgical interventions (if any)						
		g. h	Ears						
	8)	Investigati	ons						
	- /	a.	Antibodies (age done in mother and infant)						
		b.	EMG/NCS						
		с.	Genetics						
	0)	d. Natural hi	Muscle biopsy						
	")	a	Age at last follow-up						
		ц. b.	Duration of follow-up						
		с.	Description of disease trajectory (improving, stable, deteriorating)						
		d.	Typical residual findings at last follow-up						
		e.	Relationship between maternal treatment and outcome						
	10)	Kesponse	to treatment						
		a. b.	Salbutamol						
		σ.							

c. IVIG/Plasmapheresis d. Anecdotal observations Anything else relevant interesting about your case please do include

Supplementary Table 2a. Maternal therapies including pregnancy immunotherapies (pIMT)(intensity and timing) and effect on offspring

Case/	Prednisolo	ne	IV	IG	PLE	х	pIMT	Maternal	Thym-	Offspring outcome		Comment for other	
Reference	Regime	Trim.	Regime	Trim.	Regime	Trim	Score	AChEi	ectomy	Dead	AMC	Other	pregnancies
	q.o.d: 50mg pre-												
	natal to 5m; 30mg		(0	NI- the second start Caract
5.0*	The second second		6-weekly	2	6 courses	2	18	Y	Y	N	N	9m: minimal issue,	No therapy, significant
5.2				2	0 courses	2	10	-	•			8w: 32w gestation	sequeiae in a sibility
	"low dose" until		At 23w (x									NIPPV, distal	
	23w, then q.o.d x		5d) and		x5d: at 23w							contractures, NG fed,	
9.1*	Im, then 20mg/d	1	27w	2	and 4w later	2	16	Y	Ν	N	Y	improving	N/A
												18m: orofacial	
		Ι.					_					weakness, dysphagia	N //
10.1**	ISmg/d		N	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	Y	N	N	N	(improving)	N/A
	LOW dose		Monthly										
	early pregnancy (5		from m4										No therapy significant
11.2*	to 20mg/d)	1	to m9	2	N/A	N/A	15	Y	Y	N	N	10y: normal	sequelae in a sibling
	U												13.2 sibling below, lower
	35mg/d											I I y: Facial weakness,	pred. dose, more
12.1**	continuously	Ι	Ν	N/A	N/A	N/A	9	N	Y	N	N	mild limb fatigability	severely affected
													13.1 above sibling better
10.0**	10mg/d x 10 wks,	.	N		N1/A	N1/A	4		~		N	5y: fatigable, facial	outcome with higher
12.2	then ismg/d	1	IN	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	4	IN	I	IN	IN	Weakness, nasai	prea. dose
												weakness distal	
4. **	40mg a.o.d	1	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	ΙΥ	N	N	Y	contractures, nasal	N/A
	0 1						-					4y: "less affected than	Previous sibling no
22.2 (F) [Hacohen					q.o.d x 3w							older sister", nasal	therapy, more severe
et al 1.2)]**	N	N/AI	N	N/A	end T2	2	6	U	N	N	Y	speech	phenotype
	4.07.10.		<i>c</i>		4.00								Subsequent offspring less
23.1 (F) [Hacohen	At 2/w, 10 to		Course at	2	At 20w x 8	2	0		N	~	v	Died, AMC, pulmonary	affected with intense
	70mg/d	3	27 W	3	cycles	2	0		IN	1		hypopiasia 6y: less affected (prior	unerapy
												sibling died): but weak	
23.2 (F) [Hacohen			As									face, mild motor,	See 23.1 (F): severe
et al 2.2]**	N	N/A	required	U	x3 near T2	1	10	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	speech	(died)
												5y: markedly less	
			_									severe than Sibling F2,	
23.3 (F) [Hacohen		N1/A	From ml			N1/A		V	v			completely resolved	See 23.2 (F): IVIG was
et al 2.3	N	N/A	to m5	1	N/A	N/A	11	Ť	Ĭ	N	N	after infancy	ad hoc.
Z4.1 (□) [Hacoben et al	20mg g o d from											Died at 17 days	Yes below sibling
3.11**	outset	1	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	Y	Y	Y	U	respiratory failure	survived
24.2 (H)		-					-	-			-		
[Hacohen et al												Facial and bulbar	
3.2]**	10mg q.o.d	Ι	Ν	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	Ν	Y	Ν	U	weakness	see 24.1 (H), died
25.1 (H)													
[Hacohen et al			N		At 27w, x7			V	NI	V	v	Fuer die d	N1/A
4.1]**		IN/A	IN	IN/A	exchanges	3	3	T	IN	ľ	ľ	Sm: died	N/A

