1							
2	Enteric Coated Aspirin in the ADAPTABLE Trial						
3	Date:	November 17 th 2021					
4	Title:	Enteric Coated Effect on Outcomes in Aspirin Dosing and Patient-Reported Outcomes					
5 6		Effectiveness					
7							
8	Principle Investigator:	Mark B. Effron,					
9	Corresponding Author:	Mark B. Effron (mark.effron@ochsner.org)					
10	Co-Investigator(s):	Amber Sleem, Schuler Jones					
11	Principle Statistician:	Amanda Stebbins					
12	Statistical Advisor:	Lisa Wruck					
13							
14	Target journal:	JAMA, JAMA-Cardiology,JACC or JAHA					
15							
16	EPM Code:	6516-XX					
17							
18							
19	<u>Background</u>						
20							
21	Many physicians advise p	atients with cardiovascular disease to use enteric coated aspirin (EC-ASA)					
22	preparations in order to o	decrease GI bleeding complications. Data from pharmacodynamic studies suggest					
23	there is decreaseed plate	let inhibition with EC-ASA compared with ASA without EC. This study will evaluate EC-					
24	ASA is as clinically effective	ve as uncoated ASA.					
25							
26	Data is mixed on whether	r enteric coated aspirin reduces the protective effect of ASA in patients with					
27	cardiovascular disease. P	harmacodynamic studies suggest that enteric coating on ASA decreases the					

antiplatelet effect of ASA and results in an increased rate of "ASA resistance", but there are no clear clinical
 outcomes data that support the relevance of the pharmacodynamic studies.

30 Given that over 10,000 subjects in ADAPTABLE reported the type of ASA they were using, insight into the

31 benefits and/or detriments of enteric coated ASA in cardiovascular patients can be obained.

33	Primary Objectives/Aims					
34 35 36	Primary Hypothesis:	To assess the effectiveness of Enteric coated aspirin compared to plain ASA in preventing cardiovascular events				
37 38		Hypothesis: The effectiveness of enteric coated aspirin will be the same as plain aspirin.				
39 40 41 42	Aim 1:	To examine whether the effectiveness and safety of low (81 mg daily) vs. high-dose (325 mg daily) aspirin is consistent between participants taking enteric coated and plain aspirin. Hypothesis: The effectiveness and safety of low vs. high-dose aspirin in patients with established ASCVD is consistent between participants administered enteric and plain coated aspirin.	ed			
43 44 45 46	Aim 2:	Describe differences in baseline clinical characteristics and CV risk factors by enteric coated ar not plain aspirin.	ıd			
47 48 49 50	Aim 2:	To examine whether enteric coated aspirin will have the same bleeding rate as plain aspirin. Hypothesis: The safety events of enteric coated aspirin will be comparable for patients administered enteric coated and plain aspirin.				
51	Endpoints					
52 53	 Efficacy Endpoint O Primar 	ints V				
54	•	Death/MI/Stroke				
55	o Second	lary				
56		All-cause mortality				
57		Hospitalization for Myocardial Infarction				
58		Hospitalization for Stroke				
59		Coronary revascularization				
60		TIA				
61						
62	Safety Endpoin	ts				
63	o Primar	y				
64	•	Hospitalization for major bleeding with associated blood product transfusion				
65	o Second	lary				
66		Hospitalization for GI Bleed				
67	•	Any GI bleed (if reported)				
68						
69	Data					
70	Population:					
71	 All pati 	ents randomized in the ADAPTABLE Trial.				
72						
73	Inclusion Criteria:					
74 75	Partici	pants reported taking enteric coated of plain asprin.				
76	Exclusion Criteria:					
77	Partici	pants missing type of aspirin coating.				
78						
79						
			2			

81	General Analysis Conventions:
82 83	 Unless otherwise noted, all hypothesis tests will be 2-sided. P-values < 0.05 will be interpreted as statistically significant.
84 85	 Analyses for this manuscript will be interpreted as exploratory. No formal adjustment for multiple hypothesis testing will be made.
86 87 88	 Missing values will be excluded from descriptive statistical summaries. For multivariable analyses (e.g., multivariable models) the extent and patterns of missing data will be assessed, and a suitable imputation approach will be implemented.
89 90 91	 Analysis will be performed using SAS 9.4 (or higher version). Other software may be used if required and determined to be appropriate.
92	Quality Assurance Measures:
93 94 95 96 97	 To reduce the risk of error, all tables and figures will be generated in an automated fashion. In the rare circumstance where results are "cut and pasted" visual checks will be performed to ensure errors were not made. The reviewing statistician will provide code review as needed before results are finalized, particularly for objectives that involve complex statistical modelling.
98 99	

