

Note to readers with disabilities: *EHP* strives to ensure that all journal content is accessible to all readers. However, some figures and Supplemental Material published in *EHP* articles may not conform to [508 standards](#) due to the complexity of the information being presented. If you need assistance accessing journal content, please contact ehp508@niehs.nih.gov. Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.

Supplemental Material

Exposure to Particulate Matter Air Pollution and Age of Menarche in a Nationwide Cohort of U.S. Girls

Robert B. Hood, Jaime E. Hart, Francine Laden, Bernard Rosner, Jorge E. Chavarro, and Audrey J. Gaskins

Table of Contents

Table S1. Pearson correlations between particulate matter (PM) exposures among 5,201 girls in the Growing Up Today Study from 1989 to 2008.

Table S2. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the associations between residential ambient PM₁₀, PM_{2.5-10}, and PM_{2.5} exposures and age at menarche using Cox proportional hazard models in the Growing Up Today Study 2, 1988–2008 (n = 5,201).

Table S3. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (Cis) for the association between residential ambient PM_{2.5} exposure and age at menarche using restricted cubic splines in the Growing Up Today Study 2, 1988-2008 (n=5,201).

Table S4. Predicted survival time for girls in the Growing Up Today Study 2 (n=5,201) exposed to the 10th and 90th percentile for the cumulative average PM exposure from 1988 to 2008 using Cox proportional hazard model.

Table S5. Predicted survival time for girls in the Growing Up Today Study 2 (n=4,906) exposed to the 10th and 90th percentile for the in utero PM average from 1988 to 2008 using Cox proportional hazard model.

Table S6. Predicted survival time for girls in the Growing Up Today Study 2 (n=5,201) exposed to the 10th and 90th percentile for the 1-year PM average from 1988 to 2008 using Cox proportional hazard model.

Table S7. Predicted survival time for girls in the Growing Up Today Study 2 (n=5,201) exposed to the 10th and 90th percentile for the 2-year PM average from 1988 to 2008 using Cox proportional hazard model.

Table S8. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the associations between residential PM₁₀, PM_{2.5-10}, and PM_{2.5} exposures and age at menarche using Cox proportional hazard models in the Growing Up Today Study 2, 1988–2008 who did not move during the study period (n = 1827).

Table S9. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the associations between residential PM_{2.5-10}, and PM_{2.5} exposures and age at menarche using Cox proportional hazard models in the Growing Up Today Study 2, 1988–2008 (n = 5,201) using multipollutant models.

Table S10. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the associations between 1-year average residential PM₁₀, PM_{2.5-10}, and PM_{2.5} exposures and age at menarche in the Growing Up Today Study 2, 1988–1995 (n = 5,201) further adjusting for cumulative average exposures.

Figure S1. Overview of exclusion criteria applied to the Growing Up Today Study 2 participants from 1988 to 2008.

Figure S2. Restricted cubic spline models to test the non-linear association between PM_{2.5} and age at menarche in the Growing Up Today Study 2, 1988–2008 (n=5,201) (see Table S3).

Figure S3. Predicted survival time for girls in the Growing Up Today Study 2 (n=5,201) exposed to the 10th and 90th percentile for the in utero PM average from 1988 to 2008 using Cox proportional hazard model (see Table S5).

Figure S4. Predicted survival time for girls in the Growing Up Today Study 2 (n=5,201) exposed to the 10th and 90th percentile for the 1-year PM average from 1988 to 2008 using Cox proportional hazard model (see Table S6).

Figure S5. Predicted survival time for girls in the Growing Up Today Study 2 (n=5,201) exposed to the 10th and 90th percentile for the 2-year PM average from 1988 to 2008 using Cox proportional hazard model (see Table S7).