28.1 (F) [Oskoui	Yes, unknown											18y: speech difficulty/	Subsequent pregnancies
et al sibling 1]**	intensity	U	Ν	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	Y	Y	N	Ν	facial weakness	treated more intensely
												16y: less severe	Previous sibling more
28.2 (F) [Oskoui	Yes, unknown				Bi-weekly							compared to 1 st sib.	affected, less intense
et al sibling 2]*	intensity	1	Ν	N/A	after TI	2	11	Y	Y	N	Ν	speech/ face weakness	regime
												l 5y: less severe	Previous 2 siblings more
28.3 (F) [Oskoui	Yes, unknown				Bi-weekly							compared to 1 st and 2 nd	affected, less intense
et al sibling 3]*	intensity	1	Ν	N/A	throughout	1	14	Y	Y	N	Ν	sibs, facial weakness	regime
36.7 (H) [Brueton					5d course at							TOP at 19 weeks, no	5 previous related
et al sibling II-7]*	Ν	N	N	N/A	12w and 16w	2	4	Y	N	Y	Y	conclusion	deaths
37.5 (F) [Polizzi et	Y, unknown												4 previous affected; 3
al: sibling 6 of	intensity (+AZT				Yes, unknown							5 th finger contracture	deaths, I survivor
Barnes et al]*	unknown dose)	U	Ν	N/A	intensity	U	12	U	Y	N	Ν	only	sequelae
													2 prior pregnancies
41.3 (H) [Carr et	Yes 40mg/80mg											Excellent, TNMG-like	lethal FADS
al: third child)]*	q.o.d	2	Ν	N/A	x6, w5-17	2	14	U	N	N	Ν	phenotype only	(no/unknown regimes)
49.4 (H) [Polizzi													
et al: Newsom-			Yes,		Yes, unknown								3 previous related-
Davis P4]*	U	N/A	unknown	U	intensity	U	12	U	Y	N	Ν	"normal"	deaths

Notes: Full outcome indeterminate in some (e.g. case 10.1 still an infant improving). *Cases (n=9/21) where immunotherapies (pIMT) were mainly targeted toward fetal protection (previous sibling affected or obstetric signs) and in most cases not in early pregnancy; **Cases (n=12/21) in which pIMT were administered mainly for maternal MG symptoms. The mean pIMT score for the "fetal protection" treatment group was 12.9 versus the "maternal MG symptoms" treatment group of 5.9 (p=0.001; 95% CI 3.6-10.3; independent sample t-test). There is a greater proportion of better outcomes [Cases 5.2, 11.2, 37.5 (F), 41.3 (H), and 49.4 (H)] in the treatment toward "fetal protection" group [except for outlier (Case 36.7H) which was a termination of pregnancy at 19 weeks in association with a lower individual score]. **Abbreviations:** (F) = case with follow up data; (H) = case with historical data from publication only; PLEX = plasma exchange; alt = alternate; IVIG = intravenous immunoglobulin; FADS = fetal akinesia deformation sequence; NG = nasogastric feeding; TOP = termination of pregnancy; d = days; w = weeks, m = months; y = years; q.o.d. = every other day; U = unknown; sib = sibling; Trim (T) = trimester; NIPPV = non-invasive positive pressure ventilation; AMC = arthrogryposis multiplex congenital; P4=patient 4 of that reference.

References to Supplementary Table 2

Barnes PR, Kanabar DJ, Brueton L, et al. Recurrent congenital arthrogryposis leading to a diagnosis of myasthenia gravis in an initially asymptomatic mother. Neuromuscular disorders 1995;5(1):59-65.

Brueton LA, Huson SM, Cox PM, et al. Asymptomatic maternal myasthenia as a cause of the Pena-Shokeir phenotype. American journal of medical genetics. 2000;92(1):1-6.

Oskoui M, Jacobson L, Chung WK, et al. Fetal acetylcholine receptor inactivation syndrome and maternal myasthenia gravis. Neurology. 2008;71(24):2010-2012.

Hacohen Y, Jacobson LW, Byrne S, et al. Fetal acetylcholine receptor inactivation syndrome: A myopathy due to maternal antibodies. Neurology(R) neuroimmunology & neuroinflammation. 2015;2(1):e57.

Carr SR, Gilchrist JM, Abuelo DN, Clark D. Treatment of antenatal myasthenia gravis. Obstetrics and gynecology. 1991;78(3 Pt 2):485-489.

Polizzi A, Huson SM, Vincent A. Teratogen update: maternal myasthenia gravis as a cause of congenital arthrogryposis. Teratology. 2000;62(5):332-341.

Case/ family			Ir	nterve	ention				Outco	ome	
	Pred.	Т	IVIG	т	PLEX	т	pIMT Score	Survival	Severe AMC	Муор.	Norm.
41.1 (H)	N	-	N	-	N	-	0	Dead	Y		
41.2 (H)	N	-	N	-	N	-	0	Dead	Y		
41.3 (H)	Y	2	Ν	-	Y	2	12	Alive			аY
23.I (F)	Y	3	Y	3	Y	3	8	Dead	Y		
23.2 (F)	Ν	-	Y	U	Y	1	10	Alive		Y	
23.3 (F)	Ν	-	Y	I	Ν	-	11	Alive			Y
11.1	Ν		Ν		N	-	0	Alive		Y	
11.2	Y	-	Y	2	N	-	15	Alive			Y
17.1	N	-	N	-	N	-	0	Dead	Y		
17.2	Ν	-	N	-	N	-	0	Dead	Y		
17-S			÷	Surro	gacy→			Alive			Y
17-S			÷	Surro	gacy→			Alive			Y

Supplemental Table 2b. Illustrative case scenarios showing effect of pregnancy immunotherapy (pIMT) on outcome

The main determinants of outcome are the mode and timing of immunotherapy during pregnancy, with plasmapheresis (PLEX) and intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG), alone or in combination with moderate-high dose steroids, early in the pregnancy most effective. Poor outcomes due to less effective (or ineffective) interventions are highlighted by dark grey shades, intermediate outcomes are highlighted by light grey shades, good outcomes are not shaded. Family 41 illustrates poor outcome (severe AMC and death) in two untreated pregnancies, but good outcome in the 3^{rd} pregnancy treated with combination of high dose prednisolone and PLEX in early gestation (*TNMG-like but details of longer follow up not available). Family 23 illustrates better outcome in the 2nd and good outcome in the 3rd pregnancies treated with PLEX and IVIG from trimester I respectively, compared to the 1st pregnancy with poor outcome where similar treatments were administered only from trimester 3 onward. Family 11 illustrates that the outcome may not be invariably severe even in untreated pregnancies (Case 11.1 with a myopathic non-AMC phenotype following untreated pregnancy) but may still improve further in appropriately treated subsequent pregnancies (Case 11.2, normal at 10 years follow -up). Family 17 illustrates a (same-sex) couple who had two previously affected lethal AMC affected untreated pregnancies, but subsequently used their own ovum for surrogacy (to the antibody negative, unaffected partner) achieving uncomplicated healthy 3rd and 4th pregnancies and offspring (cases 17-S). H = historical cases; F = follow up cases; Pred. = prednisolone; AMC = arthrogryposis multiplex congenital; Myop. = myopathic phenotype; Norm. = Normal; Y= yes. See Supplementary Table 2a for all treated cases and dose regimes.

Supplementary Table 3. Pregnancy immunotherapy (pIMT) score derivation

	Score	TI	Т2	Т3
IVIG	= 3	(x3)	(x2)	(x0.5)
PLEX	= 3	(x3)	(x2)	(x0.5)
High dose (>40-80mg/day) steroids*	= 3	(x3)	(x2)	(x0.5)
[IVIG/PLEX not deemed regular/repeated/intense]	= 2	(x3)	(x2)	(x0.5)
[unknown gestation = 6 only]				
[+2 if given across two trimesters beginning <20 wks]				
Moderate steroids (20-40mg/day)	= 2	(×3)	(x2)	(×0.5)
(unknown gestation = 4 only)				
(+2 if given across two trimesters as above)				
Lower steroids (<20mg/day)	= 1	(x3)	(x2)	(x0.5)
(unknown gestation = 2 only)				
(+1 if given across trimesters as above)				
If unknown steroid dose and gestation then 3 only.				
	Max = 29			