101	Analy	rsis Objectives
102	1.	Objective : Compare baseline characteristics of patients by enteric coated and plain aspirin.
103		Analysis: Continuous variables will be presented as median and 25 th /75 th percentiles, mean, standard
104		deviation and minimum and maximum values. Categorical variables will be presented as counts and
105		proportions. P-values will be reported from the Chi-square test for discrete measures and the T
106		test/Wilcoxon Rank Sum test for continuous variables.
107		
108		See Appendix: Table 1 Characteristics of participants at baseline
109		
110	2.	Objective : Describe the clinical outcomes at median follow-up time by treatment and type of aspirin coating.
111		Analysis: Unadjusted and adjusted Cox proportional hazards models with an interaction between
112		treatment arm and aspirin coating will be fit to the data. An interaction term will be included in the
113		primary endpoint model and all cause mortality model, to assess if the enteric coating modifies the
114		association between treatment and these pre-specified outcomes. Hazard ratios and 95% CI will be
115		computed.
116		
117		Adjustment measures include age, sex, ethnicity, strata, race, prior aspirin use, P2Y12 use at baseline,
118		smoking status, no internet access, history of afib, bleeding, cad, chf, cvd, diabetes, hypertension,
119		hyperlipidemia, prior MI, and PAD.
120		
121		See Appendix:
122		
123		Table 3: Interaction between enteric coating or plain aspirin and treatment for primary
124		composite outcome and all cause mortality.
125		
126		Table 4: Event details by aspirin coating at median all cause mortality follow-up time for primary
127		composite outcome, key secondary effectiveness outcomes and primary safety outcomes.
128		Table 5: Event details by Aspirin dese and type of coating at median follow, up time for primary
129		composite outcome, key secondary effectiveness outcomes and primary safety outcomes
130		composite outcome, key secondary effectiveness outcomes and primary safety outcomes.
131		Figure 1 Cumulative incidence of the primary effectiveness endpoint in for participants taking
132		enteric coated or plain aspirin (cumulative incidence graph with log-rank n-value)
134		
135	Resul	ts and Potential Conclusions: Event rates, counts and adjusted bazard ratios (95% CI) and the interaction p-value
136	from	the adjusted model will be included, when an interaction term is included in the models. If the p-value for the
137	intera	include a significant ($p<0.05$), then the results can be interpreted such that there is a differential treatment effect of
138	aspiri	n doses (81 mg and 325 mg) between type of aspirin coating on the clinical outcome.
139		
140	If the	p-value for the interaction is not significant (p>0.5) then it will be removed.
141		

Appendix Table 1 Characteristics of participants at baseline

Characteristics	Enteric coated	Plain coated Aspirin	P Value
Aspirin Group: 81mg	XX.X	XX.X	
Aspirin Group: 325 mg	XX.X	XX.X	
Age yrs, mean st. dev, median, (25th, 75th)	XX (XX, XX)	XX (XX, XX)	
Weight kg, mean st. dev, median (25th, 75th)	XX (XX, XX)	XX (XX, XX)	
Height cm, mean st. dev, median (25th, 75th)	XX (XX, XX)	XX (XX, XX)	
BMI kg/m ² , mean st. dev, median (25th, 75th)	XX (XX, XX)	XX (XX, XX)	
Female, no. (%)			
Race, no. (%)			
White	XX.X	XX.X	
Black or African American	XX.X	XX.X	
Asian	XX.X	XX.X	
Hispanic ethnicity	XX.X	XX.X	
Medical history, no. (%)			
Prior myocardial infarction	XX.X	XX.X	
Prior coronary revascularization	XX.X	XX.X	
Prior percutaneous coronary intervention	XX.X	XX.X	
Prior coronary artery bypass graft surgery	XX.X	XX.X	
Hypertension	XX.X	XX.X	
Dyslipidemia	XX.X	XX.X	
Diabetes mellitus	XX.X	XX.X	
Atrial fibrillation	XX.X	XX.X	
Cerebrovascular disease	XX.X	XX.X	
PAD	XX.X	XX.X	
Congestive heart failure	XX.X	XX.X	
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease/Asthma	XX.X	XX.X	
Chronic kidney disease	XX.X	XX.X	
Peripheral artery disease	XX.X	XX.X	
Prior significant gastrointestinal bleed	XX.X	XX.X	

Characteristics	Enteric coated	Plain coated Aspirin	P Value
Prior intracranial hemorrhage	XX.X	XX.X	
Peptic ulcer disease	XX.X	XX.X	
Current smoker	XX.X	XX.X	
P2Y12	XX.X	XX.X	
Medication for upset stomach	XX.X	XX.X	
Medications at the time of randomization, no. (%)			
Aspirin use before study			
Missing	XX.X	XX.X	
No	XX.X	XX.X	
Yes	XX.X	XX.X	
Prior daily dosage			
81 mg	XX.X	XX.X	
162 mg	XX.X	XX.X	
325 mg	XX.X	XX.X	
Missing	XX.X	XX.X	
Clopidogrel	XX.X	XX.X	
Prasugrel	XX.X	XX.X	
Other antiplatelet medication (Ticlopidine, Vorapaxar, Cilostazol)	XX.X	XX.X	

