Supplementary Table 1. STROBE statement: checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation	Section
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Abstract
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Abstract
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	2nd paragraph
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	3rd paragraph
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Patient population section
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Patient population section Data collection section
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	Patient population section
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	NA
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Data collection
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Data collection section
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Discussion section
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Patient population
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	Data collection section
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	Statistical analysis section
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	Statistical analysis section
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	NA
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	NA
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	NA
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	Methods section
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	Patients and tumor characteristics section
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	NA

Supplementary Table 1. Continued

	Item No	Recommendation	Section
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	Survival outcomes and prognostic factors section
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Survival outcomes and prognostic factors section
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (e.g., 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	Survival outcomes and prognostic factors section
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	Survival outcomes and prognostic factors section
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	NA
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	Nomogram section
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	1st paragraph
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	7th paragraph
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	2nd-6th paragraph
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Last paragraph
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	NA

Note: An explanation and elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.
STROBE, strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology; NA, not applicable.
*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.