This pIMT score calculation is not intended as treatment recommendation, rather to quantify timing, intensity and variability in treatments. The main determinants of scoring were the mode and timing of treatment during pregnancy, with combinations of intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG), plasmapheresis (PLEX) and high dose steroids given early in the pregnancy attributed higher score, and seen as most effective. Scores are additive for steroids, IVIG and PLEX. *Steroid categorisation (scoring): high-moderate-lower dose was based on increased likelihood of immunosuppression, and is not intended as a treatment guideline. Other immunosuppressant included only I patient receiving azathioprine. T = trimester (T1 up to 13 weeks; T2 from 13 to 26 weeks; T3 from 27 to 40 weeks).

Supplementary Table 4. Treatments administered and investigations performed in offspring of myasthenia gravis/AChR antibody positive mothers

	Current			
	series	NA/ND	Literature	NA/ND
Cases	46		89	
Offspring investigations				
EMG	17/34 (50%)	12	23/50 (46%)	39
Muscle histology (biopsy/pm)	12/38 (31.6%)	8	24/61 (39.3%)	28
Genetic investigations	13/17 (76.5%)	29	14/18 (80%)	71
Offspring treatments				
Any Treatment	20/35 (57.1%)	11	29/58 (50%)	31
AChEi	17/34 (50%)	12	25/56 (44.6%)	33
IVIG	5/36 (13.9%)	10	5/58 (8.6%)	31
PLEX/ET	3/34 (8.8%)	12	4/56 (7.1%)	33
Salbutamol	16/37 (43.2%)	9	16/51 (31.4%)	38

Treatments (except salbutamol) were given in the neonatal period or early infancy under the suspicion of Transient Neonatal Myasthenia Gravis (TNMG). Salbutamol was administered to some neonates but at later ages of follow up in the majority. ND = no data; NA = not applicable; AChEi = Acetylcholine esterase inhibitors; EMG = electromyography; ET = exchange transfusion; pm = post mortem

