Supplementary Figure 3

Well Living House Quality Appraisal Tool

Citation (Title, Author, Date) [INSERT FOR EACH STUDY]

Local Community Relevance of Method and Measures (Score out of 4)

Did the measures of success reflect local Indigenous community understandings of success?	Yes = 2 (look for: outcomes are derived from community members/ are the outcomes reflecting indigenous concepts evidence provided explicitly in the text where did evaluation take place, who collected evaluation data?)
	Partial = 1 (hints of including local community values/beliefs/knowledge systems in text and therefore assumption made by reviewers that evidence is present)
	No = 0 (nothing was said or author(s) indicated that success was not defined by the community)
Had methods and tools been tested and validated previously in a similar	Yes = 2 (evidence is provided explicitly in text)
Indigenous context and reviewed for relevance by appropriate community members?	Partial = 1 (hints of using a tool that has been used in Indigenous contexts and therefore assumption made by reviewers that evidence is present)
	No = 0 (nothing was said or author(s) said that the evaluation method/tool has not been used in Indigenous contexts)

Rigour and internal validity of the evaluation method (Score out of 4)

Do the quantitative or qualitative methods meet relevant rigour and internal validity?	Excellent = 4 Fair = 3 Barely Acceptable = 2 Poor = 1
	Generally: Is the study design appropriate for evaluation research question(s)? Are the conclusions supported and justified by the results?
	Quantitative: Is the sample size described and justified? Are the instruments/tools already validated?
	Are threats to validity addressed (such as confounding factors)?
	Qualitative: Are the participants selected using appropriate strategies (such as purposive sample or until saturation is reached)? Is there clearly articulated theoretical approach/methodology/ data collection methods and analytic lens – do these fit together? Is there evidence of truthfulness of the findings?

Strength of the Evidence (score out of 4)

Is the evidence strong?	Excellent = 4 Fair = 3 Barely Acceptable = 2 Poor = 1
	<u>Quantitative:</u> Does the evidence have adequate power and statistical significance? Is the response rate reasonable? <u>Qualitative:</u> Are there major and convincing themes from triangulation, and/or member checking?

Total Score: