

Supplementary Materials

Deep learning-based activity recognition and fine motor identification using 2D skeletons of cynomolgus monkeys

Chuxi Li ^{1,#}, Zifan Xiao ^{2,#}, Yerong Li ¹, Zhinan Chen ¹, Xun Ji ³, Yiqun Liu ⁴, Shufei Feng ⁵, Zhen Zhang ⁵, Kaiming Zhang ⁶, Jianfeng Feng ², Trevor W. Robbins ^{2,7}, Shisheng Xiong ^{1,*}, Yongchang Chen ⁵, Xiao Xiao ^{2,*}

- 1. School of Information Science and Technology Micro Nano System Center, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China
- Department of Anesthesiology, Huashan Hospital; Key Laboratory of Computational Neuroscience and Brain-Inspired Intelligence, Ministry of Education; Behavioral and Cognitive Neuroscience Center, Institute of Science and Technology for Brain-Inspired Intelligence, MOE Frontiers Center for Brain Science, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China
- 3. Kuang Yaming Honors School, Nanjing University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210023, China
- 4. Shanghai Key Laboratory of Intelligent Information Processing, School of Computer Science, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China
- 5. State Key Laboratory of Primate Biomedical Research; Institute of Primate Translational Medicine, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming, Yunnan 650500, China
- 6. New Vision World LLC., Aliso Viejo, California 92656, USA
- 7. Behavioural and Clinical Neuroscience Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB2 1TN, UK

[#]Authors contributed equally to this work

*Corresponding authors, E-mail: sxiong@fudan.edu.cn; xiaoxiao@fudan.edu.cn



Supplementary Figure S1 Comparison between DeepLabCut and MonKit

A: Keypoint recognition from DeepLabCut. B: Keypoint recognition from MonKit in this study. C: Keypoint recognition of DeepLabCut with marks in error points. Red circles with yellow arrows point to correct positions. Solid circles are joints of monkey identified by DeepLabCut, with most misidentified.



WT in cage

MECP2 mutant

Supplementary Figure S2 Home and test cage environments

A: WT monkey in home cage. B: WT monkey in test cage. C: MECP2 mutant monkey in test cage.



Confusion Matrix

Supplementary Figure S3 Confusion matrix results of action recognition

Horizontal sum is true result, vertical sum is predicted result.



Supplementary Figure S4 Percentage of time spent by individual monkeys in different action categories

Time spent in Climb, Hang, Turn, Walk, Jump, Move Down, Lie Down, Stand Up, and Low Activity categories. C1–C5: Monkeys in home cages; T1–T6: Monkeys in test cages; M1–M5: *MECP2* mutant monkeys in test cages.



Supplementary Figure S5 Keypoint recognition by MonKit during back and side

positions to camera

A-F: Keypoint recognition for back or side of monkey. G-I: Keypoint recognition for front of monkey



Supplementary Figure S6 Percentage of time spent by individual monkeys in posture categories

Time spent in huddle, sit, and stand categories. C1–C5: Monkeys in home cages; T1–T6: Monkeys in test cages; M1–M5: *MECP2* mutant monkeys in test cages.

Supplementary Table S1 Accuracy, precision, and recall of stereotyped, head-down,

sit, stand, and huddle behaviors

	Accuracy	Precision	Recall
Stereotyped Behaviour	96.19%	85.08%	90.05%
Head Down	98.72%	53.07%	85.41%
Sit	95.71%	99.05%	97.31%
Stand		100%	100%
Huddle		66.09%	95.61%