Features	Current series	Current series + literature review	pIMT (none)	pIMT ^a (any)	P	RR	CI	IVIG/PLEX (no steroids)
	n=46	n=89	64/85 (75.3%)	21/85 (24.7%)				6/21 (28.6%)
Maternal								
Families/mothers	30	49	36	9	-	-	-	5
Single pregnancy only	17	28	20	4	-	-	-	I
Pregnancy MG undiagnosed	23/46 (50%)	36/89 (40.4%)	35/64 (54.7%)	1/21 (4.8%)	.004	11.484	2.337 – 64.986	0/6 (0%)
Pregnancy MG symptoms	20/41 (48.8%)	34/66 (51.5%)	22/52 (42.3%)	10/14 (71.4%)	.028	0.592	0.395 – 1.005	1/4 (25%)
Gestation(w): median; IQR (range)	38; 4 (27-40)	38; 5 (27-41)	36.4; 6 (27-41)	38; 4.3 (32-40)	-	-	-	38; 5 (27-41)
Prematurity (<37w)	10/37 (27%)	25/58 (43.1%)	20/40 (50%)	5/18 (27.8%)	0.134	1.800	0.889 – 4.137	2/4 (50%)
Antenatal death	7/46 (15.2%)	24/89 (27%)	23/64 (56.3%)	1/21 (4.8%)	0.018	7.547	1.495 – 43.026	1/6 16.7%
Antenatal contracture/AMC	12/46 (26.1%)	27/81 (33.3%)	24/61 (39.3%)	3/20 (15%)	0.062	2.623	1.014 – 7.711	1/5 (20%)
Polyhydramnios	22/43 (51.1%)	38/63 (60.3%)	27/45 (60%)	11/18 (61.1%)	0.935	0.982	0.669 – 1.612	1/4 (25%)
Reduced fetal movement	11/42 (26.2%)	24/68 (35.3%)	18/47 (38.3%)	6/19 (31.6%)	0.602	1.213	0.620 - 2.643	1/5 (20%)
IUGR	7/39 (17.9%)	10/51 (19.6%)	9/36 (25%)	1/15 (6.7%)	0.129	3.750	0.724 – 21.968	0/4 (0%)
Maternal Treatments								
AChEi	19/44 (43.2%)	37/78 (47.4%)	18/58 (31%)	17/18 (94.4%)	0.000	0.329	0.218 - 0.501	4/4 (100%)
Any IVIG/PLEX/Pred	15/46 (32.6%)	21/85 (24.7%)	-	6/21 (28.6%)	-	-	-	-
Corticosteroids	12/46 (26.1%)	15/78 (19.2%)	-	15/20 (75%)	-	-	-	-
IVIG	6/46 (13%)	7/79 (8.9%)	-	7/21 (33.3%)	-	-	-	-
PLEX	8/46 (17.4%)	12/79 (15.2%)	-	12/21 (57.1%)	-	-	-	-
Thymectomy ^b	14/46 (30.4%)	22/82 (26.8%)	9/61 (14.8%)	13/21 (61.9%)	0.000	0.0238	0.0123 – 0.479	3/6 (50%)
Offspring								
Male (n)	27/46	43/76	28/52	I 3/20	0.369	0.828	0.575 – 1.308	3/5
Death (inc. pre-natal) ^c	11/46 (23.9%)	41/89 (46.1%)	37/64 (57.8%)	4/21 (19%)	0.011	3.035	I.384 – 7.363	2/6 (33.3%)
Age follow up(y): median/IQR	7.5/14	2.33/11.8	1.5/11.86	5.25/14.89	-	-	-	5.5/11.83
Neonatal-infancy								
Hypotonia	35/36 (97.2%)	48/50 (96%)	29/30 (96.7%)	17/18 (94.4%)	0.819	1.024	0.876 – 1.304	4/4 (100%)
Facial weakness	36/37 (97.3%)	48/49 (98%)	31/31 (100%)	15/16 (93.8%)	0.524	1.067	0.938 – 1.395	4/4 (100%)
Craniofacial dysmorphism	24/31 (77.4%)	42/51 (82.4)	35/39 (89.7%)	7/12 (58.3%)	0.081	1.538	1.069 – 2.817	2/4 (50%)
Contractures/AMC ^d	31/46 (67.4%)	64/87 (73.6%)	56/64 (87.5%)	8/21 (38.1%)	0.002	2.297	I.452 – 4.229	3/6 (50%)
Respiratory support/intubation	27/37 (73%)	41/54 (75.9%)	29/35 (82.9%)	11/18 (61.1%)	0.139	1.356	0.967 – 2.167	4/4 (100%)
Neonatal intubation	13/37 (35.1%)	20/48 (41.7%)	16/31 (51.6%)	4/17 (23.5%)	0.077	2.194	0.977 – 5.581	1/4 (25%)
Neonatal NIPPV	9/37 (24.3%)	9/48 (18.8%)	-	-	-	-	-	2/4 (50%)
Pulmonary hypoplasia	10/42 (23.8%)	20/58 (34.5%)	18/39 (46.2%)	2/19 (10.5%)	0.019	4.385	1.358 – 16.046	0/5 (0%)
Diaphragmatic paresis	5/35 (14.3%)	5/43 (11.6%)	4/29 (14.8%)	1/14 (7.1%)	0.478	1.931	0.325 - 12.346	0/2 (0%)

Supplementary Table 5. Maternal, fetal, neonatal-infancy and follow up clinical characteristics comparing outcomes for no pIMT to pIMT