152 Appendix Table 2. Pre-specified adjustment measures for primary composite outcome and key secondary effectiveness

153 outcomes

154 Note: X indicates the measure will be included in the multivariable model

	Number	Percent	Primary	Nonfatal	Nonfatal	PCI	All Cause	Primary
Baseline Measures	Missing	Missing (%)	Endpoint	MI	Stroke	CABG	Mortality	Safety
Age	0	0	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Sex	0	0	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Current Smoker	0	0	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Year of Randomization	0	0						
Randomized treatment	0	0	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Randomization follow-up strata	0	0	Х	Х		Х	Х	
Non-internet user at randomization	0	0	Х	Х		Х	Х	
Data mart	0	0						
Current health	0	0						
Physical function	0	0						
How invited to join	1	0						
Ethnicity	270	1.8	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Medical History, Coronary Artery			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Disease	414	2.7						
Medical History, MI	414	2.7	Х	X		Х	Х	
History of CABG	414	2.7	Х	Х		Х	Х	
History of PCI	414	2.7	Х	Х		Х	Х	
Medical History, Cerebrovascular			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Disease	414	2.7	v		v	~	v	
Medical History, Hypertension	414	2.7	^ 	^ 	^ 	^ 	^ 	^
Medical History, Hyperlidemia	414	2.7	X	X	X	X	X	
Medical History, Atrial Fibrillation	414	2.7	X	X	X	X	X	
Medical History, Congestive Heart	414	2.7	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Medical History Peripheral Arterial	414	2.7	x	x	x	x	X	
Disease	414	2.7	~	~	~	~	~	
Medical History, Diabetes Mellitus	414	2.7	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
History of bleeding [GI Bleed, ICH,			Х					
Bleeding disorder]	414	2.7						
Prior Aspirin experience/dose	973	6.5	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Race group	980	6.5	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Anti-inflammatory	1260	8.4						
Medication for stomach upset	1260	8.4						
Baseline P2Y12	1261	8.4	Х		Х			Х
Weight (kg)	2008	13.3						
Body Mass Index (kg/m2)	2352	15.6	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
LDL-c	7088	47						
HbA1c	9510	63.1						

155

Appendix Table 3. Interaction between type of aspirin coating and treatment for primary composite outcome and key

158 secondary effectiveness outcomes

Outcome		Enteric co	ated	PI	ain coated	Aspirin	Adjusted Model Interaction p value
	81 Mg Rate	325 mg Rate	Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	81 Mg Rate	325 mg Rate	Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% Cl)	F
Primary composite endpoint: All- cause death, MI, or stroke							ХХХ
All-cause death							
Nonfatal MI							
Nonfatal Stroke							
Revasc							
TIA							
Safety Major Bleeding							
Hospitalization for GI Bleed							

Appendix Table 4. Event details by type of aspirin coating at median follow-up time for primary composite outcome, key secondary effectiveness outcomes and primary safety outcomes.

Outcome	Enteric Coated	Plain Aspirin	Unadjusted Hazard	p value
	Events (rate)	Events (rate)	rate) Ratio (95% CI)	
Primary composite endpoint:				
All-cause death, MI, or stroke	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)		XXX
All-cause death	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)		
Hospitalization for MI	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)		
Hospitalization for stroke	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)		
Hospitalization for TIA	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)		
Hospitalization for major bleeding with associated blood product transfusion	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)		
Hospitalization for GI Bleed	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)		

CI, confidence interval; MI, myocardial infarction;

Rates are calculated at median follow-up (26.2 months) using the Kalbfleisch & Prentice cumulative incidence function estimator. Events include data from electronic health record data, CMS claims, private insurance claims and confirmed participant reported outcomes (PROs).

Appendix Table 5. Event details by coated asprin type at median follow-up time for all cause mortality, key secondary effectiveness outcomes, primary safety outcomes and limb endpoints.

	Enteric Coated		Plain (Coated
	81 Mg Rate	325 mg Rate	81 Mg Rate	325 mg Rate
Primary composite endpoint: All- cause death, MI, or stroke	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)
All-cause death	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)
Hospitalization for MI	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)
Hospitalization for stroke	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)
Hospitalization for TIA	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)
Hospitalization for major bleeding with associated blood product transfusion	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)
Hospitalization for GI Bleed	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)
Hospitalization for Revascularization	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)	XXX(YY%)

MI, myocardial infarction;

Rates are calculated at median follow-up (26.2 months) using the Kalbfleisch & Prentice cumulative incidence function estimator. Events include data from electronic health record data, CMS claims, private insurance claims and confirmed participant reported outcomes (PROs).

168

169

Appendix Figure 1. Time-to-event curves for the primary effectiveness outcome (death/MI/stroke) by enteric coated
 aspirin or plain aspirin.

172 Caption: Cumulative incidence of the primary effectiveness outcome of all-cause death, hospitalization for myocardial 173 infarction, or hospitalization for stroke reported by Type of Aspirin Coating.

- 174
- 175
- 176
- 177