Pleural effusion/chylothorax	4/38 (10.5%)	4/55 (7.3%)	4/39 (10.3%)	0 ^f /16 (0%)	0.261	3.759	0.381 – 38.640	0/3 (0%)
Pneumothorax	2/36 (5.6%)	3/53 (5.6%)	3/37 (8.1%)	0 ^f /16 (0%)	0.348	3.080	0.297 – 32.616	0/3 (0%)
NGT feeding	33/34 (97%)	38/39 (97.4%)	24/24 (100%)	14/15 (93.3%)	0.542	1.071	0.914 – 1.425	3/3 (100%
Pyloric stenosis	3/37 (8.1%)	3/46 (6.5%)	2/29 (6.9%)	1/17 (5.9%)	0.878	1.172	0.156 - 9.013	1/4 (25%)
Cryptorchidism (males only)	8/24 (33.3%)	13/38 (34.2%)	8/27 (29.6%)	5/11 (45.5%)	0.315	0.652	0.301 – 1.598	0/2 (0%)
Inguinal hernia	7/38 (21.2%)	7/58 (12%)	2/40 (5%)	5/18 (27%)	0.019	0.180	0.045 – 0.774	I/4 (25%)
Later features								
Facial weakness	25/34 (73.5%)	35/47 (74.5%)	22/29 (75.9%)	/ 6 (68.8%)	0.633	1.103	0.778 – 1.745	3/5 (60%)
Muscle weakness	14/32 (43.8%)	22/43 (51.2%)	15/27 (55.6%)	5/14 (35.7%)	0.246	1.556	0.793 – 3.525	2/5 (40%)
Jaw contracture/malocclusion	6/32 (18.8%)	6/40 (15%)	5/27 (18.5%)	1/13 (7.7%)	0.329	2.407	0.434 – 14.785	0/5 (0%)
Extraocular involvement	5/22 (22.7%)	7/26 (26.9%)	6/16 (37.5%)	1/10 (10%)	0.123	3.750	0.759 – 21.861	0/2 (0%)
Scoliosis	7/44 (15.9%)	15/77 (19.5%)	13/58 (22.4%)	2/19 (10.5%)	0.245	2.129	0.628 - 8.049	0/5 (0%)
Feeding difficulty	16/36 (44.4%)	24/45 (53.3%)	16/28 (57.1%)	8/17 (47.1%)	0.517	1.214	0.708 – 2.288	2/4 (50%)
PEG requirement	8/31 (25.8%)	9/36 (25%)	7/21 (33.3%)	2/15 (13.3%)	0.167	2.500	0.714 – 9.574	I/3 (33%)
VPI > I y	18/24 (75%)	21/29 (72.4%)	10/12 (83.3%)	9/15 (60%)	0.213	1.389	0.841 – 2.366	2/4 (50%)
Speech difficulty	22/32 (68.8%)	25/38 (65.8%)	14/20 (70%)	9/16 (56.3%)	0.413	1.244	0.765 – 2.180	2/4 (50%)
Hearing impairment	12/32 (37.5%)	12/33 (36.4%)	9/22 (40.9%)	3/11 (27.3%)	0.431	1.500	0.592 - 4.465	0/2 (0%)
CNS involvement	7/40 (17.5%)	10/50 (20%)	10/31 (32.3%)	0 ^f /15 (0%)	0.038	10.333	1.210 - 100.267	0/3 (0%)
Tracheostomy	3/35 (8.6%)	3/44 (6.8%)	3/26 (11.5%)	0 ^f /16 (0%)	0.218	4.358	0.421 – 45.561	0/4 (0%)
OSA/Restrictive defect	9/28 (32.1%)	9/29 (31%)	6/19 (31.6%)	3/10 (30%)	0.925	1.053	0.386 - 3.287	2/4 (0%)
^e Respiratory support (d): median (range)/IQR	45 (2-1825)/ IQR 85 (n=27)	47 (2-1825)/ IQR 89 (n=34)	53 (3-1825)/ IQR III (n=24)	13 (2-150)/ IQR 56 (n=10)	.05 (Z=-1.94)	-	-	18 (8-150) IQR 111 (n=4)

Percentages derive from the total number of cases where this feature was reliably established. ^apIMT = pregnancy immunotherapy; Thymectomy^b performed before the pregnancy; ^cAll postnatal deaths occurred during early infancy; ^dContractures/AMC includes a range of cases with contractures in more than one limb up to cases within the severe Fetal Akinesia Deformation Spectrum (FADS) presentations; ^eExcludes dayI deaths; IQR, interquartile range; IUGR, intrauterine growth restriction as commented on in publication or if birth weight below 10th centile; CNS = central nervous system; NGT = nasogastric tube; d = days; w = weeks; MG = myasthenia gravis; NIPPV = non-invasive positive pressure ventilation; OSA = obstructive sleep apnoea; PEG = percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy; VPI = velopharyngeal incompetence; RR = risk ratios of fIMT (none versus any) with ^fcontinuity correction for cells with 0 applied by adding the value 0.5 to both numerator and denominator of both groups; CI = 95% confidence interval (Wilson's). Respiratory support duration compared by Mann-Whitney U test. P<0.05 considered statistically significant.